

NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the *National Energy Board Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. N-7, as amended, (“NEB Act”) and the Regulations made thereunder;

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, S.C., c. 19, s. 52, as amended and the Regulations made thereunder;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC as General Partner of Trans Mountain Pipeline L.P. (collectively “Trans Mountain”) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (“CPCN”) and other related approvals pursuant to Part III of the NEB Act.

FINAL ARGUMENT OF TRANS MOUNTAIN

December 15, 2015

To: The Secretary
National Energy Board
517 – 10th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	6
1.1	Overview.....	6
1.2	The NEB Process.....	11
1.3	Issues Outside of the NEB’s Jurisdiction.....	20
1.4	Emergency Response.....	22
1.5	Trans Mountain’s Proposed Routing Will Minimize Environmental Impacts.....	25
1.6	The Project Will Result in Significant Economic Benefits for Canada.....	28
1.7	Meaningful Aboriginal Engagement and Participation.....	29
1.8	Reasonable Mitigation of Stakeholder Concerns.....	30
1.9	Draft Conditions.....	34
1.9.1	NEB Condition Compliance Filing Deadlines.....	35
1.9.2	Route Re-alignments.....	38
1.9.3	Trans Mountain Follow-Up to Comments on NEB Draft Conditions.....	39
1.9.4	Summary.....	42
1.10	Organization of Final Argument.....	43
2.	LEGAL FRAMEWORK.....	45
2.1	Overview.....	45
2.2	Determining the Canadian Public Interest.....	45
2.2.1	Environmental Benefits and Burdens.....	53
2.2.2	Social Benefits and Burdens.....	72
2.2.3	Economic Benefits and Burdens.....	74
2.2.4	Aboriginal Engagement.....	82
2.3	TERMPOL Review.....	87
2.4	Provincial Considerations.....	89
2.5	Legal Framework Conclusion.....	95
3.	PROJECT DESIGN.....	96
3.1	Overview.....	96
3.2	The Project.....	99
3.3	Project Alternatives.....	101
3.4	Routing.....	106

3.4.1	Routing Criteria and Engagement.....	107
3.4.2	Landowner Engagement	113
3.5	Potential Municipal Infrastructure Impacts and Mitigation.....	115
3.6	Construction.....	121
3.7	Watercourse Crossings.....	124
3.8	Existing Pipeline Segments.....	127
3.9	Pump Stations	131
3.10	Terminals Design and Location	133
3.11	Terminals Fire Protection	138
3.12	Westridge Marine Terminal Design and Location.....	145
3.13	Operations and Maintenance.....	147
3.14	Routine Inspection and Leak Detection	148
3.15	Seismic and Natural Hazards	151
3.16	Geotechnical Considerations	154
3.17	Risk Assessment	158
3.18	Environmental Protection Plans.....	163
3.19	Reclamation Management Plan	166
3.20	Project Design Conclusion.....	167
4.	EMERGENCY RESPONSE.....	169
4.1	Overview.....	169
4.2	NEB Emergency Management Program Requirements	170
4.3	Consultation Regarding the Emergency Management Program Documents	171
4.4	Pipeline and Facilities Spill Response	173
4.5	Marine Spill Response	174
4.6	Emergency Response Conclusion.....	177
5.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	178
5.1	Overview.....	178
5.2	Trans Mountain’s Public Consultation Program.....	178
5.3	Public Information and Outreach Tools.....	180
5.3.1	Public Consultation Activities	181
5.4	Landowner Consultation.....	184
5.4.1	Government Consultation	186
5.5	Future and Ongoing Consultation.....	187

5.6	Conclusion	190
6.	ABORIGINAL	191
6.1	Aboriginal Interests and Consultation with Aboriginal Groups	191
6.1.1	Identification Method.....	195
6.1.2	Aboriginal Engagement Program Design	197
6.1.3	Engagement Tools	199
6.1.4	Modifications to the Project as a Result of Engagement	201
6.1.5	Government of Canada’s Consultation Process with Aboriginal Groups.....	202
6.1.6	Aboriginal Oral Traditional Evidence Hearings	204
6.1.7	Interests, Concerns and Mitigations.....	206
6.2	Aboriginal Procurement, Employment and Training.....	213
6.3	Future and Ongoing Consultation.....	217
7.	ENVIRONMENT.....	219
7.1	Overview.....	219
7.1.1	Purpose of EA.....	219
7.1.2	Methodology.....	221
7.2	Findings of Trans Mountain’s ESA	233
7.2.1	Pipeline and Facilities	233
7.2.2	Increased Marine Shipping to and from the Westridge Marine Terminal.....	295
7.2.3	Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	348
7.3	Follow-up and Monitoring.....	352
7.4	Environment Conclusion	358
8.	SOCIAL	359
8.1	Overview.....	359
8.2	Social Aspects of Pipeline and Facilities ESA	360
8.2.1	Heritage Resources	361
8.2.2	Traditional Land and Resources Use	362
8.2.3	Social and Cultural Well-Being.....	364
8.2.4	Human Occupancy and Resource Use.....	365
8.2.5	Infrastructure and Services	365
8.2.6	Navigation and Navigation Safety	365

8.2.7	Community Health.....	366
8.3	Social Aspects of Marine Shipping ESA.....	367
8.3.1	Traditional Marine Resource Use.....	367
8.3.2	Marine Commercial, Recreational and Tourism Use.....	370
8.3.3	Human Health Risk Assessment.....	375
8.4	Social Conclusion.....	396
9.	ECONOMIC.....	398
9.1	Economic Overview.....	398
9.2	Purpose and Need for Project.....	400
9.3	Harrison Report.....	402
9.4	The Value of Excess Pipeline Capacity.....	405
9.5	Market-Based Determinations.....	409
9.6	Trans Mountain Analytical Approach.....	410
9.7	Methodological Flaws in the Gunton Report.....	411
9.7.1	Other Issues with the Gunton Report.....	416
9.7.2	Atlantic and Pacific Basin Crude Oil Price Comparison.....	418
9.8	The Project will Result in Increased Netbacks for Producers.....	420
9.8.1	Netbacks and Price-Setting Mechanisms.....	420
9.9	Benefit-Cost Analysis.....	422
9.10	Economic Cost of a Spill.....	425
9.11	Upgrading and Refining in Canada.....	429
9.12	Employment and Economy.....	432
9.13	Tolls and Tariffs.....	434
9.14	Need for the Project.....	437
9.14.1	Economic Benefits of the Project.....	440
9.14.2	Local Benefits.....	446
9.15	Conclusion.....	447
10.	CONCLUSION.....	451

TRANS MOUNTAIN FINAL ARGUMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Trans Mountain has applied (the “Application”) to the National Energy Board (“NEB” or “Board”) pursuant to section 52 of the NEB Act¹ for a CPCN and related approvals for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (the “Project” or “TMEP”). Trans Mountain believes the Project, which is an expansion of its existing system, is a responsible way to meet the demands from Canadian producers for increased market access in a manner that minimizes environmental and social risks and maximizes opportunities and economic benefits.

The Project is the response to requests for pipeline transportation service from oil producers and refiners in Western Canada on the West Coast of North America. Canadian production is currently constrained by a lack of pipeline infrastructure affecting Canada’s ability to obtain world prices. Additional pipeline capacity is required for growing Canadian production to better access West Coast and offshore markets, which will help Canadian production obtain world market prices—to the benefit of all Canadians. The TMEP has the additional and fundamental benefit of paralleling the existing Trans Mountain Pipeline system (“TMPL”) for 73 per cent of its route and other existing linear disturbances for another 16 per cent of the route. This means that 89 per cent of the proposed route will follow existing linear disturbances which will minimize environmental impacts. Similarly, the associated path for marine export will utilize established and well managed shipping lanes. The remaining 11 per cent of new routing was selected according to routing criteria, which includes avoidance of residential neighbourhoods within urban areas that have grown since

¹ RSC 1985, c N-7 [NEB Act].

22 the TMPL was constructed in 1953.² The risks and potential impacts of the Project's route are well
23 known—the TMPL has been operating for more than 60 years along most of the very same route.
24 This expansion builds on Trans Mountain's history of ongoing successful expansions to the TMPL.
25 Since the TMPL was completed in 1953, Trans Mountain has added throughput capacity and
26 facilities to respond to growing demand and changing shipper needs. Between 1957 and 2013, the
27 capacity of the TMPL system has increased from 150,000 barrels per day to 300,000 barrels per
28 day.³ As a result, Trans Mountain has experience in successfully expanding the capacity of the
29 TMPL.

30 The Project has significant commercial support and has already received approval of its toll
31 methodology from the Board.⁴ In October 2011, Trans Mountain held the first of three binding
32 open season processes ("Open Season") to determine shipper interest in the Project. During the
33 Open Season a strong shipper response resulted in an increase of the Project's nominal capacity
34 from the initially planned 755,000 barrels per day to 890,000 barrels per day to accommodate the
35 committed volumes from all shippers.⁵ As a result of the strong commercial support for the Project,

² Exhibit B2-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 4A: Project Design & Execution - Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-6 - 4A-13; Exhibit B249 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Technical Update No. 1 - (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#)); Exhibit B255 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Technical Update No. 2 (August 22, 2014) ([A62400](#)); Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#)); Exhibit B415 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A71581](#))

³ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-2.

⁴ Exhibit C2-2 - BP Canada Energy Trading Company - Written Evidence of BP Canada Energy Trading Company (December 13, 2012) ([A49778](#)); Exhibit C2-9 - BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Argument of BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. (February 20, 2013) ([A50539](#)); Exhibit C11-2 - Nexen Marketing - Written Evidence of Nexen Marketing (December 13, 2012) ([A49780](#)); Exhibit C14-2 - Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Evidence of Statoil Canada Ltd. (December 13, 2012) ([A49781](#)); Exhibit C15-4 - Suncor Energy Marketing Inc. and Suncor Energy Products Partnership - Written Evidence (December 13, 2012) ([A49786](#)); Exhibit C16-6 - Total E&P Canada Ltd. - Written Direct Evidence of Total E-P Canada Ltd. (February 6, 2013) ([A50376](#)); NEB - Reasons for Decision - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - RH-001-2012 (May 2013).

⁵ NEB - Reasons for Decision - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - RH-001-2012 (May 2013), 7.

36 Trans Mountain signed long-term firm transportation contracts of 15 and 20 years with 13 shippers,
37 for a total volume of 707,500 barrels per day, which represents approximately 80 per cent of the
38 nominal capacity of the expanded TMPL.⁶

39 The TMEP shippers are comprised of some of the largest energy companies in Canada and the
40 world: BP Canada Energy Trading Company; Canadian Natural Resources; Canadian Oil Sands
41 Limited; Cenovus Energy Inc.; Devon Canada Corporation; Husky Energy Marketing Inc.;
42 Imperial Oil Limited; Nexen Marketing Inc.; Statoil Canada Ltd.; Suncor Energy Marketing Inc.;
43 Suncor Energy Products Partnership; Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company; and Total E&P
44 Canada Ltd. These shippers have direct access to large volumes of supply—either through their
45 own production, as marketers or as refiners of crude oil.⁷

46 After the successful Open Season process, Trans Mountain filed a toll methodology application
47 with the NEB in June 2012 for the TMPL expansion. The NEB approved the application in May
48 2013.⁸ The NEB toll methodology approval and long-term firm shipper contracts demonstrate the
49 fundamental commercial underpinning for the Project.

50 Kinder Morgan Canada Inc. (“KMC”) has operated the TMPL since 2005 and will construct and
51 operate the TMEP, if approved. The Project will be fully integrated with the existing TMPL system
52 and operated as one system, resulting in two pipelines with a combined nominal capacity of
53 890,000 barrels per day.⁹ Trans Mountain recognizes that the timing of the Project coincides with
54 a heightened public awareness and related concern of the risks associated with the transportation

⁶ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013), 7-8.

⁷ Exhibit B1-4 - V2 3of4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-41.

⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013).

⁹ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-41.

55 of petroleum products. This heightened awareness does not change the nature of the risks, all of
56 which are well understood. Decades of operation of the TMPL has provided Trans Mountain with
57 a comprehensive understanding of the risks inherent to this pipeline corridor and Trans Mountain
58 has existing operations and maintenance systems in place to address these risks. For the TMEP,
59 Trans Mountain will leverage its existing knowledge and systems, complete systematic
60 assessments of risk and incorporate all planned mitigation and improvements described in its
61 evidence to enhance system safety and reliability.¹⁰ Trans Mountain has consistently demonstrated
62 its commitment to environmental excellence—in 2010 it received an Emerald Award for the
63 environmental initiatives undertaken for the Anchor Loop Project through Jasper National Park.¹¹
64 Trans Mountain will exercise the same care for the TMEP.

65 Trans Mountain has sufficient financial resources to safely construct and operate the Project. Trans
66 Mountain is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. (“KMEP”).
67 KMEP is the largest midstream and the fourth largest energy enterprise in North America. It owns
68 an interest in or operates approximately 130,000 km of pipelines transporting natural gas, refined
69 petroleum products, crude oil and carbon dioxide. When the Application was filed, the KMEP
70 family of companies had a combined enterprise value of approximately \$105 billion.¹² Through
71 its relationship with KMEP and KMC, Trans Mountain has the financial wherewithal and
72 experience to ensure the Project meets or exceeds any Board requirements.

¹⁰ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-46.

¹¹ Exhibit B1-2 - V2 1 of 4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q8](#)), 2-5.

¹² Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-1; enterprise value as of December 9, 2013.

73 In its written evidence, Natural Resources Canada (“NRCan”) references the new *Pipeline Safety*
74 *Act*¹³ which introduces a suite of new measures to strengthen incident prevention, preparedness
75 and response, and liability and compensation. These measures, taken together, aim to ensure that
76 Canada’s federally regulated pipeline safety system is world class and will remain so in the
77 future.¹⁴

78 The *Pipeline Safety Act* is important because it reiterates some provisions that are already a matter
79 of policy and law. For example, it reinforces the polluter-pay-principle and confirms unlimited
80 liability in some circumstances. The *Pipeline Safety Act* reassures the public by providing clarity
81 with respect to the financial requirements that an NEB regulated pipeline company will be
82 expected to demonstrate. Trans Mountain will demonstrate financial capacity at levels consistent
83 with the legislation and expects that the forthcoming regulations will provide additional guidance
84 regarding these financial requirements.¹⁵

85 While not directly responsible for marine shipping, Trans Mountain is an active member of the
86 maritime community and has demonstrated a commitment to continuous improvement of safety
87 and efficiency of shipping from its Westridge Terminal. The federal Tanker Safety Expert Panel
88 recommended additional contributions to ensure rapid and sufficient oil spill response. The Panel’s
89 December 2013 report aims to improve Canada’s system for ship-source oil spill preparedness and
90 response in order to better protect the public and the environment. On May 13, 2014, the

¹³ SC 2015, c 21.

¹⁴ Exhibit C249-9-1 - NRCan Written Evidence Submission TMX (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0V2](#)), 9-12; Bill C-46 received Royal Assent on June 18, 2015, however, regulations to support the legislation have not yet been provided.

¹⁵ Exhibit B417-2 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 4 – Corporate Liability (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 4-1.

91 Government of Canada announced it would further strengthen Canada's tanker safety system with
92 additional measures based on recommendations from the Tanker Safety Expert Panel.¹⁶

93 Trans Mountain is a sophisticated applicant that will leverage its decades of experience with the
94 TMPL to responsibly construct, integrate and operate the Project as part of one system. The Project
95 will, if approved, respond to the demonstrated market demand for additional pipeline capacity for
96 Canadian production in a manner that minimizes and mitigates potential burdens and creates
97 benefits for all Canadians.

98 **1.2 The NEB Process**

99 Trans Mountain filed its Application for the Project on December 16, 2013 to expand the TMPL.
100 The Application was the culmination of significant stakeholder engagement, data collection and
101 expert analysis including the over 60 years of experience with most of the proposed pipeline route
102 and intensive study of the proposed corridor and alternate routes. A robust public engagement
103 program was undertaken including 159 open houses or workshops to understand stakeholder
104 concerns and interest¹⁷ and more than 24,000 points of engagement with Aboriginal groups to
105 discuss the Project.¹⁸ By consulting thousands of individuals, Trans Mountain improved and
106 optimized its Project planning and mitigation measures to address the concerns it has heard from
107 all stakeholders and Aboriginal groups. Mitigation measures resulting from Trans Mountain's
108 engagement efforts include, for example, re-routing the Westridge delivery pipelines in the City

¹⁶ Exhibit B417-4 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 59 – Marine Transportation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E1](#)), 59-5 - 59-6.

¹⁷ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-30.

¹⁸ Exhibit B417-21 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A; Consultation Update No. 4 - Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 5

109 of Burnaby (“Burnaby”), British Columbia (“B.C.”),¹⁹ and enhanced Tanker Acceptance
110 Standards.²⁰ Trans Mountain’s work with Aboriginal groups and stakeholders will not end once
111 the regulatory process for the TMEP is complete. It is an ongoing process that will continue
112 throughout the life of the Project.

113 The Application consists of eight volumes, including the environmental and socio-economic
114 assessment (“ESA”), risk assessments and an overview of the Aboriginal and stakeholder
115 engagement carried out by Trans Mountain. The information contained in the Application
116 addresses the filing requirements contained in Part III of the NEB Act (as outlined in the Board’s
117 Filing Manual²¹) and the information required under section 19(1) of *Canadian Environmental*
118 *Assessment Act, 2012* (“CEAA 2012”).²² The Application is Trans Mountain’s formal request to
119 the NEB to recommend approval of the Project. It forms the basis for the NEB regulatory process
120 for the Project.²³

121 The information provided by Trans Mountain in the Application and subsequent filings is
122 comprehensive. It ensures the NEB has sufficient information to make a recommendation
123 regarding the Project. The Application was deemed complete by the NEB on April 2, 2014 after
124 more than three months of review by the Board.²⁴ The Board’s completeness determination means

¹⁹ Exhibit B290-2 – Part 1 Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 9.

²⁰ Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 49-50.

²¹ NEB Filing Manual, Released 2014-03; Each volume of the Application contains a checklist detailing how Trans Mountain satisfied the common information requirements in the NEB Filing Manual.

²² SC 2012, c 19, s 52, s 19(1) [CEAA 2012].

²³ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-32.

²⁴ Exhibit A016 - National Energy Board - Letter to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Application for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Completeness Determination and Legislated Time Limit (April 3, 2014) ([A59502](#)).

125 that the Application contains enough information to allow for participants to engage in a public
126 hearing.

127 Certain intervenors complained that the Project hearing was unfair and lacked rigour. For example,
128 Robyn Allan, an intervenor who subsequently withdrew from the hearing, asserted in a motion that
129 the Board's decision to forgo oral cross-examination threatened both the legitimacy of the Project
130 hearing and the NEB as an institution.²⁵ The Board dismissed Ms. Allan's motion, stating that the
131 process outlined in Hearing Order OH-001-2014 ("Hearing Order") satisfied the natural justice
132 requirements for notice, an opportunity to know the case to be met and to be heard.²⁶

133 Complaints regarding the sufficiency of the Board's process could not be more divorced from
134 reality. The scrutiny and rigour of the review which the Project has undergone has been one of the
135 most extensive in NEB history or any other regulatory review in the country. An unprecedented
136 2,118 Applications to Participate were reviewed by the Board before the Hearing Order was issued
137 in April 2014. Based on its review of these applications and late Applications to Participate the
138 Board granted parties intervenor status, commenter status or denied participation status if the
139 applicant did not satisfy the participation requirements of the NEB Act. The Board granted
140 participation status in the TMEP regulatory process to more than 400 intervenors and 1,250
141 commenters.²⁷ The Board's approach to determining participation in this hearing was confirmed
142 by the Federal Court of Appeal when it dismissed an application for leave to appeal in which the
143 applicants argued, *inter alia*, that the NEB's Ruling on Participation was unconstitutional on the

²⁵ Exhibit C9-1-2 - Notice of Motion 1 Robyn Allan April 14, 2014 (April 4, 2014) ([A3V8U7](#)), 8.

²⁶ Exhibit A32-1 - Ruling No. 14 - Notices of motion from Ms. Robyn Allan and Ms. Elizabeth May to include cross-examination of witnesses - Trans Mountain Project (May 7, 2014) ([A3W5J1](#)), 3.

²⁷ Exhibit A014 - National Energy Board - Letter and Appendices - Application for Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Ruling on Participation (April 3, 2014) ([A59504](#)); Exhibit A98-1 - Ruling No. 41 - Ruling on Participation - Trans Mountain's new preferred corridor through Burnaby Mountain (October 27, 2014) ([A4D7G2](#)).

144 ground it violated the applicants’ freedom of expression as guaranteed by section 2(b) of the
145 *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.²⁸ The NEB has permitted all applicants who are
146 directly affected and many applicants who have relevant information or expertise to participate in
147 the TMEP process—including several late applicants. The Federal Court of Appeal has refused
148 appeals of the Board’s participation decision. The facts, and the extensive record, demonstrate that
149 the Board’s process has been fair and broadly inclusive.

150 In reviewing the Project, the NEB must comply with the review timelines mandated by Parliament,
151 which requires the Board to issue its report to the Governor in Council within 15 months, unless
152 extended.²⁹ The Board must, within these timelines, submit a recommendation to the Governor in
153 Council about whether a CPCN should be issued for the Project. Thus, the regulatory process for
154 the Project is “a process for gathering and testing evidence for the Board’s preparation, as an expert
155 tribunal, of its recommendation to the Governor in Council about whether to issue a certificate
156 under section 52 of the NEB Act.”³⁰ At the outset of the proceeding, the Board indicated that its
157 review of the Application would “be no less rigorous compared to past assessments.”³¹ The public
158 record demonstrates the Board has achieved that goal.

159 The Board’s report to the Governor in Council may also contain the Board’s decision on approvals
160 requested by Trans Mountain under section 58 of the NEB Act.³² The NEB Chair specified the

²⁸ *Lynne M Quarmby and others v National Energy Board and others*, 2015 FCA 19.

²⁹ NEB Act, ss 52(4), 58(4) or 58(5).

³⁰ Exhibit A32-1 - Ruling No. 14 - Notices of motion from Ms. Robyn Allan and Ms. Elizabeth May to include cross-examination of witnesses - Trans Mountain Project (May 7, 2014) ([A3W5J1](#)), 4.

³¹ Exhibit A32-1 - Ruling No. 14 - Notices of motion from Ms. Robyn Allan and Ms. Elizabeth May to include cross-examination of witnesses - Trans Mountain Project (May 7, 2014) ([A3W5J1](#)), 6.

³² Exhibit A16-1 - Letter to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Completeness Determination and Legislated Time Limit – (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6H7](#)), 2.

161 time limit for the Board to issue its report for the Governor in Council within a 15-month time
162 frame pursuant to sections 52(4), 58(4) and 58(5) of the NEB Act.³³ The Board, with the approval
163 of the Chairperson, announced an excluded period under section 52(5) of the NEB Act from July
164 11, 2014 until February 3, 2015 to allow Trans Mountain to complete and file certain studies.³⁴

165 A second excluded period was announced by the Board due to Mr. Steven Kelly's appointment to
166 the NEB. On August 20, 2015 Trans Mountain filed its original final argument³⁵ and reply
167 evidence³⁶ for the Project. Trans Mountain filed direct written evidence prepared by Mr. Kelly,
168 then of IHS Global Canada Limited, in support of the Application on December 16, 2013.
169 Mr. Kelly's evidence addressed, among other things, the issue of oil market supply and demand.
170 On July 28, 2015, the Governor in Council appointed Mr. Kelly as a full-time member of the NEB,
171 effective October 13, 2015. On August 21, 2015 the Board announced its decision to strike
172 evidence prepared by or under the direction of Mr. Kelly ("Stricken Evidence") and remove the
173 Stricken Evidence from the hearing record.³⁷ The Board, with the approval of the Chairperson,
174 subsequently announced a second excluded period under section 52(5) of the NEB Act from
175 September 17, 2015 to January 8, 2016, to allow sufficient time for Trans Mountain to replace the
176 Stricken Evidence.³⁸

³³ Exhibit A16-1 - Letter to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Completeness Determination and Legislated Time Limit – (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6H7](#)), 2.

³⁴ Exhibit A58-1 - Letter to Intervenors - Excluded period from 11 July 2014 to 3 February 2015 pursuant to subsection 52(5) of the National Energy Board Act (July 15, 2014) ([A3Z2W5](#)), 1.

³⁵ Exhibit B419 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Final Argument (August 20, 2015) ([A72236](#)).

³⁶ Exhibit B417 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Reply Evidence - Part 1 of 2 (August 20, 2015) ([A72224](#)); Exhibit B418 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Reply Evidence - Part 2 of 2 (August 20, 2015) ([A72225](#)).

³⁷ Exhibit A208-1 - National Energy Board - Striking of evidence prepared by or under the direction of Mr. Steven J. Kelly and postponement of oral summary argument in Calgary and Burnaby (August 21, 2015) ([A4S8Y8](#)).

³⁸ Exhibit A216-1 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 92 - Excluded period from 17 September 2015 to 8 January 2016, pursuant to subsection 52(5) of the National Energy Board Act (September 24, 2015) ([A4T5R2](#)).

177 On August 28, 2015 Trans Mountain confirmed that it had retained Muse Stancil to prepare an
178 expert report (“Muse Report”) to address the issues previously dealt with in Mr. Kelly’s direct
179 evidence in order to meet the requirements of the Filing Manual. Trans Mountain also confirmed
180 that it would file consequential amendments to the Conference Board of Canada’s direct evidence
181 and Mr. Reed’s direct evidence for only those portions where these experts relied on Mr. Kelly’s
182 evidence (“Replacement Evidence”).³⁹ Trans Mountain filed the Replacement Evidence on
183 September 25, 2015.⁴⁰

184 The Board released its revised hearing events and steps table for the second excluded period in
185 Procedural Direction No. 18.⁴¹ During this time, Trans Mountain filed evidence to replace the
186 Stricken Evidence and intervenors filed evidence in response to Trans Mountain’s Replacement
187 Evidence. There was opportunity for Trans Mountain to amend this final argument to reflect the
188 Replacement Evidence.⁴² There were additional information request (“IR”) rounds on Trans
189 Mountain’s Replacement Evidence and reply evidence and Trans Mountain revised this final
190 argument accordingly.⁴³ All intervenors and Trans Mountain were given the opportunity to provide
191 comments on the second excluded period.⁴⁴

³⁹ Exhibit B422 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to National Energy Board Letter dated August 21, 2015 ([A72352](#)).

⁴⁰ Exhibit B427 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Evidence to Replace the Direct Evidence Prepared by Mr. Steven Kelly for the Project ([A72774](#)); Exhibit B434 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Metro Vancouver Notice of Motion dated October 29, 2015 (September 25, 2015) ([A73619](#)), 2.

⁴¹ Exhibit A217 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 18 – Revised hearing events and steps table (September 24, 2015) ([A72731](#)).

⁴² Exhibit A216-1 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 92 - Excluded period from 17 September 2015 to 8 January 2016, pursuant to subsection 52(5) of the National Energy Board Act (September 24, 2015) ([A4T5R2](#)), 1.

⁴³ Exhibit A217-1 – Procedural Direction No. 18 (September 24, 2015) ([A4T5R5](#)); Exhibit A22-1 – Ruling No. 96 (October 8, 2015) ([A4U2A4](#)).

⁴⁴ Exhibit A208-1 - National Energy Board - Striking of evidence prepared by or under the direction of Mr. Steven J. Kelly and postponement of oral summary argument in Calgary and Burnaby (August 21, 2015) ([A4S8Y8](#))

192 Intervenor were permitted to file supplemental written evidence pertaining to the subject matter
193 of the Replacement Evidence by December 1, 2015, according to Procedural Direction No. 18.
194 The City of Vancouver, Burnaby, Metro Vancouver and PIPE UP Network filed supplemental
195 written evidence in response to Trans Mountain's Replacement Evidence.⁴⁵ Tsawout First Nation,
196 Upper Nicola Band, and Living Oceans Society each filed an updated version the report "Public
197 Interest Evaluation of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project" by Gunton & Broadbent ("Gunton
198 Report").⁴⁶ BP Canada Energy Group ULC filed an amended response to the NEB's IR Request
199 No. 1, with updated references to Trans Mountain's Replacement Evidence.⁴⁷ On December 2,
200 2015, the City of Vancouver requested permission to file a revised version of the report entitled
201 "Technical Analysis of Oil Spill Response Capabilities and Limitations for Trans Mountain
202 Expansion Project" ("Nuka Report").⁴⁸

203 In Trans Mountain's view, the supplemental written evidence filed by PIPE UP Network⁴⁹ and
204 Metro Vancouver⁵⁰ does not relate to the subject matter of the Replacement Evidence, which is
205 contrary to Procedural Direction No. 18, and should not be considered by the Board.

⁴⁵ Exhibits C77-53 & C77-54 – City of Vancouver - Supplemental Written Evidence (December 1, 2015) ([A74402](#), [A74406](#)); Exhibit C69-59 – City of Burnaby – Supplemental Written Evidence regarding Replacement Evidence ([A74432](#)); Exhibit C288-30-1 – Pro Information Pro Environment United People Network Supplemental Written Evidence Erratum (December 2, 2015) ([A4W1K6](#)); Exhibit C234-21 - Metro Vancouver - Supplemental Written Evidence Related to TM's Replacement Evidence ([A74358](#)).

⁴⁶ Exhibit C355-31-1 – Tsawout First Nation Expert Report. Public Interest Evaluation of the TMEP Dec. 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0Q9](#)); Exhibit C363-36-1 – Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Public Interest Evaluation of the TMEP Dec. 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R1](#)); Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

⁴⁷ Exhibit C37-6-2 – Amended Response of BP to NEB Information Request No. 1 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0F2](#)).

⁴⁸ Exhibits C77-55 – City of Vancouver - Letter - Request to File Revised Evidence (December 2, 2015) ([A74443](#)).

⁴⁹ Exhibit C288-30-1 – Pro Information Pro Environment United People Network Supplemental Written Evidence Erratum (December 2, 2015) ([A4W1K6](#)).

⁵⁰ Exhibit C234-21 - Metro Vancouver - Supplemental Written Evidence Related to TM's Replacement Evidence ([A74358](#)).

206 On December 9, 2015 Living Oceans Society and Raincoast Conservation Foundation submitted
207 a notice of motion to file a draft report as new evidence.⁵¹ As detailed in Trans Mountain's
208 response,⁵² the draft report may contain errors, has not been finalized following review and has
209 not been tested through IRs. If the Board permits the draft report to be filed, Trans Mountain
210 submits that the draft report is an unreliable prepublication draft and should be given no weight.

211 The NEB is required to release its report by May 20, 2016.⁵³ In the twenty nine months between
212 when Trans Mountain filed the Application and the NEB's report, more than 400 intervenors will
213 have actively participated in one of the most comprehensive regulatory processes in the Board's
214 history.

215 The regulatory process for the Project was designed individually and independently by the Board
216 based on the specific circumstances of the Application. The Application has been subject to a full
217 review pursuant to the requirements of the NEB Act, the CEAA 2012, the Board's Filing Manual
218 and additional filing requirements identified by the Board relating to marine shipping. In order to
219 achieve its statutory mandate to consider the Application in a timely manner, the Board was
220 required to maintain the deadlines set out in the Hearing Order and the subsequent rulings and
221 procedural directions.⁵⁴ Despite these deadlines, the Hearing Order provided opportunities for
222 Aboriginal groups to provide oral traditional evidence and for all intervenors to ask numerous
223 rounds of IRs, file written evidence and provide both written and oral final argument. The process

⁵¹ Exhibit C214-31 - Living Oceans Society - Letter filing Motion and Evidence of Living Oceans (December 9, 2015) ([A74595](#))

⁵² 15-12-11 Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Reply to Living Oceans Society and Raincoast Conservation Foundations Notice of Motion to File Late Evidence ([A74655](#)).

⁵³ Exhibit A217-1 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 18 – Revised hearing events and steps table (24 September 2015) (September 24, 2015) ([A4T5R5](#)).

⁵⁴ Exhibit A41-1 - Procedural Direction No. 3 – Process for hearing motions to compel full and adequate responses to information requests (June 3, 2014) ([A3X5I6](#)), 1.

224 met the natural justice requirements for notice, an opportunity to know the case to be met and to
225 be heard.

226 All intervenors and the Board had multiple opportunities to vigorously test Trans Mountain's
227 evidence by asking IRs. The Board asked Trans Mountain more than 400 questions in seven rounds
228 of IRs with additional specific IRs regarding routing, the Technical Review Process of Marine
229 Terminal Systems and Transshipment Sites ("TERMPOL") report, outstanding filings and
230 Replacement Evidence.⁵⁵ Intervenors were granted two rounds of IRs and asked more than 17,000
231 questions.⁵⁶ Additional specific intervenor IR rounds were added by the Board for outstanding
232 filings, the TERMPOL report, seismic reports, Replacement Evidence, reply evidence and for
233 intervenors who received late participant funding.⁵⁷ The record demonstrates that this process
234 provided all parties with numerous, adequate opportunities to test Trans Mountain's Application,

⁵⁵ Exhibit A18-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 1 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (April 15, 2014) ([A3V8V6](#)); Exhibit A82-1 - Letter to Trans Mountain - NEB Round 2 Information Requests Requiring Full and Adequate Responses (September 26, 2014) ([A4C4I9](#)); Exhibit A127-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 3 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (January 9, 2015) ([A4G4L5](#)); Exhibit A144-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 4 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (March 20, 2015) ([A4J8Z2](#)); Exhibit A157-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 5 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (April 29, 2014) ([A4K9C6](#)); Exhibit A107-1 - Follow-up information request to Trans Mountain regarding new preferred corridor studies (November 24, 2014) ([A4F2K3](#)); Exhibit A121-1 - Letter and Information Request to Trans Mountain regarding the TERMPOL report and outstanding filings (December 17, 2014) ([A4G1Q2](#)); Exhibit A189-1 Letter and Information Request Round 6 to Trans Mountain (July 15, 2015) ([A4R4W1](#)); Exhibit A223-1 - National Energy Board - Letter and Information Request on Trans Mountain's replacement evidence (October 20, 2015) ([A4U5C9](#))

⁵⁶ Exhibit B38-1 - Trans Mountain - Notice of Motion (May 28, 2014) ([A3X3Y4](#)); Exhibit B328-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Adams Lake Indian Band Notice of Motion re IR Round 2 responses (March 12, 2014) ([A4J4Z8](#)), 2.

⁵⁷ Exhibit A116-1 - Procedural Direction No. 8 – Revised hearing events and steps table (December 12, 2014) ([A4F9Q4](#)); Exhibit A140 - National Energy Board - Procedural Directive No. 11 - Process for hearing motions to compel full and adequate responses to round 2b of intervenor and TERMPOL Report IRs (March 3, 2015) ([A68095](#)); Exhibit A143 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 56 - Notice of motion from Trans Mountain dated 27 February 2015 requesting leave to file outstanding documents (March 13, 2015) ([A68732](#)); Exhibit A152 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 61 - Notice of motion from Trans Mountain dated 31 March 2015 requesting leave to file its outstanding Seismic Hazard Update late (April 15, 2015) ([A69507](#)); Exhibit A22-1 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 96 - City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh, Tsawout, Upper Nicola and Metro Vancouver - notices of motion - Trans Mountain's reply evidence (October 8, 2015) ([A4U2A4](#)); Exhibit A217-1 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 18 – Revised hearing events and steps table (September 24, 2015) ([A72731](#))

235 understands the evidence, and prepare to respond. The process has been both fair and reasonable
236 for all parties.

237 **1.3 Issues Outside of the NEB's Jurisdiction**

238 The issues considered by the Board in relation to the Project form the basis of the evidence
239 presented by Trans Mountain in this proceeding. Throughout the regulatory process, many of the
240 intervenors and commenters have raised issues that are outside the Board's jurisdiction and are
241 thus not necessarily addressed in the evidence. Trans Mountain respectfully submits that the Board
242 must give due consideration to its jurisdiction when assessing the Project and its impacts.

243 The Hearing Order included timelines and a process for the Project hearing and attached a list of
244 issues that the NEB would consider pursuant to the NEB Act ("List of Issues").⁵⁸ The Board
245 specifically stated in the List of Issues that it did not intend to consider the "environmental and
246 socio-economic effects associated with upstream activities, the development of oil sands or the
247 downstream use of the oil transported by the pipeline."⁵⁹ Some parties challenged the List of Issues
248 on the basis that the Board's exclusion of upstream and downstream effects violated their freedom
249 of expression⁶⁰ or engaged a person's right to "life, liberty and security of the person" under the

⁵⁸ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)). The NEB List of Issues included: 1. The need for the proposed project. 2. The economic feasibility of the proposed project. 3. The potential commercial impacts of the proposed project. 4. The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the proposed project, including any cumulative environmental effects that are likely to result from the project, including those required to be considered by the NEB's Filing Manual. 5. The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of marine shipping activities that would result from the proposed project, including the potential effects of accidents or malfunctions that may occur. 6. The appropriateness of the general route and land requirements for the proposed project. 7. The suitability of the design of the proposed project. 8. The terms and conditions to be included in any approval the Board may issue. 9. Potential impacts of the project on Aboriginal interests. 10. Potential impacts of the project on landowners and land use. 11. Contingency planning for spills, accidents or malfunctions, during construction and operation of the project. 12. Safety and security during construction of the proposed project and operation of the project, including emergency response planning and third-party damage prevention.

⁵⁹ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

⁶⁰ Exhibit A084 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 34 - Lynne M. Quarmby and others – Notices of motion dated 6 and 15 May 2014 (October 2, 2014) ([A63200](#)); Exhibit A063 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 25 - Motions

250 *Canadian Charter of Rights And Freedoms*.⁶¹ The Board correctly denied these motions. The
251 Federal Court of Appeal dismissed two separate applications for leave to appeal alleging that the
252 NEB erred in law or jurisdiction by refusing to consider the environmental and socio-economic
253 effects of upstream and downstream activities associated with the TMEP.⁶² Based on this scrutiny,
254 it is clear that the List of Issues fairly and reasonably focuses on the matters that have a sufficiently
255 direct connection with the Project and are within the Board’s statutory mandate, as required by the
256 Federal Court of Appeal.⁶³

257 Aspects of marine shipping are also outside the Board’s jurisdiction. Marine shipping on Canada’s
258 West Coast is overseen and regulated under the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*⁶⁴ and *Canada Marine*
259 *Act*⁶⁵ by a variety of federal and international authorities such as Port Metro Vancouver (“PMV”),
260 the Pacific Pilotage Authority, the Canadian Coast Guard, Transport Canada and the International
261 Maritime Organization. This framework imposes binding legal requirements and associated
262 punitive measures for any non-compliance for all vessels calling on the Westridge Marine
263 Terminal. Marine shipping routes are aqueous highways and users are subject to the applicable
264 rules and regulations of these passages. The NEB does not regulate marine shipping in Canada or
265 internationally. The Board’s review is limited to “[t]he potential environmental and socio-

requesting that the Board include in the List of Issues the environmental and socio-economic effects associated with upstream activities and downstream use (July 23, 2014) ([A61912](#)).

⁶¹ Exhibit A074 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 29 - Mr. L.D. Danny Harvey – Notice of Motion dated August 12, 2014 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project (August 19, 2014) ([A62323](#)).

⁶² *City of Vancouver v National Energy Board and Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC* (October 16, 2014), Ottawa, 14-A-55 (FCA); *LD Danny Harvey v National Energy Board and Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC* (October 24, 2014), Ottawa, 14-A-59 (FCA).

⁶³ *Forest Ethics Advocacy Association v Canada (National Energy Board)*, 2014 FCA 245, 2014 FCA 245 paras 67-69.

⁶⁴ SC 2001, c 26.

⁶⁵ SC 1998, c 10.

266 economic effects of marine shipping activities that would result from the proposed Project,
267 including the potential effects of accidents or malfunctions that may occur.”⁶⁶ The existing
268 regulation of marine shipping, such as the location of shipping lanes, is outside of the Board’s
269 jurisdiction.

270 Intervenor issues pertaining to the continued operation of the existing TMPL⁶⁷ are within the
271 jurisdiction of the NEB but are outside of the scope of the TMEP regulatory process.⁶⁸

272 **1.4 Emergency Response**

273 Another key area of concern raised by intervenors and commenters is Trans Mountain’s ability to
274 respond to accidents or malfunctions associated with the Project. This issue is addressed in detail
275 in Section 6 - Aboriginal of this final argument; however, given the importance of emergency
276 response and its interplay with other issues before the Board, Trans Mountain provides a brief
277 overview of the pertinent evidence here.

278 The record provides evidence of Trans Mountain’s and KMC’s plans for addressing Project-related
279 risks. While Trans Mountain’s primary goal is to prevent spills from occurring in the first place,
280 Trans Mountain is also in the process of enhancing the existing Emergency Management Program
281 (“EMP”) for the TMPL to address emergency management for the expanded system, once TMEP
282 is in operation. Based on decades of operational experience, Trans Mountain has optimized its
283 operational structure and emergency prevention, preparedness and response plans.

⁶⁶ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

⁶⁷ Exhibit B417-2 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 8 – Landowner Relations (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)).

⁶⁸ Exhibit A81-1 - Ruling No. 33 - Motions to compel full and adequate responses to the first round of intervenor information requests (September 26, 2014) ([A4C4H5](#)), 4.

284 Trans Mountain's EMP satisfies all regulatory requirements. In accordance with the *Onshore*
285 *Pipeline Regulations* ("OPR"),⁶⁹ management systems and protection programs will be developed
286 to anticipate, prevent, manage, and mitigate events that may adversely affect the safety and security
287 of the pipeline, Trans Mountain's employees, the public, property and the environment. In the
288 unlikely event that an accident occurs, Trans Mountain is prepared to respond efficiently and
289 effectively. Trans Mountain has a practiced spill-response field organization structure that will be
290 enhanced for TMEP, including the creation of a dedicated EMP group. Importantly, emergency
291 response measures will be tailored to the unique geographic hazards of the expanded TMPL system
292 components.

293 Trans Mountain engages in comprehensive consultation with the public regarding emergency
294 prevention, preparedness and responses and enhancements to its EMP to address Project
295 requirements. Emergency preparedness and response is an adaptive and continuing process. Trans
296 Mountain is committed to consulting with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups at every stage of
297 the EMP development process and over the life of the Project. This includes consultation regarding
298 the impact of potential TERMPOL recommendations. Through ongoing review and revision Trans
299 Mountain will ensure that the EMP is current and meets, or exceeds, regulatory requirements and
300 protects the public and the environment.⁷⁰

301 Although Trans Mountain does not own the tankers that call at the Westridge Marine Terminal
302 and is not responsible for the tanker traffic, it is committed to further strengthening the existing
303 marine safety regime for tankers and the continued development of a response program that would

⁶⁹ SOR/99-294 [OPR].

⁷⁰ Exhibit B417-4 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 70.1.2 – EMP Review and Revision (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E1](#)), 70-3.

304 benefit all marine users in the Project area and improve overall safety. As an example of the robust
305 marine safety regime prevalent in the Project area, the Pacific Pilotage Authority, a Crown
306 corporation responsible for safe marine pilotage on Canada's West Coast, said that it has never
307 had a navigational issue with an oil tanker in PMV. The Pacific Pilotage Authority confirmed that
308 tankers are safe and have used PMV as Canada's pacific gateway without incident for more than
309 a half-century.⁷¹

310 The Board should also consider the financial responsibility and structure of the Applicant in
311 deciding whether to recommend approval of the Project under the NEB Act.⁷² Trans Mountain and
312 KMC have sufficient financial resources to deal with all credible risks, however unlikely, that may
313 potentially arise as a result of the construction or operation of Project. Trans Mountain completed
314 thorough evaluations to assess the spill-related environmental effects that could result from a large
315 oil spill at almost any location along the proposed corridor, including assessment of credible worst-
316 case pipeline spill scenarios.⁷³ Trans Mountain determined the cost of a hypothetical worst-case
317 spill scenarios to be \$300 million after an extensive analysis by HJ Ruitenbeek Resource
318 Consulting.⁷⁴ Upon completion of the expansion, Trans Mountain will have more than adequate
319 financial capacity to meet the estimated worst-case spill scenario, consisting of \$750 million of
320 spill liability insurance and equity in the order of \$3.2 billion.⁷⁵ In the event that liability occurs
321 that is in excess of its insurance, Trans Mountain expects that any losses and claims would be paid

⁷¹ Pacific Pilotage Authority - Letter of Comment (June 19, 2015) ([A70792](#)).

⁷² NEB Act, s 52(2)(d).

⁷³ Exhibit B 18-2 – V7 5.2.8.3 F5.2.5 TO 10.0 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V6](#)).

⁷⁴ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 22, 27-28.

⁷⁵ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 22, 27-28.

322 out of cash reserves and cash flow from operations.⁷⁶ In summary, Trans Mountain has adequate
323 plans and financial resources to address risks and construct and operate the Project safely and in
324 the public interest.

325 **1.5 Trans Mountain's Proposed Routing Will Minimize Environmental Impacts**

326 Trans Mountain's proposed routing is the singular most important benefit of the Project when it
327 comes to minimizing environmental impacts. The amount of undisturbed land required for the
328 Project was significantly reduced because the proposed route parallels existing linear disturbances
329 for approximately 89 per cent of its length. Trans Mountain has been safely operating the TMPL
330 for more than 60 years on the majority of this route. While this is a major project, it has the unusual
331 advantage of building upon an existing project and an existing footprint. The proposed corridor
332 for the Project was developed with the goal of minimizing impacts on potentially affected parties
333 and the environment. Trans Mountain's routing criteria are:

- 334 (a) wherever feasible, install the TMEP segments on or adjacent to the existing TMPL
335 easement;
- 336 (b) where that is not feasible, install the TMEP segments adjacent to easements or rights-of-
337 way of other linear facilities including other pipelines, power lines, highways, roads,
338 railways, fibre optic cables and other utilities;
- 339 (c) or, if that is not feasible, install the TMEP segments in a new easement selected to balance
340 a number of engineering, construction, environmental, community and socio-economic
341 factors; and lastly

⁷⁶ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 24.

342 (d) in the event a new easement is necessary, minimize the length of the new easement before
343 returning to the TMPL easement or other rights-of-way.⁷⁷

344 The application of Trans Mountain’s routing criteria resulted in minimizing the use of new pipeline
345 corridor to 11 per cent of the total corridor. The proposed pipeline corridor is generally 150 m in
346 width centered on the existing TMPL easement, except where deviations are required, for example
347 to avoid areas that have significant environmental value or to minimize routing through areas of
348 extensive urban development to minimize social impact.⁷⁸

349 Locating a pipeline project contiguous to existing linear disturbances has been recognized by
350 regulators as the key method to reduce environmental impacts. The Brunswick Pipeline Project
351 Joint Review Panel (“JRP”) recognized minimizing environmental disturbance through the use of
352 existing corridors where practicable as acceptable criteria to evaluate pipeline routing.⁷⁹ The JRP
353 conducted an environmental assessment (“EA”) under the former *Canadian Environmental*
354 *Assessment Act*⁸⁰ and commented:

355 The Board recognizes EBPC’s efforts to minimize any new
356 permanent and temporary Project footprint by utilizing existing
357 RoWs and other disturbed lands to the extent possible, and by
358 considering site-specific landowner requests to reduce easement
359 width where feasible.

360 The Board notes that using existing linear corridors, where
361 appropriate, tends to reduce environmental impacts. The Board finds
362 that EBPC has maximized the use of existing RoWs. Based on the
363 application of the principle of minimal land disturbance combined
364 with the rigours of the overall route selection process, the Board

⁷⁷ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-6.

⁷⁸ Exhibit B5-10 - V5A ESA 02of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L4](#)), 4-1; Exhibit B2-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-7 – 4A-10.

⁷⁹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 31, 2007).

⁸⁰ SC 1992, c 37.

365 finds that the lands required for the Brunswick Pipeline Project are
366 reasonable and appropriate.⁸¹

367 Minimizing new linear disturbances therefore in turn reduces environmental impacts. Trans
368 Mountain followed this well-established infrastructure design principle in its route selection by
369 paralleling existing disturbances for 89 per cent of the route, a remarkable achievement for a
370 Project of this length.

371 Trans Mountain has direct experience in the effective design, construction and operation of
372 projects in areas that are environmentally sensitive and important. The routing proposed by Trans
373 Mountain and experience from other projects will minimize environmental impacts. For example,
374 Trans Mountain's Anchor Loop Project was constructed through Jasper National Park in Alberta
375 and Mount Robson Provincial Park in B.C., both of which are part of the UNESCO Canadian
376 Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Following an extensive engagement program with
377 Aboriginal communities, local stakeholders and environmental groups, KMC, as operator of the
378 TMPL, implemented unique and restorative mitigation measures. These measures include
379 constructing greenhouses to grow indigenous plants for the area in order to meet or exceed the
380 stringent environmental standards for the Project. The mitigation measures were successful at
381 achieving the desired end results and management objectives of Parks Canada. In 2010, KMC was
382 awarded a prestigious Emerald Award from the Alberta Emerald Foundation in recognition of its
383 excellent environmental initiatives undertaken for the Anchor Loop Project.⁸²

384 In Trans Mountain's view, its proposed routing and know-how from recent projects will effectively
385 minimize environmental impacts.

⁸¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 31, 2007), 72-73.

⁸² Exhibit B1-2 – V2 Lof4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q8](#)), 2-5.

386 **1.6 The Project Will Result in Significant Economic Benefits for Canada**

387 The Project is a market response to address the inadequate transportation capacity, and current
388 lack of diversified market access and optionality for Canadian oil production, which has resulted
389 in extraordinary price discounts for that production. The Project will enable Canadian production
390 to have an opportunity to garner higher prices by shifting sales into the West Coast Asia/Pacific
391 region rather than the U.S. Gulf Coast region. As a result of the market access provided by the
392 Project, Canadian oil production revenues are forecasted to rise by approximately \$73.5 billion
393 over the first 20 years of Project operations to the benefit of all Canadians.⁸³

394 The evidence before the Board demonstrates that the Project's increased market access for
395 Canadian production will result in significant economic benefits to Canada and its regions. The
396 economic benefits associated with the Project include an increase to Canada's Gross Domestic
397 Product by approximately \$4.9 billion during the construction phase of the Project and by \$17.3
398 billion over the first 20 years of the operations phase. The Project will also generate about \$1.9
399 billion in additional tax revenues for the federal government during the operations phase and an
400 additional \$1.4 billion in provincial taxes. An additional \$23.7 billion in income taxes and royalty
401 payments to the federal and provincial governments was estimated in the Application, as a result
402 of the expected approximately \$73.5 billion in higher netbacks to oil producers attributable to the
403 market access opportunity provided by the Project.⁸⁴ It should be noted that the report completed
404 by the Conference Board of Canada did not include the positive economic impact of increased
405 tanker traffic on marine (i.e., port) operations in its analysis as this was considered a downstream

⁸³ Exhibit B431-1 – Errata to the Expert Report of Muse Stancil (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 7 [amounts in 2012 Canadian dollars].

⁸⁴ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 8 & 39-40 [amounts in 2012 Canadian dollars].

406 impact outside the List of Issues. Intervenors⁸⁵ included negative economic impacts from a
407 potential spill on port operations but did not include positive Project impacts on port operations.
408 As indicated in Trans Mountain’s reply evidence,⁸⁶ additional tankers calling at PMV bring
409 approximately \$108 million of economic benefits to the local Vancouver economy on an annual
410 basis. This amounts to approximately \$2.2 billion during the first 20 years of Project operations,
411 excluding the indirect and induced impacts from multiplier effects. If the Project proceeds, Trans
412 Mountain will also provide an additional investment of \$100 million in the Western Canada
413 Marine Response Corporation (“WCMRC”).⁸⁷

414 **1.7 Meaningful Aboriginal Engagement and Participation**

415 Trans Mountain understands that Aboriginal engagement and meaningful consultation is not a one-
416 size-fits-all approach. Based on this understanding, Trans Mountain made every effort to provide
417 Aboriginal groups with opportunities to engage in meaningful dialogue in the manner they choose,
418 and in a way that met their objectives and values. Through the implementation of an innovative
419 Aboriginal Engagement Program, Trans Mountain tailored its engagement approach to
420 accommodate the myriad of diverse objectives and values it encountered. The sharing of
421 information was integral to this process. As a result of the information it received, Trans Mountain
422 made significant modifications to the Project in order to (i) reduce impacts on the land and marine
423 environment; (ii) address concerns regarding routing and construction; (iii) address socio-
424 economic considerations; and (iv) enhance Aboriginal involvement and engagement. The success
425 of Trans Mountain’s Aboriginal engagement initiatives is underscored by the fact that as of

⁸⁵ Exhibit C77-31-8 - Appendix 83 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9G4](#)).

⁸⁶ Exhibit B418-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.06 - Reply to City of Vancouver “Potential Economic Impact of a Tanker Spill on Ocean-Dependent Activities in Vancouver” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K3](#)).

⁸⁷ Exhibit B18-32 – V8A 5.4.4.7.2 TO T5.5.3 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)).

426 December 15, 2015, 30 Aboriginal groups have publicly expressed support for the Project as
427 detailed in Section 6 - Aboriginal of this final argument.⁸⁸

428 Trans Mountain is committed to creating initiatives that increase the capability for Aboriginal
429 peoples to participate in the economy and to share in the success of the Project. Through the
430 implementation of employment and procurement initiatives, Trans Mountain will support qualified
431 Aboriginal and regional businesses in obtaining Project-related contracts and employment.⁸⁹
432 Where possible, Trans Mountain will work with interested Aboriginal groups to facilitate
433 community economic development and share Project benefits through education, training and
434 community investment.⁹⁰ The establishment of partnerships and shared goals will result in long-
435 term benefits for both Trans Mountain and Canada's fast-growing Aboriginal population.

436 **1.8 Reasonable Mitigation of Stakeholder Concerns**

437 Trans Mountain has made every effort to meaningfully engage all stakeholders in the planning of
438 the Project to ensure they are informed and that their concerns were understood and considered.
439 Since 2012, before the Application was submitted, Trans Mountain has consulted with thousands
440 of individuals through 159 open houses or workshops along the pipeline and marine corridors and
441 organized more than 1,700 meetings between Project team members and stakeholder groups. In

⁸⁸ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 9; Exhibit C120-3-1 – Regulatory Support Letter (November 10, 2015) ([A4V2W0](#)); Exhibit C189-10-1 - KLCN Regulator Support Letter – (December 7, 2015) ([A4W3E0](#)); Samson Cree - Letter of Support to NEB - Dec. 10, 2015 (December 14, 2015) ([A4W6C1](#)).

⁸⁹ Exhibit B5-26 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Volume 5B: ESA – Socio Economic (December 13, 2013) ([A3S1R5](#)).

⁹⁰ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 – (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 146; Exhibits B417-21 to B417-22 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#), [A4S7G9](#)).

442 addition, Trans Mountain has responded to 954 media inquiries, provided 432 interviews and
443 responded to approximately 553 phone inquiries and 1,506 emails received from the public.⁹¹

444 The information gained from numerous stakeholder engagements has been incorporated into
445 Project plans and Project-related mitigation measures. Stakeholder engagement also provided
446 Trans Mountain with valuable feedback regarding the scope of the ESA, potential mitigation
447 measures to reduce environmental and socio-economic impacts, and routing alternatives where it
448 is not possible to follow the existing TMPL.

449 The numerous commitments made by Trans Mountain during the regulatory process are
450 demonstrative of its dedication to incorporating feedback from stakeholders. Trans Mountain has
451 made hundreds of commitments during the regulatory process, many of which resulted from
452 stakeholder input,⁹² to address concerns raised during consultation and through IRs. All of these
453 commitments will be tracked, updated and made publically available on Trans Mountain's website.
454 The evidence on the record details Trans Mountain's transparent approach to refining and
455 optimizing the Project based on feedback from stakeholders to minimize and avoid adverse
456 impacts.

⁹¹ Exhibits B1-6, B1-7, B1-8, B1-9– Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 3A, Public Consultation (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#), [A3S0R3](#), [A3S0R4](#), [A3S0R5](#) plus appendices); Exhibit B27 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Consultation Update No. 1 – Errata (March 20, 2014) ([A59343](#)); Exhibit B248, B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 and Consultation Update No. 2 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#) and [A62088](#)); Exhibit B306-12, B306-13, B306-14, B306-15, B306-16, B306-17, - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a - Consultation Update No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#), [A4H1W3](#), [A4H1W4](#), [A4H1W5](#), [A4H1W6](#), [A4H1W7](#)); Exhibits B417-21 to B417-22 - Trans Mountain, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#), [A4S7G9](#)).

⁹² Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)); Exhibit B413 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Responses to National Energy Board Information Request No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)) 3.

457 The routing modifications made in Burnaby are a prime example of Project refinements made in
458 response to stakeholder feedback. The existing TMPL alignment through Burnaby was constructed
459 more than 60 years ago. Extensive urban development has encroached along the TMPL alignment
460 in Burnaby over the decades since construction. Trans Mountain received consistent feedback from
461 residents and stakeholders in Burnaby requesting that the Project routing minimize disruption to
462 their residential and developed areas.⁹³ Residents from the Northcliffe and Westridge
463 neighborhoods repeatedly requested that Trans Mountain consider a trenchless option through
464 Burnaby Mountain instead of routing through residential streets.⁹⁴

465 Implementing stakeholder feedback in Burnaby was not easy. Trans Mountain employed
466 considerable effort and resources to ensure that its alignment would minimize disruption to
467 Burnaby streets. To meet the Filing Manual requirements, Trans Mountain identified studies
468 involving geotechnical investigations, surveys and fieldwork on the Burnaby Mountain corridor
469 on lands belonging to Burnaby. Delay occurred when Trans Mountain was unable to acquire
470 municipal permits from Burnaby enabling Trans Mountain to access Burnaby lands and conduct
471 its studies, requiring Trans Mountain to seek NEB and Court orders to access the site.⁹⁵ These
472 steps were taken in response to landowner and stakeholder feedback that indicated they preferred
473 to avoid routing the pipeline through Burnaby streets.

474 Trans Mountain provided evidence to the NEB demonstrating the Burnaby Mountain route has the
475 fewest impacts to directly affected residents.⁹⁶ In response to this stakeholder feedback, Trans

⁹³ Exhibit B099 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB Information Request Regarding Project Corridor
- Appendix A Routing Consultation Summary (June 10, 2014) ([A3X9S4](#)).

⁹⁴ Exhibit B290-2-Part 1, Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 19.

⁹⁵ Exhibit B290-2 – Part 1 Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 6.

⁹⁶ Exhibit B290-2 – Part 1 Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 9.

476 Mountain analyzed alternative routing options through Burnaby. It informed the NEB of a
477 potential trenchless routing through Burnaby Mountain that would significantly reduce disruption
478 to Burnaby streets. On May 12, 2014, Trans Mountain confirmed on the record that its preferred
479 route for the Westridge Delivery Pipelines had changed from the original proposed pipeline
480 corridor via Burnaby streets to the proposed revised pipeline corridor using a trenchless
481 construction method via Burnaby Mountain.⁹⁷

482 Trans Mountain acknowledges that it encountered other stakeholders who expressed concerns
483 regarding the proposed Burnaby Mountain routing. All reasonable efforts were employed to
484 address such concerns. For example, on August 5, 2014, Burnaby requested supplemental
485 information concerning Trans Mountain's geotechnical, environmental and archaeological field
486 investigations on Burnaby Mountain.⁹⁸ Trans Mountain provided comprehensive responses to each
487 of Burnaby's requests on August 12, 2014 and asked Burnaby to confirm whether it was satisfied
488 with these responses.⁹⁹ Trans Mountain's response included specific technical responses to each
489 of Burnaby's concerns and seven reports including tree assessments, land and geotechnical
490 information. Trans Mountain noted that meaningful engagement with Burnaby was important and
491 provided the contact information for Trans Mountain's President, Ian Anderson, if Burnaby wished
492 to engage further. To date, Burnaby has preferred that communications with Trans Mountain occur
493 through the NEB process, rather than through direct engagement. Trans Mountain confirmed with
494 the NEB on December 1, 2014 that its preferred installation method for the Westridge Delivery

⁹⁷ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Responses to NEB IR 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 246.

⁹⁸ Exhibit B258-12 - Attachment 11 - Burnaby letter to Trans Mountain re response to July 25 letter and NCQ (September 3, 2014) ([A4A7F4](#)).

⁹⁹ Exhibit B258-14 - Attachment 13 - Trans Mountain letter to Burnaby re response to August 5 letter (September 3, 2014) ([A4A7F6](#)).

495 Pipelines is a tunnel through Burnaby Mountain, based on the studies and engagement that were
496 completed and in response to directly affected stakeholders' concerns.

497 Reasonable people can—and do—have differences of opinion. The purpose of the regulatory
498 process is to allow parties to articulate their views and provide evidence to support the reasoning
499 behind their views. Ultimately, it is up to the Board to determine whether the Project is in the
500 public interest, considering and balancing the social, environmental and economic impacts of the
501 Project on all Canadians. Trans Mountain is confident that it has put the best available evidence
502 on the record to address concerns received from Aboriginal groups and stakeholders and to support
503 the Board in making a favourable public interest recommendation.

504 **1.9 Draft Conditions**

505 Trans Mountain recognizes that any Board approval imposes an obligation to construct and operate
506 the TMEP within the constraints and parameters imposed by the conditions of the Board and the
507 Governor in Council. On April 16, 2014, the Board released draft section 52 CPCN conditions
508 following its preliminary review of Trans Mountain's Application.¹⁰⁰ On August 12, 2015, the
509 Board released Procedural Direction No. 17 which contained the Board's updated draft section 52
510 CPCN conditions¹⁰¹ for comment by all participants and on December 11, 2015, the Board released
511 five additional draft conditions for comment¹⁰² (collectively the "Draft Conditions"). The Board
512 stated that Trans Mountain and intervenors should provide their comments on the Draft Conditions
513 in their respective written argument-in-chief submissions. Trans Mountain reviewed the Board's

¹⁰⁰ Exhibit A19-1 - Letter - Draft conditions and regulatory oversight (April 16, 2014) ([A3V8Z8](#)).

¹⁰¹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)).

¹⁰² Exhibit A237 – National Energy Board – Letter – Five additional draft conditions for comment (December 11, 2015) ([A74635](#)).

514 Draft Conditions issued on August 12, 2015 and provided its comments on these conditions with
515 reply evidence¹⁰³. The amended comments on Draft Condition Nos. 13 and 14 described below
516 are a result of the recent excluded period, which resulted in a delay to construction and the in-
517 service date. Finally, Trans Mountain attaches its comments on the five additional Draft Conditions
518 issued on December 11, 2015 in Appendix “B” of this final argument.

519 **1.9.1 NEB Condition Compliance Filing Deadlines**

520 As a result of the four-month excluded period announced by the NEB on September 24, 2015,
521 Trans Mountain has revised the general comments it provided in final argument on August 20,
522 2015 on the NEB’s filings timelines for condition compliance. As detailed in section 1.2 of this
523 final argument, to date, Trans Mountain has faced two delays in the NEB’s proceeding. The first
524 was a seven-month delay announced by the NEB in July 2014 to allow Trans Mountain the time
525 to file necessary information about the proposed routing of the delivery pipelines via a tunnel
526 through Burnaby Mountain. The second delay, as noted above, was recently announced by the
527 NEB in September 2015. The combined effect of these delays places challenges on Trans
528 Mountain to meet its proposed in-service date for the Project, which was originally planned for
529 late 2018.

530 The NEB’s ruling on September 24, 2015 delays Trans Mountain’s proposed in-service date to on
531 or before October 2019¹⁰⁴ and imposes corresponding delays on Trans Mountain’s proposed
532 construction start date. Trans Mountain’s construction plans for early works are evolving (e.g.
533 number, length and geographic location of construction spreads which were provided on a

¹⁰³ Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

¹⁰⁴ Exhibit B430-3 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Burnaby Replacement Evidence IR 6.1(a) ([A4U6X3](#)) (October 26, 2015), 106.

534 preliminary basis to the NEB in December 2014¹⁰⁵ are being revised and finalized) and Trans
535 Mountain plans to commence early works as soon as possible after the receipt of a section 58 Order
536 and after relevant conditions have been satisfied.¹⁰⁶ Trans Mountain's revised construction start
537 date, which is required to meet an in-service date of on or before October 2019, is contingent upon
538 it receiving early works approval by way of a section 58 Order, complying with all the NEB
539 Certificate conditions required for approval prior to commencement of construction, as well as
540 obtaining all other approvals required to start construction.

541 The recent four-month excluded period and resulting delay to Trans Mountain's construction start
542 date affects two Draft Condition comments made on August 20, 2015 regarding filing deadlines.
543 With respect to Draft Condition Nos. 13 (Training and Education Monitoring Plan) and 14
544 (Aboriginal, local and regional skills and business capacity inventory), Trans Mountain was unable
545 to file the referenced documents on November 1, 2015 due to the recent four-month excluded
546 period and resulting delay. As such, Trans Mountain amends its comments on these Draft
547 Conditions as follows:

548 13 a) Trans Mountain must file with the NEB for approval, ~~at least~~
549 ~~1-year prior to commencing construction~~, **at least 9 months prior**
550 **to construction**, a plan for monitoring the implementation and
551 outcomes of Aboriginal, local, and regional training and education
552 measures and opportunities for the Project. The plan must include:
553

554 14 a) Trans Mountain must file with the NEB, ~~at least 1-year prior~~
555 ~~to commencing construction~~, **at least 9 months prior to**
556 **construction**, an Aboriginal, local, and regional skills and business
557 capacity inventory for the Project. The skills and capacity inventory
558 must include:

¹⁰⁵ Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4, Part 1 of 2 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#)); Exhibit B291 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4 - Part 2 of 2 (December 1, 2014) ([A64686](#)).

¹⁰⁶ Exhibit B417-4 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC-Reply Evidence-Part3 (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 64-1 - 64-2.

559 In reviewing the proposed revisions to Draft Condition Nos. 13 and 14, Trans Mountain requests
560 that the Board consider that Trans Mountain has been actively consulting with Aboriginal groups
561 regarding employment, training and contracting opportunities for more than 12 months. For
562 example, the majority of Project-related employment opportunities for Aboriginal groups will be
563 through contracting opportunities related to Project construction. To date, Trans Mountain has
564 worked with more than 30 Aboriginal groups to conduct a workforce analysis and collect
565 information about individuals interested in employment opportunities via Trans Mountain's online
566 employment and skills portal. Details of Trans Mountain's engagement with Aboriginal groups on
567 employment, training and procurement is discussed in Section 6 - Aboriginal of this final
568 argument.

569 Trans Mountain's training and education initiatives with Aboriginal groups are currently
570 underway.¹⁰⁷ Draft reports of Trans Mountain's Training and Education Monitoring Plan and
571 Aboriginal, local, and regional skills and business capacity inventories were shared with
572 Aboriginal groups on May 4, 2015.¹⁰⁸ The reports provided an in-depth overview of the plans in
573 place to maximize business and employments opportunities, and were followed up with individual
574 meetings between Trans Mountain and Aboriginal groups to discuss specific employment
575 interests, business capabilities and procurement planning.¹⁰⁹ In light of the efforts already made to
576 facilitate input and complete these comprehensive plans, Trans Mountain intends to file
577 preliminary reports as soon as possible to comply with the conditions. Although Trans Mountain

¹⁰⁷ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 – (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 146.

¹⁰⁸ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

¹⁰⁹ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

578 will not know whether the Board will recommend approval of the Project, it intends to file the
579 requested reports no later than nine months prior to construction, which provides adequate time
580 for review of the training and education reports given the engagement to date. Trans Mountain
581 submits that, given its record of engagement with Aboriginal groups regarding employment,
582 training and contracting opportunities its proposed nine-month period for Draft Condition Nos. 13
583 and 14 is reasonable. Trans Mountain notes that maintaining a 12 month timeframe for filing
584 condition compliance reports may result in a 12 month delay to commence construction, which
585 directly corresponds to a delay in both employment opportunities and in sharing other long-term
586 successes of the Project with Aboriginal groups.

587 Trans Mountain respectfully requests the NEB carry out its review of condition compliance filings
588 required for approval prior to the start of construction in a manner that supports Trans Mountain's
589 revised construction schedule and revised in-service date. Condition compliance filings may be
590 submitted by Trans Mountain after the NEB releases its recommendation and while the Governor
591 in Council is considering the NEB's report. Trans Mountain accepts the risk, in making these
592 compliance filings, that the Governor in Council may not recommend approval of the Project or
593 that conditions may change as a result of the Governor in Council's decision.

594 As well, to optimize the filing of condition compliance with the revised construction schedule and
595 to avoid further delays Trans Mountain's planned in-service date for the Project, Trans Mountain
596 will submit its plan for Phased Filings in accordance with Draft Condition No. 15 at least seven
597 months prior to commencing construction.

598 **1.9.2 Route Re-alignments**

599 Trans Mountain has undertaken a number of re-routes in response to additional information gained
600 through Aboriginal engagement and public consultation. It has also committed to conducting and

601 filing an ESA for several proposed detailed route re-alignments that extend beyond the preferred
602 corridor for the pipeline. Draft Condition No. 9 reflects the out of corridor options for Ohamil
603 Indian Reserve 1, Tzeachten Indian Reserve 13 and Surrey Bend Regional Park. However, this
604 condition does not include Trans Mountain's commitment in Section 17 of its reply evidence to
605 further investigate and study re-routes for United Boulevard and Hartley Avenue, Coquitlam¹¹⁰
606 and Whitemud Drive Corridor, City of Edmonton.¹¹¹ Trans Mountain requests that these locations
607 must be added to Draft Condition No. 9.

608 **1.9.3 Trans Mountain Follow-Up to Comments on NEB Draft Conditions**

609 While Trans Mountain is not proposing to revise its comments related to the technical feasibility
610 of certain August 12, 2015 Draft Conditions submitted in reply evidence on August 20, 2015,
611 Trans Mountain would like to re-iterate the importance of its updated comments related to Draft
612 Condition Nos. 12, 24, 31, 32, 40, 103 and 109.¹¹²

613 **NEB Draft Condition No. 12 (Joining Program):** As the condition is currently worded, Trans
614 Mountain will be unable to fully comply with the condition due to the sequencing of when the
615 required information will be available. The welding procedure qualification tests will be
616 documented as part of the Procedure Qualification Records. The Procedure Qualification Records
617 have to be completed before the Welding Procedure Specifications are complete and finalized.
618 Therefore these records cannot be provided in the Joining Program in advance of the testing. Trans
619 Mountain's proposed changes to Draft Condition No. 12 seek to resolve this issue.

¹¹⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 17: Pipeline Corridor and Routing (August 20, 2015),
([A4S7E9](#)), 17-3.

¹¹¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 17: Pipeline Corridor and Routing (August 20, 2015),
([A4S7E9](#)), 17-8 to 17-9.

¹¹² Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015)
([A4S7F2](#)).

620 **NEB Draft Condition No. 24 (Power system protection for pump stations and terminals):** As
621 the condition is currently worded, Trans Mountain will be challenged to fully comply with the
622 condition due to the sequencing of when the required information will be available. Electrical
623 system design for the terminals and pump stations will be completed as part of detailed engineering
624 design. Trans Mountain proposes to complete detailed engineering design for these facilities in
625 stages, not all of which would be complete prior to the start of construction. Trans Mountain's
626 proposed changes to Draft Condition No. 24 seek to resolve the issue of the availability of the
627 required information for condition compliance.

628 **NEB Draft Condition No. 31 (Secondary Containment – Burnaby Terminal) and 32**
629 **(Secondary Containment – Sumas Terminal):** These Draft Conditions are technically and
630 practically challenging as currently worded. As noted in Trans Mountain's comments and in
631 multiple IR responses, full containment of multiple-tank failure scenarios is extremely
632 conservative and goes far beyond what is required in the applicable Codes (i.e., Canadian
633 Standards Association ("CSA") Standard Z662, National Fire Protection Association ("NFPA")
634 Code 30, National Fire Code and B.C. Fire Code). Furthermore, Trans Mountain is of the view
635 that these multiple-tank failure scenarios and the suggested additional scenarios in the Draft
636 Conditions, which combine multiple-tank failure scenarios with concurrent extreme rainfall events
637 and fire-fighting events, do not represent credible worst case scenarios that should form the basis
638 of design. Trans Mountain is proposing the application of a probabilistic approach to drive risk-
639 based design of the tank layouts and secondary containment areas in accordance with all applicable
640 Codes and legislation, the Major Industrial Accidents Council of Canada ("MIACC") criteria, and
641 the as low as reasonably practical or "ALARP" risk reduction concept. Trans Mountain's approach
642 to risk-based design seeks to balance the benefits of increasing levels of risk reduction with the
643 effort and cost associated with incorporating the design and mitigation measures required to

644 achieve the reduced levels of risk. Trans Mountain’s proposed changes to Draft Condition Nos. 31
645 and 32 focus on assessing the risk of multiple-tank failure scenarios and other concurrent events
646 in order to demonstrate that credible worst case scenarios, based on the likelihood of occurrence,
647 are addressed in the designs, as opposed to all possible scenarios, irrespective of their likelihood
648 of occurrence.

649 **NEB Draft Condition No. 40 (Pipeline segment reactivation):** As the condition is currently
650 worded, Trans Mountain is unable to comply due to the sequencing of when the required
651 information will be available. Trans Mountain is proposing to undertake in-line inspections of the
652 existing pipeline segments to be reactivated and assumes these activities would be defined as a
653 “construction” activity. The output of the in-line inspections is critical to Trans Mountain
654 successfully completing the Engineering Assessment required in Draft Condition No. 40. Trans
655 Mountain’s proposed changes to Draft Condition No. 40 seek to resolve the issue of when the
656 required information would be available to satisfy condition compliance.

657 **NEB Draft Condition No. 103 (non-destruction examination (“NDE”) of final tie-in welds):**
658 As the condition is currently worded, Trans Mountain notes construction will be challenging to
659 execute and the condition may have the unintended consequences of creating safety and security
660 risks during construction. A 48-hour delay between welding and NDE inspection for all final tie-
661 in welds on Line 2 implies Trans Mountain would be required to keep the pipeline trench or bell
662 holes open for 48-hours, which creates a public safety risk and a potential security risk to the pipe.
663 Trans Mountain notes that approximately the final 100 km of the proposed route traverses
664 increasingly populated areas where the need for public safety and security of the construction site
665 will be greater than on portions of the Project away from populated areas. Trans Mountain’s
666 proposed changes to Draft Condition No. 103 seek to strike a balance between ensuring the

667 integrity of the welds and pipeline while minimizing public safety and security risks during
668 construction.

669 **NEB Draft Condition No. 109 (Terminal fire protection and firefighting systems):** As Draft
670 Condition No. 109 is currently worded, it is inconsistent with the related Draft Condition No. 118.
671 The methodology for the risk assessment for the terminals focuses on the credible worst case
672 scenario and would meet the requirements of Draft Condition Nos. 29 and 112, and would support
673 Trans Mountain's compliance with Draft Condition No. 118. Trans Mountain's proposed wording
674 changes to Draft Condition No. 109 seeks consistency with the related Draft Conditions and
675 supports the focus of terminal risk-based design on credible worst case scenarios.

676 **1.9.4 Summary**

677 With respect to the Draft Conditions, Trans Mountain respectfully requests that: (i) the NEB
678 approve the early works as described in reply evidence by way of a section 58 Order and that all
679 condition compliance filings related to early works are required to be filed at least 30 days prior to
680 commencing construction of those early works, consistent with similar conditions in the section
681 58 Order issued by the Board in GH-001-2014; (ii) the NEB carry out its review of condition
682 compliance filings required for approval prior to the start of construction in a manner that supports
683 Trans Mountain's revised construction schedule, including proposed amendments to Draft
684 Condition Nos. 31 and 32; (iii) the NEB revise the wording of Draft Condition Nos. 9, 12, 13, 14,
685 24, 31, 32, 40, 103 and 109, as well as other Draft Conditions addressed in Trans Mountain's
686 August 20, 2015 comments, to reflect the proposed changes made by Trans Mountain in its
687 complete comments on the Draft Conditions; and (iv) the NEB revise wording for the five
688 additional Draft Conditions released on December 11, 2015 as detailed in Appendix "B".

689 **1.10 Organization of Final Argument**

690 The subsequent sections of this final argument are organized as follows:

691 Part I

692 **2. Legal Framework and summary of evidence supporting the Board's Recommendations**

693 **and orders** - details the comprehensive regulatory framework to assess whether the Project is in
694 the Canadian public interest, including the NEB Act and the CEAA 2012 legislative regimes and
695 provides an overview of the benefits and burdens of the Project in that context;

696 **Part II** – Provides detailed review of the issues required to be considered by the Board or raised
697 by intervenors.

698 **3. Project Design** – describes the physical Project facilities and mitigation measures;

699 **4. Emergency Response** – describes the comprehensive system that Trans Mountain has
700 implemented to prevent and respond to emergencies;

701 **5. Public Participation** – describes Trans Mountain's public engagement program;

702 **6. Aboriginal** – details Trans Mountain's engagement program with Aboriginal communities and
703 groups;

704 **7. Environment** – discusses the potential effects the Project may have on the environment, as well
705 as the effect of the environment on the Project and how these effects have influenced mitigation,
706 engineering, design and safety of the Project;

707 **8. Social** – discusses social elements of the Project including public participation, the NEB process
708 and the potential Project-related effects on individuals, groups, communities and society;

709 **9. Economic** – discusses the potential economic effects the Project may have on individuals,
710 communities, regions and nationally—including Trans Mountain’s submissions with respect to the
711 Replacement Evidence;

712 Part III

713 10. Conclusion;

714 **Appendix “A”** – Trans Mountain’s responses to letters of comment, which is filed separately.
715 Certain letters are also referenced in the body of this final argument; and

716 **Appendix “B”** – Trans Mountain’s comments on the five additional Draft Conditions released by
717 the Board on December 11, 2015.

718 Trans Mountain relies on the evidentiary record established to date, including its reply evidence
719 and Replacement Evidence. Trans Mountain does not accept or agree with all statements made by
720 intervenors in their written evidence or commenters in their letters of comment. However, Trans
721 Mountain does not respond to every point or position asserted by intervenors or commenters with
722 which it disagrees. Trans Mountain’s silence on any matter does not indicate acceptance or
723 endorsement of any particular position.¹¹³

¹¹³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 1 – Introduction (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)).

724 **2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

725 **2.1 Overview**

726 The Project is being considered within a comprehensive regulatory framework to assess whether
727 it is in Canadian public interest. The NEB is the master of that process with a mandate to promote
728 safety and security, environmental protection and efficient energy infrastructure and markets in
729 the Canadian public interest. With respect to the Project, the NEB's determination falls within the
730 legislative regimes established under the NEB Act and the CEEA 2012.

731 In this section, Trans Mountain addresses the legal framework that governs the Board's public
732 interest recommendation under the NEB Act and its determination under the CEEA 2012 as to
733 whether the Project as a whole is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects after
734 taking into account mitigation measures. Other federal regulatory processes and provincial
735 considerations are detailed at the end of this section.

736 **2.2 Determining the Canadian Public Interest**

737 Under the NEB Act, the Board's directive with regard to assessing whether a pipeline is needed
738 and in the public interest is laid out in section 52(2):

739 52. (1) If the board is of the opinion that an application for a
740 certificate in respect of a pipeline is complete, it shall prepare and
741 submit to the minister, and make public, a report setting out

742 (a) Its recommendation as to whether or not the certificate should be
743 issued for all or any portion of the pipeline, taking into account
744 whether the pipeline is and will be required by the present and future
745 public convenience and necessity, and the reasons for that
746 recommendation; and

747 (b) Regardless of the recommendation that the board makes, all the
748 terms and conditions that it considers necessary or desirable in the
749 public interest to which the certificate will be subject if the governor
750 in council were to direct the board to issue the certificate, including

751 terms or conditions relating to when the certificate or portions or
752 provisions of it are to come into force.

753 Factors to consider

754 (2) In making its recommendation, the Board shall have regard to all
755 considerations that appear to it to be directly related to the pipeline
756 and to be relevant, and may have regard to the following:

757 (a) the availability of oil, gas or any other commodity to the pipeline;

758 (b) the existence of markets, actual or potential;

759 (c) the economic feasibility of the pipeline;

760 (d) the financial responsibility and financial structure of the
761 applicant, the methods of financing the pipeline and the extent to
762 which Canadians will have an opportunity to participate in the
763 financing, engineering and construction of the pipeline; and

764 (e) any public interest that in the Board's opinion may be affected
765 by the issuance of the certificate or the dismissal of the
766 application.¹¹⁴

767 The Board must prepare and submit a report to the Minister setting out its recommendation and
768 reasons regarding whether the pipeline is required in the public convenience and necessity and if
769 a certificate should be issued. Regardless of its recommendation, the NEB's report must include
770 "all the terms and conditions that it considers necessary or desirable in the public interest" to which
771 the CPCN will be subject if the Governor in Council were to direct the Board to issue the
772 certificate.¹¹⁵ The NEB has been regulating federal pipelines in Canada for 56 years and the
773 Board's expertise is well established in Canadian jurisprudence. The Federal Court of Appeal
774 confirmed that section 52 of the NEB Act instructs the Board to identify the relevant issues that it

¹¹⁴ NEB Act, s 52.

¹¹⁵ NEB Act, s 52(1)(b).

775 must consider in the case before it, and apply its interpretation of the issues to the facts of the
776 proposed Project.¹¹⁶

777 Trans Mountain requests that the Board:

778 (a) recommend the issuance of a CPCN, pursuant to section 52 of the NEB Act, authorizing
779 the construction and operation of the Project;

780 (b) issue an order, pursuant to section 52 of the NEB Act, exempting Trans Mountain from the
781 requirements of sections 31(c), 31(d) and 33 of the NEB Act (Plan, Profile, Book of
782 Reference (“PPBoR”) filings) in relation to temporary lands or infrastructure required for
783 construction of the Project. These early works activities include: the development of camp
784 locations, stockpile sites, contractor staging areas (i.e., co-located with camps or stockpile
785 sites), access roads for the first 10 km of each pipeline spread (i.e., including temporary,
786 clear-span bridges associated with these access roads), and clearing activities associated
787 with the first 10 km of each pipeline spread, to be undertaken outside of the migratory bird
788 restricted activity period;¹¹⁷

789 (c) grant leave, pursuant to section 45(1) of the OPR, to reactivate the NPS 24 pipeline segment
790 from Hinton, Alberta to Hargreaves, B.C. (together, the “Reactivated Segments”); and

791 (d) grant such further and other relief as the Board may consider appropriate.¹¹⁸

¹¹⁶ *Forest Ethics Advocacy Association v Canada (National Energy Board)*, 2014 FCA 245, para 64.

¹¹⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 64 - Early Works (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)).

¹¹⁸ Exhibit B1-1-V1 SUMM (December 13, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-10.

792 The Board has been characterized by the Federal Court of Appeal as “the main guardian of the
793 public interest in this regulatory area.”¹¹⁹ The Board defines the concept of public interest as
794 follows:

795 The public interest is inclusive of all Canadians and refers to a
796 balance of economic, environmental and social considerations that
797 changes as society’s values and preferences evolve over time.¹²⁰

798 The Board has also been clear in its belief that a uniform set of criteria with which any and all
799 projects can be evaluated to determine if they are in the public interest does not exist. In Brunswick,
800 the Board stated:

801 [T]here are no firm criteria for determining the public interest that
802 will be appropriate to every situation. Like “just and reasonable” and
803 “public convenience and necessity”, the criteria of public interest in
804 any given situation are understood rather than defined and it may
805 well not serve any purpose to attempt to define these terms too
806 precisely. Instead, it must be left to the Board to weigh the benefits
807 and burdens of the case in front of it...

808 ...Since the public interest is dynamic, varying from one situation to
809 another (if only because the values ascribed to the conflicting
810 interests alter), it follows that the criteria by which the public interest
811 is served may also change according to the circumstances. In
812 addition, it is worthwhile to note that while the Board may be guided
813 by past decisions, it need not be bound by them; indeed, it may be
814 imprudent to be so bound given the dynamic nature of the public
815 interest, and the inherent exercise of administrative discretion in the
816 Board’s decision-making process.¹²¹

817 In the context of the public interest, the Enbridge Northern Gateway JRP confirmed that “all
818 Canadians” mean people locally, regionally and nationally; not just those in physical proximity to
819 a project.¹²² Further, the Board recently acknowledged that “various decisions of the courts have

¹¹⁹ *Forest Ethics Advocacy Association v Canada (National Energy Board)*, 2014 FCA 245, para 23.

¹²⁰ National Energy Board, “Strategic Plan”, (April 16, 2015) online: < <http://www.neb-one.gc.ca/bts/whwr/gvrnnc/strtcpln-eng.html?pedisable=true>>.

¹²¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 2007), 10-11.

¹²² Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, Chapter 2.3.

820 established that a specific individual's or locale's interest is to be weighed against the greater
821 public interest, and if something is in the greater public interest, the specific interests must give
822 way."¹²³

823 The Board has developed a structured, yet flexible, framework for assessing whether a pipeline
824 project is in the public interest. According to the Board, "[r]egulating in the Canadian public
825 interest means factoring economic, environmental and social considerations into our decision-
826 making process."¹²⁴ By considering all the evidence that is presented on the record through the
827 lens of these factors, the Board is able to make decisions that represent the ever-evolving interests
828 and concerns of Canadians. In other words, the Board must carefully weigh all of the evidence in
829 this proceeding when making a recommendation to the Governor in Council with respect to the
830 Project. This means that the Board is recognized as an expert tribunal with extensive pipeline
831 regulation experience. The Board can employ this experience in order to determine the issues
832 before it and make a recommendation based on findings of fact and its review of scientific and
833 technical information. The Governor in Council will ultimately decide whether the Board should
834 issue a CPCN for the Project.

835 The Board's ability to make a public interest recommendation is not an unfettered power. It must
836 rely only on the facts that are established to its satisfaction through the regulatory process, and
837 must also proceed in compliance with the principles of natural justice.¹²⁵

¹²³ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 2007), 10; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Sumas Energy 2, Inc. – EH-1-2000 (March 2004), 9; NEB Report - North Montney Mainline (April 2015) ([A4K5R6](#)), 106.

¹²⁴ National Energy Board. 2014. "Responsibilities", online: <<https://www.neb-one.gc.ca/bts/whwr/rspnsblt/index-eng.html>> Acquired April 16, 2015.

¹²⁵ NEB Report - North Montney Mainline (April 2015) ([A4K5R6](#)), 8.

838 ***Issues to Consider in Determining the Public Interest***

839 In July 2013, the Board released the List of Issues for the Project and set out those topics it would
840 consider during the public hearing. Each broadly defined issue required the Board to balance the
841 benefits and burdens of the Project in order to determine whether the public interest test is met.
842 The List of Issues was subsequently attached to the Hearing Order issued on April 2, 2014. The
843 Federal Court of Appeal dismissed two separate applications for leave to appeal that alleged the
844 NEB erred in law or jurisdiction by refusing to include the environmental and socio-economic
845 effects of upstream and downstream activities within the Project's List of Issues.¹²⁶

846 Shortly after, the Federal Court of Appeal in *Forest Ethics Advocacy Association v Canada*
847 (*National Energy Board*) concluded that the legislation and policy allow the Board to consider that
848 the “public interest” mainly relates to the pipeline project itself, not to upstream or downstream
849 facilities and activities.¹²⁷ The operation of upstream facilities are not contingent on pipelines; they
850 will continue to operate whether the Project is constructed or not. Downstream use of products
851 shipped on pipelines are far too remote for the Board to reasonably assess and consider technically
852 in the context of the Canadian public interest.¹²⁸ Similar to other NEB decisions, there is no direct
853 connection in this case that is strong enough to warrant a consideration of the environmental and
854 socio-economic effects associated with upstream and downstream facilities and activities. The
855 validation by the Court demonstrates that the List of Issues has undergone a thorough vetting and

¹²⁶ *City of Vancouver v National Energy Board and Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC* (16 October 2014), Ottawa, 14-A-55 (FCA); *LD Danny Harvey v National Energy Board and Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC* (October 24, 2014), Ottawa, 14-A-59 (FCA).

¹²⁷ *Forest Ethics Advocacy Association v Canada (National Energy Board)*, 2014 FCA 245, para 69.

¹²⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009 (March 2010) ([A1S1E7](#)), 75.

856 one appropriate for the Board’s ultimate recommendation to the Governor in Council regarding
857 the issuance of a certificate under section 52 of the NEB Act.

858 ***Balancing Benefits and Burdens***

859 When determining whether to recommend the issuance of a CPCN, the Board must consider any
860 public interest that may be affected by granting or refusing the application, the burdens the project
861 could place on Canadians and the benefits the project could bring to Canadians.¹²⁹ A company’s
862 policies and practices are also public interest considerations that can inform the Board’s
863 assessment of the Project.¹³⁰

864 Trans Mountain filed an expert report in reply to the previous Gunton Report¹³¹ in its reply
865 evidence on August 20, 2015.¹³² Tsawout First Nation, Upper Nicola Band and Living Oceans
866 Society filed the revised Gunton Report on December 1, 2015.¹³³ Trans Mountain’s responses to
867 the revised Gunton Report are in Section 9 – Economic of this final argument. The revised Gunton
868 Report states how the Board should consider the public interest.

¹²⁹ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 8.

¹³⁰ NEB Report – Northwest Mainline Komie North Extension (January 2013) ([A3F0Y9](#)), 41.

¹³¹ Exhibit C355-15-28 - Tsawout First Nation Expert Report. Public Interest Evaluation of the TMEP (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1G6](#)); Exhibit C214-18-7 - Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Assessment - Dr Gunton et al. (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9S2](#)); C363-21 - Upper Nicola Band Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70333](#)).

¹³² Exhibit B418-11 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.12 – Reply to Tsawout First Nation, Upper Nicola Band and Living Oceans Society “Public Interest Evaluation of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K9](#)).

¹³³ Exhibit C355-31-1 – Tsawout First Nation Expert Report. Public Interest Evaluation of the TMEP Dec. 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0Q9](#)); Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Assessment - Dr Gunton et al. (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)); Exhibit C363-36-1 - Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Public Interest Evaluation of the TMEP Dec. 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R1](#)).

869 The Gunton Report contends that the pipeline capacity added by the Project will result in
870 considerable net costs through the creation of excess capacity. It further asserts that the oil
871 transportation market is characterized by major imperfections that prevent the market from
872 achieving public interest outcomes and that the regulatory process was created to address these
873 types of market imperfections. These assertions are unfounded for the reasons detailed in Section 9
874 – Economic. Trans Mountain submits that the conclusions of the Gunton Report are incorrect, as
875 it is not based on objective assumptions or credible analysis. Trans Mountain has filed extensive
876 information on the public record about the benefits and burdens of the Project. Contrary to the
877 recommendations of the Gunton Report, Trans Mountain submits that the Board should allow the
878 market to select the optimal mix and timing of services to meet its needs—rather than essentially
879 picking winners and losers itself. A benefit-cost analysis is not required to evaluate whether the
880 Project is in the public interest.¹³⁴

881 In the following sections, Trans Mountain discusses the social, economic and environmental
882 benefits and burdens of the Project as well as engagement with Aboriginal groups in order to
883 support the Board in making its public interest recommendation to the Governor in Council. The
884 Brundtland Commission coined the term “sustainable development” in 1987 and provided the
885 following definition which has since been widely referenced: “development.....that meets the
886 needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own
887 needs.”¹³⁵ The Brundtland Commission also described the three pillars of sustainable
888 development—environmental protection, economic well-being and social justice. The Brundtland

¹³⁴ Exhibit B418-11 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.12 – Reply to Tsawout First Nation, Upper Nicola Band and Living Oceans Society “Public Interest Evaluation of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K9](#)), 5 - 7.

¹³⁵ Brundtland et al., *Our Common Future, the Report of The World Commission on Environment and Development*, (Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1987), 8.

889 Commission report is instructive in its application to regulated industries: in order to have
890 sustainable development the decision maker should be informed by fact to ensure that social,
891 environmental and economic benefits and burdens are balanced in the development of the project
892 for the public good. Lawmakers and regulators have also recognized the concept of sustainable
893 development. One of the purposes of CEAA 2012 is to “promote sustainable development and
894 thereby achieve or maintain a healthy environment and a healthy economy.”¹³⁶ Trans Mountain
895 submits that when the benefits and burdens of this Project are fairly balanced, it clearly meets that
896 test, and is in the public interest.

897 **2.2.1 Environmental Benefits and Burdens**

898 In light of the task before the Board, it is necessary to consider the associated benefits and burdens
899 of the Project, including those related to the environment. Section 7 - Environment provides a
900 detailed discussion of the evidence before the Board in relation to the potential environmental
901 effects of the Project and associated mitigation measures. The purpose of the discussion that
902 follows is to highlight some of the key environmental benefits and burdens that are of particular
903 importance in this proceeding. Trans Mountain submits that, in view of the environmental benefits
904 associated with the Project, the proposed mitigation measures and the Board’s jurisdiction, the
905 environmental evidence supports a recommendation that the Project is in the public interest. Trans
906 Mountain further submits that, in light of the test under the CEAA 2012 that is discussed below,
907 the evidence demonstrates that the Project will not cause significant adverse environmental effects.

908 This section addresses three topics:

909 (1) the legal test under CEAA 2012;

¹³⁶ CEAA 2012, section 4(1)(h).

- 910 (2) the environmental effects related to the pipeline and facilities; and
- 911 (3) the environmental effects related to marine shipping, including:
- 912 (a) the regulation of marine shipping;
- 913 (b) the environmental effects on marine mammals from routine operations; and
- 914 (c) potential oil spills resulting from marine incidents.

915 **2.2.1.1 Legal Test Under CEAA 2012**

916 The Project is a “designated project” under the CEAA 2012. The NEB is the authority responsible
917 for conducting a CEAA 2012 EA and determining whether the Project as a whole is likely to cause
918 significant adverse environmental effects after taking into account mitigation measures.¹³⁷ The
919 Board has integrated its CEAA 2012 determination into its public interest recommendation. Its EA
920 under CEAA 2012 and the environmental matters considered by the Board under the NEB Act will
921 both form part of the Board’s report.¹³⁸

922 The Project must be properly scoped to ensure that the EA focuses on relevant issues and concerns
923 and does not include unimportant or irrelevant information that will not assist the NEB in
924 determining whether the Project is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects. The
925 NEB’s recommendation on the scope of factors that are relevant to the CEAA 2012 EA were set
926 out in the Factors and Scope of the Factors for the Environmental Assessment issued on April 2,
927 2014.¹³⁹ The ESA considered the potential effects of the physical facilities and activities of the
928 Project within spatial and temporal boundaries that the Project may potentially interact with and

¹³⁷ CEAA 2012, s 15(b).

¹³⁸ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 4.

¹³⁹ Exhibit A013 - National Energy Board - Letter - Application for Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Factors and Scope of the Factors for the Environmental Assessment pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, 2012 (April 3, 2014) ([A59505](#)).

929 have an effect on components of the environment.¹⁴⁰ Specifically, this includes the pipeline, the
930 Westridge Marine Terminal, storage tanks, other facilities and construction, operation,
931 maintenance and abandonment activities, as well as increased marine shipping.

932 The goal of an EA is to ensure the integration of environmental factors into planning and decision-
933 making processes in order to promote sustainable development in a coordinated manner. This has
934 been entrenched in Canadian environmental assessment legislation and Canadian jurisprudence.¹⁴¹
935 Under CEAA 2012, the NEB's job is to ensure that the environmental effects of the Project are
936 identified and assessed so that mitigation can be implemented to avoid or minimize any significant
937 adverse environmental effects. If significant adverse environmental effects cannot be avoided, then
938 the federal Cabinet must determine whether the effects are justified before the Project can proceed.
939 This is the central test of CEAA 2012.

940 Following the findings of the environmental effects assessment, Trans Mountain conducted an
941 assessment of the likely cumulative effects of the Project based on the CEAA 2012 and guidance
942 documents. All EA's conducted under CEAA 2012 consider the likely effects of the proposed
943 project that overlap with the effects of past, existing and reasonably foreseeable future
944 developments in the area that have been or will be constructed. Trans Mountain has conducted a
945 rigorous assessment of the cumulative effects of the Project that satisfies all legal requirements.

¹⁴⁰ Exhibit A013 - National Energy Board - Letter - Application for Trans Mountain Expansion Project - Factors and Scope of the Factors for the Environmental Assessment pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, 2012 (April 3, 2014) ([A59505](#)).

¹⁴¹ CEAA 2012, s 4(1)(h); *Bow Valley Naturalists Society v Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage)*, [2001] 2 FC 461, para 17.

946 From a legal standpoint, the test for determining significance is objective and conjunctive.¹⁴² All
947 decisions about whether or not the Project will likely cause significant adverse environmental
948 effects must be supported by findings based on the requirements set out in CEEA 2012.¹⁴³ After
949 considering proposed mitigation measures, there still must be a project caused environmental
950 effect that is: (i) adverse, (ii) significant and (iii) likely. The test for systematically determining
951 the likelihood of significant adverse environmental effects is straightforward and can be broken
952 down into the following steps:

- 953 (a) First, the NEB must ask whether there is an effect on the environment caused by the Project.
954 Negligible residual environmental effects are those that are predicted to result in no
955 measurable or detectable change in the environment. If there is no effect, the analysis stops
956 here.
- 957 (b) Second, if there is an effect on the environment caused by the Project, the NEB must ask
958 whether the effect would be adverse. If the effect is not adverse, the analysis stops here—if
959 the effect is not adverse, it cannot be significant.
- 960 (c) Third, if there is an adverse effect on the environment caused by the Project, the NEB must
961 determine whether that effect is significant after considering the mitigation measures that
962 address the effect. Factors that should be considered in determining whether an adverse
963 effect is significant include magnitude of the effect; geographic extent of the effect;
964 duration and frequency of the effect; the degree to which the effect is reversible or

¹⁴² CEA Agency, “Reference Guide: Determining Whether a Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Environmental Effects”, (Ottawa: Federal Minister of Supply and Services, 2012), online: <<https://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=En&n=D213D286-1&offset=2&toc=hide>> [*CEAA Reference Guide*]; *Bow Valley Naturalists Society v Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage)*, [2001] 2 FC 461, para 49.

¹⁴³ *CEAA Reference Guide*.

965 irreversible; and ecological context.¹⁴⁴ If the adverse effect is not significant, the
966 significance determination ends.

967 (d) Fourth, if the NEB finds that there is a significant and adverse environmental effect after
968 taking mitigation measures into account, the NEB must consider whether the significant
969 adverse environmental effect is “likely” to occur. The likelihood of a significant adverse
970 effect is based on the evidence before the NEB.

971 (e) Finally, in the event that the NEB determines the Project is likely to cause significant
972 adverse environmental effects, it must refer to the Governor in Council the matter of
973 whether those effects are justified in the circumstances in accordance with section 52(2) of
974 CEAA 2012.

975 The Federal Court of Appeal in *Bow Valley Naturalists Society v Canada (Minister of Canadian*
976 *Heritage)* endorsed the above conjunctive test, based upon its review of the Canadian
977 Environmental Assessment Agency’s (“CEA Agency”) Reference Guide: Determining Whether a
978 Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Effects.¹⁴⁵

979 Significance determinations under the CEAA 2012 also involve questions of relativity. In the JRP
980 Report for the Mackenzie Gas Project, the panel concluded that, “[t]here may well be impacts on
981 individuals that, from an individual perspective, would be significant but which, again, the Panel
982 might conclude would not be significant in the broader context.”¹⁴⁶ Therefore, when reviewing
983 any potential adverse effect on local individuals or communities, the Board should consider that
984 potential effect relative to the overall positive and negative impacts of the Project. This should

¹⁴⁴ *CEAA Reference Guide*.

¹⁴⁵ *Bow Valley Naturalists Society v Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage)*, [2001] 2 FC 461, para 49.

¹⁴⁶ CEAA-MVEIRB Joint Review Panel, Foundation for a Sustainable Northern Future, Report of the Joint Review Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project (December 2009), 103.

985 involve a balanced analysis of whether the potential effect is significant and likely to occur in the
986 context of the Project and the benefits and opportunities that the Project brings to all Canadians.

987 Finally, while an EA is intended to make reasonable predictions about what is likely to occur, it
988 cannot be expected to predict all effects with certainty or finality. This was confirmed by the
989 Federal Court of Appeal in *Alberta Wilderness Association v Express Pipelines Ltd.* when it held
990 that, “[n]o information about the probable future effects of a project can ever be complete or
991 exclude all possible future outcomes”.¹⁴⁷ Thus, the objective of an EA is to make reasonable
992 predictions of whether the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental effects
993 while acknowledging that a degree of uncertainty is inherent in the assessment. In past cases, this
994 has led the Board to complete its EA of a project in cases where mitigation and follow-up strategies
995 were unproven or had not been finalized, and where there was potential for unanticipated
996 impacts.¹⁴⁸ More recently, the Northern Gateway JRP noted whether a proponent’s mitigation
997 measures would provide protection to species in the project area, following a precautionary
998 approach and identifying where additional research could result in benefits.¹⁴⁹

999 **2.2.1.2 Environmental Effects of the Project – Pipeline and Facilities**

1000 Trans Mountain has made significant efforts to reduce the environmental effects of the Project,
1001 and has approached its pipeline and facilities design with a view to maximizing benefits and
1002 minimizing burdens. The Application contains a detailed ESA for the Project to support the
1003 Board’s environmental recommendations.¹⁵⁰ The company’s mitigation measures are detailed in

¹⁴⁷ *Alberta Wilderness Assn. v Express Pipelines Ltd.* (1996), 137 DLR (4th) 177, para 10 (FCA).

¹⁴⁸ NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May 1996), 42-45, 72-73, 116.

¹⁴⁹ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 267.

¹⁵⁰ Trans Mountain’s ESA for the Project was bifurcated into two separate volumes in the Project Application, Volumes 5 and 8. Volume 5A of the Project Application contains Trans Mountain’s assessment of the biophysical

1004 the Environmental section of this argument and highlighted below to inform the CEAA 2012
1005 analysis.

1006 The Board has repeatedly recognized that the use of existing linear corridors and right-of-ways
1007 reduces environmental impacts.¹⁵¹ As detailed in the introduction of this final argument, Trans
1008 Mountain maximized the use of the existing TMPL right-of-way and other existing linear
1009 disturbances to the greatest extent practicable to reduce environmental and socio-economic effects
1010 while facilitating efficient pipeline operations.¹⁵² Where it was not possible to route the Project
1011 along the existing TMPL right-of-way, Trans Mountain evaluated construction along other
1012 pipelines, power lines, highways, roads, railways, fiber optic transmission systems and other
1013 utilities where access management arrangements are already in place.¹⁵³ The proposed route for
1014 the Project is on or adjacent to the existing TMPL easement for 73 per cent of the total length,
1015 approximately 16 per cent follows other existing rights-of-way and approximately 11 per cent will
1016 be in a new corridor.¹⁵⁴ By following existing linear disturbances for 89 per cent of the route, Trans
1017 Mountain has significantly reduced the environmental impacts and land required for the Project.

and socio-economic setting for the pipeline and associated facilities, including marine resources in the vicinity of the Westridge Marine Terminal. The environmental and socio-economic setting for marine transportation is described in Volume 8A of the Project Application.

¹⁵¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipelines Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 2007), 72-73; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines Inc. – OH-4-2007 (February 2008), 28-29.

¹⁵² Exhibit B5-10 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC -Volume 5A: ESA - Biophysical (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L4](#)), 4-1.

¹⁵³ Exhibit B5-10 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC -Volume 5A: ESA - Biophysical (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L4](#)), 4-1.

¹⁵⁴ Exhibit B2-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 4A: Project Design & Execution - Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-6. - 4A-13; Exhibit B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#)); Exhibit B255 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Technical Update No. 2 - (August 22, 2014) ([A62400](#)); Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#)); Exhibit B415 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A71581](#)).

1018 Trans Mountain's plans for pipeline routing are addressed in detail in the Project Design section
1019 of this final argument.

1020 In addition to optimizing routing, Trans Mountain invested in environmental benefits for protected
1021 areas in close proximity to the Project. Trans Mountain identified environmental net benefits and
1022 offset opportunities within certain protected areas through its stakeholder engagement process
1023 which included park-specific workshops environmental and socio-economic assessment
1024 workshops, environmental protection plan workshops and various stakeholder meetings.¹⁵⁵ In
1025 planning for investments in protected areas, Trans Mountain considered existing management
1026 plans. These benefits include:

1027 (a) Finn Creek Provincial Park - \$110,000

1028 for restoration of a former rest area and signage improvements;

1029 (b) North Thompson River Provincial Park - \$750,000

1030 for trail and park facility upgrades, park education and enhancements, invasive vegetation
1031 control and park access road upgrades; and

1032 (c) Lac du Bois Grasslands Protected Area - \$1,195,000

1033 for reclamation of fibre optic right-of-way and trails, an invasive vegetation survey and
1034 cultural and grassland awareness signage.¹⁵⁶

1035 For potential environmental burdens, Trans Mountain has implemented several lines of defence to
1036 manage any residual effects from onshore facilities, starting with the design of the facilities
1037 themselves, through to implementing a schedule that will ensure construction activities occur at

¹⁵⁵ ESA workshop in Section 1.5.3.1 of Volume 3A and EPP workshops in Section 1.18 of Update No. 4.

¹⁵⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 49 – Environmental Net Benefits (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 49-1 – 49-6.

1038 times that result in minimal impact to the environment. Residual impacts on the physical
1039 environment, such as soil, water and air, will be controlled through comprehensive monitoring,
1040 risk management and reclamation programs. For example, although a modest increase in
1041 greenhouse gas (“GHG”) emissions will result from the construction and operation of the proposed
1042 pipeline and related facilities, Trans Mountain will achieve a reduction in GHG emissions at the
1043 Westridge Marine Terminal as a result of the Project by 3.8 kT CO₂e annually through upgrading
1044 existing technology.¹⁵⁷ On balance, and accounting for the resulting increase in marine traffic, this
1045 mitigation limits the overall increase of GHG emissions attributable to Project-specific marine
1046 shipping to about 300 tonnes per year CO₂e.

1047 **2.2.1.3 Regulation of Marine Shipping**

1048 Impacts to the marine environment must be viewed in the context of: (1) existing vessel traffic;
1049 and (2) Trans Mountain’s abilities and the Board’s jurisdiction with respect to marine traffic
1050 management.

1051 With respect to point (1), the Project-related tankers calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal will
1052 use the already established, well defined, federally regulated major traffic route between the PMV
1053 area and the Pacific Ocean—the Project will not result in a new marine transportation route or new
1054 anchorages.¹⁵⁸ The importance of this cannot be understated. The use of existing shipping lanes
1055 and anchorages greatly decreases any incremental adverse environmental or socio-economic
1056 effects as compared to a scenario requiring new shipping lanes and anchorages.

¹⁵⁷ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 – (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 173-178.

¹⁵⁸ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-67.

1057 It is also important to note that PMV is Canada's busiest port. In 2012, PMV activities at terminals
1058 in Burrard Inlet, the Lower Fraser River and Delta included: the handling of approximately 123
1059 million tons of cargo; the handling of over 3,000 calls by foreign vessels; and the transit of 191
1060 cruise ships.¹⁵⁹ There are currently about 475,000 vessel movements per year of which tankers
1061 accounted for about 1,500 movements (0.3 per cent) in 2009 to 2010.¹⁶⁰ Needless to say, there is
1062 significant marine vessel traffic currently using this aqueous highway in the PMV. As a result of
1063 the Project, tankers calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal will increase from approximately
1064 five tankers per month up to 34 per month, resulting in a potential increase of approximately 29
1065 tankers per month. Within the Juan de Fuca Strait, Trans Mountain predicts the Project-related
1066 increase in marine traffic will represent 6.6 per cent of total marine traffic volume, compared to
1067 1.1 per cent currently.¹⁶¹ Given the existing and anticipated future third-party vessel traffic in the
1068 marine regional study area, marine traffic management and associated environmental effects is a
1069 collective issue that is best addressed at a regional scale. Trans Mountain is committed to
1070 participating in such initiatives.

1071 With respect to point (2) above, Trans Mountain requires all vessels that arrive at the Westridge
1072 Marine Terminal to comply with all applicable local, national and international regulations.¹⁶²
1073 However, because Trans Mountain does not own or operate the vessels, Trans Mountain has no
1074 direct control over the actions of vessel owners and operators. Trans Mountain has committed to
1075 continuing to enforce its tanker acceptance criteria, which requires tankers and barges to be
1076 equipped, maintained and operated in accordance with international and federal regulations and

¹⁵⁹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 447.

¹⁶⁰ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-61.

¹⁶¹ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-68-69.

¹⁶² Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 413.

1077 best practices. The requirements for marine safety are largely governed by the *Canada Shipping*
1078 *Act, 2001* and the *Canada Marine Act* for which Transport Canada is the primary agency for
1079 enforcing. Although Trans Mountain does not own or operate vessels it is an active member of the
1080 maritime community and has demonstrated its commitment to improvements to the safety and
1081 efficiency of marine traffic calling at Westridge. In addition, the technical details of the marine
1082 shipping related to the Project have been examined by the TERMPOL Review Committee. Trans
1083 Mountain voluntarily agreed to support and adopt each of the 17 recommendations and 31 findings
1084 proposed by the TERMPOL Review Committee.¹⁶³

1085 Moreover, the Board's review of marine shipping is limited to potential environmental and socio-
1086 economic effects that would result from marine transportation associated with the Project,
1087 including potential effects of accidents or malfunctions.¹⁶⁴ There are no proposed or widely
1088 accepted risk acceptance criteria for marine oil spills primarily because tanker traffic is regulated.
1089 Trans Mountain does not condone oil spills of any nature and no spill is acceptable to Trans
1090 Mountain. In addition to relying on the already robust existing regulations and shipping standards
1091 to address navigation and safety issues associated with marine vessel traffic, Trans Mountain has
1092 proposed additional precautionary measures for Project tankers as well as enhancements to the
1093 existing response regime that will ensure the likelihood of oil spills in the study area remains
1094 similar to the current level of risk prevalent in the Project area. Furthermore, should an oil spill
1095 accident occur, the proposed enhanced response regime will ensure that the region is better
1096 equipped to respond to it than today's regime is. A quantitative marine risk assessment shows a

¹⁶³ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)), 1.

¹⁶⁴ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

1097 substantial reduction of risks, on a risk per cargo transported basis as a result of measures proposed
1098 by Trans Mountain.¹⁶⁵

1099 Marine shipping on Canada's West Coast is regulated in accordance with Canadian Law, primarily
1100 through the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and *Canada Marine Act*, by a variety of federal authorities
1101 (e.g., PMV, the Pacific Pilotage Authority, the Canadian Coast Guard, Transport Canada) aligned
1102 with the auspices of the various International Maritime Organizations Conventions. These
1103 regulations include binding requirements and punitive measures for any non-compliance. The JRP
1104 considering the Northern Gateway Project recognized that there is an existing regulatory regime
1105 to provide for costs associated with spills in marine waters and that this regime is not regulated by
1106 either the NEB or the CEA Agency.¹⁶⁶ This legal framework provides certainty that all vessels
1107 calling on the Westridge Marine Terminal will meet the requirements of the applicable regulations.

1108 The existing shipping lanes that will be used by Project-related vessels are well defined,
1109 internationally recognised, highly regulated and used by multiple parties and vessel types. This is
1110 akin to a public highway that is used every day. The addition of more users on the road will have
1111 only a small effect on the overall risk. Nonetheless, Trans Mountain fully understands the
1112 importance of the issue and the potential environmental impacts if something were to go wrong.
1113 The potential impacts and mitigation strategy have been comprehensively assessed and addressed
1114 in the marine ESA, during both normal operations as well as in case of accidents and
1115 malfunctions.¹⁶⁷

¹⁶⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60 – Marine Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-6.

¹⁶⁶ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, Chapter 7.1.4.

¹⁶⁷ Exhibit B18-22 - V8A 4.2.1 F4.2.2 TO 4.2.3.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X6](#)), 8A-101.

1116 **2.2.1.4 Environmental Effects of the Project – Marine Mammals**

1117 In assessing the potential environmental effects of Project-related shipping activities, Trans
1118 Mountain conducted an assessment of the potential impacts on marine mammals. In particular, it
1119 assessed the impacts on the southern resident killer whale as one of the indicator species. Due to
1120 the current Endangered status of the southern resident killer whale population, coupled with the
1121 fact that the entire population spends much of its time in the marine regional study area, the EA
1122 concluded that any residual effect, however small, beyond current levels was undesirable, and, for
1123 that reason, determined that underwater noise effects on southern resident killer whales may be
1124 significant.¹⁶⁸ This conclusion is therefore of particular interest in evaluating the benefits and
1125 burdens of the Project.

1126 As detailed in Section 7 - Environment of this final argument, the stressors affecting the southern
1127 resident killer whale population will continue to affect these species with or without the Project.
1128 Furthermore, if the Project proceeds, vessels calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal will
1129 continue to represent a comparatively small proportion of total marine transportation activity in
1130 the Salish Sea. It is forecasted that Project tankers in the future will comprise only about 6.6 per
1131 cent of all large commercial vessels trading in the Project area. As such, rather than Project-specific
1132 efforts, industry wide efforts are necessary to mitigate the effects of maritime commerce and other
1133 activities on marine mammals in the region.

1134 Under CEAA 2012, Project approval for these residual effects will require justification of any
1135 significant adverse effect. Trans Mountain submits that this justification must take into
1136 consideration the context in which the impact is predicted. As discussed above, neither Trans
1137 Mountain nor the NEB have direct control over marine vessel activity within the southern resident

¹⁶⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-325.

1138 killer whale critical habitat. The fact that the shipping lanes are already well established and used
1139 by numerous vessels including those engaged in national and international trade and commerce—
1140 all of which contribute to the existing impact—is part of the context. PMV is Canada’s largest port
1141 and will continue to host marine vessel traffic. As a result, the impacts on the southern resident
1142 killer whale population assessed as part of the Application are occurring regardless of whether the
1143 Project is approved and is an issue that must be addressed by all users.¹⁶⁹

1144 With respect to mitigation, PMV has established the “Enhancing Cetacean Habitat and
1145 Observation Program” (“ECHO Program”), which seeks to better understand and manage potential
1146 effects on cetaceans (i.e., whales, porpoises and dolphins) resulting from commercial vessel
1147 activities throughout the southern coast of B.C. Along with other stakeholders, Trans Mountain is
1148 actively supporting the ECHO Program and its initiatives to undertake research and explore
1149 solutions to offset the effects of underwater noise from marine vessel traffic on the southern
1150 resident killer whale population and associated Aboriginal traditional uses. The ECHO Program is
1151 also investigating technological solutions such as real time whale detection technologies that that
1152 may provide means to reduce ship strikes while simultaneously allowing maritime commerce and
1153 other activities to proceed. On July 29, 2015 Trans Mountain executed a funding agreement with
1154 Vancouver Fraser Port Authority (doing business as PMV), wherein Trans Mountain will
1155 contribute \$1.6 million to the ECHO Program to support its research initiatives. The terms of this
1156 agreement are not contingent on approval of the Project.¹⁷⁰

¹⁶⁹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 154.

¹⁷⁰ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 51 – Environmental Monitoring (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 51-1.

1157 Trans Mountain has also committed to developing a Marine Mammal Protection Program
1158 (“MMPP”) to support southern resident killer whale recovery. The program will focus on strategies
1159 that will be implemented during the operations phase in order to contribute to the ongoing southern
1160 resident whale recovery strategies.¹⁷¹ The results of the ECHO Program studies will be reviewed
1161 by Trans Mountain with a view to incorporating the resulting recommendations in the MMPP.

1162 In addition, Trans Mountain considered two large scale mitigation measures: (i) altering the
1163 shipping lanes to avoid sensitive habitat; and (ii) setting speed restrictions.¹⁷² In response to an
1164 NEB IR, Transport Canada stated that it “is not currently contemplating alternative shipping lanes
1165 or vessel speed restrictions for the purpose of reducing impacts on marine mammals from marine
1166 shipping in British Columbia; however, Transport Canada is participating in the ECHO program
1167 ... as an Advisory working group member.”¹⁷³ Therefore, Project-related marine vessel traffic will
1168 use the existing anchorages and shipping lanes for the entirety of their route in accordance with
1169 Transport Canada’s directions.

1170 Trans Mountain’s evidence and commitments to cooperate and support the industry wide program
1171 regarding the southern resident killer whale, coupled with the benefits of the Project discussed
1172 herein, provide the Board with the necessary information to conclude that the significant adverse
1173 environmental effect predicted within this context is clearly justified, and is likely to be mitigated,
1174 in the circumstances.

¹⁷¹ Exhibit B32-1 – Trans Mountain Letter NEB IR No. 1 May 1, 2014 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H7](#)), 326.

¹⁷² Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 253.

¹⁷³ Exhibit C353-6-2 - Transport Canada Responses to NEB Information Requests received July 15, 2015 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7L6](#)), 5; Exhibit C353-7-3 - TC Responses to Tsawout First Nation Motions to Compel Full and Adequate Responses to IRs (August 4, 2015) ([A4R9H2](#)).

1175 **2.2.1.5 Environmental Effects of the Project – Oil Spills Resulting from Marine**
1176 **Incidents**

1177 On low probability occasions, marine incidents may result from equipment and human failure on
1178 tankers, including grounding of a loaded tanker or collisions between loaded tankers and other
1179 vessels. Such incidents may cause the release of hazardous substances, and thus Trans Mountain
1180 has identified them as a potential environmental burden associated with the Project. However,
1181 because of the current robust marine safety regime applicable to all vessels, which Det Norske
1182 Veritas (“DNV”) considers to be “in line with global best practices”, the likelihood of such
1183 occurrence is low. Trans Mountain has proposed additional preventive measures applicable to
1184 Project tankers that will, according to DNV, raise “the level of care and safety in the study area to
1185 well above globally accepted shipping standards”. The comprehensive marine and navigation risk
1186 study conducted for the Project by DNV provides evidence that a major oil spill will remain a low
1187 likelihood event in the region.¹⁷⁴

1188 With mitigation measures in place, Trans Mountain determined that the probability of a credible
1189 worst-case oil cargo spill from a Project tanker is forecast to have a potential return period of once
1190 in 2841 years. Therefore the combined risk mitigation effect of all measures is significant and the
1191 absolute risk of an oil cargo spill from a Project tanker is low. The existing marine network is well
1192 managed and safe and has the capacity to safely accommodate Project tankers with the application
1193 of agreed risk mitigation measures. Oil cargo spill risk in the region will remain similar to and
1194 comparable with current conditions. This fundamental conclusion from the Application has been

¹⁷⁴ Exhibit B21-1 - V8C TR 8C 12 01 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2014) ([A3S5F4](#)); Exhibit B21-2 - V8C TR 8C 12 02 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2014) ([A3S5F6](#)); Exhibit B21-3 - V8C TR 8C 12 03 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2014) ([A3S5F8](#)).

1195 reinforced by Trans Mountain's subsequent refinements, based on the TERMPOL committee's
1196 endorsements.¹⁷⁵

1197 ***Responsibilities and Plans for Spill Response***

1198 Once a tanker has completed loading and leaves the Westridge loading facility and terminal, the
1199 responsibility for the ship and its cargo fall under the jurisdiction of the *Canada Shipping Act,*
1200 *2001* and associated marine transport regulations. The existing regime comprising the International
1201 Oil Pollution Compensation Funds and Canada's Ship-source Oil Pollution Fund together provide
1202 in excess of \$1.44 billion of funding to compensate eligible spill costs in the event of an incident.¹⁷⁶

1203 Shipping oil spill incidents are responded to by WCMRC. The responsibility for a tanker-based
1204 marine spill lies with the tanker owner. WCMRC has enhanced its current response capacity to
1205 limit the effects of an oil spill incident in the Project area. The regulation of marine oil spill
1206 response is primarily defined in the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and administered by Transport
1207 Canada. The Act requires that: oil spill Response Organizations¹⁷⁷ be certified by the Minister; all
1208 large vessels and oil handling facilities have an arrangement with a certified Response
1209 Organization as a condition of operating in Canadian waters; and that the Response Organization
1210 meets or exceeds the planning standards that define minimum levels of capacity as set by
1211 regulations under the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*.¹⁷⁸

¹⁷⁵ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)), 18 – 21.

¹⁷⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62 – Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-20.

¹⁷⁷ “response organization” means a qualified person to whom the Minister issues a certificate of designation under subsection 169(1) of the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*.

¹⁷⁸ *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*, SC 2001, c 26.

1212 WCMRC is the Response Organization for the West Coast of Canada. Current planning standards
1213 require a minimum capacity to respond to oil spills of up to 10,000 tonnes in up to 72 hours plus
1214 travel time. WCMRC currently maintains capacity significantly in excess of the minimum
1215 planning standard requirement. With support of WCMRC, Trans Mountain has proposed an
1216 enhanced response regime for the Project area that will significantly reduce response time both
1217 within and outside of PMV and be capable of delivering 20,000 tonnes of capacity within 36 hours
1218 from dedicated resources staged anywhere within the area. This represents a response capacity that
1219 is double the minimum and a delivery time that is half the existing planning standards.

1220 ***Marine Incident Assessment***

1221 Trans Mountain's assessment of marine incidents is based on a comprehensive evaluation that
1222 includes a quantitative navigation risk assessment together with determining credible worst-case
1223 oil spill volume, as detailed in Section 7 - Environment of this final argument. Stochastic modelling
1224 of crude oil spills originating at several locations in the Burrard Inlet, Strait of Georgia in an area
1225 near the Fraser River Estuary, Gulf Islands and Strait of Juan de Fuca together with detailed
1226 deterministic spill modelling were used in the assessment. The scope and methods used in the
1227 Marine Ecological Risk Assessment ("Marine ERA") were based on additional application filing
1228 requirements as outlined in correspondence from the NEB to Trans Mountain in a letter dated
1229 September 10, 2013.¹⁷⁹

1230 Trans Mountain's position on the physical and chemical properties of diluted bitumen as well as
1231 its fate, transport and toxicity in the case of a spill to a marine environment is based on its own

¹⁷⁹ NEB - Letter and Filing Requirements to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Related to the Potential Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects of Increased Marine Shipping Activities - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (September 10, 2013) ([A53984](#)).

1232 research corroborated by a growing body of evidence regarding the fate and behaviour of diluted
1233 bitumen.¹⁸⁰ The studies support the assertion that higher viscosity oils such as diluted bitumen do
1234 not readily disperse as fine droplets into the water column, and are less likely to form oil mineral
1235 aggregates than light conventional crude oils.¹⁸¹ This is a difference that facilitates rather than
1236 hinders oil recovery in the unlikely event of spill.

1237 As detailed in Section 4 - Emergency Response of this final argument, in the unlikely event of a
1238 spill or release during loading at the Westridge Marine Terminal, Trans Mountain will respond
1239 immediately under the Terminal Emergency Response Plan (“ERP”). Section 7 - Environment of
1240 this final argument provides a detailed review of oil spills resulting from marine incidents. Finally,
1241 Section 9 - Economic of this final argument discusses the financial aspects of a marine oil spill
1242 including evidence illustrating that adequate financial resources are available to meet claims in the
1243 event of a spill.

1244 Trans Mountain is confident that it has adequately assessed the potential consequences of a marine
1245 oil spill in accordance with NEB and other federal guidance for emergency response and
1246 contingency planning and to ensure that risks are mitigated. Based on the findings of the ESA, the
1247 probability of a significant residual environmental effect of an oil spill arising from marine
1248 incidents as a result of the construction and operations of the Project is very low.

1249 Trans Mountain remains confident that accidents and malfunctions related to the pipeline and
1250 facilities and the increase in Project-related marine shipping activities have a low probability of

¹⁸⁰ Exhibit B18-2 – V7 5.2.8.3 F5.2.5 TO 10.0 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V6](#)) at 6-65.

¹⁸¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 25 – Fate and Behavior of Oil (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)).

1251 occurrence.¹⁸² These topics are addressed in detail in Section 7.2.1.12 - Accidents and
1252 Malfunctions (Pipeline and Facilities) and Section 7.2.2.9 - Oil Spills Resulting from Marine
1253 Incidents of this final argument. Concrete and significant socio-economic benefits will result from
1254 the Project, as detailed in Section 8 - Social and Section 9 - Economic of this final argument.

1255 Trans Mountain submits that given the detailed environmental assessment and thorough mitigation
1256 measures on record, the Project as a whole is not likely to cause significant adverse environmental
1257 effects after taking into account mitigation measures, with the exception of the potential effect of
1258 sensory disturbance on southern resident killer whale based on the existing status of that species.
1259 It is Trans Mountain's view that these potential effects, in the existing circumstances, are justified
1260 in accordance with section 52(2) of CEAA 2012.

1261 **2.2.2 Social Benefits and Burdens**

1262 Social elements that may interact with the Project include heritage resources, traditional land and
1263 resource use, traditional marine resource use, social and cultural well-being, human occupancy
1264 and resource use (including marine commercial, recreational and tourism use), infrastructure and
1265 services, navigation and navigation safety, community health and human health risk assessment.¹⁸³

1266 In order to assess local and regional interests, which vary across the numerous areas through which
1267 the Project traverses, and to allow for a more accurate estimation of social effects, Trans Mountain
1268 examined the above elements as they apply in the context of particular regions.¹⁸⁴ General and

¹⁸² Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 43 – Community Health (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 43-1.

¹⁸³ Exhibit B5-26 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 5B: ESA - Socio-Economic (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R5](#)), vi; for the purposes of this final argument the use of the word “Social” is separate from “Economic”.

¹⁸⁴ Exhibit B5-26 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 5B: ESA - Socio-Economic (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R5](#)), v.

1269 site-specific mitigation and enhancement measures have been recommended and will be
1270 implemented to ensure that the potential adverse social effects are eliminated or reduced and
1271 potential positive effects are enhanced during Project activities. The potential benefits to
1272 communities, industry and local/regional economies, in combination with various monitoring
1273 programs and investment initiatives, result in positive net overall socio-economic effects. The
1274 potential effects and benefits are discussed in detail in Section 8 - Social of this final argument.

1275 Trans Mountain's Application for the TMEP is founded on relationships with stakeholders along
1276 the TMPL, which span more than 60 years.¹⁸⁵ The majority of landowners affected by the Project
1277 are already familiar with Trans Mountain, as approximately 73 per cent of the Project follows the
1278 existing TMPL alignment.

1279 Trans Mountain's Community Benefit Program provides for a legacy for communities impacted
1280 by the construction of the pipeline along the pipeline corridor. As detailed in Section 8 - Social of
1281 this final argument, Trans Mountain has worked with numerous communities and educational
1282 institutions along the pipeline corridor to offer a legacy to communities, including community
1283 programs and infrastructure improvements, environmental stewardship, ecological benefits and
1284 educational scholarships and bursaries. These legacies serve the important purpose of building the
1285 social and human capital of communities along the pipeline right-of-way.

1286 On October 16, 2014, the District of Hope and Trans Mountain signed a Memorandum of
1287 Understanding for a Community Benefit Agreement resulting in a \$500,000 financial contribution
1288 towards upgrades at the Hope Community Recreation Park. On November 6, 2014, the District of
1289 Barriere and Trans Mountain signed a Memorandum of Understanding for a Community Benefit

¹⁸⁵ Exhibit B306-12 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a-Attachment 1-Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 82.

1290 Agreement that will see \$290,000 contributed towards improvements in Barriere, including
1291 upgrades to bike and pedestrian trails, construction of a playground splash pad, provision and
1292 planting of trees and funding for education to provide support to students in trades, technology and
1293 environmental programs. In addition to the above examples, Trans Mountain has executed
1294 Memorandums of Understanding for Community Benefit Agreements with a total value of \$5.5
1295 million as of July 31, 2015.¹⁸⁶ Parties who have executed agreements with Trans Mountain,
1296 representing 87 per cent of the proposed pipeline corridor, include: City of Edmonton, Strathcona
1297 County, Parkland County, City of Spruce Grove, Town of Stony Plain, Town of Edson, Town of
1298 Hinton, Village of Valemount, Thompson-Nicola Regional District (Areas A, B, O and P),
1299 Municipality of Clearwater, District of Barriere, City of Kamloops, City of Merritt, Municipality
1300 of Hope, Thompson Rivers University and Kwantlen Polytechnic University.¹⁸⁷

1301 **2.2.3 Economic Benefits and Burdens**

1302 The Board has previously emphasized that properly functioning markets will produce outcomes in
1303 the public interest and “[i]n order for markets to function properly, there must be adequate
1304 transportation capacity to connect supply to markets.”¹⁸⁸ Trans Mountain’s Replacement Evidence
1305 reinforces this key principle: market efficiency is in the public interest because, as part of the
1306 Board’s regulatory framework, one of the Board’s goals is that Canadians benefit from efficient
1307 energy infrastructure and markets.¹⁸⁹ Markets will not be well-functioning if energy supplies

¹⁸⁶ This information is also included in Consultation Update No. 4.

¹⁸⁷ Exhibit B306-12 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a-Attachment 1-Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 83.

¹⁸⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2007 (September 2007), 56; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines Inc. – OH-04-2007 (February 2008), 65.

¹⁸⁹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2007 (September 2007), 56.

1308 continue to be priced at a significant discount to world market prices as a result of inadequate
1309 transportation infrastructure. Such a situation is clearly contrary to the Canadian public interest.

1310 Certain intervenor evidence, such as the Gunton Report,¹⁹⁰ asks the Board to protect industry from
1311 itself and essentially pick winners and losers among transportation infrastructure projects. This
1312 regulatory approach is contrary to the Board's established approach of recommending necessary
1313 conditions and letting the market decide which projects are built.¹⁹¹ Trans Mountain has
1314 demonstrated strong market demand for the Project by executing 13 long-term firm service
1315 contracts with well capitalized and knowledgeable companies, and by obtaining NEB approval for
1316 its tolling methodology in Decision RH-01-2012.

1317 The Project involves a \$5.4 billion capital cost expenditure, which was estimated at the time that
1318 the Application was filed.¹⁹² This large investment in Canadian infrastructure will make a
1319 significant contribution to realigning Canada's pipeline system with changing supply/demand
1320 fundamentals. Trans Mountain's reply evidence clearly demonstrates the benefits of the Project to
1321 Canadian energy producers. This includes the benefits associated with improved market access for
1322 Canadian crudes to help ensure that extraordinary price discounts are avoided in future. Through
1323 the Project, Western Canadian oil production will also have the opportunity to realize higher
1324 netback prices on production that is priced in the Asia/Pacific region.¹⁹³ By helping eliminate

¹⁹⁰ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹⁹¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009, 32; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Mackenzie Gas Project – GH-1-2004 (December 2010), Volume 2, Chapter 7; Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 10.

¹⁹² Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)); Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 6.

¹⁹³ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-43.

1325 discounts on oil that does not have adequate market access and by attracting higher world prices
1326 for Canadian production, the Project will help ensure that Canada benefits from efficient energy
1327 infrastructure and obtaining the market value for its oil resources.

1328 Volumes 1, 2 and 5B of Trans Mountain’s Application highlight the socio-economic benefits that
1329 the TMEP offers to Canadians. The Project’s effects on employment and the economy are expected
1330 to be positive, due to anticipated opportunities related to regional employment,
1331 contracting/procurement, municipal economic benefits, training and capacity development, as well
1332 as the substantial benefits anticipated at the provincial and national level.¹⁹⁴ While there may be
1333 some short-term negative implications for business and livelihoods due to construction-phase land
1334 disturbance in select areas, compensation will be negotiated for any proven loss that property
1335 owners or tenure holders incur.¹⁹⁵ Trans Mountain’s evidence demonstrates that the many positive
1336 effects associated with construction and routine operation will far outweigh any short-term
1337 negative implications.¹⁹⁶

1338 The Conference Board of Canada’s report entitled “Expansion of the Trans Mountain Pipeline:
1339 Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada” details the anticipated quantifiable economic
1340 benefits related to the Project.¹⁹⁷ The construction and operation of the Project will provide
1341 substantial economic and fiscal benefits to Canada and its regions. There will be significant
1342 benefits to the parties directly involved, to Canadian oil production and to all Canadians and their
1343 governments including:

¹⁹⁴ Exhibit B5-40 – V5B ESA 15 of 16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S9](#)), 7-334.

¹⁹⁵ Exhibit B5-40 – V5B ESA 15 of 16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S9](#)), 7-334.

¹⁹⁶ Exhibit B5-40 – V5B ESA 15 of 16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S9](#)), 7-334.

¹⁹⁷ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)).

- 1344 (a) The development (construction) period is forecasted to boost Canadian Gross Domestic
1345 Product (“GDP”) by approximately \$4.9 billion, with \$2.8 billion accruing to B.C. and \$1.4
1346 billion to Alberta. There will be a total of 58,000 person-years of employment generated
1347 across Canada during development, with approximately 36,000 in B.C. and 15,000 in
1348 Alberta.
- 1349 (b) There will be \$646 million in federal taxes generated during the Project development phase
1350 and an additional \$568 million of provincial taxes, with \$309 million received by B.C. and
1351 \$168 million by Alberta.
- 1352 (c) There will be an overall boost to employment of 65,000 person-years during the first 20
1353 years of operations, with 60 per cent of the jobs being created in B.C. and 20 per cent in
1354 Alberta.
- 1355 (d) The operations phase will boost Canadian GDP by \$17.3 billion over the first 20 years.
- 1356 (e) The Project will generate about \$1.9 billion in additional tax revenues for the federal
1357 government during operations and an additional \$1.1 billion in provincial taxes, with B.C.
1358 receiving about \$943 million and Alberta receiving about \$360 million.
- 1359 (f) Oil producer revenues are forecasted to rise by \$73.5 billion over the first 20 years of the
1360 pipeline’s operations, as a result of higher netbacks attributed to the market access provided
1361 by the TMEP. This generates total federal and provincial fiscal benefits of \$23.7 billion.
1362 Federal corporate income tax accounts for \$10.2 billion of these benefits. The combined
1363 royalty and corporate income tax benefits is \$12.5 billion for Alberta and \$922 million for
1364 Saskatchewan. Manitoba and B.C. also produce small amounts of light oil and thus
1365 experience direct provincial impacts of \$25.7 million and \$14.8 million, respectively.¹⁹⁸

¹⁹⁸ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 8 & 39-40 [amounts in 2012 Canadian dollars].

- 1366 (g) In addition to the tax benefits created at the federal and provincial levels, the Project will
1367 also yield benefits to communities along the right-of-way through employment and
1368 economic activity, and generating additional property taxes for the life of the pipeline. As
1369 part of the environmental and socio-economic analysis presented in Volume 5B, it was
1370 estimated that the additional property taxes generated by the Project will be about \$22.1
1371 million (a 103 per cent increase) annually in B.C. and \$3.2 million (a 119 per cent increase)
1372 annually in Alberta.¹⁹⁹
- 1373 (h) As previously discussed, the report prepared by the Conference Board of Canada did not
1374 include the positive economic impact of increased tanker traffic on port operations in the
1375 analysis. Intervenors²⁰⁰ nonetheless included negative economic impacts on port
1376 operations from a potential spill while excluding the positive impacts of the Project.
1377 Increased tanker activity associated with the Project brings approximately \$108 million in
1378 economic benefits to the local Vancouver economy on an annual basis. During the first 20
1379 years of Project operations, this amounts to approximately \$2.2 billion excluding the
1380 indirect and induced impacts from multiplier effects.²⁰¹
- 1381 (i) Trans Mountain is committed to supporting WCMRC in implementing enhancements to
1382 improve marine spill response capacity in the region. The enhancements will benefit the
1383 entire shipping community in the Salish Sea. If the Project proceeds, Trans Mountain will
1384 support the enhancement of WCMRC's existing resources through an additional
1385 investment of approximately \$100 million. Trans Mountain is committed to supporting

¹⁹⁹ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-42.

²⁰⁰ Exhibit C77-31-8 - Appendix 83 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9G4](#)).

²⁰¹ Exhibit B418-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.06 - Reply to City of Vancouver “Potential Economic Impact of a Tanker Spill on Ocean-Dependent Activities in Vancouver” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K3](#)).

1386 WCMRC in implementing enhancements to improve marine spill response capacity in the
1387 region. The enhancements will benefit the entire shipping community in the Salish Sea.²⁰²
1388 If the Project proceeds, Trans Mountain will support the enhancement of WCMRC's
1389 existing resources through an additional investment of approximately \$100 million.²⁰³

1390 Throughout the review process, Trans Mountain has proactively identified and mitigated potential
1391 burdens on communities that may be negatively impacted in the absence of such mitigation. A
1392 comprehensive assessment of potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the proposed
1393 pipeline and facilities is provided in Volume 5A and Volume 5B of the Application. The
1394 identification of potential socio-economic effects and development of mitigation measures
1395 designed to reduce any negative impacts have been facilitated by thorough and ongoing
1396 consultation with local communities, Aboriginal groups and other stakeholders.

1397 Trans Mountain developed an extensive suite of mitigation commitments, which are summarized
1398 in Environmental Protection Plans ("EPP"), which will reduce adverse local Project effects during
1399 construction and routine operations and that also seek to maximize local economic opportunities.
1400 For each potential effect, Trans Mountain has noted the mitigation it will undertake to minimize
1401 adverse effects and maximize opportunities (i.e., local/regional economic opportunities). Trans
1402 Mountain's proposed mitigation measures are summarized in the EPPs, which are detailed further
1403 in Section 3.18 – Environmental Protection Plans of this final argument.

1404 The Pipeline EPPs also include mitigation particular to the socio-economic environment including
1405 a Socio-Economic Management Plan and the Agricultural Management Plan. EPPs also identify

²⁰² Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62- Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-7.

²⁰³ Exhibit B18-32 - V8A 5.4.4.7.2 TO T5.5.3 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y6](#)), 8A-608; Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-81.

1406 resource-specific mitigation and measures related to the protection of traditional use resources or
1407 culturally sensitive areas (e.g., use of Aboriginal Monitors, Traditional Land Use Sites Discovery
1408 Contingency Plan, Heritage Resources Discovery Contingency Plan).

1409 Trans Mountain has also made extensive commitments regarding environmental compliance
1410 which are detailed in Volume 6A, including environmental inspection during construction and
1411 post-construction monitoring. Trans Mountain has also made commitments (based on the Draft
1412 Conditions issued by the NEB) regarding monitoring of socio-economic effects including
1413 developing: (i) training and education monitoring plan;²⁰⁴ (ii) Aboriginal, local and regional
1414 employment and business opportunity monitoring;²⁰⁵ and, (iii) monitoring of adverse socio-
1415 economic effects during construction.²⁰⁶

1416 Trans Mountain acknowledges that through its ongoing consultation process, as well as through
1417 the evidentiary process of the hearings, oil spills having consequences outside of company
1418 property may have negative economic impacts on local communities if not mitigated. The
1419 Application and subsequent evidence has documented the comprehensive measures that are in
1420 place to reduce the risks of such an incident occurring, to reduce the consequences if such an
1421 incident does occur and to mitigate through compensation and restoration any residual
1422 consequences in the event of an occurrence.

1423 Trans Mountain has sufficient financial capacity to fund restoration efforts and compensate those
1424 affected based on estimates of pipeline spill costs and those originating from the Westridge Marine

²⁰⁴ Exhibit B32-2-Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1, 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 146-149.

²⁰⁵ Exhibit B32-2-Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1, 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 155-158.

²⁰⁶ Exhibit B32-2-Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1, 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 125-129.

1425 Terminal.²⁰⁷ Specifically, Trans Mountain maintains both general liability and spill liability
1426 insurance that would be maintained throughout the operating life of the Project.²⁰⁸ The
1427 compensation regime for tankers based spills is governed by the *Marine Liability Act*.²⁰⁹ Under
1428 those provisions, the tanker owner is the responsible party. Compensation mechanisms are met
1429 through insurance carried by the ship owners and adherence to international compensation regimes
1430 that are currently capped through provisions in the International Oil Pollution Compensation Fund
1431 (“IOPC Fund”) and Canada’s complementary Ship-source Oil Pollution Fund. The IOPC Fund
1432 consists of two tiers which backstop the funding available to the ship owner’s insurance required
1433 under the Civil Liability Convention. Countries can opt in or out of the second tier; however,
1434 Canada subscribes to both. Together, this regime provides in excess of \$1.44 billion of funding to
1435 compensate eligible spill costs in the event of an incident.²¹⁰ Moreover, under the Civil Liability
1436 Convention to which Canada is a party, ship owner liability is unlimited in event of negligence.²¹¹

1437 The evidence provided by Trans Mountain in support of the Project adheres to the guidance
1438 provided by the Board, is in line with the evidence submitted in support of other projects that have
1439 received Board approval and demonstrates that the Project would result in substantial economic
1440 and societal benefits that far outweigh any potential burdens and risks once mitigation efforts are
1441 accounted for.

²⁰⁷ Exhibit B018 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 7, 8A (December 17, 2013) ([A56025](#)).

²⁰⁸ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 24-30.

²⁰⁹ SC 2001, c 6.

²¹⁰ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62 – Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-17.

²¹¹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 61 – Marine Spill Liability Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 61-11.

1442 **2.2.4 Aboriginal Engagement**

1443 The objectives of Trans Mountain’s Aboriginal Engagement Program were achieved in a variety
1444 of ways, including through the sharing of Project information, providing capacity funding to
1445 review the Application, negotiating group and community-specific protocols and discussing the
1446 adequacy of planned impact mitigation.²¹² Trans Mountain has made every reasonable effort to
1447 ensure that all Aboriginal groups, including communities, associations and councils, who express
1448 an interest in Project engagement have been provided an opportunity to engage in meaningful
1449 dialogue in the manner they choose, and in a way that meets their objectives and values.²¹³ Trans
1450 Mountain submits that the process and outcomes of Trans Mountain’s Aboriginal engagement
1451 efforts support a recommendation that the Project is in the public interest.

1452 ***Meaningful and Responsive Aboriginal Engagement***

1453 Trans Mountain made significant efforts to gain a better understanding of Aboriginal interests,
1454 values, concerns, contemporary and historic activities, Aboriginal traditional knowledge and the
1455 important issues facing each potentially affected Aboriginal group as part of its assessments. This
1456 understanding was guided by Traditional Ecological Knowledge (“TEK”), Traditional Land and
1457 Resource Use (“TLRU”), Traditional Marine Resource Use (“TMRU”) studies and Cultural Use
1458 Assessments conducted by Aboriginal groups with Trans Mountain’s support. The results of the
1459 studies and assessments are incorporated into the Socio-Economic Effects Assessment of
1460 Traditional Land and Resource Use, Traditional Marine Resource Use and Cumulative Effects
1461 Assessment contained in the Application.²¹⁴ The results are also incorporated into the EPP and

²¹² Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-11.

²¹³ Exhibit B10-3 - V5D TR5D1 2of4 TRAD LAND RESOURCE (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2G9](#)). The results of this study are detailed in Section 5.4.4 of Volume 5D-1 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2G9](#)).

²¹⁴ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-12; Exhibit B241-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Traditional Land Use Part 1 of 4 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4Z2](#)); Exhibit B291-31 – Part 13

1462 environmental alignment sheets to inform site-specific mitigation. The opportunity to conduct
1463 community-led and Trans Mountain-funded studies for the Project were provided at the request of
1464 interested Aboriginal groups.²¹⁵

1465 There is a close relationship between TLRU and the condition of the environment and the resources
1466 therein. In this regard, many of the concerns raised by Aboriginal groups related to environmental
1467 impacts associated with the Project. To gather site specific environmental resource data, Trans
1468 Mountain conducted extensive environmental studies along the proposed pipeline corridor. The
1469 assessment considered the potential environmental effects of the construction, operations and
1470 maintenance of the Project, the ways in which these effects could be minimized or avoided
1471 altogether, and mitigation and reclamation strategies that would further reduce these effects.
1472 Details of Trans Mountain's EPPs are addressed in Section 3.18 of this final argument. As
1473 discussed therein, Trans Mountain is committed to developing and implementing an environmental
1474 education program to ensure that all personnel working on the construction of the Project are
1475 informed of the location of and avoid impacts to TLRU sites.

1476 In some cases, Aboriginal groups expressed concerns regarding the ability to maintain their role
1477 as environmental stewards if the Project is constructed. Trans Mountain has committed to engaging
1478 Aboriginal groups through all phases of the Project. During Project construction, Aboriginal

Traditional Marine Resource Use Supplemental Report (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D2](#)); Exhibits B1-6, B1-7 , B1-8, B1-9– Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 3A, Public Consultation (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#), [A3S0R3](#), [A3S0R4](#), [A3S0R5](#) plus appendices); Exhibit B27 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Consultation Update No. 1 – Errata (March 20, 2014) ([A59343](#)); Exhibit B248, B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 and Consultation Update No. 2 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#) and [A62088](#)); Exhibit B306-12, B306-13, B306-14, B306-15, B306-16, B306-17, - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a - Consultation Update No. 3 – ([A4H1W2](#), [A4H1W3](#), [A4H1W4](#), [A4H1W5](#), [A4H1W6](#), [A4H1W7](#)); Exhibits B417-21 to B417-22 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#), [A4S7G9](#)); Exhibit B5-41 - V5B ESA 16 of 16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1T0](#)), 8-33.

²¹⁵ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2016) ([A3S0U5](#)).

1479 Monitors will work with Environmental Inspectors to provide traditional knowledge to the
1480 construction program to implement the EPPs to ensure protection of the environment and to
1481 monitor mitigation success in protecting the environment.²¹⁶

1482 Aboriginal groups also expressed concerns regarding the effects of an oil spill on community
1483 health, either indirectly through impacts on cultural activities, sensitive sites or food resources, or
1484 directly through increased stress, anxiety and the perception of contamination. Trans Mountain
1485 acknowledges the high level of First Nation, government and public concern about spills, and
1486 evidence from past spills demonstrates that Aboriginal peoples who rely on subsistence foods and
1487 natural resources are at greatest risk for adverse effects.

1488 To protect sensitive environmental areas (e.g., the Adams River) Trans Mountain has adopted
1489 measures such as strategically placed pipeline valves near waterways and trenchless river crossings
1490 at some locations. Trans Mountain remains confident that accidents and malfunctions related to
1491 the pipeline and facilities and the increase in Project-related marine shipping activities have a low
1492 probability of occurrence. Further, the construction and routine operations will not result in
1493 significant adverse effects on the ability of Aboriginal communities to continue to use land, waters
1494 or resources for traditional purposes, and thus the Project's contribution to potential broader
1495 cultural impacts related to access to and use of natural resources is also considered not
1496 significant.²¹⁷

1497 Through the Aboriginal Engagement Program, as detailed in Section 6 - Aboriginal, Trans
1498 Mountain works collaboratively with Aboriginal groups to support access to economic

²¹⁶ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 1-3.

²¹⁷ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 41 – Social and Cultural Well-Being (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 41-2.

1499 development opportunities that will arise as a result of the Project. These include employment and
1500 procurement opportunities and education, training and community investments to maximize access
1501 to these opportunities.²¹⁸ To foster the creation and development of these opportunities, a funding
1502 program has been established to contribute to education and training initiatives that focus on
1503 pipeline construction and related transferable skills. Trans Mountain has also connected Aboriginal
1504 business offerings relevant to Project construction or operation business opportunities.²¹⁹

1505 Trans Mountain's approach to Aboriginal engagement in relation to the Project has been inclusive
1506 and responsive. In total, 30 Aboriginal groups in communities in Alberta and B.C. (including
1507 Vancouver Island) have provided written letters of support for the Project.²²⁰ In several cases,
1508 Aboriginal groups expressed their view that the Project will result in positive effects.²²¹ Trans
1509 Mountain continues its engagement with Aboriginal groups to address their Project specific
1510 concerns and maximize Project-related benefits.

1511 *Aboriginal Interests and the Duty to Consult*

1512 Pursuant to the List of Issues, the Board will consider the potential impacts of the Project on
1513 Aboriginal interests. The Board does not owe the Crown's constitutional duty to consult with
1514 Aboriginal groups. Ultimately, the legal responsibility to meet the duty lies with the Crown.²²² The

²¹⁸ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2016) ([A3S0U5](#)).

²¹⁹ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-1-2.

²²⁰ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 9; Exhibit C120-3-1 – Regulatory Support Letter (November 10, 2015) ([A4V2W0](#)); Exhibit C189-10-1 - KLCN Regulator Support Letter – (December 7, 2015) ([A4W3E0](#)); Samson Cree - Letter of Support to NEB - Dec. 10, 2015 (December 14, 2015) ([A4W6C1](#)).

²²¹ See, e.g., Canim Lake Band - Withdraw of Objection to Trans Mountain Expansion Project (January 20, 2015) ([A4G7F0](#)); Paul First Nation - Letter of Comment (April 28, 2014) ([A3W1J4](#)).

²²² *Standing Buffalo Dakota First Nation v Enbridge Pipelines Inc*, 2009 FCA 308, para 34; *Quebec (Attorney General) v Canada (National Energy Board)*, [1994] 1 SCR 159, 184.

1515 duty to consult arises whenever the Crown has knowledge, real or constructive, of the potential
1516 existence of an Aboriginal or treaty right, and contemplates conduct that may adversely affect it.
1517 The content of the duty varies with the circumstances and will depend on factors before the Court,
1518 such as the subject matter and the strength of the claim.

1519 The Crown may rely on the regulatory process established by the Board to fulfill the duty to
1520 consult.²²³ In August 2013, the Major Projects Management Office (Natural Resources Canada)
1521 (“MPMO”) indicated that the federal Crown would rely on the NEB’s public regulatory process,
1522 to the extent possible, to fulfil any Crown duty to consult Aboriginal groups with respect to the
1523 Project.²²⁴ The Crown clearly indicated that it did not delegate the duty to consult to Trans
1524 Mountain.²²⁵

1525 In Trans Mountain’s view, Aboriginal groups have been adequately consulted regarding the
1526 Project. The NEB process has provided ample opportunities for Aboriginal groups to participate
1527 and be heard. In total, over 130 Aboriginal groups raised issues with the Board related to
1528 Aboriginal interests and title issues. The Board expected Trans Mountain to consult with
1529 potentially impacted Aboriginal groups early in the Project planning and design phases²²⁶ and
1530 Trans Mountain took this responsibility seriously. Based on its interactions with Aboriginal
1531 groups, Trans Mountain submits that it has proposed mitigation measures that adequately address
1532 the Project-related concerns it received from Aboriginal groups.

²²³ *Carrier Sekani Tribal Council v British Columbia (Utilities Commission)*, 2010 SCC 43, para 56, citing *Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73, para 51.

²²⁴ Exhibit A1-1 - Attachments 1-4 of Letters to Aboriginal Groups with Description of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (August 13, 2013) ([A3K1S9](#)).

²²⁵ Exhibit C249-13-8 – 7 – NRCAN on behalf of Government of Canada-Response to Pacheedaht First Nation IRs (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4A0](#)), 5.

²²⁶ NEB Filing Manual, Released 2014-03.

1533 **2.3 TERMPOL Review**

1534 In conjunction with the NEB review process, Trans Mountain initiated the voluntary TERMPOL
1535 process under Transport Canada's jurisdiction. The TERMPOL process is a voluntary federal
1536 review process that focuses on safety and the TERMPOL Review Committee completed a
1537 structured technical review of the marine transportation components of the Project. The review
1538 process was chaired and led by Transport Canada and the TERMPOL Review Committee
1539 consisted of a number of federal agencies, each expert in their field.

1540 Trans Mountain commissioned a number of studies to provide recommendations to Transport
1541 Canada, the TERMPOL Review Committee and other relevant responsible authorities to
1542 understand and improve the safety of marine transportation related to the Project. The purpose of
1543 the TERMPOL review was to assess the safety and risks associated with tanker movements
1544 between the Pacific Ocean to, from and around the Westridge Marine Terminal resulting from the
1545 Project. The TERMPOL review for the Project included consideration of:

- 1546 (a) review of ship casualty data, global, national, regional and local;
- 1547 (b) ship design and operation;
- 1548 (c) navigational and physical characteristics of the entire route within Canada's Territorial Sea,
1549 from approaches to the terminal;
- 1550 (d) metocean conditions including wind, wave and weather conditions for the entire route;
- 1551 (e) current traffic count and evaluation for the different vessel categories identified operating
1552 within the study area;
- 1553 (f) forecast traffic and evolution of different vessel categories identified operating within the
1554 study area;
- 1555 (g) terminal design and infrastructure;
- 1556 (h) hazard identification;

- 1557 (i) incremental risk and accident analysis resulting from the Project along the transit route and
1558 at the terminal, and the related mitigating measures;
- 1559 (j) pollution prevention program; and
- 1560 (k) contingency plans.

1561 Although the TERMPOL review process was voluntary, Trans Mountain sought to draw on the
1562 expertise of the TERMPOL Review Committee to provide significant information to enhance the
1563 safety of the Project. The review process allowed Trans Mountain to develop safety measures and
1564 then seek endorsement of those measures from the TERMPOL Review Committee, including
1565 Transport Canada.²²⁷

1566 The TERMPOL Review Process Report on the Trans Mountain Expansion Project and the
1567 recommendations therein was submitted to the NEB on December 11, 2014.²²⁸ Trans Mountain
1568 voluntarily agreed to adopt each of the reports 17 recommendations and 31 findings in the manner
1569 outlined in Trans Mountain's response to the Board.²²⁹ In its report, the TERMPOL Review
1570 Committee acknowledged the robust nature of all current measures and endorsed a number of key
1571 improvements proposed by Trans Mountain which include:

- 1572 (a) Expanded use of tethered and untethered tug escort;
- 1573 (b) Extension of pilot disembarkation zone;
- 1574 (c) Establishing enhanced situational awareness;
- 1575 (d) Safety calls by laden tankers;

²²⁷ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)), 1.

²²⁸ Exhibit C353-4 - Transport Canada - TERMPOL Review Process Report on the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (December 11, 2014) ([A64923](#)).

²²⁹ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)).

- 1576 (e) Notices to Industry;
- 1577 (f) Engagement and awareness strategy led by Pacific Pilotage Authority;
- 1578 (g) More use of Automatic Identification Systems (“AIS”) and radar reflector by smaller
1579 vessels; and
- 1580 (h) Enhanced oil spill response regime.

1581 Trans Mountain is actively working with the appropriate agency to develop plans that will ensure
1582 the recommendations and findings are wholly satisfied prior to the Project, if approved, becoming
1583 operational.

1584 The Application contains a list of potential federal permits and approvals required for the
1585 Project.²³⁰ Trans Mountain intends to work with federal regulatory agencies to provide them the
1586 information they need to fulfill the information requirements for their regulatory processes.

1587 **2.4 Provincial Considerations**

1588 Trans Mountain is continuing its work with provincial and municipal agencies to understand their
1589 expectations for information and permits related to federally regulated projects. A list of potential
1590 provincial permits and approvals in both Alberta and B.C. is also provided in the Application.²³¹
1591 Ultimately, though, the Project is federally regulated by the NEB, and while Trans Mountain will
1592 endeavour to work with the Provinces and municipalities and to satisfy their needs, regulatory
1593 approval of the Project is a federal decision.

²³⁰ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-59-2-60.

²³¹ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-59-2-60.

1594 In July 2012, the Province of B.C. announced five conditions that it said must be met for B.C. to
1595 consider support for heavy oil pipelines. Trans Mountain has endeavored to address these
1596 conditions, some of which are of interest to other governments and stakeholders, as follows:

1597 (a) Successful completion of the environmental review process - The NEB has a well-
1598 established process to review Trans Mountain's Application for the Project, including
1599 completing an environmental assessment under CEAA 2012. The NEB will make a
1600 recommendation whether the Project is in the Canadian public interest. The NEB also has
1601 an Environmental Assessment Equivalency Agreement with the B.C. Environmental
1602 Assessment Office.²³²

1603 (b) World-leading marine oil spill response, prevention and recovery systems for B.C.'s
1604 coastline and ocean to manage and mitigate the risks and cost of heavy oil pipelines and
1605 shipments – The federal Tanker Safety Expert Panel made recommendations in December
1606 2013 to ensure rapid and sufficient oil spill response. In May 2014, the Government of
1607 Canada announced it would further strengthen Canada's tanker safety system with
1608 additional measures based on recommendations from the Tanker Safety Expert Panel and
1609 other studies.²³³ These recommendations will improve Canada's system for ship-source oil
1610 spill preparedness and response in order to better protect the public and the environment.
1611 The TERMPOL review process also allowed Trans Mountain to develop safety measures
1612 and then seek endorsement of those measures from the TERMPOL Review Committee,
1613 including Transport Canada.²³⁴ The TERMPOL Review Committee acknowledged the

²³² National Energy Board – B.C. Environmental Assessment Office Equivalency Agreement (June 21, 2010).

²³³ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 59 – Marine Transportation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 59-5 – 59-6.

²³⁴ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)), 1.

1614 robust nature of all current measures and endorsed improvements proposed by Trans
1615 Mountain. Trans Mountain voluntarily agreed to adopt each of the findings and
1616 recommendations in the TERMPOL report.²³⁵ The Westridge Marine Terminal safety
1617 regime is based on regulatory requirements, local experience (since 1953) and international
1618 best practices. It is comprehensive, well established and has proven to be safe and effective.
1619 Trans Mountain is committed to supporting WCMRC in implementing enhancements to
1620 improve marine spill response capacity in the region. The enhancements will benefit the
1621 entire shipping community in the Salish Sea.²³⁶ If the Project proceeds, Trans Mountain
1622 will support the enhancement of WCMRC's existing resources²³⁷ through an additional
1623 investment of approximately \$100 million. The results of this investment are as follows:
1624 (i) planning standards for marine emergency response capacity will double with a delivery
1625 time that is half the existing planning standards; (ii) times for initiating a response will be
1626 reduced to a maximum of two hours of notification in the PMV and six hours for the
1627 remainder of the response area, with the ability to deliver 20,000 tonnes of capacity within
1628 36 hours; (iii) close to 100 new WCMRC staff will be hired; and (iv) five new bases will
1629 be opened at locations along the shipping route in Southern B.C., some of which will
1630 operate 24 hours per day.

1631 (c) World-leading practices for land oil-spill prevention, response and recovery – The new
1632 *Pipeline Safety Act*²³⁸ introduces a suite of new measures to strengthen incident prevention,

²³⁵ Exhibit B300-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR TERMPOL Rpt and Outstanding Filings (January 2, 2015) ([A4G3U5](#)).

²³⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62 – Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-7.

²³⁷ Exhibit B18-32 - V8A 5.4.4.7.2 TO T5.5.3 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) (A3S4Y6), 8A-608; Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-81.

²³⁸ SC 2015, c 21.

1633 preparedness and response and liability and compensation and these measures, taken
1634 together, aim to ensure that Canada's federally regulated pipeline safety system is world
1635 class and will remain so in the future.²³⁹ KMC has an established EMP that is central to
1636 KMC's response to an emergency. Key elements of this program include information on
1637 responder health and safety, initial response actions, communication and notification
1638 protocols, site assessment, containment and recovery methods and protection of sensitive
1639 areas including wildlife protection. The NEB enforces the monitoring and auditing of the
1640 EMP through the OPR.²⁴⁰ To ensure that companies are fulfilling their obligations under
1641 the OPR, EMPs are subject to audit by the NEB. Board staff regularly conduct compliance
1642 verification activities, emergency response exercise evaluations and emergency procedures
1643 manual reviews to verify that companies are prepared to anticipate, prevent, manage and
1644 mitigate emergency situations. KMC staff, through interactions with the NEB during
1645 emergency response exercises and other compliance verification activities, continuously
1646 demonstrate compliance with EMP requirements including the ability to anticipate,
1647 prevent, manage and mitigate emergency situations.²⁴¹ Trans Mountain has utilized design
1648 criteria, leak detection and containment systems, fire detection and suppression systems,
1649 operations management and emergency response planning to minimize risks of land-based
1650 incidents.²⁴²

²³⁹ Exhibit C249-9-1 - NRCan Written Evidence Submission TMX (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0V2](#)), 9-12; Bill C-46 received Royal Assent on June 18, 2015, however, regulations to support the legislation have not yet been provided.

²⁴⁰ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-82 to 1-84.

²⁴¹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 403-408.

²⁴² Exhibit B18-1 - V7 1.0 TO 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-19 – 7-20.

- 1651 (d) Legal requirements regarding Aboriginal and treaty rights are addressed, and First Nations
1652 are provided with the opportunities, information and resources necessary to participate in
1653 and benefit from a heavy-oil project – As detailed previously in this final argument, Trans
1654 Mountain has endeavoured to gather Aboriginal perspectives on rights and interests, and
1655 identify issues and concerns relating to those rights and the Project. Trans Mountain views
1656 working with Aboriginal communities along the route as part of its long-term commitment
1657 to promote open, transparent and mutually beneficial relationships with these communities
1658 and with Aboriginal businesses.²⁴³ This is evidenced by the 30 support letters received for
1659 the Project from affected Aboriginal communities.²⁴⁴
- 1660 (e) B.C. receives a fair share of the fiscal and economic benefits of a proposed heavy oil project
1661 that reflects the level, degree and nature of the risk borne by the province, the environment
1662 and taxpayers – B.C. will receive enormous economic benefits as a result of the Project.
1663 Spending on the Project during the construction phase is expected to generate
1664 approximately \$1.2 billion of combined provincial and federal government revenues,
1665 including \$394 million to B.C. The operations phase will boost Canadian GDP by at least
1666 \$17.3 billion over the first 20 years, with B.C accounting for \$11.1 billion or 64 per cent
1667 of the total. The Project will generate about \$4.5 billion in additional tax revenues for the
1668 federal and provincial governments during construction and the first 20 years of operation,
1669 with B.C. receiving the largest share of any province at \$1.6 billion or 36 per cent. The
1670 construction and first 20 years of operation of the Project is expected to generate

²⁴³ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-84 – 1-86.

²⁴⁴ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 9; Exhibit C120-3-1 – Regulatory Support Letter (November 10, 2015) ([A4V2W0](#)); Exhibit C189-10-1 - KLCN Regulator Support Letter (December 7, 2015) ([A4W3E0](#)); Samson Cree - Letter of Support to NEB - Dec. 10, 2015 (December 14, 2015) ([A4W6C1](#)).

1671 approximately 123,000 person-years of employment, and B.C. accounts for approximately
1672 75,000 or 61 per cent of the total. Oil producer revenues are forecasted to rise by \$73.5
1673 billion over the first 20 years of the pipeline's operations, as a result of higher netbacks
1674 attributed to the market access provided by the TMEP, generating total fiscal benefits of
1675 \$23.7 billion, and B.C.'s share of this is \$1.4 billion.²⁴⁵ Communities in B.C. are expected
1676 to receive increased property taxes of approximately \$22 million per year.²⁴⁶ The additional
1677 tankers calling at PMV as a result of the Project bring approximately \$108 million of
1678 economic benefits to the local Vancouver economy on an annual basis excluding associated
1679 economic multiplier effects.²⁴⁷ There will be an overall boost to employment of 65,000
1680 person-years during the first 20 years of operations, with 60 per cent of the jobs being
1681 created in B.C. and 20 per cent in Alberta.²⁴⁸

1682 Trans Mountain has endeavored to address B.C.'s five conditions, as detailed above, through a
1683 comprehensive analysis of the potential benefits, effects and risk mitigation for the expansion. If
1684 approved by the NEB, the construction and long-term operation of the Project including the
1685 associated marine activities will be done to the highest standards of environmental performance,
1686 support Aboriginal communities and benefit British Columbians, Albertans and Canadians.²⁴⁹

²⁴⁵ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 8, 39-40 [amount in 2012 Canadian dollars].

²⁴⁶ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-77 – 1-86.

²⁴⁷ Exhibit B418-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.06 - Reply to City of Vancouver “Potential Economic Impact of a Tanker Spill on Ocean-Dependent Activities in Vancouver” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K3](#)).

²⁴⁸ Exhibit B286-2 - Report- Conference Board of Canada (November 24, 2014) ([A4F2K9](#)), 6-8; Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-42.

²⁴⁹ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-78.

1687 **2.5 Legal Framework Conclusion**

1688 The evidentiary record provides the Board with sufficient information to factor and balance
1689 economic, environmental and social considerations into its public interest recommendation
1690 regarding the Project.²⁵⁰ The Board’s public interest consideration is inclusive of all Canadians—
1691 meaning people locally, regionally and nationally.²⁵¹ When the potential adverse impacts and risks
1692 of the Project are balanced with the predicted benefits and Trans Mountain’s plans to avoid,
1693 mitigate and manage those potential adverse impacts and risks, it is clear that the Project is in the
1694 Canadian public interest.

1695

²⁵⁰ National Energy Board. 2014. “Responsibilities”, online: <<https://www.neb-one.gc.ca/bts/whwr/rspnsblt/index-eng.html>> Acquired April 16, 2015.

²⁵¹ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, Chapter 2.3.

1696 **3. PROJECT DESIGN**

1697 **3.1 Overview**

1698 In designing the Project, Trans Mountain has drawn on its extensive experience with safely
1699 operating the TMPL for more than 60 years. The Project's design will meet or exceed the
1700 requirements of the OPR, CSA Z662, Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems, and include an iterative risk-
1701 based assessment process that identifies high-consequence areas of the design. Design
1702 considerations and mitigation measures have been proposed to reduce the overall risk. Following
1703 years of engagement, Trans Mountain worked diligently to address the concerns that were raised
1704 by stakeholders and Aboriginal groups, including by modifying its engineering designs.

1705 The JRP for the Northern Gateway Project provided guidance regarding the expectations for a
1706 pipeline project's engineering design at the hearing stage. The JRP expected the proponent to
1707 follow good engineering practice, consisting of applying informed judgment and proven and
1708 accepted engineering methods, procedures and practices to address technical problems.²⁵² It said:

1709 The application of good engineering practice results in an
1710 appropriate, cost-effective solution that meets the needs of the
1711 project, meets regulatory requirements, and protects the safety of
1712 persons, the environment, and property, when the solution is
1713 properly implemented and maintained. Where there are potential
1714 unknowns that are difficult to predict accurately due to natural
1715 variability, the Panel finds that a precautionary approach is needed
1716 in applying good engineering practice.²⁵³

1717 A pipeline proponent's responsibility is to provide a level of engineering information that meets
1718 or exceeds regulatory requirements for a thorough and comprehensive review, in terms of whether
1719 or not it can construct and operate a project in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.²⁵⁴

²⁵² Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 52.

²⁵³ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 52.

²⁵⁴ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Mackenzie Gas Project – GH-1-2004 (December 2010), Volume 2, 113.

1720 Regulators have acknowledged that final designs require a greater level of detail about the Project's
1721 precise route and geotechnical conditions than is available at the hearing stage.²⁵⁵

1722 In this section Trans Mountain has detailed its approach to the design of the Project and proposed
1723 mitigation measures. The TMEP design process focused on ensuring the safe shipment and storage
1724 of crude oil throughout the Project's life. Trans Mountain is employing risk-based design as the
1725 basis of identifying optimal risk-mitigation measures, such as valve placement and location, and
1726 incorporating those risk mitigation measures into the final design. This risk-based design process
1727 constitutes the engineering assessment through which the final design will be arrived at. Risk based
1728 design is an iterative process that utilizes evaluations of risk to identify areas where risk mitigation
1729 measures can be incorporated into design, and directs the selection of appropriate measures.²⁵⁶

1730 The iterative risk-based design approach, which is described further in Trans Mountain's reply
1731 evidence,²⁵⁷ is currently underway, and will continue to progress through to completion of the
1732 detailed design with incorporation of specific risk mitigation measures into the final design. Some
1733 examples of typical risk mitigation strategies include: the mitigation of third party damage through
1734 increased depth of cover, increased wall thickness or pipeline markers, mitigation of
1735 environmental consequences through the refinement of valve placement and the mitigation of
1736 geotechnical threats through threat avoidance.²⁵⁸

²⁵⁵ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 52.

²⁵⁶ Exhibit B291-10 – Trans Mountain Follow-Up Response to NEB F-IR No. 2.110c (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5A1](#)), 2.

²⁵⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 10 – Pipeline System & Engineering Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 10-2.

²⁵⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 10 – Pipeline System & Engineering Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 10-2.

1737 Trans Mountain applied good engineering practice to ensure compliance with all applicable laws
1738 and regulations, as well as industry-accepted codes and standards, KMC standards, specifications,
1739 manuals and recommended practices and giving particular consideration to the range of terrain and
1740 environmental conditions that the TMEP will cross. The Project will be designed in accordance
1741 with the OPR, which incorporate, by reference, the applicable CSA Z662 Oil and Gas Pipeline
1742 Systems standard.²⁵⁹ The recently updated CSA Z662-15 pipeline standard, released in June 2015,
1743 applies to the Project and Trans Mountain will meet it.²⁶⁰ The NEB has required the use of these
1744 standards for other major pipeline projects.²⁶¹ The Project was designed to meet or exceed all
1745 applicable regulations and standards. In some instances, such as the specified use of Category II
1746 pipe instead of Category I for added fracture initiation resistance, the Project design has exceeded
1747 the applicable regulations and standards. In its written evidence, NRCan confirmed that it was
1748 satisfied with Trans Mountain's pipeline integrity and materials commitments and had no
1749 additional concerns:

- 1750 (a) NRCan notes that the proponent has made the following commitments related to the
1751 Project:
- 1752 (i) Trans Mountain will implement weld toughness testing of submerged arc welds at
1753 minus 5 degrees Celsius (-5°C) temperature.
 - 1754 (ii) Trans Mountain will commit to implementing weld toughness testing of electric
1755 welded pipe at minus 5 degrees Celsius (-5°C) temperature.

²⁵⁹ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-4; *National Energy Board Onshore Pipeline Regulations*, SOR/99-294, s 4(1)(d).

²⁶⁰ Exhibit B413-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Response to NEB IR No 6 (July 22 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 118.

²⁶¹ CEAA-MVEIRB Joint Review Panel, Foundation for a Sustainable Northern Future, Report of the Joint Review Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project (December 2009), 113.

- 1756 (iii) Engineering Critical Assessment (ECA) based flaw acceptance criteria expected to
1757 be available at the end of Q4, 2015 and will be made available to NRCan.
- 1758 (iv) Compliance with CSA Z245.30-14 for field-applied external coatings for TMEP.
- 1759 (v) Trans Mountain will provide NRCan with a copy of TMEP Coating Specifications
1760 by 30 June 2015.
- 1761 (b) As such, NRCan is satisfied that Trans Mountain has provided satisfactory responses to
1762 pipeline integrity and material issues and has no additional requests or concerns.
1763 [emphasis added]²⁶²

1764 This evidence demonstrates that the Project design has met the pipeline integrity and material
1765 design requirements of NRCan, which is an expert agency with a mandate to enhance the
1766 responsible development of Canada's natural resources.

1767 **3.2 The Project**

1768 The physical components of the Project include the installation of new pipeline segments and
1769 reactivation of existing lines that are currently maintained in a deactivated state; construction of
1770 pump stations; expansion of existing terminals through the addition of new tanks and other
1771 infrastructure and construction of a new dock complex at Westridge Marine Terminal; and the
1772 addition of new power lines under the jurisdiction of the appropriate provincial authorities.²⁶³

1773 The scope of the Project specifically involves the following applied-for facilities:

- 1774 (a) using existing active 610 mm (NPS 24) and 762 mm (NPS 30) OD buried pipeline
1775 segments;

²⁶² Exhibit C249-9-1 – Natural Resources Canada - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0V2](#)).

²⁶³ Exhibit B1-1 – V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-2.

- 1776 (b) constructing three new 914 mm (NPS 36) OD buried pipeline segments totaling
1777 approximately 866 km:
- 1778 (i) Edmonton to Hinton – 339.4 km;
- 1779 (ii) Blue River to Darfield – 158.4 km;²⁶⁴ and
- 1780 (iii) Black Pines to Burnaby – 367.9 km;
- 1781 (c) Constructing one new 1,067 mm (NPS 42) OD buried pipeline segment:
- 1782 (i) Hargreaves to Blue River – 121 km
- 1783 (d) reactivating two 610 mm (NPS 24) OD buried pipeline segments that have been maintained
1784 in a deactivated state:
- 1785 (i) Hinton to Hargreaves – 150 km; and
- 1786 (ii) Darfield to Black Pines – 43 km;
- 1787 (e) constructing two, 3.6 km long 762 mm (NPS 30) OD buried delivery lines from the
1788 Burnaby storage Terminal to the Westridge Marine Terminal (the Westridge Delivery
1789 lines);
- 1790 (f) Installing 25 new sending or receiving traps (18 on the Edmonton-Burnaby mainlines), for
1791 in-line inspection tools at nine existing sites and two new sites²⁶⁵;
- 1792 (g) adding 12 new pumping units: 10 at existing TMPL site and 2 units at a new greenfield
1793 site;
- 1794 (h) constructing 20 new tanks located at the terminals near Edmonton (5), Sumas (1) and
1795 Burnaby (14), preceded by demolition of two existing tanks near Edmonton (1) and
1796 Burnaby (1), for a net total of 18 tanks added to the system; and

²⁶⁴ Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#));
Exhibit B290-29 – Part 2 Hargreaves to Blue River (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5G2](#)), 8.

²⁶⁵ Exhibit B371-36 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4.59a-Attachment 1 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4Z7](#)).

1797 (i) constructing one new dock complex, with a total of three Aframax-capable berths, as well
1798 as a utility dock (for tugs, boom deployment vessels and emergency response vessels and
1799 equipment) at Westridge Marine Terminal, followed by the decommissioning of the
1800 existing berth, which was assessed in Trans Mountain's ESA.²⁶⁶

1801 Trans Mountain has been issued two CPCN's for the existing TMPL and plans to utilize the Anchor
1802 Loop segment and the active NPS 30 segment between Darfield, B.C. and Black Pines, B.C. for
1803 the Project, if approved.²⁶⁷

1804 The above pipeline segments and facilities comprise the physical components of the Project.

1805 **3.3 Project Alternatives**

1806 Section 19(1)(g) of CEAA 2012 mandates the consideration of "alternative means of carrying out
1807 the designated project that are technically and economically feasible and the environmental effects
1808 of any such alternative means." In the CEA Agency's Operational Policy Statement Addressing
1809 "Purpose of" and "Alternative Means" under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*
1810 "alternative means", as referred to in section 19(1)(g) of the Act, are defined as "the various
1811 technically and economically feasible ways under consideration by the proponent that would allow
1812 the designated project to be carried out." Alternative means may include options for alternative

²⁶⁶ Exhibit B5-9 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - V5A ESA 01 of 16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L3](#)), ii-iii; Exhibit B413-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 117; Exhibit B5-21 - V5A ESA 13 of 16 BIOPHYSICAL - (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R0](#)), 7-404 to 7-501; Trans Mountain notes that it has not finished developing all of the information required by the NEB for a decommissioning application pursuant to section 45.1 of the *National Energy Board Onshore Pipeline Regulations*, therefore, Trans Mountain will submit a separate application for decommissioning the existing berth after the required information is available.

²⁶⁷ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 4-5; Exhibit B301-9- Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Robyn Allan Notice of Motion 8 dated January 5, 2015 - Updated Attachment Summary (January 15, 2015) ([A4G5E7](#)). The first, OC-2, was issued in August 1960 and provided for the original construction of the pipeline including two 80 km pipeline loops. The second, OC-49, was issued in November 2006 and provided for the construction of the NPS36 Anchor Loop.

1813 locations, routes and methods of development, implementation and mitigation. According to the
1814 Alternative Means Operational Policy Statement, alternative means should be compared on the
1815 basis of environmental effects, as well as technical and economic feasibility to determine a
1816 preferred alternative. A full EA is not required for each of the various alternatives considered; only
1817 the preferred alternative.²⁶⁸ The Federal Court of Appeal in *Alberta Wilderness Association v*
1818 *Express Pipelines Ltd* confirmed that the decision of which alternative means to consider is a
1819 question of the Panel's judgment.²⁶⁹ The RH-001-2012 proceeding demonstrated the need and
1820 benefits of expanding the existing TMPL.²⁷⁰ In developing the Application, Trans Mountain
1821 evaluated pipeline concepts to different destinations and also considered alternative marine
1822 terminal locations.

1823 Trans Mountain considered alternative locations for the Westridge Marine Terminal. This analysis
1824 was based on the feasibility of comparable marine and pipeline access, and screening based on
1825 technical, economic and environmental considerations. The alternative locations in B.C. included
1826 Kitimat and Roberts Bank in Delta. Trans Mountain ultimately concluded that constructing and
1827 operating a new marine terminal and supporting infrastructure would result in significantly greater
1828 cost, a larger footprint and additional environmental effects, as compared to expanding existing
1829 facilities. Based on this, Trans Mountain did not continue with a further assessment of alternative
1830 termini for the Project.²⁷¹

²⁶⁸ CEA Agency, Operational Policy Statement, "Addressing "Purpose of" and "Alternative Means" under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*", (March 2015), 2-4.

²⁶⁹ *Alberta Wilderness Assn. v Express Pipelines Ltd.* (1996), 137 DLR (4th) 177 (FCA), para 17.

²⁷⁰ Exhibit B1-4 - V2 3of4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-46.

²⁷¹ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 232-233.

1831 Trans Mountain’s rationale for choosing the Westridge Marine Terminal as the preferred
1832 alternative was based on the expectation that Roberts Bank would result in a significantly greater
1833 footprint and estimated \$1.2 billion higher capital cost and assumed higher operating costs. In
1834 addition, it is imperative that the Board be mindful of the adverse effects that would stem from an
1835 alternative terminal location, namely, it would result in a larger footprint and incremental
1836 environmental effects—an additional storage terminal with an estimated 100 acres of land
1837 required, a larger dock structure with a 7 km trestle and a 14 km longer pipeline that diverges
1838 further from the existing TMPL system corridor. Trans Mountain’s ability to utilize the existing
1839 Westridge Marine Terminal and avoid a larger footprint and incremental environmental effects is
1840 an excellent demonstration of why this pipeline has been responsibly planned and is in the public
1841 interest.²⁷²

1842 Currently, Aframax and Panamax class of tankers call on the Westridge Marine Terminal to
1843 transport oil. Trans Mountain will use a majority of Aframax with some Panamax size tankers for
1844 the Project.²⁷³ Aframax and Panamax tankers are permitted by PMV.

1845 Trans Mountain considered a number of alternative pipeline corridors in the ESA.²⁷⁴ For example,
1846 two primary locations were considered to cross the main stem of the Fraser River between the
1847 cities of Surrey and Coquitlam using horizontal directional drilling (“HDD”), a trenchless method
1848 of construction.

1849 In Trans Mountain’s view, the use of alternative corridors is appropriate to provide Trans Mountain
1850 with the flexibility to address technical issues and stakeholder concerns. For example, Trans

²⁷² Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 179-89.

²⁷³ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-72.

²⁷⁴ Exhibit B5-27 - V5B ESA 02of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R6](#)).

1851 Mountain requires an alternative corridor for its proposed Pembina River crossing.²⁷⁵ At this
1852 crossing Trans Mountain is proposing a HDD crossing method which does not support the alternate
1853 open cut installation method at the same location. Therefore, an alternative corridor for an open
1854 cut crossing method is required as a contingency in the event that its preferred HDD crossing
1855 method is not feasible.²⁷⁶

1856 Trans Mountain is requesting that the Board recommend approval of the preferred corridor as well
1857 as the limited alternative corridors, as identified in Trans Mountain's response to NEB IR 3.017(a)
1858 and (b).²⁷⁷ In Trans Mountain's view, seeking approval for a 150 m preferred corridor, with
1859 specific alternatives, provides interested parties with sufficiently finalized routing. The JRPs for
1860 the Northern Gateway²⁷⁸ and Sable Gas Projects²⁷⁹ recognized that ongoing consultation with
1861 stakeholders would require adjustments to the proposed project corridor, which is subsequently
1862 finalized during the detailed routing process and detailed design. It is important to note that Trans
1863 Mountain has studied the limited alternative corridors and provided the Board with sufficient
1864 information regarding environmental, social economic and engineering information to satisfy the
1865 requirements of the Filing Manual and support Board recommended approval of the alternatives.

1866 Trans Mountain's consideration of pipeline corridor alternatives has also been influenced by
1867 engagement with Aboriginal groups located along the Project right-of-way.²⁸⁰ Based on
1868 discussions with Aboriginal groups located along the Project right-of-way, Trans Mountain

²⁷⁵ Exhibit B324-34 - 13.1 Geotechnical Feasibility Report Pembina River Crossing (February 27, 2015) ([A416H2](#)).

²⁷⁶ Exhibit B306-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A65693](#)), 162.

²⁷⁷ Exhibit B306-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A65693](#)), 160-171.

²⁷⁸ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 8.

²⁷⁹ Joint Review Panel Report, Sable Gas Projects (October 1997), 81.

²⁸⁰ Exhibit B415-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A4R8Z4](#)).

1869 confirmed to the Board that it is seeking the necessary approvals and permits to construct the
1870 TMEP in the preferred corridor across a number of Indian Reserves as of July 31, 2015, such as:
1871 Zoht IR 4 and 5 and Joeyaska IR 2 (Lower Nicola Indian Band (“LNIB”)) and Popkum IR 1
1872 (Popkum First Nation).²⁸¹

1873 Trans Mountain has not reached an agreement with Shxw’ōwhámel First Nation
1874 (“Shxw’ōwhámel”) regarding the Ohamil IR 1 TMPL Alternate corridor. Therefore, Trans
1875 Mountain is seeking approval for the preferred pipeline corridor. Trans Mountain is requesting
1876 approval from the NEB (consistent with a similar condition in GH-001-2012²⁸²) for the preferred
1877 pipeline corridor with a condition that, concurrent with the filing of the PPBoR pursuant to
1878 section 33 of the NEB Act, Trans Mountain will also file with the Board a description of any
1879 proposed detailed route alignment that is located outside of Trans Mountain’s preferred corridor,
1880 as well as supporting information.²⁸³

1881 With respect to Tzeachten IR 13, Trans Mountain is also requesting approval from the NEB for
1882 the preferred routing with a condition that Trans Mountain must either secure necessary land rights
1883 to construct across Tzeachten IR 13 or request approval of the alternative route filed with the NEB,
1884 concurrent with the filing of the PPBoR pursuant to section 33 of the NEB Act.²⁸⁴

²⁸¹ Exhibit B415-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A4R8Z4](#)).

²⁸² NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012, (January 2013), 102.

²⁸³ Exhibit B415-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A4R8Z4](#)), 2.

²⁸⁴ Exhibit B415-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A4R8Z4](#)), 3; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

1885 Trans Mountain also considered alternative pipeline corridors for the Westridge Delivery Pipelines
1886 in response to feedback from residents and stakeholders.²⁸⁵ In May 2014, as detailed in Section 3.3
1887 - Route Selection and Land Acquisition, Trans Mountain informed the NEB that its preferred route
1888 for the Westridge Delivery Pipelines had changed from the original proposed pipeline corridor via
1889 Burnaby streets to the proposed revised pipeline corridor using a trenchless construction method
1890 via Burnaby Mountain.²⁸⁶ To support this change, Trans Mountain considered environmental,
1891 economic and engineering factors, and stakeholder feedback, comparing the Burnaby streets
1892 option and the tunnel through the Burnaby Mountain Conservation Area.²⁸⁷

1893 Trans Mountain reasonably considered alternative pipeline corridors and marine terminal locations
1894 in satisfaction of the statutory requirements under CEAA 2012. The consideration of these
1895 alternatives was informed by engagement with affected stakeholders and Aboriginal communities.

1896 **3.4 Routing**

1897 Pipeline routing is a primary design feature affecting the potential for environmental impacts. Past
1898 decisions of the Board, where it has recognized that the use of existing linear corridors and right-
1899 of-ways reduces environmental impacts, have similar application to the Project.²⁸⁸ Efforts to
1900 minimize any new permanent and temporary footprints by utilizing existing rights-of-way and
1901 other disturbed lands to the greatest extent possible, and considering site-specific landowner
1902 requests to reduce the width of easements, were considered reasonable and appropriate measures

²⁸⁵ Exhibit B099 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB Information Request Regarding Project Corridor - Appendix A Routing Consultation Summary (June 10, 2014) ([A3X9S4](#)).

²⁸⁶ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 246.

²⁸⁷ Exhibit B290-2 – Part 1 Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 8-9.

²⁸⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipelines Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 2007), 72-73; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines Inc. – OH-4-2007 (February 2008), 28-29.

1903 in the context of the Brunswick Pipeline Project.²⁸⁹ Similarly, the Board concluded that disruptions
1904 and burdens of the Southern Lights Project were minimized by using existing infrastructure,
1905 installing facilities on existing Enbridge sites and routing a light sour crude oil pipeline along
1906 existing right-of-ways to the greatest extent possible.²⁹⁰ In the decision approving Enbridge
1907 Pipelines (Westpur) Inc.'s ACCE Expansion Project, the NEB noted that:

1908 By selecting a new pipeline RoW route that is parallel to and
1909 contiguous with its existing RoW, the Board is satisfied that
1910 Enbridge Westspur has chosen a route that minimizes adverse
1911 impacts to the land, landowners, and nearby residents while
1912 providing efficiencies and synergies for construction and operation
1913 of adjacent compatible facilities and overlapping footprints.²⁹¹

1914 The route for the ACCE Expansion Project was adjacent to an existing right-of-way that was in
1915 place for 50 years and was well known to all interested parties.²⁹² Given that Trans Mountain has
1916 maximized the use of the existing linear disturbances, including the existing TMPL right-of-way
1917 that has been safely operating for more than 60 years, this is an important consideration that must
1918 be factored into the NEB's recommendation on the Project.

1919 **3.4.1 Routing Criteria and Engagement**

1920 Trans Mountain's pipeline route selection is one of the hallmarks of this Project. The route was
1921 developed with the goal of minimizing impacts on potentially affected parties and the environment.
1922 Trans Mountain's routing criteria is summarized as follows:

- 1923 (a) wherever feasible, install the Line 2 segments on or adjacent to the existing TMPL
1924 easement;

²⁸⁹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 2007), 72.

²⁹⁰ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Southern Lights GP – OH-3-2007 (February 2008), 67.

²⁹¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines (Westpur) Inc. – OH-2-2007 (June 2007), 17-18.

²⁹² NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines (Westpur) Inc. – OH-2-2007 (June 2007), 17.

- 1925 (b) where that proves not feasible, install the Line 2 segments adjacent to easements or rights-
1926 of-way of other linear facilities including other pipelines, power lines, highways, roads,
1927 railways, fibre optic cables and other utilities;
- 1928 (c) or, if that is not feasible, install the Line 2 segments in a new easement selected to balance
1929 a number of engineering, construction, environmental and socio-economic factors; and
1930 lastly; and
- 1931 (d) in the event a new easement is necessary, minimize the length of the new easement before
1932 returning to the TMPL easement or other rights-of-way.²⁹³

1933 As detailed above, the proposed route for the Project parallels existing linear disturbances for
1934 89 per cent of its length: the proposed pipeline corridor is on or adjacent to the existing TMPL
1935 easement for 73 per cent of the total length of new pipeline and approximately 16 per cent follows
1936 other existing rights-of-way. A total of 11 per cent of the TMEP will be in a new corridor.²⁹⁴ By
1937 configuring the TMEP in this manner Trans Mountain has significantly reduced the amount of
1938 undisturbed land required for the Project. Furthermore, Trans Mountain will be able to utilize
1939 adjacent right-of-way and existing roads and linear disturbances for access to the construction site,
1940 as well as the right-of-way itself, so that only minimal new access will be required for the Project.
1941 This will result in a dramatic reduction in the disturbance to the environment and on Aboriginal
1942 traditional land resource use. These measures will greatly minimize impact from the Project.

²⁹³ Exhibit B2-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 4A: Project Design & Execution - Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-6.

²⁹⁴ Exhibit B2-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Volume 4A: Project Design & Execution - Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-6. - 4A-13; Exhibit B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#)); Exhibit B255 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Technical Update No. 2 - (August 22, 2014) ([A62400](#)); Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#)); Exhibit B415 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Updated Response NEB IR No 3 017a (July 31, 2015) ([A71581](#)).

1943 The proposed pipeline corridor is generally 150 m in width centered on the existing TMPL
1944 easement, except where deviations are required, for example to avoid areas that have significant
1945 environmental value or to minimize routing through areas of extensive urban development.

1946 Trans Mountain formed a Routing Committee that is comprised of representatives of its various
1947 discipline teams involved in the corridor selection process, including land, engineering,
1948 construction, environment, stakeholder engagement and Aboriginal engagement as needed.
1949 Pipeline routing specialists consider all available information and factor that information into the
1950 corridor selection process, and subsequently into the definition of the Project footprint and use
1951 during Project design and execution planning. Potential adjustments to the pipeline corridor, for
1952 example based on stakeholder feedback, were compiled and reviewed by the Routing Committee
1953 to inform the Project routing.

1954 Trans Mountain has engaged with affected stakeholders in order to optimize its routing. The City
1955 of Coquitlam requested a revision of the proposed corridor to avoid impacts to prominent
1956 businesses, industrial vacancies and proximity of City of Coquitlam utilities within Schooner
1957 Street.²⁹⁵ Trans Mountain is not prepared at this point to relocate the proposed TMEP corridor
1958 away from the existing right of way along Schooner Street as it is not consistent with the routing
1959 criteria set out in the Application to the NEB. The proposed corridor reduces the amount of new
1960 rights-of-way imposed on the City of Coquitlam, thus reducing impacts to the City of Coquitlam's
1961 future development.²⁹⁶ Nevertheless, in Trans Mountain's view, the City of Coquitlam's routing
1962 proposal has merit and warrants further investigation and study. Trans Mountain requests a

²⁹⁵ Exhibit C70-3-2 - City of Coquitlam Summary of Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q019](#)), 5.

²⁹⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor & Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-3; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

1963 condition that concurrent with the filing of Trans Mountain's PPBoR, pursuant to section 33 of the
1964 NEB Act, Trans Mountain will also file with the Board a description of any proposed detailed
1965 route alignment that is located outside of Trans Mountain's preferred corridor, as well as
1966 supporting information for the re-route.²⁹⁷

1967 Trans Mountain will provide copies of the above filings to affected parties and submits that such
1968 a condition is supported by a similar condition in the NEB's GH-001-2012 decision regarding the
1969 NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. Northwest Mainline Komie North Extension where the potential
1970 for routing outside the corridor existed post certification.²⁹⁸

1971 Metro Vancouver's evidence discusses rerouting to avoid sensitive ecosystems.²⁹⁹ This has been a
1972 major focus of Trans Mountain's route planning design methodology since the Project's inception.
1973 For example, Trans Mountain used HDD underneath the Hope Redwoods Natural Area and the
1974 City of Surrey park. As stated in Trans Mountain's reply evidence:

1975 [w]here Trans Mountain was unable to avoid routing through
1976 sensitive ecosystems, construction methods and practices have been
1977 explored and developed in order to minimize the required work
1978 space and right of way required and the impacts within the affected
1979 zones.³⁰⁰

1980 In Surrey Bend Regional Park, for example, a custom construction methodology will be used to
1981 minimize the environmental impact and limit intrusion to 6 m into park land, which Trans
1982 Mountain will completely rehabilitate. In other parks such as Douglas Taylor Park and Sumas

²⁹⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor & Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-3.

²⁹⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013) 102.

²⁹⁹ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)).

³⁰⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor & Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-1.

1983 Mountain temporary workspace has been reduced by 10 m in width, as much as feasibly possible,
1984 to preserve sensitive lands. Refer to Trans Mountain's reply evidence for further examples.³⁰¹

1985 The City of Surrey filed a report that discusses two possible alternatives to the current routing
1986 through Surrey Bend Regional Park.³⁰² As discussed in response to an NEB IR, the concerns
1987 presented by the City of Surrey about the proposed corridor through Surrey Bend Regional Park
1988 are manageable and can be mitigated to provide a no net loss solution. Trans Mountain is
1989 nonetheless committed to continue to pursue and investigate options with the Ministry of
1990 Transportation regarding sharing their right-of-way through the region with the potential to avoid
1991 having to route through Surrey Bend Regional Park. In order to accommodate this in an efficient
1992 manner, Trans Mountain is requesting approval from the NEB (consistent with a similar condition
1993 in GH-001-2012) for the preferred pipeline corridor with a condition that concurrent with the filing
1994 of the PPBoR pursuant to section 33 of the NEB Act, Trans Mountain will also file with the Board
1995 a description of any proposed detailed route alignment that is located outside of Trans Mountain's
1996 preferred corridor, as well as supporting information for the re-route.³⁰³

1997 While Trans Mountain has finalized a preferred pipeline corridor, alternative corridors have been
1998 identified in a limited number of specific areas. Trans Mountain is carrying technically feasible

³⁰¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor & Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-1.

³⁰² Exhibit C76-12-2 - Environmental Assessment of Pipeline Placement Options Within and Adjacent to Surrey Bend Regional Park (Part 1) (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2J6](#)); Exhibit C76-12-3 - Environmental Assessment of Pipeline Placement Options Within and Adjacent to Surrey Bend Regional Park (Part 2) (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2J7](#)); Exhibit C76-12-4 - Environmental Assessment of Pipeline Placement Options Within and Adjacent to Surrey Bend Regional Park (Part 3) (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2J8](#)); Exhibit C76-12-5 - Environmental Assessment of Pipeline Placement Options Within and Adjacent to Surrey Bend Regional Park (Part 4) (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2J9](#)); Exhibit C76-12-6 - Environmental Assessment of Pipeline Placement Options Within and Adjacent to Surrey Bend Regional Park (Part 5) (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2K0](#)).

³⁰³ Exhibit B413-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6.20-Attachment 1 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6J5](#)); Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E2](#)).

1999 alternative corridors as a response to issues raised during Aboriginal, stakeholder and landowner
2000 engagement. These alternative corridors provide flexibility to address remaining Aboriginal,
2001 landowner or stakeholder issues. The alternative corridors generally fit into three categories:
2002 (a) alternative trenchless crossing methods, which may be required as contingencies
2003 depending on the constructability of the proposed alignment;
2004 (b) alternatives to proposed Provincial Park crossings, which are dependent upon a Provincial
2005 Government decision; and
2006 (c) alternatives to proposed First Nation Indian Reserve crossings, which are dependent on
2007 agreement from First Nations.³⁰⁴

2008 As detailed in the Project Alternatives section above, Trans Mountain received strong feedback
2009 from stakeholders and it made every effort to reconsider its planned routing. Trans Mountain's
2010 efforts to incorporate stakeholder feedback in its Project routing in the Burnaby area is a prime
2011 example of this approach.

2012 The original TMPL was constructed in Burnaby over 60 years ago. Over the following decades,
2013 increased urbanization in Burnaby has resulted in extensive urban development in the vicinity of
2014 the TMPL right-of-way. Trans Mountain received feedback from residents and stakeholders in
2015 Burnaby requesting a routing that would minimize disruption in residential areas.³⁰⁵ As a result,
2016 Trans Mountain informed the NEB in May 2014 that its preferred route for the Westridge Delivery
2017 Pipelines had changed from the original proposed pipeline corridor via Burnaby streets to the
2018 proposed revised pipeline corridor using a trenchless construction method via Burnaby

³⁰⁴ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A65693](#)), 160-165.

³⁰⁵ Exhibit B099 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB Information Request Regarding Project Corridor - Appendix A Routing Consultation Summary (June 10, 2014) ([A3X9S4](#)).

2019 Mountain.³⁰⁶ The change in routing required geotechnical investigation, surveys and fieldwork on
2020 Burnaby lands in order to meet Filing Manual requirements. Delay occurred when Trans Mountain
2021 was unable to acquire a municipal permit from Burnaby enabling Trans Mountain to access and
2022 conduct investigations on Burnaby lands. This required Trans Mountain to seek NEB and Court
2023 orders to access the lands.³⁰⁷ Trans Mountain employed considerable effort and resources to
2024 ensure that its alignment would minimize disruption to Burnaby streets and the general public. All
2025 of these steps were taken in response to landowner and stakeholder feedback that indicated their
2026 preference for the proposed tunnel alternative through Burnaby Mountain. Trans Mountain
2027 acknowledges that some parties did not support the Burnaby Mountain routing. However, Trans
2028 Mountain provided evidence to the NEB demonstrating the Burnaby Mountain route has the fewest
2029 impacts to, and addressed the concerns of, directly affected residents as well as the general
2030 public.³⁰⁸

2031 Trans Mountain's proposed routing is a major benefit of the Project. Paralleling existing linear
2032 disturbances for almost all of the Project's length "minimizes adverse impacts to the land,
2033 landowners and nearby residents"³⁰⁹ as repeatedly recognized by the NEB.

2034 **3.4.2 Landowner Engagement**

2035 Trans Mountain implemented a robust landowner engagement program. Relying on past regulatory
2036 processes and legal precedent, Trans Mountain determined those land rights categories that

³⁰⁶ Exhibit B32-2- Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 246.

³⁰⁷ Exhibit B290-2 – Part 1 Westridge Delivery Line Routing Update (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D5](#)), 6; Exhibit A097 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 40 and Order MO-122-2014 - Trans Mountain notice of motion and Notice of Constitutional Question dated 26 September 2014 (October 23, 2014) ([A63788](#)).

³⁰⁸ Exhibit B290 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Project and Technical Update No. 4, Part 1 of 2 (December 1, 2014) ([A64687](#)).

³⁰⁹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines (Westpur) Inc. – OH-2-2007 (June 2007) ([A0Z4E5](#)), 17-18.

2037 conferred an “interest in land” and would require notice under section 87.1 of the NEB Act. Those
2038 groups and individuals who were included within the group entitled to receipt of section 87.1
2039 Notices were engaged directly on an individual basis and received a full set of Project materials
2040 during personal visits. These groups and individuals were also approached to obtain consent to
2041 survey for the Project. Those groups and individuals who did not have “an interest in land” and
2042 would not be receiving section 87.1 Notices became members of the general stakeholders group
2043 for the Project and were engaged as part of the TMEP Stakeholder Engagement Program, which
2044 is described in Section 5 - Public Participation of this final argument. Trans Mountain maintained
2045 a database that documented all concerns and comments received from landowners and other land
2046 interest holders to inform the Project routing and design.³¹⁰

2047 Evidence from the City of New Westminster and North Shore No Pipelines Expansions (“NS
2048 NOPE”) raised issues regarding the potential impacts of the Project upon adjacent properties and
2049 impacts upon property values as a result of an oil spill.³¹¹ As detailed in reply evidence, which
2050 concluded that no permanent effects on property prices from the 2007 Westridge oil spill incident
2051 and no evidence that the presence of an oil or gas pipeline lowers property values for properties
2052 adjacent to pipelines. Trans Mountain relies on its reply evidence and expert literature review
2053 regarding other property value issues.³¹²

2054 If a CPCN is issued for the Project, Trans Mountain will file its PPBoR with the NEB. Trans
2055 Mountain will provide notices to affected landowners under section 34 of the NEB Act regarding

³¹⁰ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.006(a) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 30-31.

³¹¹ Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)), 29; Exhibit C259-8-2 - NSNOPE written evidence (J Edmonds) (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5V1](#)), 23.

³¹² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 9 – Landowner & Other Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 9-1 – 9-2.

2056 the detailed routing of the Project. Landowners can engage in the NEB's detailed routing process
2057 at that time. The Board confirmed that submissions regarding the detailed route of the pipeline are
2058 premature and will not be considered at this time.³¹³

2059 **3.5 Potential Municipal Infrastructure Impacts and Mitigation**

2060 The Board has previously endorsed Trans Mountain's approach of proposing mitigation measures
2061 to minimize impacts to municipal infrastructure, complying with all NEB crossing regulations and
2062 working collaboratively with municipalities.³¹⁴ In the planning and design of the Project, Trans
2063 Mountain will continue to work with municipalities to accommodate reasonably foreseeable plans
2064 for municipal infrastructure including roads and utilities.³¹⁵ This section addresses concerns raised
2065 by municipal governments with respect to potential Project-related impacts on municipal
2066 infrastructure and Trans Mountain's response, including any mitigation measures.

2067 The municipalities of Surrey, Burnaby, Coquitlam, Abbotsford and the Township of Langley
2068 retained Associated Engineering to complete an assessment of the additional costs that will be
2069 incurred by each municipality to operate, maintain and construct municipal infrastructure impacted
2070 by the existing Trans Mountain pipeline and the TMEP.³¹⁶ This report estimates these additional
2071 costs to be in the range of \$93,000,000 and proposes mitigation measures to assist in reducing cost
2072 impacts. The City of Edmonton separately filed a report by ISL Engineering claiming \$12,003,500

³¹³ Exhibit A137 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 10 – Various clarifications and reminders (February 13, 2015) ([A66126](#)).

³¹⁴ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Emera Brunswick Pipeline Company Ltd. – GH-1-2006 (May 31, 2007), 57.

³¹⁵ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6.19 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R614](#)), 90.

³¹⁶ Exhibit C76-10-6 - Cost Impacts of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities - Report by Associated Engineering (Part 1) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0Q0](#)); Exhibit C76-10-7 - Cost Impacts of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities - Report by Associated Engineering (Part 2) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0Q1](#)); Exhibit C76-10-8 - Cost Impacts of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities - Report by Associated Engineering (Part 3) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0Q3](#)).

2073 in municipal infrastructure mitigation costs for Trans Mountain’s proposed Whitemud Drive
2074 alignment.³¹⁷

2075 Trans Mountain believes it is reasonable for the Project to reimburse municipalities for any
2076 modifications to their existing infrastructure in advance of construction required to accommodate
2077 the Project. In the planning and design of the Project, Trans Mountain is willing to work with
2078 municipalities to minimize impacts and accommodate reasonably foreseeable plans for municipal
2079 infrastructure including roads and utilities in the design and placement of the pipeline. Once the
2080 Project is in operation, any subsequent design and development of municipal infrastructure would
2081 be completed with the pipeline in place, in consultation with Trans Mountain to mitigate impact
2082 and costs and in the event that modifications or relocations of the pipeline are required to
2083 accommodate new municipal infrastructure, Trans Mountain may look to the municipality for
2084 reimbursement.³¹⁸

2085 Under section 75 of the NEB Act, Trans Mountain is responsible to fully compensate parties for
2086 all damages suffered as a result of Trans Mountain exercising its rights under the NEB Act. As
2087 detailed in Trans Mountain’s reply evidence, standard industry practice is for subsequent utilities
2088 to accommodate prior utilities.³¹⁹ To date, Trans Mountain has made the following commitments
2089 to municipalities:

2090 (a) continue to pay municipal taxes that are in excess of the costs of municipal services
2091 required and received by Trans Mountain;

³¹⁷ Exhibit C345-4 - The City of Edmonton Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70332](#)).

³¹⁸ Exhibit B052 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Responses to Information Requests from City of Surrey Round 1 (April 6, 2014) ([A3X6A5](#)), 17-18.

³¹⁹ Exhibit B418-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.05 - Reply to the City of Abbotsford, City of Burnaby, City of Coquitlam, City of Surrey, Township of Langley “Cost Impact of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K2](#)), 8.

- 2092 (b) pay for land rights on municipal lands required for the TMEP;
- 2093 (c) pay for modifications to municipal infrastructure required to accommodate TMEP,
2094 including staff and consultants time for design and monitoring of construction to ensure
2095 the integrity of municipal infrastructure;
- 2096 (d) work jointly with municipal staff to identify and address specific municipal issues and
2097 concerns with Trans Mountain through joint Technical Working Groups;
- 2098 (e) enter into crossing agreements to clearly specify rights and responsibilities, including cost
2099 coverage for crossings of municipal infrastructure;
- 2100 (f) continue to work with municipalities through pipeline operations and pipeline protection
2101 to develop ways to more efficiently meet regulatory requirements, protect public safety and
2102 ensure pipeline integrity; and
- 2103 (g) follow regulatory requirements and standard industry practices for design and
2104 implementation of utility crossings.³²⁰

2105 The City of Abbotsford, Burnaby, City of Coquitlam, City of Surrey, Township of Langley are
2106 requesting that Trans Mountain go further and indemnify them for any future, speculative
2107 additional costs related to operations and maintenance, future modifications and installation of
2108 additional municipal infrastructure, over and above the revenues they currently receive from Trans
2109 Mountain in the form of taxes and fees. Trans Mountain submits this would be discriminatory, as
2110 compared to how other similar utilities are treated. Such broad indemnification is also in conflict

³²⁰ Exhibit B418-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.05 - Reply to the City of Abbotsford, City of Burnaby, City of Coquitlam, City of Surrey, Township of Langley "Cost Impact of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K2](#)), 8.

2111 with established precedent, standard industry practice and principles of fairness, and is thus not
2112 warranted.³²¹

2113 With respect to the City of Edmonton's comments on indemnification, Trans Mountain confirmed
2114 that it is reasonable to reimburse municipalities, including the City of Edmonton, for any
2115 modifications to their existing infrastructure required to accommodate the Project. Part of those
2116 reimbursements are expected to include reasonable staff time to plan for and review detailed
2117 design.³²² Trans Mountain responded to the City of Edmonton's routing-related concerns in reply
2118 evidence. In Trans Mountain's view, the Whitemud Drive corridor is consistent with the general
2119 routing approach taken through the City of Edmonton, where use of corridors was selected versus
2120 use of the existing Trans Mountain easement in order to avoid high density urban areas to the
2121 extent possible. Trans Mountain has proposed forming a joint Technical Working Group with the
2122 City of Edmonton to work towards resolution of issues with the Whitemud Corridor identified in
2123 its evidence.³²³

2124 Based on the evidence submitted by the City of Edmonton, Trans Mountain commits to further
2125 investigation of the Lewis Estates alternative. Accordingly, Trans Mountain is requesting approval
2126 from the NEB (consistent with the similar condition in the Komie North Extension Project)³²⁴ for
2127 the preferred pipeline corridor with a condition that concurrent with the filing of the PPBoR
2128 pursuant to section 33 of the NEB Act, Trans Mountain will also file with the Board a description

³²¹ Exhibit B418-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.05 - Reply to the City of Abbotsford, City of Burnaby, City of Coquitlam, City of Surrey, Township of Langley "Cost Impact of the Trans Mountain Expansion on Lower Mainland Municipalities" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K2](#)), 8.

³²² Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6.19 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R614](#)), 90.

³²³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor and Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-1.

³²⁴ NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH-001-2012 (January 2013), 102.

2129 of any proposed detailed route alignment (i.e., the Lewis Estates option), as well as supporting
2130 information for the re-route.³²⁵

2131 The City of Surrey filed a report asserting that due to the age of the TMPL it is nearing the end of
2132 its useful life.³²⁶ The regulation of the existing TMPL system is outside of the scope of this
2133 proceeding. Nevertheless, Trans Mountain comprehensively refuted the assertions in the City of
2134 Surrey's report in reply evidence, which confirms that the TMPL is appropriately managed and
2135 monitored in accordance with the relevant NEB standards including the OPR and CSA Z662, and
2136 that the means and measures employed in maintaining and operating the pipeline (including robust
2137 integrity management and maintenance programs) provide assurance for continued safe operation
2138 of the pipeline. There is no evidence that indicates that the TMPL segments identified by the City
2139 of Surrey are nearing the end of their useful life nor is there evidence to suggest that the pipeline
2140 should be replaced.³²⁷

2141 Burnaby filed a report titled "Assumptions of Trans Mountain for the Trans Mountain Expansion
2142 Project in Burnaby", which asserts that Trans Mountain made a number of assumptions in the
2143 Application for the TMEP that are unreasonable in regards to Burnaby.³²⁸ This assertion is
2144 incorrect. In a specific response report, Trans Mountain established that its Application was based

³²⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor and Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-10.

³²⁶ Exhibit C76-10-9 - TMP-TMX Routing Options and Feasibility of Abandoning the Existing Pipeline through the COS - Report by Associated Engineering (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0Q6](#)).

³²⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 13 – Pipeline Corridor & Routing (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 13-4 – 13-7.

³²⁸ Exhibit C69-44-11 - Assumptions of Trans Mountain for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the City of Burnaby (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8G5](#)), 21.

2145 on sound and reasonable facts and assumptions with respect to Burnaby.³²⁹ For example, Burnaby
2146 raised concerns that Trans Mountain's Application for the TMEP, as proposed, potentially
2147 conflicts with a number of the bylaws of Burnaby.

2148 As a federally regulated entity under the NEB Act, if Trans Mountain is granted a CPCN for the
2149 TMEP, it will proceed to apply for all federal, provincial and municipal permits and authorizations
2150 that are required by law. The NEB confirmed in Ruling No. 40 that federally regulated pipelines
2151 are required, through operation of law and the imposition of conditions by the NEB, to comply
2152 with a broad range of provincial laws and municipal bylaws. The Board has jurisdiction to
2153 determine that specific Burnaby bylaws are inoperative or inapplicable to the extent they conflict
2154 with or impair the exercise of Trans Mountain's powers under the NEB Act.³³⁰ To this end, Trans
2155 Mountain intends to work collaboratively with municipalities, including Burnaby, to understand
2156 the application and operation of municipal bylaws and standards to the construction and operation
2157 of the TMEP. Trans Mountain has committed to work with Burnaby, when it is ready to re-engage,
2158 and the Board has provided guidance specific to Burnaby regarding the application of municipal
2159 bylaws. Therefore Trans Mountain submits that the law on this issue is well understood and that
2160 this issue is reasonably resolved. Trans Mountain relies on the detailed responses in its reply
2161 evidence for the other issues raised in Burnaby's report.³³¹

³²⁹ Exhibit B418-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.04 - Reply to the City of Burnaby "Assumptions of the Trans Mountain for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the City of Burnaby" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K1](#)), 1.

³³⁰ Exhibit A97-1 - Ruling No. 40 - Trans Mountain notice of motion and Notice of Constitutional Question dated 26 September 2014 (October 23, 2014) ([A4D6H0](#)), 2.

³³¹ Exhibit B418-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.04 - Reply to the City of Burnaby "Assumptions of the Trans Mountain for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the City of Burnaby" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K1](#)).

2162 Trans Mountain is actively engaging with municipalities³³² and has used Technical Working
2163 Groups to address Project-related concerns from participating municipalities. For example, in
2164 Technical Working Group meetings the City of Abbotsford expressed a concern regarding the
2165 effect of the existing and proposed pipelines on the cleaning of drainage and irrigation
2166 infrastructure. Through these meetings, Trans Mountain received a proposal from the City of
2167 Abbotsford to replace some trenchless road crossings with open-cut methodology, in return for
2168 Trans Mountain installing culverts across the right-of-way for certain drainage and irrigation
2169 ditches. Trans Mountain is confident that it can work collaboratively with the City of Abbotsford
2170 to address this issue.³³³ In Trans Mountain's view, Technical Working Groups provide an effective
2171 forum for Trans Mountain to collaborate with affected municipalities and, identify, and implement
2172 mutually beneficial solutions regarding their Project-related concerns.

2173 **3.6 Construction**

2174 Trans Mountain filed an overview of its construction scope, execution strategy, resources and
2175 schedule in Volume 4B of the Application.³³⁴ Project construction activities will be planned to
2176 minimize disturbance and impact to the environment, landowners and the community.³³⁵ This will
2177 include the use of trenchless technologies, which are described in Section 3.7 - Watercourse
2178 Crossings, in select locations to minimize potential disruption or environmental impact. As
2179 detailed in Section 5 - Public Participation, dialogue and engagement has taken place with affected
2180 stakeholders and Aboriginal groups regarding Trans Mountain's construction plans for the Project.

³³² Exhibit B413-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR 6.19 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 88-91.

³³³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 16 – Pipeline Construction Planning & Execution (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 16-3.

³³⁴ Exhibit B5-1 - V4B 1.0 TO 4.2.1.1 PROJ DES AND EXEC-CONSTR (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1K5](#)).

³³⁵ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1 of 2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 8-1.

2181 This engagement will continue throughout the construction and post-construction phases, to notify
2182 local communities when, where and for how long construction and/or disturbances may take place.

2183 Intervenors such as Shxw'ōwhámel³³⁶ for example, raised concerns regarding increased traffic as
2184 a result of construction. Yarrow Ecovillage expressed concerns regarding access to a portion of
2185 their property during construction.³³⁷ Calvin Taplay and other intervenors³³⁸ expressed concerns
2186 that construction would impede emergency access to homes and businesses.³³⁹ Trans Mountain
2187 acknowledges and will address any potential residual effect of increased traffic on highways and
2188 access roads during construction in the Application.³⁴⁰ A range of mitigation measures are
2189 identified in the Application to address Project-related traffic effects, including:

2190 (a) providing daily shuttle bus services from staging areas to work sites and for local workers
2191 from pre-determined regional staging areas;

2192 (b) delivering equipment via rail or boat to temporary stockpile sites along the proposed
2193 pipeline corridor which will limit the distances travelled by heavy loads on regional
2194 highways;

2195 (c) the proposed Traffic and Access Control Management Plan³⁴¹ which will minimize the
2196 development of new access routes, control public access along the construction right-of-
2197 way, select appropriate access routes that cause the least disturbance to high quality and

³³⁶ Exhibit C312-13-3 - Attachment to SFN Response to Government of Canada IR 40 (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4K5](#)), 17.

³³⁷ Exhibit C394-2-1 - Yarrow Ecovillage Written submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1L3](#)), 7.

³³⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 19 – Construction Safety and Security (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 19-1.

³³⁹ Exhibit C340-8-1 - Calvin Taplay - Evidence Submitted for Trans Mountain Pipeline Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9H5](#)), para 9.

³⁴⁰ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13 of 16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-118.

³⁴¹ Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1 of 2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)), C-1.

2198 sensitive wildlife habitat, manage traffic on these routes and determine appropriate
2199 construction mitigation measures;

2200 (d) with respect to Mr. Taplay's concerns, ensuring emergency access, with Incident Plans and
2201 Public Information Plans to consider potential impacts to emergency vehicle access, notify
2202 emergency response providers and develop localized plans to ensure access;³⁴² and

2203 (e) concerns regarding property access, such as those from Yarrow Ecovillage, will be
2204 addressed by the construction contractor. Trans Mountain has committed to maintaining
2205 the requested access for Yarrow Ecovillage at all times throughout the construction
2206 process.³⁴³

2207 Trans Mountain concluded that the effect of an increase in traffic on highways and access roads
2208 during construction will be isolated in frequency, reversible in the short-term, low to medium in
2209 magnitude and not significant.³⁴⁴ Trans Mountain's proposed traffic mitigation measures will
2210 minimize potential effects of the Project caused by increases in traffic in the Project area.

2211 Intervenors such as Metro Vancouver stressed the importance of Trans Mountain ensuring that its
2212 construction activities protect the environment and sensitive lands.³⁴⁵ In order to ensure that
2213 environmental disturbances are mitigated and minimized, Trans Mountain will implement Project-
2214 specific EPPs throughout construction.³⁴⁶ The EPPs are discussed in Section 3.18 - Environmental
2215 Protection Plans, including Trans Mountain's responses to intervenor concerns.

³⁴² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 19 – Construction Safety and Security (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 19-1.

³⁴³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 16 – Pipeline Construction Planning & Execution (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 16-2.

³⁴⁴ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-127 – 7-128.

³⁴⁵ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)), 69.

³⁴⁶ Exhibit B316-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Langley IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8T4](#)), 23-25.

2216 **3.7 Watercourse Crossings**

2217 Effective watercourse crossing designs are important strategies used to minimize the
2218 environmental impacts of the Project. Trans Mountain is committed to constructing the most
2219 suitable pipeline watercourse crossings based on all relevant environmental, social and technical
2220 factors. These factors include:

- 2221 (a) hydrological issues such as flow volumes, depth, width and channel stability, including
2222 scour;
- 2223 (b) fish and fish habitat, including the species and life stages that are anticipated to be present
2224 in the potential zone of influence at the crossing location at the time of construction;
- 2225 (c) geotechnical issues including the stability of the bank and valley slopes, subsurface
2226 conditions and the risk of debris flow;
- 2227 (d) construction issues including complexity, crossing configuration, topography, risk, safety,
2228 schedule and cost;
- 2229 (e) regulator, resource manager, Aboriginal community, other community and stakeholder
2230 input; and
- 2231 (f) permanent and temporary access to watercourses and across watercourses.

2232 Trans Mountain selected the appropriate crossing method for each watercourse crossing. The
2233 potential watercourse crossing construction methods considered by Trans Mountain include
2234 trenched (i.e., open cut without flow isolation or using flow isolation methods) and trenchless
2235 methods (e.g., HDD).³⁴⁷

³⁴⁷ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-13- 4A-18.

2236 Trenched open-cut crossings allow for excavation of the pipeline trench through a frozen, dry or
2237 wet channel with no isolation of flow in the construction area from the rest of the channel. This
2238 method is often used for smaller crossings of non-classified drainages, where there are no fisheries
2239 or water quality considerations, for watercourses that are dry or frozen to the bottom during
2240 construction or for large watercourses where methods to isolate flow cannot be employed or are
2241 otherwise unfeasible.³⁴⁸

2242 Isolated trenched techniques divert flow around or across the construction zone using dam and
2243 pumps, flumes or diversion channels to allow ditch excavation, pipe installation and backfilling to
2244 occur away from flowing water. Isolated techniques are used for small or medium sized
2245 watercourses where fisheries values, habitat potential and timing constraints at the crossing
2246 location allow.³⁴⁹ Trenchless methods include bore installation, HDD, micro-tunneling, tunneling
2247 and aerial crossings.³⁵⁰

2248 To facilitate the watercourse crossing selection process, Trans Mountain investigated the fish and
2249 fish habitat potential at all probable watercourse crossings identified within the proposed pipeline
2250 corridor. For those few sites that were unable to be investigated, a fish and fish habitat Risk
2251 Management Framework was developed as a conservative approach to account for any fish or fish
2252 habitat potential that may exist at those sites. Watercourses of high sensitivity were reviewed in

³⁴⁸ Exhibit B306-42 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.039a-Attachment 1 Part01 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1Z2](#)).

³⁴⁹ Exhibit B306-42 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.039a-Attachment 1 Part01 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1Z2](#)).

³⁵⁰ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-13 – 4A-18.

2253 an iterative process for locating crossings, revising crossing techniques and modifying mitigation
2254 measures at each pipeline watercourse crossing.³⁵¹

2255 Trans Mountain has undertaken a review of the watercourse crossings with respect to potential for
2256 serious harm. The results of this self-assessment are currently under review by the NEB. If
2257 Authorization is required under the *Fisheries Act*,³⁵² measures to offset the serious harm will be
2258 developed. At this time, Trans Mountain does not anticipate any serious harm to fish or fish habitat,
2259 based on the primary crossing methods proposed.³⁵³

2260 Trans Mountain's reply evidence contains responses to intervenor concerns regarding its
2261 watercourse crossing design for the Project. The Nooaitch Indian Band recommended that
2262 "[h]ydraulic isolation should be required for any small to medium-sized streams which are
2263 hydraulically connected to fish habitat, regardless of whether there are fish or fish habitat at the
2264 crossing location."³⁵⁴ Trans Mountain confirmed in its reply evidence that hydraulic isolation will
2265 be implemented for any small-to-medium-sized streams that are hydraulically connected to fish
2266 habitat, regardless of whether there are fishes or fish habitat at the crossing location, unless flow
2267 volumes exceed threshold limits for open-cut with flow isolation methodologies or site conditions

³⁵¹ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-13 – 4A-18.

³⁵² RSC, 1985, c F-14.

³⁵³ Exhibit B323-3 - Self Assessment Potential for Serious Harm to Fish and Fish Habitat Part 1 of 7 (February 27, 2015) ([A4I6C1](#)); refer to Exhibit B323 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Notice of Motion regarding Outstanding Filings- Part 1 of 3 (February 27, 2015) ([A67182](#)) for Parts 2 to 7.

³⁵⁴ Exhibit C258-9-1 - Nooaitch Written Evidence Documents for Filing (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0F4](#)); refer to the following report within Nooaitch Indian Band's Written Evidence: "Review of Trans Mountain Pipeline Expansion Project NEB Application", Northwest Hydraulic Consultants Ltd. (May 26, 2015), 9.

2268 preclude the ability to isolate the watercourse.³⁵⁵ Refer to Trans Mountain’s reply evidence for
2269 responses to watercourse-specific concerns from intervenors regarding crossings.³⁵⁶

2270 **3.8 Existing Pipeline Segments**

2271 As discussed above, the TMEP incorporates sections of pipeline that have already been built for
2272 previous projects. This design decision will reduce the additional environmental impact of the
2273 Project by incorporating sections of right-of-way that have already been disturbed. Some of these
2274 sections have been taken out of service, and will be reactivated as part of the design and
2275 construction of the Project while others are currently active. The Reactivated Segments include
2276 the NPS 24 pipeline segment from Hinton, Alberta to Hargreaves, B.C. and the NPS 24 pipeline
2277 segment from Darfield, B.C. to Black Pines, B.C. Refer to the projection description in Section 3.2
2278 of this final argument.

2279 The TMEP also incorporates two pipeline segments that are currently active into Line 2: the NPS
2280 36 pipeline segment from Hinton, Alberta to Hargreaves, B.C. and the NPS 30 pipeline segment
2281 from Darfield, B.C. to Black Pines, B.C. (together, the “Active Segments”).³⁵⁷

2282 The Reactivated Segments include an approximately 80 km segment through Jasper National Park.
2283 Trans Mountain has previously worked with the Parks Canada with respect to the TMX-Anchor
2284 Loop Project, and is familiar with the requirements and expectations of the Parks Canada when
2285 conducting routine maintenance projects and or new projects in Jasper National Park. Trans

³⁵⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 14 – Watercourse Crossing Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 14-1.

³⁵⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 14 – Watercourse Crossing Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 14-1 – 14-10.

³⁵⁷ Exhibit B32-3 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 2 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 441-444; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 170-171.

2286 Mountain is committed to working with the Parks Canada in their development of Management
2287 Objectives/Desired End Results that address ecological and commemorative integrity for the
2288 proposed reactivation activities on the Project. Trans Mountain will prepare an EPP that will
2289 describe general and specific mitigation measures which support the Management
2290 Objectives/Desired End Results. Further, Trans Mountain has made the following commitments
2291 with respect to Reactivated Segments in Jasper National Park:

2292 (a) Trans Mountain will, where required, submit all the necessary permit applications to the
2293 Parks Canada for the reactivation work;

2294 (b) Trans Mountain will conduct the Post-Reactivation Environmental Monitoring Program
2295 during a period of up to the first five complete growing seasons (or during years one, three
2296 and five) following commissioning of the Project or in accordance with NEB certificate
2297 conditions;

2298 (c) Trans Mountain has committed to further impact analysis in accordance with the Parks
2299 Canada Directive on Implementation of CEAA 2012 following the results of the In-Line
2300 Inspections of the 24-inch pipeline;

2301 (d) Trans Mountain will work with potentially affected local Aboriginal and Métis
2302 communities identified by Parks Canada; and

2303 (e) Trans Mountain will meet the requirements of the Parks Canada directive on human burials
2304 in National Park and NHS settings: Management Directive 2.3.1: Human Remains,
2305 Cemeteries and Burial Grounds.³⁵⁸

2306 In its written evidence, Parks Canada concludes that “with the implementation of Trans
2307 Mountain’s environmental protection and mitigation measures along with any site-specific

³⁵⁸ Exhibit B67-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC Parks IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6G6](#)), 11.

2308 conditions required by Parks Canada and if Management Objectives/Desired End Results are
2309 accomplished, it is unlikely that the Project will cause significant adverse effects to ecological or
2310 commemorative integrity and visitor experience of Jasper National Park or the Yellowhead Pass
2311 National Historic Site.”³⁵⁹ To ensure the safety of the Reactivated Segments, Trans Mountain
2312 completed an engineering assessment³⁶⁰ and committed to in-line inspections utilizing high-
2313 resolution tools. In-line inspections of the Reactivated Segments will include a metal loss tool, an
2314 axial flaw detection tool, geometry tool and a recently added electromagnetic acoustic transducer
2315 tool.³⁶¹ The Reactivated Segments will be also subjected to hydrostatic testing. Additionally, Trans
2316 Mountain conducted a threat-based assessment of the Reactivated Segments which considered the
2317 status of materials as well as the design, construction and operational variables associated with the
2318 pipeline system.³⁶² This assessment has identified that appropriate mitigation and controls will be
2319 required in order to ensure that the magnitudes of threats for the reactivated sections will not
2320 exceed those that are associated with best practices.³⁶³ Trans Mountain relies on the detailed
2321 responses in its reply evidence in response to the potential conditions proposed by Parks Canada.³⁶⁴
2322 Intervenor Lisa Craig stated in her evidence that no plans have been outlined to determine the state
2323 of the existing pipeline and its ability to withstand higher flow.³⁶⁵ This statement is incorrect. As
2324 detailed in reply evidence, Trans Mountain’s engineering assessment for the relevant sections of

³⁵⁹ Exhibit C347-1-1 - Parks Canada TMX Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5U9](#)), 12.

³⁶⁰ Exhibit B255-35 – Part 6.1 Updating Engineering Assessment Reactivation (August 22, 2014) ([A4A4E7](#)).

³⁶¹ Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 158-163.

³⁶² Exhibit 255-36 – Part 6.1 Updated Engineering Assessment Reaction Appendix A (August 22, 2014) ([A4A4E8](#)).

³⁶³ Exhibit B32-3 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 2 of 2 (May 5, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 440.

³⁶⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 18 – Pipeline Reactivation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 18-1 – 18-4.

³⁶⁵ Exhibit C87-3-1 - Lisa Craig Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6S1](#)), para 1.

2325 the existing TMPL included in-line inspections, proposed future inspections and mitigations and a
2326 review of factors of safety for maximum operating pressures. The engineering assessment
2327 concluded that the TMPL exceeded the minimum factor of safety for new pipelines of 1.25 as
2328 required by CSA Z662.³⁶⁶

2329 Most of the expanded TMPL system will be normally operating well below its maximum operating
2330 pressure.³⁶⁷ The TMEP proposal does not include changing the licensed operating pressure on the
2331 Active Segments, and Trans Mountain notes that they are currently being used to transport heavy
2332 crude oil similar to what will be transported in the new pipeline. An engineering assessment for
2333 the Active Segments moving into service has been filed with the Board.³⁶⁸ The assessment
2334 concludes that the two segments are safe to operate under the proposed operating pressures and
2335 volumes related to TMEP service. Additionally, as these segments are currently in use, they are
2336 subject to the existing programs for integrity and risk assessments and are actively maintained and
2337 managed to keep them fit for service.

2338 As such Trans Mountain believes that the proposed changes will result in nominal impact on the
2339 Active Segments and submits that no further engineering assessment is necessary at this time. With
2340 respect to valves along the reactivation segments, several new automated Remote Mainline Block
2341 Valves (“RMLBV”) and check valves will be installed. Several existing manual RMLBV will be
2342 automated to allow remote operation of the valves. Not all RMLBV’s will be automated since

³⁶⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 12 – Pipeline Engineering Assessments (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 12-1.

³⁶⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 12 – Pipeline Engineering Assessments (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 12-2.

³⁶⁸ Exhibit B259-3 – TMEP Engineering Assessment-Active Segments to Line2 Service (September 4, 2014) ([A4A7Q2](#)).

2343 doing so at some valve locations provides negligible difference in the volume of product released
2344 and little or no value in mitigating the impact of a spill.³⁶⁹

2345 Shxw'ōwhámel filed the Accufacts Pipeline Integrity Management Operation and Maintenance
2346 Report ("Accufacts Report"). As detailed in Trans Mountain's reply evidence, "[m]uch of the
2347 Accufacts Report focuses on the current operation and integrity of the existing TMPL as well as
2348 the existing emergency management plans and programs in place for the existing system."³⁷⁰ The
2349 Accufacts Report does not focus on the issues within the scope of this proceeding, specifically the
2350 changes in the TMPL to accommodate the TMEP, and the enhanced EMP for the Project. Trans
2351 Mountain's evidence, such as its engineering assessment referenced above, confirms that the
2352 existing TMPL is safe to operate under parameters proposed for service after the Project is in
2353 operation.

2354 In summary, there is no compelling evidence that would cause Trans Mountain to reconsider the
2355 results of its engineering and pipeline assessments that confirm the continued safe operation of the
2356 TMPL, Active Segments and Reactivated Segments after the Project is in-service.³⁷¹

2357 **3.9 Pump Stations**

2358 Trans Mountain has designed its facilities in a manner to ensure safe and efficient operation of the
2359 Project. Pump stations and other facilities have been designed with numerous operational, safety
2360 and containment features. The primary focus of the design process has been to reduce the risk of

³⁶⁹ Exhibit B067-1 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC Parks IR No. 1.13 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6G6](#)), 6.

³⁷⁰ Exhibit B418-13 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.14 - Reply to Shxw'ōwhámel First Nation "Accufacts Pipeline Integrity Management Operation and Maintenance Report" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L1](#)), 17; Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 12 – Pipeline Engineering Assessments (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 12-1.

³⁷¹ Exhibit B418-13 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.14 - Reply to Shxw'ōwhámel First Nation "Accufacts Pipeline Integrity Management Operation and Maintenance Report" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L1](#)), 18.

2361 a failure to the greatest extent practicable, with a secondary focus on limitation of negative impacts
2362 should a failure still occur.

2363 To accomplish this, the Project adopted a similar approach to facilities design as that described
2364 above for pipeline design. Specifically, the Project adopted a risk-based approach to design,
2365 incorporated feedback and suggestions from the consultation process and drew upon its extensive
2366 operating experience with the existing TMPL.

2367 The proposed pump station design is a prime example of the significant benefits of the Project
2368 compared to proposed greenfield pipeline projects. The Project will require the construction of 11
2369 new pump stations for the proposed Line 2 and one new pump station for the existing Line 1 at
2370 Black Pines, B.C., which will also share the site with a Line 2 pump station. Trans Mountain has
2371 located the new pump stations on the same site as existing pump stations wherever possible. Out
2372 of the 12 new pump stations, only two will not be co-located with existing pump stations.³⁷² By
2373 locating most of the pump stations for the two lines on the same sites, Trans Mountain has reduced
2374 the additional environmental impacts of the Project.

2375 The leak containment design at the proposed new pump station sites will use a hydrocarbons
2376 containment area. Site grading around the pump building and yard piping will direct any leak to
2377 the containment area. The containment area will have a hydrocarbon detector which will notify the
2378 Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (“SCADA”) system if a leak occurs. Any leaked
2379 hydrocarbons would be held in place until required remedial measures can be implemented.³⁷³ All

³⁷² Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 298-299.

³⁷³ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 298-299.

2380 of the pump buildings at existing pump stations use concrete containment systems, some of which
2381 drain to the waste oil sump tank.³⁷⁴

2382 The leak containment measures at existing pump stations and the proposed new pump stations are
2383 adequately designed for the volumes and type of product that will be transported by the Project.³⁷⁵

2384 In accordance with Filing Manual requirements, Trans Mountain also considered alternative
2385 locations for pump stations. In general, the existing TMPL terminals and pump station sites are
2386 sufficiently large to accommodate TMEP facilities. Factors considered in finalizing the site
2387 selection included:

- 2388 (a) optimization of pipeline hydraulics;
- 2389 (b) terrain suitability;
- 2390 (c) environmental suitability;
- 2391 (d) availability of road access and electrical power; and
- 2392 (e) landowner considerations.³⁷⁶

2393 **3.10 Terminals Design and Location**

2394 In the past, the Board has found that adhering to regulations, industry codes and standards is
2395 satisfactory when it comes to terminal design. The Board has accepted pipeline terminal designs
2396 where proponents commit to meeting all applicable regulations, codes and standards.³⁷⁷ In
2397 assessing an application for proposed facilities, the NEB has stated it considers the facility's design

³⁷⁴ For specifics regarding the containment system in place at existing pump stations, refer to Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 298-299.

³⁷⁵ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 298-299.

³⁷⁶ Exhibit B1-4 - V2 3of4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-58.

³⁷⁷ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 67.

2398 and proposed operation to determine whether the project would be constructed and operated in a
2399 safe, reliable and environmentally responsible manner.³⁷⁸

2400 Trans Mountain's terminal design meets all required industry standards³⁷⁹ and reflects decades of
2401 experience constructing and operating terminals for the TMPL. The Project significantly reduces
2402 incremental environmental impacts by modifying existing terminals, rather than building new
2403 ones.

2404 Trans Mountain has proposed the expansion of the Westridge, Burnaby, Sumas and Edmonton
2405 terminals. These terminals currently have 57 tanks with a combined capacity of approximately
2406 1,718,690 cubic metres (10,810,000 barrels).³⁸⁰ The anticipated location, number and capacities
2407 of all these tanks are described in Volume 4A of the Application and subsequent updates.³⁸¹

2408 All the tanks Trans Mountain proposes to construct as part of the TMEP will be located within
2409 secondary containment designed in accordance with CSA Z662, National Fire Protection
2410 Association Code 30 and the latest American Petroleum Institute standard.³⁸² Additionally, Trans
2411 Mountain has voluntarily committed to adhere to the requirements of the Alberta Fire Code and
2412 the British Columbia Fire Code ("BCFC"), whichever is applicable in a given location.³⁸³

³⁷⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – MacKenzie Gas Project – GH-1-2004 (December 2010), Volume 2, 113.

³⁷⁹ Exhibit B2-1 - V4A 1.0 TO 3.4.4.1.1 PROJ DESIG ENGIN (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-47.

³⁸⁰ The Project Application proposed the demolition of two existing tanks and the addition of approximately 20 tanks for a total of approximately 75 tanks and capacity of approximately 2,569,280 cubic m (16,160,000 barrels).

³⁸¹ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution - Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-46; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 100.

³⁸² Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 435.

³⁸³ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 435, 439.

2413 The general concerns raised with respect to secondary containment for terminal facilities included
2414 whether the capacity of secondary containment for the proposed expansions is sufficient.³⁸⁴ Under
2415 CSA Z662, Trans Mountain is obligated to ensure the secondary containment capacity of a shared
2416 containment area is at least 110 per cent of the volume of the largest tank in the area. In accordance
2417 with its commitment to comply with the Alberta Fire Code and BCFC, Trans Mountain has stated
2418 that for the Westridge, Burnaby and Edmonton terminals, capacity will equal 100 per cent of the
2419 largest tank plus 10 per cent of the volume of the rest of the tanks in the containment area.³⁸⁵ This
2420 means that for these terminals, the secondary containment capacity will exceed that required by
2421 CSA Z662. In the Sumas Terminal, where there will be two tanks in a shared containment area,
2422 the secondary containment capacity will be 110 per cent of the volume of the larger of the tanks.³⁸⁶
2423 In each terminal, Trans Mountain has proposed secondary containment capacity in accordance
2424 with industry standards. Where non-mandatory codes exceed industry standards, Trans Mountain
2425 has committed to adhere to the higher standard.

2426 For the Burnaby Terminal, there will be sufficient secondary and tertiary containment capacity for
2427 a volume nearly twelve times the capacity of the largest tank.³⁸⁷ In the very low probability event
2428 of a simultaneous multiple-tank failure,³⁸⁸ something neither CSA standards nor fire codes set

³⁸⁴ Exhibit A144-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 4 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC ([A4J8Z2](#)) (March 20, 2015), 30-35; Exhibit A127-1 - Letter and Information Request No. 3 to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (January 9, 2015) ([A4G4L5](#)), 78-82.

³⁸⁵ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 452, 467.

³⁸⁶ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 462.

³⁸⁷ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 467.

³⁸⁸ Trans Mountain has provided a discussion on the low probability of a simultaneous multiple-tank failure in response to NEB Information Request 4.26.

2429 requirements for, there will be sufficient containment capacity for 70 per cent of the total proposed
2430 storage volume at the Burnaby Terminal.³⁸⁹ Tanks will be designed to the rigorous requirements
2431 of the latest edition of American Petroleum Institute Standard 650. Tanks will only be filled to
2432 capacity for part of the time they are in operation. The proposed secondary containment volumes
2433 at the terminals are sufficient even in the event of a simultaneous multiple-failure.

2434 The NEB requested information from Trans Mountain related to the draining of storm water from
2435 secondary containment at the terminals.³⁹⁰ Trans Mountain has a long history of safely draining
2436 storm water from its terminals. As an example, at its Sumas Terminal, Trans Mountain performs
2437 visual inspections for a buildup of storm water daily (including weekends and holidays). In the
2438 event water needs to be released, an operator can reach the terminal to do so in an estimated 30 to
2439 75 minutes.³⁹¹ The final selection of drainage systems will be finalized at the beginning of the
2440 detailed engineering phase after an evaluation of the positive and negative attributes of each
2441 system.³⁹² At the Edmonton Terminal, Trans Mountain anticipates that a motor operated valve will
2442 be installed at the Remote Impoundment Annex. The motor operated valve will ordinarily be
2443 closed, but will open to release collected storm water into the remote impoundment. In the unlikely
2444 event that product is released from a tank at the same time that the storm water is being drained, a

³⁸⁹ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 467-468.

³⁹⁰ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3, (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 440-442, 444-447; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 109.

³⁹¹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 415.

³⁹² Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 440-442.

2445 hydrocarbon detector within the Remote Impoundment Annex will trigger and cause the motor
2446 operated valve to close, minimizing the risk of a spill.³⁹³

2447 At all times during construction there will be secondary containment available; either new
2448 containment structures will be built before existing are removed, or temporary modifications to
2449 intermediate secondary containment berms will be necessary to construct new tanks, without
2450 disturbing the containment function of the overall tank area.³⁹⁴ Trans Mountain has existing and
2451 effective safe work procedures for constructing and operating tanks in shared containment areas.
2452 These will be followed and adapted to the proposed expansions at these terminals to ensure
2453 potential impacts are avoided.³⁹⁵

2454 Intervenors including Burnaby,³⁹⁶ Simon Fraser University³⁹⁷ and Dorothy Doherty³⁹⁸ raised
2455 concerns regarding the proposed location and tank spacing for the expansion to the Burnaby
2456 Terminal. Ms. Doherty states that the Burnaby Terminal should be decommissioned.³⁹⁹ Trans
2457 Mountain notes that the development around Burnaby Terminal, including the residential
2458 neighbourhoods and Simon Fraser University, occurred after the terminal was constructed. With
2459 respect to the proposed location of new tanks and infrastructure at Burnaby Terminal, using

³⁹³ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3, (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 445.

³⁹⁴ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 437.

³⁹⁵ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 439-440.

³⁹⁶ Exhibit C69-44-2 - Burnaby Fire Department - Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis - Part 1 - Report and Appendix A ([A4L8F6](#)) (May 27, 2015), 6, 25.

³⁹⁷ Exhibit C404-5-2 - Revised Report - Etkin, Higuchi, Thompson and Dunn (June 12, 2015) ([A4Q5Z1](#)), sections 4-5.

³⁹⁸ Exhibit C109-3-1 - Written Evidence Doherty (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8U3](#)), sections 4-5.

³⁹⁹ Exhibit C109-3-1 - Written Evidence Doherty (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8U3](#)), section 5.

2460 existing infrastructure minimizes environmental effects, which is consistent with good project
2461 planning and best environmental practices. The minimum spacing of the proposed storage tanks
2462 will be in accordance with the applicable regulatory requirements, including the requirements of
2463 NFPA Code 30, which is consistent with the spacing required by the BCFC. Trans Mountain also
2464 filed a specific report which replies to each concern in Simon Fraser University’s “Gap
2465 Analysis”.⁴⁰⁰

2466 As detailed in reply evidence, the topography of the Burnaby Terminal will make the minimum
2467 spacing relevant only for adjacent tanks within each terrace and within the two-tank or three-tank
2468 groupings proposed. The spacing between tanks on different terraces and in different groupings
2469 will be not less than “one diameter” and in most cases substantially greater.⁴⁰¹ The location of the
2470 proposed new tanks at the Burnaby Terminal will also result in set-backs greater than those
2471 established in Burnaby bylaws.⁴⁰² In summary, Trans Mountain’s proposed location and spacing
2472 for its new terminal tanks meet all relevant regulatory requirements and are consistent with
2473 environmental best practices of using existing infrastructure to minimize disturbances.

2474 **3.11 Terminals Fire Protection**

2475 The Board requested information regarding fire protection at the Westridge, Burnaby, Sumas and
2476 Edmonton terminals during the regulatory process.⁴⁰³ Fire suppression systems will be finalized

⁴⁰⁰ Exhibit B417-50 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.01 - Reply to Simon Fraser University “Hazards to Simon Fraser University Associated with the Trans Mountain Expansion Project: A Gap Analysis” (David Etkin, Kaz Higuchi, Sarah Thompson, Markus Dann) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7J7](#)).

⁴⁰¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Facility Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-12.

⁴⁰² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 21 – Facility Siting (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 21-2.

⁴⁰³ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 432-436, 458; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB

2477 during the detailed engineering phase, should the Application be approved.⁴⁰⁴ These systems, and
2478 the equipment chosen to be part of them, will be designed, manufactured and constructed in
2479 accordance with National Fire Protection Association Standards and other relevant standards that
2480 have been identified.⁴⁰⁵ Additionally, Trans Mountain has provided the Board with a list of fire
2481 detection technologies it is considering for the tanks. These include linear wire heat detector
2482 technology, linear fiber heat detector technology and triple infrared detector technology.⁴⁰⁶ The
2483 KMC EMP and ERPs for terminals, and fire pre-plans, will be reviewed and enhanced to address
2484 the needs of the expanded pipeline system.

2485 The most suitable technologies for the proposed tanks will be selected during the detailed
2486 engineering and design phase. Specifications and drawings will be developed under the
2487 supervision of experienced and competent professional engineers, specializing in fire protection.
2488 Trans Mountain has also retained the services of an industrial fire-fighting specialist to provide
2489 advice on conceptual and detailed design.⁴⁰⁷

IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 405, 406, 444-447; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 118-120.

⁴⁰⁴ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 435; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 446-447.

⁴⁰⁵ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 446-447.

⁴⁰⁶ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 435.

⁴⁰⁷ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 435.

2490 With respect to the Westridge Terminal, information was requested regarding the protection of the
2491 proposed dock complex structure from a tanker fire.⁴⁰⁸ The Westridge Marine Terminal fire
2492 protection system will include fire-water and fire-foam systems. The fire-water system will have
2493 the following features:

- 2494 (a) a new backflow preventer on the existing Burnaby fire-water main;
- 2495 (b) two new submersible pumps, taking water from Burrard Inlet; and
- 2496 (c) fire mains constructed of high density polyethylene (“HDPE”) where underground.

2497 The fire foam systems will have the following features:

- 2498 (a) new centralized foam building complete with a foam concentrate storage tank and injection
2499 system;
- 2500 (b) foam distribution system serving the new dock complex and shore infrastructure; and
- 2501 (c) foam mains constructed of HDPE, where underground.⁴⁰⁹

2502 Burnaby filed evidence asserting that “the TMEP lacks appropriate consideration for original
2503 facility fire protection premises and industry best practices in petroleum fire protection, as the
2504 proposal only seeks to comply with minimum federal and provincial code requirements.”⁴¹⁰
2505 Burnaby’s assertion is incorrect. Trans Mountain’s proposed design for Burnaby Terminal
2506 includes a robust fire protection system that exceeds minimum statutory requirements. Specific
2507 examples include:

⁴⁰⁸ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 458; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 405-406.

⁴⁰⁹ Exhibit B2-2 - V4A 3.4.4.1.3 F3.4.17 TO 4.0 PROJ DESIG ENGIN (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y9](#)), 4A-101 – 102.

⁴¹⁰ Exhibit C69-44-2 - Burnaby Fire Department - Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis - Part 1 - Report and Appendix A ([A4L8F6](#)) (May 27, 2015), 3.

- 2508 (a) All of the property line set-backs will meet or exceed the requirements of NFPA Code 30
2509 and Burnaby bylaws.
- 2510 (b) The uphill tank to tank spacing will exceed the requirements of NFPA Code 30 and the
2511 BCFC.
- 2512 (c) Trans Mountain will comply with the additional secondary containment volume
2513 requirements of the BCFC.
- 2514 (d) CSA Z662, NFPA Code 30 and the BCFC do not set limits on the number of tanks that can
2515 share a common secondary containment area. Trans Mountain has limited the maximum
2516 number of tanks to three per shared secondary containment area.
- 2517 (e) The fire protection system for the proposed new storage tanks will be designed to
2518 extinguish a full-surface fire, utilizing fixed foam chamber/nozzle arrangement and
2519 automated foam application.⁴¹¹

2520 With regards to the risk of tank fires and fires resulting from a product release within a containment
2521 area, determination of level of risk is made with reference to the broadly accepted MIACC criteria.
2522 The risk assessment using the MIACC criteria determined that the level of risk is acceptable from
2523 a land use planning perspective, without mitigation. Despite that, Trans Mountain has proposed
2524 mitigation measures to reduce the level of risk to better than what would be acceptable under the
2525 MIACC approach. Trans Mountain has used the MIACC criteria to identify hazards or concerns,
2526 examine each hazard for the consequence (potential impact on nearby areas) and the probability
2527 of occurrence. The risk determination does not include emergency planning or other forms of

⁴¹¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Facility Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-18.

2528 mitigation and thus provides a conservative worst-case situation. Trans Mountain detailed its
2529 approach to risk assessments, mitigation and aggregated risk in response to IRs from the NEB.⁴¹²

2530 Trans Mountain has utilized design criteria, leak detection and containment systems, fire detection
2531 and suppression systems, operations management and emergency response planning to minimize
2532 risks.⁴¹³ The fire protection systems are designed in accordance with expert advice of fire
2533 protection specialists, legislative requirements, industry guidelines and international best
2534 practices.⁴¹⁴

2535 Burnaby asserted that there is insufficient roadway access to the Burnaby Terminal to allow for
2536 safe access and egress of fire response deployment positions.⁴¹⁵ Trans Mountain's proposed
2537 primary and secondary access routes at Burnaby Terminal will be designed and constructed to
2538 accommodate wheel loads from emergency apparatus or equipment, as given in the International
2539 Association of Fire Chiefs Emergency Vehicle Size and Weight Regulation Guideline. The
2540 proposed primary access routes at Burnaby Terminal will be designed to accommodate the
2541 movement of emergency apparatus or equipment. Secondary access routes will be primarily
2542 intended for routine inspection and maintenance activities, but may also be used for emergency
2543 response, if appropriate. Overhead utility crossings at proposed roads will be designed and

⁴¹² Exhibit B32-3 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 2 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 479-481; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 468-472; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 128; Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 101-106.

⁴¹³ Exhibit B18-1 - V7 1.0 TO 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-19 – 7-20.

⁴¹⁴ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 458; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 405, 406; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 468-472.

⁴¹⁵ Exhibit C69-44-2 - Burnaby Fire Department - Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis - Part 1 - Report and Appendix A ([A4L8F6](#)) (May 27, 2015), 34.

2544 constructed with clearances to enable the passage of emergency apparatus or equipment. Drainage
2545 crossings at proposed access roads will consist of culverts designed and constructed to support
2546 wheel loads from emergency apparatus or equipment. In summary, the proposed primary access
2547 routes at Burnaby Terminal will be designed and constructed so that emergency response access
2548 is available from a minimum of two independent directions.⁴¹⁶

2549 Burnaby also expressed concerns in its intervenor evidence regarding the risk of tank fire boil-
2550 over, which occurs when steam expands in the bottom portion of a tank and forces the contents
2551 above the top of the tank.⁴¹⁷ For the reasons outlined below, Trans Mountain believes that a boil-
2552 over event is not a credible scenario for the Burnaby Terminal.

2553 As detailed in Trans Mountains' IR responses, boil-over events are extremely rare. All of the new
2554 storage tanks proposed for the Project will have water-draw piping, which can be used to remove
2555 water, and fixed roofs (an added barrier to the floating roof and seals) to prevent rain from getting
2556 in the tank.⁴¹⁸ These and other mitigation measures further reduce the likelihood of a boil-over
2557 incident occurring. A significant amount of time is required for a boil-over event to develop. Given
2558 fire prevention, detection, suppression and other mitigation measures the likelihood of a fire
2559 occurring, developing into a full-surface tank fire, and ultimately causing a boil-over event is
2560 therefore very low. With the assistance of emergency responders, it is reasonable based on the

⁴¹⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Facility Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-51.

⁴¹⁷ Exhibit C69-44-2 - Burnaby Fire Department - Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis - Part 1 - Report and Appendix A ([A4L8F6](#)) (May 27, 2015), 59; Exhibit C69-44-12 - Opinion on Potential Off-Site Risks of the Proposed Expansion of Burnaby Tank Farm (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8G6](#)), 4-8.

⁴¹⁸ Exhibit B413-2 Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6.23 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 104.

2561 available evidence, to expect that there will be adequate time to recognize the potential danger of
2562 a tank full-surface fire and to evacuate the danger zone.⁴¹⁹

2563 All of the proposed new storage tanks will have numerous safety features, combined with
2564 anticipated high utilization to support Westridge Marine Terminal operations, which will minimize
2565 the potential for water to accumulate in the tanks. All of the tanks will have automated fire
2566 detection and suppression systems to prevent and/or extinguish full-surface fires. As such, Trans
2567 Mountain believes that a boil-over event is not a credible scenario for Burnaby Terminal. In
2568 addition, boil over can only occur after a lengthy burn period of many hours, during which time
2569 emergency management measures, including evacuations, if appropriate, would be highly effective
2570 in reducing consequences to the public. The extremely low probability of boil-over events
2571 combined with the opportunity to mitigate consequences is the reason that Trans Mountain did not
2572 consider boil-over scenarios to be the credible worst-case scenarios for the terminal risk
2573 assessments.⁴²⁰

2574 Trans Mountain has safely operated the Westridge, Burnaby, Sumas and Edmonton terminals for
2575 over sixty years. During this time, Trans Mountain has continually maintained effective fire
2576 suppression equipment and systems and is committed to doing so for the Project. Trans Mountain
2577 has analyzed the credible risks in accordance with widely accepted industry standards. Proven fire
2578 protection mitigation measures have been proposed by Trans Mountain to minimize those risks.

⁴¹⁹ Exhibit B418-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.03 - Reply to the City of Burnaby “Burnaby Fire Department Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K0](#)), 29-31.

⁴²⁰ Exhibit B418-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.03 - Reply to the City of Burnaby “Burnaby Fire Department Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K0](#)), 29-31.

2579 **3.12 Westridge Marine Terminal Design and Location**

2580 NRCan, and other intervenors including the City of Vancouver,⁴²¹ raised questions regarding the
2581 possibility of sea levels rising which could result in safety hazards, such as tidal conditions over-
2582 topping the Westridge Marine Terminal, terminal downtime or damage to infrastructure.⁴²² Trans
2583 Mountain's evidence is that Westridge Marine Terminal dock elevation will be designed to
2584 accommodate expected tidal fluctuations and withstand a predicted future long term 0.5 m increase
2585 in sea level rise.⁴²³ In addition, should the actual amount of long term, sea level rise exceed
2586 projections, there are a number of adaptive strategies that can be applied, if necessary, in the future
2587 to mitigate these effects without compromising the safety of operations of the Westridge Marine
2588 Terminal.⁴²⁴ In its evidence, Environment Canada stated that it is satisfied that Trans Mountain
2589 has "acknowledged and allowed for a broader range of plausible sea level rise by 2100."⁴²⁵ Trans
2590 Mountain similarly responded to the City of Vancouver's concerns in its reply evidence.⁴²⁶

2591 Concerns were also raised by intervenors regarding dredging work to be completed at the
2592 Westridge Marine Terminal in order to ensure the stability of the terminal.⁴²⁷ Dredging related to
2593 Westridge Marine Terminal is defined as excavation and removal of structurally unsuitable
2594 material from the vicinity of the existing foreshore in order to accommodate the foreshore

⁴²¹ Exhibit C77-27-9 - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence Appendix 8 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7W6](#)), 24.

⁴²² Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 74; Exhibit B119-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Vancouver IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2G6](#)).

⁴²³ Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Responses to City of Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 74; Exhibit B119-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Vancouver IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2G6](#)), 85, 86; Exhibit B339-2 – Response to District of North Vancouver IR No. 2 Notice of Motion (March 12, 2015) ([A4J5F2](#)), 6, 7.

⁴²⁴ Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 20.

⁴²⁵ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 135.

⁴²⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 20 – Facility Engineering and Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 20-1 - 20-3.

⁴²⁷ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 47, 51.

2595 extension necessary according to project design and engineering requirements. Upon completion
2596 of detailed engineering and design it may be the case that dredging is not needed, or can be
2597 significantly reduced, at the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁴²⁸ If dredging at Westridge Marine
2598 Terminal is necessary to remove structurally unsuitable material, Trans Mountain's primary goal
2599 will be to complete the dredging within the Fisheries and Oceans Canada ("DFO") least-risk work
2600 window for Burrard Inlet.⁴²⁹ In addition, once detailed engineering and design of the foreshore
2601 extension and comprehensive construction planning has been completed for the Westridge Marine
2602 Terminal, Trans Mountain has committed to submit the duration of dredging and the results of the
2603 sediment dispersion modelling to the NEB for review no later than 60 days prior to the start of
2604 dredging activities.⁴³⁰ This will ensure that dredging activities are considered in advance and do
2605 not result in any unacceptable effects on water quality.

2606 The District of North Vancouver raised concerns in its intervenor evidence related to the proposed
2607 Westridge Marine Terminal expansion and designated vessel anchorages having the potential to
2608 create noise and light issues for residents.⁴³¹ Trans Mountain responded in its reply evidence that
2609 when detailed design has progressed to the point where mechanical equipment can be selected, a
2610 predictive noise modelling study will be done and the results will be used to optimize noise
2611 reduction. Trans Mountain will design lighting at Westridge Marine Terminal within acceptable
2612 levels to meet the relevant requirements, the *Canada Occupational Health and Safety*
2613 *Regulations*⁴³² and the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code. Furthermore, Trans

⁴²⁸ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 47.

⁴²⁹ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 283.

⁴³⁰ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 283.

⁴³¹ Exhibit C106-8-1 - Affidavit of Julie Pavey (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0E9](#)), 18.

⁴³² SOR/86-304.

2614 Mountain will conduct an area lighting study that will include consideration of impact to the
2615 surrounding communities to further minimize this impact of its plans for the Project.⁴³³ PMV has
2616 enacted noise and light effects mitigation measures requirements for all vessels anchoring within
2617 PMV jurisdiction. Such requirements are published in the PMV Port Information Guide.

2618 **3.13 Operations and Maintenance**

2619 The existing TMPL has operated safely for over sixty years. Trans Mountain operates in
2620 accordance with the OPR. Companies are responsible for meeting the requirements of the OPR to
2621 manage safety, security and environmental protection throughout the entire lifecycle of their
2622 facilities, from design, through to construction, operation and abandonment. The OPR was revised
2623 in April 2013 to require operating companies to have a management system that applies a
2624 systematic, comprehensive and proactive approach to managing risk, in order to promote safety,
2625 security and environmental protection.

2626 To meet these requirements KMC has established and implemented an Integrated Safety and Loss
2627 Management System (“ISLMS”) which applies to all activities throughout the lifecycle of their
2628 facilities. There are currently sixteen programs in the ISLMS, including programs for: Damage
2629 Prevention, Public Awareness, Environmental Protection, Integrity Management, Safety
2630 Management, Emergency Management, Security Management, Control Room Management,
2631 Operation and Maintenance and Engineering. The ISLMS has processes for monitoring
2632 performance and continually improving activities; this includes periodic internal audits and
2633 assessments that are performed on various programs. Additionally, the programs are subject to
2634 regular inspections and audits conducted by federal and provincial regulators. The facilities to be

⁴³³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 20 - Facility Engineering & Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 20-3.

2635 constructed as part of the TMEP will be integrated into the existing ISLMS. Existing processes,
2636 activities and plans will be modified and appropriately scaled to include the facilities constructed
2637 during TMEP. The expanded pipeline and facilities will be operated from the current Control
2638 Centre in Sherwood Park, Alberta, and the new pipeline will be monitored using the leak detection
2639 systems presently utilized to monitor the TMPL. All field operations and maintenance activities
2640 will continue to be carried out by qualified personnel, and the system maintenance activities will
2641 be managed using KMC's existing Computerized Maintenance Management System.⁴³⁴

2642 The TMEP facilities will be constructed and operated in accordance with the most recent
2643 requirements including the OPR, which references CSA Z662-15 and the *Canada Labour Code*.⁴³⁵
2644 The OPR and CSA Z662-15 reference additional standards and publications, the applicable
2645 elements of which have been incorporated into KMC's management system, operations and
2646 maintenance systems, programs, processes and training.

2647 **3.14 Routine Inspection and Leak Detection**

2648 Reliable SCADA and leak detection systems are necessary for safe and efficient pipeline system
2649 operations.⁴³⁶ Specifically, in order to minimize potential damage from spills during operation,
2650 early detection of leaks and breaks is paramount.⁴³⁷

2651 Over the sixty year period, the existing TMPL system has operated with the goal of preventing
2652 leaks. KMC has a long and successful history with the implementation of the computational

⁴³⁴ Exhibit B5-7 – V4C 1.0 TO APPB PROJ DES AND EXEC-OP AND MAINT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L1](#)), 4C-3.

⁴³⁵ RSC 1985, c L-2.

⁴³⁶ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 76.

⁴³⁷ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Mackenzie Gas Project – GH-1-2004 (December 2010), Volume 2, 145.

2653 pipeline monitoring system (“CPM System”), which provides continuous leak detection. The CPM
2654 System is a state-of-the-art, real-time, transient, computational pipeline leak detection system,
2655 which are widely viewed as the most effective type of system for liquid petroleum transmission
2656 pipelines. Highly accurate flow meters will be installed at all receipt and delivery locations and at
2657 all intermediate pumping stations along the pipeline route. Pressure transmitters and other
2658 instrumentation for the measurement of fluid parameters will also be installed along the Project
2659 route, where appropriate. The leak detection systems for the Project will be in compliance with the
2660 relevant industry standard CSA Z662-15. Trans Mountain is also reviewing other technologies for
2661 leak detection including external methods and an alternative computational method, that monitors
2662 flow and pressure signals and bases leak detection on a probabilistic analysis of those signals, for
2663 incorporation at the detailed design phase.⁴³⁸

2664 The Primary Control Centre will be the normal location for the monitoring and control of the
2665 TMEP. The SCADA system will collect information about fluid parameters, and other information
2666 as described in the Application, to enable the effective monitoring and control of the Project. The
2667 SCADA system will also collect information for the CPM System. Where the CPM System
2668 determines that flow or pressure parameters on the system fall out of expected tolerances, the leak
2669 detection system will issue an alarm in the Primary Control Centre.⁴³⁹

2670 Additional detection systems include in-line inspection runs using smart ball tools—a highly
2671 sensitive acoustic technology which can pinpoint very small pipeline leaks, regularly scheduled

⁴³⁸ Exhibit B5-7 – V4C 1.0 TO APPB PROJ DES AND EXEC-OP AND MAINT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L1](#)), 4C-23.

⁴³⁹ Exhibit B5-7 – V4C 1.0 TO APPB PROJ DES AND EXEC-OP AND MAINT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L1](#)), 4C-23.

2672 aerial and ground patrols of the rights-of-way and facilities, and public awareness programs
2673 including the engagement of local municipal and emergency response agencies.⁴⁴⁰

2674 As with the existing system, the TMEP will have emergency shutdown systems which will
2675 automatically initiate in the event of certain abnormal conditions. Automatic shutdown systems
2676 will be designed in accordance with legislative requirements, and designed such that their
2677 operation does not increase the risk of further abnormal conditions occurring.

2678 Shxw'ōwhámel filed intervenor evidence suggesting that Trans Mountain implement a leak
2679 detection system that can effectively detect small leaks and provide timely identification of larger
2680 leaks to minimize the risk of spills.⁴⁴¹ Trans Mountain uses Real-Time Transient Modelling in its
2681 CPM System, which provides industry leading sensitivity for leak detection. As stated in Trans
2682 Mountain's reply evidence, current regulations in Canada require only a single leak detection
2683 system, while regulations in Germany require two systems running in parallel on a single pipeline.
2684 In an effort to continuously improve leak detection, in 2015 Trans Mountain will be installing a
2685 second complementary CPM System that will operate in parallel with the existing system. The
2686 new CPM System will use a different technology to recognize leaks. If the application to the
2687 existing TMPL system proves successful, the new CPM System will also be implemented for the
2688 Project. The CPM System will complement KMC's systemic approach to leak detection, which
2689 includes: monitoring, aerial and ground surveillance patrols, in-line inspection as well as additional
2690 measurements for the Project.⁴⁴² With respect to Shxw'ōwhámel's interest in effective leak

⁴⁴⁰ Exhibit B5-7 – V4C 1.0 TO APPB PROJ DES AND EXEC-OP AND MAINT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L1](#)), 4C-23.

⁴⁴¹ Exhibit C312-8-9 - Piteau Groundwater Report Part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1A7](#)), 12.

⁴⁴² Exhibit B418-15 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.16 - Reply to Shxw'ōwhámel First Nation "Review of Trans Mountain Expansion Project Groundwater Issues Associated with Ohamil I.R. 1 and Peters I.R. 1 and 2" (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L3](#)), 4.

2691 detection, Trans Mountain's CPM leak detection capacity not only meets, but far exceeds
2692 regulatory requirements and maximizes CPM leak detection capability.

2693 **3.15 Seismic and Natural Hazards**

2694 Trans Mountain has carefully considered seismic activity and its potential impact on the Project,
2695 relying on both its 60 years of experience operating the TMPL system and new analysis obtained
2696 specifically for the design, construction and operation of the Project. Trans Mountain has identified
2697 portions of the proposed pipeline and some terminals which are located in seismically active areas.
2698 Based on Trans Mountain's analysis, the greatest seismic threat arises from the potential for active
2699 faults, with hazards stemming from strong ground motions and permanent ground displacement
2700 due to surface fault rupture.⁴⁴³

2701 Trans Mountain has filed a number of seismic assessments and reports including: a preliminary
2702 seismic hazard assessment for the TMEP,⁴⁴⁴ a semi-quantitative hazard assessment of geohazards
2703 as part of the Risk Assessment Report in Technical Update Number 1,⁴⁴⁵ and a Seismic Hazard
2704 Update on March 31, 2015.⁴⁴⁶

2705 During the initial design phase, hazard assessments have used ground-motion predictions based
2706 on the Geological Survey of Canada's single reference ground condition.⁴⁴⁷ During the detailed

⁴⁴³ Exhibit B4-1 – V4A APPJ 01 OF 45 SEISM ASSESS STUDY (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1F6](#)), i.

⁴⁴⁴ Exhibit B4-1 – V4A APPJ 01 OF 45 SEISM ASSESS STUDY (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1F6](#)).

⁴⁴⁵ Exhibit B248-19 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update 1 – Cons update 2 Part 2 Risk Update Pt 2 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8G2](#)).

⁴⁴⁶ Exhibit B-358-2 – 01.0 TMEP - March 2015 Seismic Hazard Update Main Report and Appendix A – (March 31, 2015) ([A4K0Z3](#)).

⁴⁴⁷ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.093 a (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 368.

2707 engineering and design phase, seismic investigations will be undertaken for all areas along the
2708 route identified as having elevated liquefaction or landslide potential, and ground-motion
2709 predictions will be updated based upon the data obtained.⁴⁴⁸ This process has been described in
2710 the preliminary hazard assessment,⁴⁴⁹ and further explained in response to NEB IR 2.094.⁴⁵⁰

2711 The constructability of the Project, which can be affected by terrain and geohazards.⁴⁵¹ Trans
2712 Mountain has provided a table summarizing potential constructability problems and potential
2713 mitigation for each type of geohazard.⁴⁵²

2714 Trans Mountain's risk identification and management plan for threats of existing and potential
2715 geohazards will be updated as additional site specific information is obtained through detailed
2716 investigations, and modified as geohazards are encountered during construction.⁴⁵³ Intervenor
2717 Dorothy Doherty expressed concerns about seismic activity along the coast, citing examples of
2718 earthquakes that triggered tsunamis in the Pacific.⁴⁵⁴ Ms. Doherty requests that the TMEP
2719 commits to using construction standards well above the accepted standards to address the risk of
2720 such events. The risk-based approach to design and construction described above is a rigorous,
2721 industry-leading, world-class approach that goes well beyond the minimum requirements of CSA

⁴⁴⁸ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.093 b (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 369.

⁴⁴⁹ Exhibit B4-1 – V4A APPJ 01 OF 45 SEISM ASSESS STUDY (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1F6](#)), 40.

⁴⁵⁰ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.094 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 370-371.

⁴⁵¹ Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-12.

⁴⁵² Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.098 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 378-383.

⁴⁵³ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.099 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 384-385.

⁴⁵⁴ Exhibit C109-3-1 – Written Evidence D. Doherty (June 12, 2015) ([A4L8U3](#)).

2722 Z662. This will allow the design team to identify potential risks and adopt mitigation measures
2723 during design to address those risks.⁴⁵⁵ Further details regarding this approach are included in
2724 Trans Mountain's reply evidence.⁴⁵⁶

2725 Trans Mountain has also committed to develop seismic performance standards during the detailed
2726 design phase.⁴⁵⁷ While there are presently no guidelines in force in Canada that prescribe a
2727 performance standard for seismic design with respect to pipelines,⁴⁵⁸ Trans Mountain will utilize
2728 provincial and national building code guidelines for specific facilities to provide a standard against
2729 which to assess the Project. These will include the National Building Code of Canada, the Alberta
2730 Building Code, the B.C. Building Code and other recognized standards and practices.⁴⁵⁹

2731 The Burnaby Residents Opposing Kinder Morgan Expansion ("BROKE") expressed concern
2732 regarding the Project's seismic design basis.⁴⁶⁰ The Project will be designed to withstand the
2733 larger of ground motions with a 1:2475 annual exceedance probability, as provided by the National
2734 Building Code of Canada and deterministic ground-motion predictions for credible earthquake
2735 sources, both modified to reflect site-specific conditions. The Project will also be designed to
2736 withstand permanent ground displacement, transient ground displacement and seismic wave

⁴⁵⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-10.

⁴⁵⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-10.

⁴⁵⁷ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.092 a (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 365-366.

⁴⁵⁸ Exhibit B4-1 – V4A APPJ 01 OF 45 SEISM ASSESS STUDY ([A3S1F6](#)), i.

⁴⁵⁹ Exhibit 239-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 – Request 2.092 a (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 365-366.

⁴⁶⁰ Exhibit C41-8-1 – Seismic Hazard Assessment – Molnar (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6U4](#)).

2737 propagation arising from earthquakes that produce design-level ground motions.⁴⁶¹ Trans
2738 Mountain provided detailed analysis of these scenarios in its reply evidence responding to
2739 BROKE's filing.⁴⁶² BROKE also presented ground motion predictions for deterministic in-slab
2740 and shallow-crustal earthquake scenarios to identify those which might produce peak ground
2741 acceleration or peak ground velocity in excess of the 1:2475 design basis. Trans Mountain has also
2742 responded to these scenarios in its reply evidence.⁴⁶³ Trans Mountain and BROKE agree that the
2743 seismic risk to TMEP infrastructure from in-slab earthquakes is negligible to low.⁴⁶⁴

2744 Trans Mountain has and will continue to research seismic risk and geohazards to ensure the TMEP
2745 is designed and built to minimize risks. Once constructed, Trans Mountain will draw upon the
2746 expertise it has from operating the TMPL system for over 60 years to manage risks associated with
2747 geohazards and seismic activity.

2748 **3.16 Geotechnical Considerations**

2749 In addition to the seismic risks and considerations described above, the Project will be exposed to
2750 geotechnical risks, such as mudslides, flooding debris flows and rock slides. Trans Mountain has
2751 extensive experience in dealing with these issues with respect to the existing TMPL system, and
2752 has done, and will continue to do, considerable work to identify risks and hazards for the TMEP.

⁴⁶¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-1.

⁴⁶² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-1.

⁴⁶³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-5.

⁴⁶⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 15 – Seismic Hazards (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 15-5.

2753 The Stó:lō Collective indicated concern regarding geotechnical hazards in the Fraser Valley.⁴⁶⁵
2754 Trans Mountain acknowledges that such hazards have historically occurred along the pipeline
2755 route, and will continue to occur. Trans Mountain has designed the Project in a manner that avoids
2756 such hazards wherever possible, and implements mitigation measures where avoidance is not
2757 possible.⁴⁶⁶ In order to identify and adequately design for geohazards along the route, Trans
2758 Mountain and its geotechnical consultants have undertaken studies, which include the preparation
2759 of a Quantitative Geohazard Frequency Assessment.⁴⁶⁷ This assessment includes identifying and
2760 assessing 14 categories of geohazards along the proposed route, based on a review of historical
2761 data, satellite and air photo imagery, LiDAR (a remote sensing technology) and terrain mapping.
2762 Following this assessment, Trans Mountain's geohazard team has further reviewed the identified
2763 sites and completed field inspections and assessments.⁴⁶⁸

2764 Trans Mountain's geotechnical assessment has identified that Mountain Pine Beetle infestations
2765 may change the hydrological regime and impact the frequency and intensity of certain geohazards,
2766 as indicated by the Upper Nicola Band.⁴⁶⁹ However, Trans Mountain's assessment indicates the
2767 construction of the Project is unlikely to change the distribution and magnitude of Mountain Pine

⁴⁶⁵ Exhibit C326-9-1 – StoloCollective Evidence Submissions – Final Filed (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7A2](#)).

⁴⁶⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-1; see also Exhibit B2-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 4A, Project Design & Execution – Engineering (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Y8](#)), 4A-12.

⁴⁶⁷ Exhibit B248-19 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Tech Update 1 Cons Update 2 Part 2 Risk Update Pt02 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8G2](#)).

⁴⁶⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-1.

⁴⁶⁹ Exhibit C363-21-15 - Upper Nicola Band Witness Statement of Bernadette Wanda Manuel 26 May 15 (00251211xC6E53) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T0](#)).

2768 Beetle infestations and the resulting changes to hydrology and slope stability. Details of Trans
2769 Mountain's assessment are included in its reply evidence.⁴⁷⁰

2770 The Upper Nicola Band indicated concern about acid rock drainage and metal leaching from the
2771 pipeline itself.⁴⁷¹ Trans Mountain acknowledges that there is a risk that exposure of rock outcrops
2772 or excavated bedrock during construction may leach metals from the exposed rock or produce acid
2773 rock drainage. To address this potential, Trans Mountain has carried out desktop and field
2774 assessment of metal leaching and acid rock drainage to identify/characterize those units with an
2775 increased potential to leach metals and/or produce acidic drainage. The details of these studies are
2776 included in Trans Mountain's reply evidence.⁴⁷²

2777 There has been considerable attention paid by intervenors to geotechnical risks at and around
2778 Burnaby Mountain. Trans Mountain has proposed a number of mitigative measures to address
2779 these concerns. Proposed tunneling through Burnaby Mountain will be completed entirely from
2780 portals within the Burnaby and Westridge Terminal facilities, and there will be no impact to the
2781 Burnaby Mountain Conservation Area lands through clearing or any other construction activities.
2782 The tunnel will be backfilled to prevent the development of a conduit for groundwater flow. With
2783 respect to the potential to destabilize the mountain, Trans Mountain's analysis shows that
2784 construction of the Burnaby Mountain Tunnel would not have a negative impact on the stability
2785 of the mountain slopes.⁴⁷³ Burnaby retained Pakalnis & Associates ("Pakalnis") as geotechnical

⁴⁷⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-3.

⁴⁷¹ Exhibit C363-21-17 - Upper Nicola Band Traditional Use Study (TUS) (00224420xC6E53) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T2](#)).

⁴⁷² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-5.

⁴⁷³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-6.

2786 consultants. Pakalnis has submitted a report that identified a number of points regarding
2787 geotechnical design; Trans Mountain responded to each of these points in its reply evidence,
2788 confirming the geotechnical information collected in support of the Burnaby Mountain tunnel was
2789 adequate. For example, Pakalnis states that future geotechnical drilling is expected with
2790 subsequent evaluation. In response, Trans Mountain confirmed that future drilling that is planned
2791 for the Burnaby Mountain Tunnel will be completed from the planned portal locations and will not
2792 require land access to Burnaby property, including the Burnaby Mountain Conservation Area.⁴⁷⁴

2793 Intervenor evidence submitted by Burnaby included the “Geotechnical Review of Trans Mountain
2794 Expansion Project (TMEP), Burnaby Terminal Geotechnical Investigation”⁴⁷⁵ and the
2795 “Geotechnical Review of Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP), Westridge Marine Terminal
2796 Offshore Geotechnical Investigation”.⁴⁷⁶ These reports were prepared by MineIt Consulting Inc.,
2797 and included various concerns related to the geotechnical investigations conducted at each
2798 terminal. Trans Mountain has provided detailed responses to the MineIt reports in its reply
2799 evidence.⁴⁷⁷ A common issue with the MineIt reports is a failure to appreciate the current stage of
2800 design; many of the issues raised in the reports will be addressed during detailed design and the
2801 associated site-specific assessments and investigations.

2802 During the detailed design phase, seismic design of the terminals, including tanks, secondary
2803 containment and earthen, concrete and steel structures, will be in accordance with API 650, Annex

⁴⁷⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 11 – Pipeline Geotechnical Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 11-6.

⁴⁷⁵ Exhibit C69-44-6 - Burnaby Terminal Geotechnical Investigation Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8G0](#)).

⁴⁷⁶ Exhibit C69-44-5 - Westridge Marine Terminal Geotechnical Investigation (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8F9](#)).

⁴⁷⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 20 – Facility Engineering and Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 20-4.

2804 E, the National Building Code of Canada, the BCFC, the British Columbia Building Code and the
2805 Alberta Building Code and the Project will be designed for accordingly.⁴⁷⁸ There is also a tertiary
2806 containment area at Burnaby Terminal, which provides an extra level of safety should a seismic
2807 event occur during operations.

2808 **3.17 Risk Assessment**

2809 The identification, assessment and mitigation of risks is a critical part of Trans Mountain's
2810 engineering design process. Trans Mountain filed its initial risk assessment for the proposed new
2811 and expanded facilities.⁴⁷⁹ The assessment is used to inform detailed design and was also used in
2812 development of ERPs.⁴⁸⁰ Trans Mountain has committed to undertake final risk assessments for
2813 the proposed facilities after detailed engineering and design is nearing completion to optimize
2814 mitigation measures and to comply with any additional requests that might be requested as part of
2815 the NEB's conditions of approval if the Project is approved.⁴⁸¹

2816 The JRP for the Enbridge Northern Gateway Project indicated a favourable view towards the type
2817 of semi-quantitative risk assessment undertaken by TMEP, stating:

2818 Risk assessments based solely on historical incident records provide
2819 poor insight into future performance since incident records do not
2820 account for new technology and learnings that occur from the
2821 incident investigations. Northern Gateway said that it strives for
2822 continued improvement. The Panel finds that Northern Gateway's
2823 semi-quantitative risk assessment is a sound approach to designing

⁴⁷⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 20 – Facility Engineering and Design (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 20-4.

⁴⁷⁹ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 – Request 1.98 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 479-481; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 – Request 4.21 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 100.

⁴⁸⁰ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 – Request 1.98a (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 479-480.

⁴⁸¹ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 – Request 1.98 a (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H9](#)), 480-481.

2824 a pipeline system because it provides a framework to anticipate,
2825 prevent, manage, and mitigate potential hazards at the design stage
2826 of the project.⁴⁸²

2827 As detailed in Trans Mountain's reply evidence, the most common theme in the evidence
2828 submitted is the misperception and mischaracterization of the purpose of the pipeline risk
2829 assessment. Many intervenors contend that to facilitate a risk evaluation, the expected frequency
2830 of full-bore ruptures along the entire length of the pipeline should be reported as a 'return period'.

2831 For example, the City of New Westminster's evidence contains the following statements:

2832 Failure frequencies provided by KMC are sub-divided into smaller
2833 risks by considering the risk of rupture due to separate causes, rather
2834 than the overall risk of rupture due to all causes combined. Risks are
2835 also presented at scales that are difficult for most readers to
2836 understand. Local governments should be provided with a better
2837 understanding of the number of full-bore rupture events expected
2838 over the life of the project over each main segment of pipeline for
2839 all causes of ruptures combined.⁴⁸³ [emphasis added]

2840 Trans Mountain submits that the 'return period' approach to risk assessment, compared to Trans
2841 Mountain's dynamic segment approach described below, is incorrect for two reasons:

2842 (a) When calculating failure rates for linear infrastructure, such as pipelines, return period
2843 varies as a function of pipeline length, such that all other factors being equal, the return
2844 period increases as the length of pipeline that is being evaluated decreases. Aggregating
2845 failure likelihood over the length of a pipeline in order to report it in terms of a return
2846 period is contrary to, and inconsistent with how the consequences of failure manifest
2847 themselves, which is location-specific. Failure likelihood or risk results reported as 'return
2848 periods' for linear infrastructure are therefore misleading and make it difficult to interpret

⁴⁸² Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 80.

⁴⁸³ Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A400L5](#)), 34.

2849 results, especially when attempting to compare pipeline performance against industry
2850 benchmarks or incident statistics, which are reported on a per-unit-length per-year basis.⁴⁸⁴
2851 (b) The ‘return period’ concept is misleading in that it is predicated on an assumption of static
2852 threat levels. In reality, pipelines operate in a changing environment that includes time-
2853 dependent threat mechanisms for which regular assessments (such as in-line inspection)
2854 are made. Maintenance and repair operations are regularly undertaken to prevent failure
2855 from those time-dependent threats. The ‘return period’ concept is conservative overstating
2856 risk because it does not account for that changing environment, nor does it take into
2857 consideration the fact that future maintenance and repair will be undertaken to prevent
2858 failure.⁴⁸⁵

2859 Therefore, in Trans Mountain’s view, the request from the City of New Westminster and other
2860 intervenors to report failure likelihood or risk results reported as ‘return periods’ would provide
2861 no useful information to the Board, be misleading, difficult to interpret and fail to take into account
2862 relevant changes to the environment or pipeline.

2863 Trans Mountain submits that it appropriately calculated risk results on a dynamic segment basis,⁴⁸⁶
2864 rather than as ‘return periods’. A dynamic segment is a contiguous section of pipeline over which
2865 all attributes used in the calculation of risk are held constant. There are over 91,000 dynamic
2866 segments between Edmonton and Burnaby. As detailed in Trans Mountain’s reply evidence,
2867 aggregating results over the entire length of the pipeline, for the purposes of reporting a ‘return

⁴⁸⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 23 – Pipeline Oil Spill Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 22-2 - 23-3.

⁴⁸⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 23 – Pipeline Oil Spill Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 22-2 - 23-3.

⁴⁸⁶ Exhibit B306-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB IR No. 3.050a (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 359.

2868 period’ would involve removing all resolution from the analysis to the point where the results
2869 would do nothing to facilitate the risk-based design process that is the intention of the risk
2870 assessment.⁴⁸⁷

2871 The facilities that are being proposed under this Application will be industry leading with respect
2872 to safety measures that are incorporated in their design and operation. The Pipeline Risk
2873 Assessment Report⁴⁸⁸ prepared by Trans Mountain satisfied Annex B of the CSA Z662 Standard
2874 “Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems”, which provides guidance for the performance of risk assessments
2875 on pipelines. In addition, Trans Mountain’s risk-based design process for the Project goes beyond
2876 the minimum requirements of the CSA Z662 code. This is an industry-leading, world class design
2877 approach that will enable the design team to identify potential risks along the Project and pre-
2878 emptively adopt mitigation measures at the design phase to address these risks.⁴⁸⁹

2879 Trans Mountain’s risk assessment has informed its Project plans, for example, the Board requested
2880 additional information from Trans Mountain regarding how its evaluations informed valve
2881 placement in the event of an oil-pipeline release. The results of the risk assessment were
2882 incorporated into the design of the Project in a number of ways, for example:

2883 (a) optimization of valve locations were based on an assessment of release magnitude and the
2884 potential for that release to reach a watercourse;⁴⁹⁰

⁴⁸⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 23 – Pipeline Oil Spill Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 23-2.

⁴⁸⁸ Exhibit B248-18 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Tech Update 1 Cons Update 2 Part 2 Risk Update Pt01 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8G1](#)).

⁴⁸⁹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 23 – Pipeline Oil Spill Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 23-1.

⁴⁹⁰ Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 – Request 4.18 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 89-92.

2885 (b) risk associated with the threat of third party damage were mitigated through increased
2886 depth of cover, increased wall thickness or enhanced damage prevention measures such as
2887 pipeline markers;⁴⁹¹

2888 (c) risk associated with geohazards were mitigated through threat avoidance;⁴⁹²

2889 (d) risk associated with radiant heat exposure at Burnaby Terminal was mitigated through
2890 reconfiguration of two shared secondary containment areas to draw the 4.0 kW/m² contour
2891 further away from a neighbouring residential area to the south;⁴⁹³ and

2892 (e) for the expanded terminals, the assessment uses the criteria in the MIACC “Risk Based
2893 Land Use Planning” guideline. The assessments consider the worst-case scenarios, without
2894 consideration for the impacts of mitigation measures. The risks, even without mitigation
2895 measures, are within the MIACC acceptability criteria, provided that appropriate design
2896 features and maintenance practices are employed to keep the probability and magnitude of
2897 releases low.

2898 Burnaby asserted that Trans Mountain’s risk assessment is based on an “arguable premise” that
2899 sufficiently low frequency risks can remain unmanaged regardless of the severity of the
2900 consequence.⁴⁹⁴ Trans Mountain disagrees with Burnaby’s assertion. Trans Mountain uses a risk
2901 matrix approach to review facility integrity hazards and to qualitatively assess the risk of hazards.
2902 The matrix also considers the prevention, detection and protection measures applied to control

⁴⁹¹ Exhibit B316-34 – Trans Mountain Response to Province of B.C. IR No. 2.07(a) (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8W6](#)), 22-24.

⁴⁹² Exhibit B316-34 – Trans Mountain Response to Province of B.C. IR No. 2.07(a) (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8W6](#)), 22-24.

⁴⁹³ B371-2 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Responses to National Energy Board Information Request No. 4.21 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 100-101.

⁴⁹⁴ Exhibit C69-44-2 - Burnaby Fire Department - Trans Mountain Tank Farm Tactical Risk Analysis - Part 1 - Report and Appendix A ([A4L8F6](#)) (May 27, 2015), 3, 10.

2903 hazards at facilities. Each preventive control measure reduces the likelihood of a hazard, while
2904 each detective and/or protective control measure reduces the consequence.⁴⁹⁵

2905 In summary, Trans Mountain has incorporated findings from its risk assessment in its Project plans
2906 and will continue development of its final risk assessment to effectively anticipate, prevent,
2907 manage and mitigate potential risks. Risks and mitigation are well understood. Trans Mountain
2908 will continue to refine and optimize through its risk assessments to enhance the safety of the
2909 pipeline. The more than 60 years of safe operation of the TMPL underscores the accuracy and
2910 correctness of Trans Mountain's risk assessment approach.

2911 **3.18 Environmental Protection Plans**

2912 Trans Mountain has developed EPPs for the pipeline, facilities and the Westridge Marine
2913 Terminal. Each EPP is designed to:

- 2914 (a) identify mitigation measures to be implemented during pipeline and associated components
2915 construction activities;
- 2916 (b) provide instructions for carrying out construction activities in a manner that will avoid or
2917 reduce adverse environmental effects; and
- 2918 (c) serve as reference information for the environmental inspection staff to support decision-
2919 making and provides direction to more detailed information (such as resource-specific
2920 mitigation, management and contingency plans).⁴⁹⁶

⁴⁹⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Facility Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-15.

⁴⁹⁶ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 1-3.

2921 Each of the EPPs provide mitigation strategies to help avoid or minimize environmental effects
2922 from construction.⁴⁹⁷ Trans Mountain presented site-specific mitigation measures in the
2923 Environmental Alignment Sheets. The EPPs and Environmental Alignment Sheets will be used to
2924 guide environmental inspection and monitoring of the Project during construction.

2925 Trans Mountain will implement its comprehensive, Project-specific EPPs throughout construction
2926 activities in order to ensure disturbance is mitigated and minimized.⁴⁹⁸ The plans identify
2927 mitigation measures to be implemented during construction activities and provide measures and
2928 best practices for carrying out construction activities in a manner that will avoid or reduce adverse
2929 environmental effects.⁴⁹⁹ The EPPs will be refined and optimized on an ongoing basis to ensure
2930 continuous improvement.

2931 During construction, Trans Mountain will ensure that compliance with environmental
2932 commitments, undertakings and conditions of authorization and applicable environmental
2933 regulations are strictly enforced. This will involve hiring Environmental Inspectors as part of the
2934 Trans Mountain's construction management team to ensure the measures set out in the EPP are
2935 communicated, complied with, monitored and documented throughout all phases of construction
2936 to ensure compliance to the EPP.⁵⁰⁰ Through its EPPs, Trans Mountain will minimize the
2937 environmental impacts of Project-related construction activities and reasonably address the
2938 concerns of intervenors such as Metro Vancouver.

⁴⁹⁷ Exhibit B011 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E Part 1 (December 16, 2013) ([A56013](#)).

⁴⁹⁸ Exhibit B316-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Langley IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8T4](#)), 23-25.

⁴⁹⁹ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 1-3.

⁵⁰⁰ Exhibit B5-1 - V4B 1.0 TO 4.2.1.1 PROJ DES AND EXEC CONSTR (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1K5](#)), 4B-19 – 4B-20.

2939 Yarrow Ecovillage⁵⁰¹ and the B.C. Wildlife Federation⁵⁰² raised concerns regarding spills during
2940 construction including contingency planning for spills and protection of habitat from spills during
2941 construction.

2942 Regarding contingency planning for spills, Trans Mountain will implement management systems
2943 and industry best practices to protect and mitigate environmental impacts from spills and foreign
2944 material contamination throughout construction (as described in the EPPs). General and site
2945 specific protection measures of the EPP will be implemented by Trans Mountain during
2946 construction. These measures include the provision of emergency spill kits, appropriate for site
2947 conditions and activities to be available at all times.⁵⁰³

2948 Regarding protection of habitat from spills during construction, all spill incidents, including minor
2949 and spot spills not reportable to the regulator, such as hydraulic hose failure, will be immediately
2950 reported to onsite supervisors, who will report the spill to the Environmental Inspector. Site-
2951 specific ERPs will include a contact list of the construction spread managers, including General
2952 Contractor and TMEP construction and environmental management.⁵⁰⁴ In the event that an
2953 unforeseen environmental emergency occurs during construction, Trans Mountain will implement
2954 any site specific approved mitigation measures or contingency plans and its EMP. Following the

⁵⁰¹ Exhibit C394-2-1 - Yarrow Ecovillage Written submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1L3](#)), 9.

⁵⁰² Exhibit C25-1-1 – B.C. Wildlife Federation - Written Evidence Submission ([A4Q0W2](#)), 13.

⁵⁰³ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Environmental Protection Planning (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 48-1; Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1 of 2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 1-1 – 1-10, 7-1 and 8-1 – 8-63.

⁵⁰⁴ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), 1-10.

2955 initial response and containment, contamination will be assessed and remediation designed and
2956 implemented in accordance to the NEB Remediation Guide (NEB 2011).⁵⁰⁵

2957 **3.19 Reclamation Management Plan**

2958 Trans Mountain has developed a Reclamation Management Plan⁵⁰⁶ that includes construction
2959 reclamation measures to be implemented prior to, during and following pipeline installation in
2960 order to stabilize and re-vegetate affected lands to in time achieve land productivity along the right-
2961 of-way that is functionally comparable to pre-disturbance conditions or adjacent conditions off the
2962 right-of-way. This plan will include Integrated Vegetation Management to control problem
2963 vegetation, and will be implemented in conjunction with Trans Mountain's Rare Ecological
2964 Community and Rare Plant Population Management Plan. Following construction, Trans
2965 Mountain's post-construction monitoring program will evaluate the success of Trans Mountain's
2966 reclamation work and will identify the need for additional measures, as needed, to ensure that the
2967 goals of the Reclamation Management Plan are met.

2968 As detailed in Section 7.3 - Follow-up and Monitoring Trans Mountain has proposed a
2969 comprehensive Post-Construction Environmental Monitoring ("PCEM") program. The goals of
2970 this program include determining whether the environment is on a successful trajectory towards
2971 pre-construction conditions and assessing the effectiveness of reclamation measures. The results
2972 of the program will be submitted to the NEB, including any unresolved environmental issues and
2973 the remedial measures planned by Trans Mountain to resolve these issues. Trans Mountain will
2974 conduct the PCEM program during a period up to the first five complete growing seasons (or

⁵⁰⁵ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Environmental Protection Planning (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 48-1.

⁵⁰⁶ Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1of2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)), C-1.

2975 during years one, three, and five) following commissioning of the Project or as per CPCN
2976 conditions.⁵⁰⁷

2977 **3.20 Project Design Conclusion**

2978 Trans Mountain has drawn on its extensive experience with the TMPL and the recently completed
2979 Anchor Loop Project to safely design the Project and mitigation measures. The company is
2980 uniquely qualified through decades of operational experience to give consideration to the range of
2981 terrain and environmental conditions that the TMEP will cross. The Project will be designed in
2982 accordance with the OPR and Trans Mountain has committed to complying with CSA Z662-15,
2983 which was released in June 2015.⁵⁰⁸ Trans Mountain's design process and engineering practices
2984 will ensure compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, as well as industry-accepted codes
2985 and standards, which are in place to protect the environment and safety of the public. The
2986 Association of Consulting Engineering Companies of British Columbia confirmed this view in its
2987 letters to the Board:

2988 The oversight, permitting and internal quality control measures
2989 associated with the project, along with Canada's prominence as an
2990 international leader in pipeline development, should give the NEB
2991 and the public confidence that the Trans Mountain Expansion
2992 Project can be built, operated and maintained in an environmentally
2993 responsible manner.⁵⁰⁹

2994 Trans Mountain's iterative risk-based design process identified optimal risk-mitigation measures
2995 and will incorporate those risk mitigation measures into the final design. This design process was
2996 informed by a robust risk-assessment process to identify and mitigate high-risk portions of the

⁵⁰⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 50 – Post-Construction Monitoring (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 50-2 to 50-6.

⁵⁰⁸ Exhibit B413-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Response to NEB IR No 6 (July 22 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 118.

⁵⁰⁹ Association of Consulting Engineering Companies of B.C. - Letter Of Comment (July 16, 2015) ([A4R5G8](#)).

2997 design. Trans Mountain conducted an extensive stakeholder engagement process and worked
2998 diligently to address the concerns received, including by modifying designs and routing.

2999 Trans Mountain's routing criteria has been applied to produce a corridor that effectively minimizes
3000 impacts on potentially affected parties and the environment. In its Project planning, Trans
3001 Mountain thoroughly considered reasonable alternative pipeline routing and Westridge Marine
3002 Terminal locations to identify the preferred option based on engineering, construction,
3003 environmental and socio-economic factors.

3004 The use of existing pipeline segments and pump station locations as well as suitable watercourse
3005 crossing methods further reduced the environmental impacts of the Project. For terminal facilities,
3006 proven mitigation measures are proposed to ensure that there is adequate secondary containment
3007 and fire protection.

3008 Trans Mountain's plans for operations, maintenance inspection and environmental protection
3009 demonstrate that the Project will be constructed and operated in a safe, reliable and
3010 environmentally responsible manner.

3011 **4. EMERGENCY RESPONSE**

3012 **4.1 Overview**

3013 Concerns have been raised regarding accidents or malfunctions in relation to the Project, and in
3014 particular Trans Mountain's ability to respond to terrestrial and marine oil spills.⁵¹⁰ Pursuant to
3015 regulatory requirements, Trans Mountain must implement management systems and protection
3016 programs to anticipate, prevent, manage and mitigate events that may adversely affect the safety
3017 and security of its pipelines, employees, the public, property and the environment.⁵¹¹ Trans
3018 Mountain's primary objective is to prevent spills from occurring. To achieve this objective,
3019 incident prevention measures will be incorporated throughout the full Project lifecycle starting
3020 with formalized risk assessments of preliminary engineering designs through to pipeline
3021 construction, facility expansion and overall system operation and maintenance.⁵¹²

3022 Given the complex nature of activities associated with the construction, operation and maintenance
3023 of the Project, an accidental release or other unplanned event is possible. To address that reality,
3024 Trans Mountain developed an EMP for the existing TMPL and facility network that is premised
3025 on regulatory compliance, operational need, industry best practice and lessons learned through
3026 regular exercises and actual incidents. The enhanced EMP that is developed for the Project will
3027 improve on the current TMPL EMP in all respects.⁵¹³

⁵¹⁰ Exhibit C363-21-18 - Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Inland Oil Spill Response Logistics Analysis Part 1 of 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T3](#)); Exhibit C363-21-19 - Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Inland Oil Spill Response Logistics Analysis Part 2 of 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T4](#)); Exhibit C363-21-20 - Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Inland Oil Spill Response Logistics Analysis Part 3 of 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T5](#)); Exhibit C363-21-21 - Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. Inland Oil Spill Response Logistics Analysis Part 4 of 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T6](#)).

⁵¹¹ OPR, s 6.1.

⁵¹² Exhibit B18-1 - V7 1.0 TO 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-3.

⁵¹³ Exhibit B18-1 - V7 1.0 TO 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-3; Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1 of 2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)), 55.

3028 **4.2 NEB Emergency Management Program Requirements**

3029 The NEB clearly delineated its requirements for EMPs in a letter to intervenors and Trans
3030 Mountain on April 16, 2014.⁵¹⁴ Specifically, the NEB stated that each NEB-regulated company
3031 must have an emergency management program that includes:

- 3032 (a) the identification and analysis of potential hazards;
- 3033 (b) the evaluation and management of risks associated with all hazards;
- 3034 (c) an up-to-date emergency procedures manual that is filed with the Board;
- 3035 (d) liaising with agencies that may be involved in an emergency situation;
- 3036 (e) taking all reasonable steps to inform all persons who may be associated with an emergency
3037 response activity on the pipeline of the practices and procedures to be followed;
- 3038 (f) having a continuing education program for the police, fire departments, medical facilities,
3039 other appropriate organizations and agencies and the public residing adjacent to the
3040 pipeline to inform them of the location of the pipeline, potential emergency situations and
3041 the safety procedures to be followed in case of an emergency;
- 3042 (g) having procedures for the safe control or shutdown of the pipeline system in the event of
3043 an emergency;
- 3044 (h) having sufficient response equipment;
- 3045 (i) training to instruct employees on the emergency procedures and emergency equipment;
3046 and
- 3047 (j) having a verifiable capability to respond to an emergency demonstrated through emergency
3048 response exercises.⁵¹⁵

⁵¹⁴ Exhibit A19-1 - Letter - Draft conditions and regulatory oversight (April 16, 2014) ([A3V8Z8](#)).

⁵¹⁵ Exhibit A19-1 - Letter - Draft conditions and regulatory oversight (April 16, 2014) ([A3V8Z8](#)), 4.

3049 To ensure that companies are fulfilling their obligations under the OPR, EMPs are subject to audit
3050 by the NEB. Board staff regularly conduct compliance verification activities, emergency response
3051 exercise evaluations and review emergency procedures manuals to verify that companies are
3052 prepared to manage emergency situations.

3053 The KMC ERPs that form part of the current TMPL EMP have been written and organized to
3054 comply with NEB requirements. Federal and provincial regulatory personnel, as well as local first
3055 responder representatives, have attended KMC Emergency Response training exercises and actual
3056 spill responses and have had the opportunity to use the ERPs.⁵¹⁶ Each year, KMC conducts over
3057 20 emergency response exercises across the TMPL system.⁵¹⁷ The public record makes clear that
3058 Trans Mountain's EMP has been designed to exceed the OPR requirements.⁵¹⁸

3059 **4.3 Consultation Regarding the Emergency Management Program Documents**

3060 Trans Mountain has consulted with Aboriginal groups and stakeholders and engaged communities
3061 in discussions regarding the extent to which EMP documents should be made public to comply
3062 with the NEB's regulatory requirements, the public's interest in the plans and the protection of
3063 people, facilities and the environment. On October 17, 2014 Trans Mountain filed the EMP
3064 documents for the existing system in accordance with NEB Ruling No. 31.⁵¹⁹

⁵¹⁶ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 6.3 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 63-11; Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1.69a (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)).

⁵¹⁷ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1.69a (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)); Exhibit B 18-1-V 7 4.6.1 TO 4.6.2 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)) 7-35; Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-11.

⁵¹⁸ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 403-408.

⁵¹⁹ Exhibit A079 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 31 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Request to file Emergency Management Program documents confidentially (September 25, 2014) ([A63036](#)); Exhibit B279 - Follow-Up to Intervenor Information Request No. 1 Motions - Redacted Emergency Management Program Documents (October 17, 2014) ([A63573](#)).

3065 The Board requires companies to provide relevant information consistent with that specified in
3066 EMP documents to first responders and all persons, including municipalities, that may be involved
3067 in an emergency response activity.⁵²⁰ Trans Mountain made significant efforts to liaise with
3068 agencies that may be involved in an emergency situation, share information about the existing
3069 EMP and to seek input from emergency professionals. A prime example is the numerous
3070 Emergency Management Stakeholder Workshops that Trans Mountain organized for communities
3071 along the pipeline corridor. Presentations at the workshops provided information on a number of
3072 items the ERPs for the existing and proposed Trans Mountain pipeline system, the type and
3073 properties of products transported through the pipeline and how to respond safely in the event of
3074 a pipeline system emergency.⁵²¹ Trans Mountain's efforts ensure all feedback from those parties
3075 most familiar with successful emergency response is incorporated into the Project EMP.

3076 If a CPCN is issued and the Project proceeds, Trans Mountain will conduct a consultation program
3077 so that affected parties have the opportunity to provide input on the enhanced EMP as described
3078 in the Draft Conditions related to emergency management.⁵²² Trans Mountain will also develop a
3079 plan describing how commitments made by the TMEP will be incorporated into the enhanced
3080 EMP. As part of this consultation program, KMC will periodically file reports with the NEB on
3081 progress of its EMP review, including summaries of the interested parties consulted and how their
3082 comments were considered in the development of the enhanced EMP.⁵²³

⁵²⁰ Exhibit A155 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 63 – Motions to compel full and adequate responses to the second round of intervenor information requests (April 27, 2015) ([A69687](#)).

⁵²¹ Exhibit B249-1-Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Tech Update 1 Cons Update 2 Part 5 Update Stakeholder Engage Pt01 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8J2](#)), 41-2.

⁵²² Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-12.

⁵²³ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-13.

3083 **4.4 Pipeline and Facilities Spill Response**

3084 Shxw'ōwhámél and the Township of Langley expressed concerns related to aquifer protection
3085 after a release or incident. Trans Mountain takes responsibility for the oil it transports through its
3086 pipeline network regardless of who is determined to be the party responsible for causing an
3087 incident. The preferred method of protecting water, soil and groundwater aquifers is to prevent the
3088 product from entering those environments. The enhanced EMP will include the development of
3089 Geographic Response Plans (“GRPs”) that will be tailored to the geographic setting in each region
3090 of the TMPL system. Each GRP will indicate whether a vulnerable aquifer is present and outline
3091 the spill response tactics will be designed to provide protection to the aquifer.⁵²⁴ Through these
3092 plans, Trans Mountain will ensure that aquifers are protected after a release or incident.

3093 The Province of B.C. raised concerns related to the availability of emergency response
3094 equipment.⁵²⁵ Trans Mountain currently maintains and operates dedicated Oil Spill Containment
3095 and Response (“OSCAR”) units at seven strategic points along the TMPL system corridor. In
3096 Alberta, the units are located in Stony Plain, Jasper, and Blue River. The B.C. units are located in
3097 Kamloops, Hope, Burnaby (which houses two units).⁵²⁶ A detailed listing of the OSCAR contents
3098 at each location is available on the public record.⁵²⁷ Development of the Project EMP will include
3099 review of the geographic locations and inventories of the OSCAR units.⁵²⁸

⁵²⁴ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-21 – 63-22.

⁵²⁵ Exhibit B150-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Province of B.C. IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2Z1](#)).

⁵²⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-17.

⁵²⁷ Exhibit B150-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Province of B.C. IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2Z1](#)).

⁵²⁸ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-17.

3100 The Village of Belcarra expressed concerns regarding emergency response for the expanded
3101 Westridge Marine Terminal and the design technology for the proposed oil containment booms.
3102 Depending upon the size of the release, KMC, as operator, will implement some or all of the
3103 Westridge Marine Terminal ERP. Staff are always present during loading operations and will
3104 initiate an immediate shutdown of loading operations to limit the amount of product released. Prior
3105 to loading, tankers are completely encircled with boom. KMC staff at Westridge Marine Terminal
3106 are trained in oil spill response and have equipment ready on site for immediate deployment. For
3107 example, additional booms sufficient to double boom the ship in the event of an incident are stored
3108 at Westridge and can be deployed quickly by trained on-site personnel. Other activities that will
3109 take place in the event of a spill include the immediate notification of regulatory authorities such
3110 as WCMRC and use of the internal Emergency Response Line which notifies key incident
3111 management team members to assess and establish initial response objectives.⁵²⁹ The Westridge
3112 Marine Terminal ERP, including spill response capacity, will be enhanced as part of the Project.⁵³⁰

3113 **4.5 Marine Spill Response**

3114 Certain intervenors raised concerns related to the effects associated with accidents and
3115 malfunctions in relation to the tankers calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁵³¹ Adam Olsen,

⁵²⁹ Exhibit B96-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Belcarra IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6W1](#)), 10.

⁵³⁰ Exhibit B96-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Belcarra IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6W1](#)), 10.

⁵³¹ Exhibit C73-6 - City of North Vancouver - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70223](#)); Exhibit C74-10 - City of Port Moody – Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70219](#)); Exhibit C74-11 - City of Port Moody - Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70255](#)); Exhibit C74-12 - City of Port Moody – Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70284](#)); Exhibit C77-27 - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A70261](#)); Exhibit C77-27A - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 1A (May 27, 2015) ([A70283](#)); Exhibit C77-28 - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 2 (May 27, 2015) ([A70254](#)); Exhibit C77-29 City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 3 (May 27, 2015) ([A70260](#)); Exhibit C77-30 - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A70264](#)); Exhibit C77-31 - City of Vancouver - Written Evidence - Part 5 (May 27, 2015) ([A70285](#)); Exhibit C84-2 - Corporation of the City of Victoria - City of Victoria Written Evidence Submission (May 27, 2015) ([A70279](#)); Exhibit C86-12 - Cowichan Tribes - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70297](#)); Exhibit C106-08 - District of North Vancouver – Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70300](#)); Exhibit C107-10 - District of West Vancouver - Affidavit of Dorit Mason (May 26, 2015) ([A70221](#)); Exhibit C108-5 - Ditidaht First Nation - Written Evidence - Affidavit of

- 3116 Cowichan Tribes, Elizabeth May, Makah Tribal Council, NS NOPE, Pacheedaht First Nation,
3117 Squamish Nation, Tsawwassen Nation and US Tribes raised concerns related to marine safety.⁵³²
- 3118 KMC, as operator, only has an emergency response role if the spill originates from the Westridge
3119 Marine Terminal or a tanker that is docked at the terminal. Once a tanker has completed loading
3120 and leaves the Westridge Marine Terminal the cargo falls under the jurisdiction of the *Canada*

Chief Thompson (May 25, 2015) ([A70173](#)); Exhibit C109-3 - Dorothy Doherty - Written Evidence of Intervenor Dorothy Doherty (May 27, 2015) ([A70277](#)); Exhibit C124-6 - David Farmer - Written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70226](#)); Exhibit C33-06 - Board for Friends of Ecological Reserves final evidence reports KM-TMX (May 28, 2015) ([A70395](#)); Exhibit C135-08 - Friends of the Earth US - FoE US Written Evidence 27 May 2015 (May 27, 2015) ([A70295](#)); Exhibit C138-2 - Georgia Strait Alliance - Georgia Strait Alliance Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70327](#)); Exhibit C214-18 - Ecojustice - Written Evidence of Living Oceans Society (May 27, 2015) ([A70292](#)); Exhibit C234-07 - Metro Vancouver - Written Evidence and Exhibits (May 27, 2015) ([A70262](#)); Exhibit C246-4 - Musqueam Indian Band - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70362](#)); Exhibit C259-08 - NSNOPE Evidentiary Filings (May 26, 2015) ([A70205](#)); Exhibit C259-09 - NSNOPE Evidentiary Filings #2 (May 27, 2015) ([A70290](#)); Exhibit C269-18 - Pacheedaht First Nation - Written Evidence - Pacheedaht First Nation (May 26, 2015) ([A70179](#)); Exhibit C269-19 - Pacheedaht First Nation - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70191](#)); Exhibit C269-20 - Pacheedaht First Nation - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70195](#)); Exhibit C269-21 - Pacheedaht First Nation - Written Evidence - Pacheedaht First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A70241](#)); Exhibit C269-22 - Pacheedaht First Nation - Written Evidence - Pacheedaht First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A70247](#)); Exhibit C350-3 - Tofino-Long Beach Chamber of Commerce - 05-27-2015 Tofino-Long Beach Chamber of Commerce - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70363](#)); Exhibit C350-2 - Tofino-Long Beach Chamber of Commerce - TLBCC Intervenor Written Submission #2 (January 8, 2015) ([A65311](#)); Exhibit C355-15 - Tsawout First Nation - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70322](#)); Exhibit C358-13 - Tsleil-Waututh Nation - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70206](#)); Exhibit C359-4 - T'Sou-ke Nation - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70201](#)); Exhibit C336-7 - Swinomish, Tulalip, Suquamish, and Lummi Indian Nations - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70248](#)); Exhibit C369-6 - Village of Belcarra - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A70183](#)); Exhibit C376-08 - Written Evidence from WSDOE (May 27, 2015) ([A70339](#)).

⁵³² Exhibit C267-6-2 - Written Evidence of Adam Olsen (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6V3](#)); Exhibit C86-12-1 - Written Evidence of Cowichan Tribes (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9Y9](#)); Exhibit C228-5-1 - Elizabeth May Written Evidence – (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Q9](#)); Exhibit C223-3-1 – Makah KM-TM writ-evid 5-27-15 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2A4](#)); Exhibit C259-8-34 - NSNOPE written evidence (S Dickinson Pt 1) (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Y4](#)); Exhibit C259-8-35 - NSNOPE written evidence (S Dickinson - Part 2) (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Y5](#)); Exhibit C259-8-36 - NSNOPE written evidence (C Hartley) (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Y6](#)); Exhibit C269-18-2 - Affidavit of Jeff Jones sworn 22 May 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F3](#)); Exhibit C269-18-3 - Exhibit A to Affidavit of Jeff Jones (Pacheedaht) sworn May 22, 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F4](#)); Exhibit C269-18-4 - Exhibit B of Affidavit of Jeff Jones (Pacheedaht) sworn May 22, 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F5](#)); Exhibit C269-18-5 - Exhibit C of Affidavit of Jeff Jones (Pacheedaht) sworn May 22, 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F6](#)); Exhibit C269-18-6 - Exhibit D of Affidavit of Jeff Jones (Pacheedaht) sworn May 22, 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F7](#)); Exhibit C269-18-7 - Exhibit E of Affidavit of Jeff Jones (Pacheedaht) sworn May 22, 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F8](#)); Exhibit C319-27-4 - 2. Potential Adverse Effects of Shipping On Squamish Interests - Increased Volume Effects on Travel Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7E5](#)); Exhibit C356-7-3 - TFN Written Submissions 27 May 2015 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7T2](#)); Exhibit C336-7-7 - Written Evidence Appendix D (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G7](#)).

3121 *Shipping Act, 2001* and associated marine transport regulations.⁵³³ In the unlikely event⁵³⁴ that an
3122 oil spill occurs in the marine environment multiple organizations (e.g., WCMRC, Transport
3123 Canada, Environment Canada and the Canadian Coast Guard) will quickly take coordinated action
3124 to mitigate public and environmental impacts.⁵³⁵

3125 Spill response for all commercial tankers and oil handling facilities along the B.C. Coast is
3126 provided under agreement by the WCMRC which is the only federally certified oil spill response
3127 organization and the designated response organization for the West Coast of Canada. As discussed
3128 in Section 2 - Legal Framework of this final argument, WCMRC's enhanced planning standards
3129 for marine spill response will result in a regime that is able to deliver 20,000 tonnes of capacity
3130 within 36 hours from dedicated resources staged within the study area. This response capacity is
3131 double, and the delivery time half of, the existing planning standards.⁵³⁶

3132 In addition, the federal government announced that it will further strengthen Canada's tanker
3133 safety system with additional measures based on recommendations from the Tanker Safety Expert
3134 Panel and other studies. This objective has been achieved in part through amendments to the
3135 *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* which are designed to: (i) strengthen the current requirements for
3136 pollution prevention and response at oil handling facilities; (ii) increase Transport Canada's
3137 oversight and enforcement capacity by equipping marine safety inspectors with the tools to enforce
3138 compliance; (iii) classify new offences to be considered as contraventions of the Act and extend

⁵³³ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62 – Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-6.

⁵³⁴ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62 – Marine Emergency Preparedness and Response (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-6.

⁵³⁵ Exhibit B306 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB IR No. 3 – Part 1 of 2 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 75.

⁵³⁶ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-81.

3139 financial penalties relating to pollution; and (iv) enhance response to oil spill incidents by
3140 removing legal barriers that could otherwise block agents of Canadian response organizations from
3141 participating in clean-up operations.⁵³⁷ The enhancements to the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*
3142 address intervenor concerns by improving Canada's system for ship-source oil spill preparedness
3143 and response in order to better protect the public and the environment.

3144 **4.6 Emergency Response Conclusion**

3145 The most critical emergency preparedness strategy is to prevent a spill from occurring. However,
3146 in the unlikely event of an accidental release or other incident related to the Project, Trans
3147 Mountain will be prepared to respond in an expeditious and effective manner. The EMP for the
3148 existing TMPL and facility network is premised on regulatory compliance, operational need,
3149 industry best practice and lessons learned through regular exercises and actual incidents. KMC, as
3150 operator, will draw from its extensive operational experience to design an enhanced EMP for the
3151 Project. Emergency preparedness and response is an adaptive and continuing process. Trans
3152 Mountain is committed to consulting with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups at every stage of
3153 the EMP development process and over the life of the Project. This ongoing review and revision
3154 process ensures that the KMC EMP is current and meets, or exceeds, regulatory and jurisdictional
3155 requirements.⁵³⁸

⁵³⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 59 – Marine Transportation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 59-6.

⁵³⁸ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 63 – Emergency Management Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 63-3.

3156 **5. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

3157 **5.1 Overview**

3158 Trans Mountain has a comprehensive public consultation program which has resulted in ongoing
3159 consultation and conversations with thousands of individuals along the pipeline and marine
3160 corridors through in-person meetings, presentations, open house and workshops, online
3161 engagement (e.g., webinars), social media, interviews, phone inquiries, email correspondence and
3162 public media. For years Trans Mountain has conducted rigorous and comprehensive consultation
3163 with Aboriginal communities and other stakeholders. The purpose of the consultation undertaken
3164 by Trans Mountain is to both identify concerns important to Aboriginal communities and other
3165 stakeholders, and to develop and implement mitigation and enhancement measures. The concerns
3166 informed Trans Mountain's Project-planning efforts and, where possible, the issues were resolved.
3167 The Board can rely on Trans Mountain's consultation efforts which have enhanced the Project.

3168 The following section provides an overview of Trans Mountain's public consultation program
3169 including a summary of all consultation that has occurred to date as well as future consultation
3170 Trans Mountain has committed to undertake.

3171 **5.2 Trans Mountain's Public Consultation Program**

3172 As part of the TMEP Trans Mountain has, and continues to, engage in comprehensive consultation
3173 with the public. The inclusiveness of the consultation process bears emphasizing—Trans
3174 Mountain's consultation efforts span the conceptual phase of the Project through to present day
3175 and will continue throughout the life of the Project.

3176 To support its public consultation efforts, Trans Mountain developed the TMEP Stakeholder
3177 Engagement Program. In designing the program, Trans Mountain adopted KMC's Aboriginal and
3178 Community Relations philosophy which states:

3179 At KMC, we believe Aboriginal groups, our neighbours,
3180 governments and local communities play an important role in how
3181 we conduct our business. Our success depends on earning the trust,
3182 respect and cooperation of all community members.⁵³⁹

3183 The Stakeholder Engagement Program is comprised of six phases. The first phase commenced
3184 when Trans Mountain first committed to pursue the TMEP. Since that time Trans Mountain has
3185 implemented phases two through five of the Stakeholder Engagement Program with the sixth phase
3186 to begin upon operation of the Project and continue through the life of the TMEP.⁵⁴⁰ Feedback
3187 received in each phase has been incorporated into the TMEP planning and has influenced the
3188 design of subsequent phases of stakeholder engagement. The six phases of the Stakeholder
3189 Engagement Program are:

- 3190 (a) Phase 1 Engagement - Stakeholder and issue identification, May 2012 to September 2012;
- 3191 (b) Phase 2 Engagement - Public information and input gathering, October 2012 to January
3192 2013;
- 3193 (c) Phase 3 Engagement - Community conversations, February 2013 to July 2013;
- 3194 (d) Phase 4 Engagement - Feedback to stakeholders and Application filing, August 2013 to
3195 December 2013;
- 3196 (e) Phase 5 Engagement - Regulatory process to in-service, January 2013 to in-service; and
- 3197 (f) Phase 6 Engagement - Operational consultation.⁵⁴¹

⁵³⁹ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-2.

⁵⁴⁰ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-4 – 3A-5.

⁵⁴¹ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-9.

3198 **5.3 Public Information and Outreach Tools**

3199 Trans Mountain used a variety of methods to provide information to various audiences. These
3200 include: (i) maintaining a comprehensive website with information about various components of
3201 the Project and the industry; (ii) proactively distributing email updates to those who signed up for
3202 the mailing list; (iii) providing forums for people to ask questions, such as open house, workshops,
3203 face-to-face meetings, a toll-free phone line, email, a website question and answer forum
3204 (including the Talk Trans Mountain forum where the public can ask questions and respond to
3205 surveys), direct letters and Twitter question and answer sessions; (iv) maintaining a full media
3206 relations service that includes a dedicated media toll-free phone line, provides tours of TMPL
3207 facilities and submits information for publication; (v) using modest advertising campaigns, in
3208 multiple languages, designed to notify people about ways they could engage with members of the
3209 Project team, in person or online; and (vi) using advertising to alert the public of routing options
3210 where there were alternate routes being considered.⁵⁴²

3211 Trans Mountain received public feedback through sources including public open houses (also
3212 referred to as information sessions), routing open houses, community workshops, environmental
3213 and socio-economic workshops, emergency management stakeholder workshops, environment
3214 protection plan workshops, socio-economic effects monitoring program sessions, feedback forms,
3215 one-on-one meetings, public presentations and panels, online discussion forums and comment
3216 forms (including the TMEP website online engagement portal), telephone town halls and social
3217 media using such forums as Twitter, YouTube and SoundCloud and directly through mail, email
3218 and telephone contact.⁵⁴³

⁵⁴² Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-2, 3A-11.

⁵⁴³ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-4 – 3A-5;
Exhibit B1-9– V3A 1.5.6 TO 2.0 PUBLIC CONSULT Part 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R5](#)), 3A-131; Exhibit

3219 As discussed above, the Stakeholder Engagement Program is comprehensive and makes use of
3220 methods beyond those identified in the Filing Manual.⁵⁴⁴ Specific details on how Trans Mountain
3221 has used these forms of communication and strategies are provided in the Application and four
3222 Consultation Updates.⁵⁴⁵

3223 **5.3.1 Public Consultation Activities**

3224 Trans Mountain's early engagement with the public shaped its subsequent engagement and
3225 communications activities. For example, Trans Mountain provided introductory information on
3226 the Project through 37 public open houses in the fall and winter of 2012 and hosted subsequent
3227 open houses between May 2013 and July 2013 based on the initial public feedback it received.⁵⁴⁶
3228 During the regulatory process, Trans Mountain consulted with thousands of individuals through
3229 159 open houses or workshops along the pipeline and marine corridors and organized more than
3230 1,700 meetings between Project team members and stakeholder groups. Trans Mountain has also
3231 responded to 954 media inquiries, provided 432 interviews and responded to approximately 553
3232 phone inquiries and 1,506 emails received from the public.⁵⁴⁷ This information was and will

B306-12- Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Attachment Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 25.

⁵⁴⁴ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-32.

⁵⁴⁵ Exhibit B1-6, B1-7, B1-8, B1-9 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 3A, Public Consultation (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#), [A3S0R3](#), [A3S0R4](#), [A3S0R5](#) plus appendices); Exhibit B27 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Consultation Update No. 1 – Errata (March 20, 2014) ([A59343](#)); Exhibit B248, B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 and Consultation Update No. 2 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#) and [A62088](#)); Exhibit B306-12, B306-13, B306-14, B306-15, B306-16, B306-17, - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a - Consultation Update No. 3 – ([A4H1W2](#), [A4H1W3](#), [A4H1W4](#), [A4H1W5](#), [A4H1W6](#), [A4H1W7](#)); Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

⁵⁴⁶ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-5.

⁵⁴⁷ Exhibit B27 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Consultation Update No. 1 – Errata (March 20, 2014) ([A59343](#)); Exhibit B248, B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 and Consultation Update No. 2 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#) and [A62088](#)); Exhibit B306-12, B306-13, B306-14, B306-15, B306-16, B306-17, - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a - Consultation Update No. 3 – ([A4H1W2](#), [A4H1W3](#), [A4H1W4](#), [A4H1W5](#), [A4H1W6](#), [A4H1W7](#)); Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

3233 continue to be considered for incorporation into Project planning and design, and guides the
3234 development and implementation of Project-related mitigation measures.

3235 Trans Mountain made substantial efforts to provide stakeholders, Aboriginal groups and
3236 landowners with opportunities to participate in the planning of the Project. The feedback received
3237 by Trans Mountain informed Project planning in areas including routing, the scope of ESA, the
3238 identification of mitigation measures to reduce environmental and socio-economic impacts,
3239 emergency management, construction planning, Project-related benefits and routing alternatives.
3240 Trans Mountain has shared valuable information on issues related to pipeline integrity, safety and
3241 emergency response, environmental assessment and mitigation, economic impact, jobs, training
3242 and community opportunities.⁵⁴⁸ Based on these interactions, and throughout the engagement
3243 process, Trans Mountain has been able to identify common areas of interest or concern among
3244 stakeholders including: (i) community capacity building; (ii) corporate policies; (iii) land based
3245 access; (iv) the engagement process; (v) nuisance complaints; (vi) operations and maintenance;
3246 (vii) regulatory; (viii) routing; (ix) safety; and (x) terrestrial and marine environmental and socio-
3247 economic effects. The most common areas of interest or concern discussed online include: (i)
3248 climate change; (ii) construction; (iii) current operations; (iv) diluted bitumen; (v) routing; (vi)
3249 economic benefits and impacts; (vii) employment and training (viii) environment; (ix) liability;
3250 and (x) safety.⁵⁴⁹ These areas of interest or concern have been relayed to the appropriate Project
3251 team representatives to be considered and incorporated in the Application.⁵⁵⁰ Information on all

⁵⁴⁸ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-4, 3A-5; Exhibit B306 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a Attachment 1 – Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 64.

⁵⁴⁹ Exhibit B1-9– V3A 1.5.6 TO 2.0 PUBLIC CONSULT Part 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R5](#)), 3A-131.

⁵⁵⁰ Exhibit B1-6 – B1-6-V3A 1.0 TO 1.4 1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)), 3A-4, 3A-28.

3252 engagement activities, including specifics on what actions were taken, the response level and
3253 feedback are provided in the Application and consultation updates.⁵⁵¹

3254 Trans Mountain's public consultation process was a success. Based on the feedback Trans
3255 Mountain received, the company improved and optimized Project plans and mitigation measures
3256 based on the feedback it received.⁵⁵²

3257 Parks Canada raised concern that there have been no focused discussions with tourism operators
3258 in the Jasper National Park Area regarding impacts of reactivation activities associated with the
3259 Project.⁵⁵³ Trans Mountain's evidence is that impacts to the tourism industry in Jasper National
3260 Park will not be material as reactivation activities are anticipated to be minimal. In addition, Trans
3261 Mountain notified stakeholders in Jasper about specific opportunities to provide their feedback
3262 online and in May 2015 delivered direct mail postcards to 1,010 dwellings in the Municipality of
3263 Jasper. Discussions and engagement regarding potential impacts associated with the reactivation
3264 of the existing line within Jasper National Park are ongoing. In addition, Trans Mountain has
3265 committed to reach out to tourism operators in the Jasper National Park in Q2/Q3 2015 and involve
3266 them in engagement activities pertaining to the reactivation of the existing line. For example, on

⁵⁵¹ Exhibits B1-6, B1-7, B1-8, B1-9– Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 3A, Public Consultation (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#), [A3S0R3](#), [A3S0R4](#), [A3S0R5](#) plus appendices); Exhibit B27 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Consultation Update No. 1 – Errata (March 20, 2014) ([A59343](#)); Exhibit B248, B249 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Update No. 1 and Consultation Update No. 2 – (August 1, 2014) ([A62087](#) and [A62088](#)); Exhibit B306-12, B306-13, B306-14, B306-15, B306-16, B306-17, - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a - Consultation Update No. 3 – ([A4H1W2](#), [A4H1W3](#), [A4H1W4](#), [A4H1W5](#), [A4H1W6](#), [A4H1W7](#)); Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

⁵⁵² Mitigation measures resulting from Trans Mountain's engagement efforts include re-routing the Westridge delivery pipelines in Burnaby, B.C., enhanced Tanker Acceptance Standards and the creation of Technical Team Working Groups by Trans Mountain to provide an ongoing opportunity for Trans Mountain's engineering, routing and construction planning teams to work directly with relevant local government staff to refine plans and address issues as they arise.

⁵⁵³ Exhibit C347-1-1 - Parks Canada TMX Written Evidence – (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5U9](#)), 7.

3267 June 17, 2015 Trans Mountain invited tourism organizers to a Community Leadership Meeting in
3268 Jasper, Alberta. Trans Mountain intends to hold a similar event focused specifically on tourism in
3269 Q3/Q4 of 2015. Based on the foregoing, Trans Mountain submits that there has been, and will
3270 continue to be, focused discussions with tourism operators in the Jasper National Park Area.⁵⁵⁴

3271 **5.4 Landowner Consultation**

3272 Trans Mountain created a specific program, the Landowner Relations Program, for landowner
3273 consultation. The Landowner Relations Program was designed to mirror and complement the
3274 Stakeholder Engagement Program and is based on the same principles, goals and design.⁵⁵⁵

3275 The Landowner Relations Program is specifically aimed at introducing the Project to, and fostering
3276 discussion with, landowners along the proposed pipeline corridor. Trans Mountain recognizes that
3277 achieving landowner acceptance and obtaining approval for survey, construction, restoration and
3278 operational activities by means of open communication as well as fair compensation and
3279 addressing non-monetary issues in a respectful manner offers the greatest likelihood of success. It
3280 is Trans Mountain's goal to maintain an open working relationship with each landowner
3281 throughout all phases of the Project. Over the long-term, the program objectives are to obtain
3282 landowner understanding, acceptance and land rights for survey, construction, restoration and
3283 operations.⁵⁵⁶

3284 Trans Mountain began implementing the Landowner Relations Program in April 2012. The phases
3285 of the program include landowner notification, consultation and survey consent, land acquisition

⁵⁵⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 6 – Stakeholder Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 6-1 – 6-2.

⁵⁵⁵ Exhibit B1-46 – V3C LANDOWNER RELATIONS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V2](#)), 3C-2.

⁵⁵⁶ Exhibit B1-46 – V3C LANDOWNER RELATIONS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V2](#)), 3C-2.

3286 and maintaining ongoing relations.⁵⁵⁷ The Application contains a full description of the Landowner
3287 Relations Program, as well as a summary of its outcomes and landowner comments/concerns.⁵⁵⁸
3288 The majority of concerns raised by landowners have been resolved, and Trans Mountain will
3289 continue its work to resolve outstanding concerns.⁵⁵⁹

3290 Certain intervenors submitted evidence regarding access control during construction.⁵⁶⁰
3291 Specifically, Yarrow Ecovillage expressed concerns regarding construction activities cutting off
3292 access to farm operations and requested clarification on how access will be maintained.⁵⁶¹ Trans
3293 Mountain and its contractors will work with landowners and land managers to acquire access rights
3294 as described in the Application.⁵⁶² Trans Mountain is committed to working with landowners and
3295 land managers in developing site specific access management plans and channels of
3296 communication that minimize disruption and addresses the concerns raised by these stakeholders
3297 for sufficient, effective and safe access across the construction footprint.⁵⁶³

3298 Evidence filed by some intervenors referenced issues that have occurred respecting the existing
3299 TMPL.⁵⁶⁴ Although these issues are not within the scope of this proceeding, Trans Mountain

⁵⁵⁷ Exhibit B1-46 – V3C LANDOWNER RELATIONS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V2](#)), 3C-3 – 3C-7; Exhibit B306- Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a Attachment 1- Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 3, 126, 157-165.

⁵⁵⁸ Exhibit B1-46 – Exhibit B1-46– V3C LANDOWNER RELATIONS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V2](#)), 3C-2.

⁵⁵⁹ Exhibit B306-12 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Attachment 1 – Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)).

⁵⁶⁰ Exhibit C143-1-1 - Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6I0](#)).

⁵⁶¹ Exhibit C394-2-1 - Written submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1L3](#)).

⁵⁶² Exhibit B1-4 - V2 3of4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)); Exhibit B1-46 - V3C LANDOWNER RELATIONS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V2](#)).

⁵⁶³ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Environmental Protection Planning (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)).

⁵⁶⁴ Exhibit C311-1-2 - MRR Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8S5](#)); Exhibit C47-4-4 - Affidavit of Ian Cooke (May 26, 2015) (A4L5J5); Exhibit C47-4-2 - Affidavit of Brian Kingman (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5J3](#)); Exhibit C47-4-7 -

3300 representatives attempted to meet with and address the concerns identified in each case. Trans
3301 Mountain is committed to the continued implementation of programs and activities designed to
3302 address landowner issues.⁵⁶⁵

3303 **5.4.1 Government Consultation**

3304 Since the Project was announced in 2012, Trans Mountain representatives have made themselves
3305 available to the community, including elected representatives from all levels of government, who
3306 contacted Trans Mountain to better understand the Project and convey information to their
3307 constituents.⁵⁶⁶

3308 The NEB process also included notification to all relevant federal government departments and
3309 provincial agencies in Alberta and B.C.⁵⁶⁷ There has been extensive engagement with the
3310 governments of Alberta and B.C. to exchange information between Trans Mountain, provincial
3311 governments and provincial regulatory bodies on matters of provincial interest. A concern raised
3312 by government relates to the impact of the Project on provincial transportation right-of-ways and
3313 infrastructure. Trans Mountain is working with provincial governments to address their concerns
3314 through Project planning.⁵⁶⁸ In addition, Trans Mountain met with Alberta Environment and Parks
3315 to discuss: right-of-ways and deviations outside of the existing right-of-way; geotechnical studies

Affidavit of Ron Omichinski (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5J8](#)); Exhibit C47-4-6 - Affidavit of Christina Kehler (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5J7](#)); Exhibit C47-4-5 - Affidavit of Pearl Singleton (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5J6](#)).

⁵⁶⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 8 – Landowner Relations (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 8-1.

⁵⁶⁶ Exhibit B306-13 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Consultation Update No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W3](#)), 157.

⁵⁶⁷ Exhibit B1-9 – V3A 1.5.6 TO 2.0 PUB CONSULT – Part 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R5](#)), 3A-128.

⁵⁶⁸ Exhibit B306-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Consultation Update No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W3](#)), 157.

3316 on the Pembina River crossing; and Crown land crossed by the Project that is within the traditional
3317 territories of First Nations.⁵⁶⁹

3318 In the lead up to the filing of the Project Description in May 2013 and the Application in December
3319 2013, all levels of government (local, provincial and federal) where elected representatives and
3320 their constituents are potentially affected by the Project were engaged by Trans Mountain or
3321 provided an opportunity to obtain information about the Project. This occurred in accordance with
3322 the principles and goals of the Stakeholder Engagement Program.⁵⁷⁰

3323 **5.5 Future and Ongoing Consultation**

3324 Trans Mountain is committed to respectful, transparent and collaborative interactions with the
3325 public to develop long term effective relationships. Once the Project becomes operational,
3326 engagement opportunities will continue through hosting facility open houses, providing
3327 newsletters and Project updates, making safety and public awareness presentations, participating
3328 in community events, regulatory processes and ongoing informal meetings with stakeholders.
3329 Engagement activities to be used during operations will be developed in the lead up to construction.
3330 Trans Mountain is committed to ongoing consultation in the communities in which it operates.⁵⁷¹

3331 Trans Mountain has a number of engagement activities planned for the remainder of 2015. These
3332 include: (i) continued discussions on Community Benefit Agreements; (ii) ongoing meetings and
3333 discussions for route optimization; (iii) engagement on emergency management; (iv) reclamation
3334 and environmental remediation workshops; (v) continued public information sessions; (vi)

⁵⁶⁹ Exhibit B306-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Consultation Update No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W3](#)), 159.

⁵⁷⁰ Exhibit B1-9 – V34 1.5.6 TO PUBL CONSULT Part 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R5](#)), 3A-128, 3A-129.

⁵⁷¹ Exhibit B1-9– V34 1.5.6 TO PUBL CONSULT Part 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R5](#)), 3A-128.

3335 employment and procurement information sessions; (vii) ongoing municipal and regional
3336 government engagement; and, (viii) ongoing marine engagement.⁵⁷²

3337 If the Project is approved, Trans Mountain has made a number of specific engagement
3338 commitments that extend from approval through the entire lifecycle of the Project. These
3339 commitments have been included in the TMEP Commitments Tracking Table, which lists the
3340 hundreds of commitments that Trans Mountain has made during the regulatory process.⁵⁷³
3341 Examples of such commitments relating to public consultation and stakeholder engagement
3342 include:

- 3343 (a) Commitment # 74: Trans Mountain will develop a communication plan to facilitate a
3344 concise two-way information exchange between Project team members, corporate head
3345 office, contractors and regulatory authorities in order to effectively manage the Project.
3346 The communication will also summarize the notifications required to regulatory authorities
3347 and the public (prior to construction);⁵⁷⁴
- 3348 (b) Commitment # 88: KMC, as the operator of the existing Trans Mountain Pipeline system
3349 and the future TMEP, will continue to provide emergency response and incident prevention
3350 training free of charge to the municipalities in which it operates (throughout the operation
3351 of the Project);⁵⁷⁵

⁵⁷² Exhibit B306 - 12 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Attachment 1 – Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 4.

⁵⁷³ Exhibit B413-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Response to NEB No. 6.01 – Attachment 1 – (Commitments v3 July 2015) (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I5](#)).

⁵⁷⁴ Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (Trans Mountain Expansion Project Commitments Tracking Table) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)), 5.

⁵⁷⁵ Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (Trans Mountain Expansion Project Commitments Tracking Table) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)), 6.

- 3352 (c) Commitment # 110: Trans Mountain will work with emergency services to ensure that
3353 there is sufficient capacity to respond to a fire during construction and operations
3354 (throughout the operation of the Project);⁵⁷⁶
- 3355 (d) Commitment # 124: As part of a commitment to keep stakeholders informed of Project
3356 activities, Trans Mountain has continued to provide Project updates, maintain an active
3357 website, phone line and email address. Trans Mountain will continue to seek opportunities
3358 to build awareness of the digital engagement platform throughout the Project's
3359 development (prior to construction, during construction and post construction);⁵⁷⁷
- 3360 (e) Commitment # 128: Trans Mountain will continue engagement activities through to the
3361 post-construction phase of the Project. Trans Mountain will continue to engage regulatory
3362 agencies and government offices that have interest in the Project through to the post-
3363 construction phase of the Project (post-construction);⁵⁷⁸ and
- 3364 (f) Commitment # 152: Trans Mountain will determine final crossing procedures in
3365 consultation with Burnaby and B.C. Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure during
3366 the detailed engineering and design phase of the Project (prior to construction).⁵⁷⁹

⁵⁷⁶ Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (Trans Mountain Expansion Project Commitments Tracking Table) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)), 7.

⁵⁷⁷ Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (Trans Mountain Expansion Project Commitments Tracking Table) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)), 8.

⁵⁷⁸ Exhibit B306-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to NEB IR No. 3.001A – Attachment 1 (Trans Mountain Expansion Project Commitments Tracking Table) (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V3](#)), 8.

⁵⁷⁹ Exhibit A19-1 – National Energy Board- Letter - Draft conditions and regulatory oversight – Trans Mountain Pipeline Expansion Project (April 16, 2014) ([A3V8Z8](#)); Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

3367 **5.6 Conclusion**

3368 The Application filed with the NEB is the culmination of years of study and engagement. These
3369 efforts include ongoing consultation and conversations with thousands of individuals along the
3370 pipeline and marine corridors through in-person meetings, social media, interviews, phone
3371 inquiries, email correspondence and public media.

3372 Trans Mountain's comprehensive public consultation program was designed to ensure that all
3373 stakeholders were given the opportunity to access relevant Project information, be aware of Project
3374 information, have the ability to provide input into project planning and affect the future project.

3375 The sharing of information was made possible through the implementation of innovative
3376 engagement programs tailored to the interests and needs of Aboriginal groups and stakeholders
3377 including landowners and the federal and provincial government. Trans Mountain's Consultation

3378 Updates demonstrate that Project-related concerns have been resolved in an effective manner and
3379 that the public has numerous opportunities to learn and provide feedback to Trans Mountain
3380 regarding the Project. The public consultation process has and will continue to be a success. The

3381 Board can rely on the process and the positive impacts it has had on the Project, and as a result the
3382 Canadian public interest.

3383 **6. ABORIGINAL**

3384 **6.1 Aboriginal Interests and Consultation with Aboriginal Groups**

3385 The Crown's duty to consult arises whenever the Crown has knowledge, real or constructive, of
3386 the potential existence of an Aboriginal or treaty right, and contemplates conduct, including
3387 making decisions, that may adversely affect that right.⁵⁸⁰ Actual knowledge arises when a claim
3388 has been filed in court or advanced in the context of negotiations or when a treaty right may be
3389 impacted.⁵⁸¹ The duty to consult may also arise prior to the legal determination of specific
3390 Aboriginal rights, requiring the Crown to take contested or established rights into account before
3391 making a decision that may have an adverse impact on them.⁵⁸²

3392 Where potential rights are claimed, the scope of consultation will need to be proportionate to the
3393 seriousness of the potential adverse impact of the proposed Crown conduct and the potential
3394 preliminary assessment of the strength of the potential Aboriginal right claimed.⁵⁸³ The appropriate
3395 level of consultation falls along a spectrum which is reflective of the rights that have been
3396 established or are being claimed and the degree to which those rights may be impacted by the
3397 project.⁵⁸⁴ This duty may be triggered where the Crown is being asked to issue regulatory and
3398 environmental approvals for major infrastructure projects, in which case the Crown may be
3399 required to consult with Aboriginal peoples prior to making its decision.

⁵⁸⁰ *Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73, para 35.

⁵⁸¹ *Mikisew Cree First Nation v Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage)*, 2005 SCC 69, para 34.

⁵⁸² Woodward, *Native Law*, loose-leaf (consulted on 12 January 2014), (Carswell: Toronto), ch 5-49.

⁵⁸³ Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada, "Aboriginal Consultation and Accommodation – Updated Guidelines for Federal Officials to Fulfill the Duty to Consult" (March 2011), online: <<http://www.aadnc-aandc.gc.ca/eng/1100100014664/1100100014675>>.

⁵⁸⁴ *Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73, para 25.

3400 The NEB is not responsible for fulfilling the duty to consult. Ultimately, the legal responsibility to
3401 meet the duty lies with the Crown. The Crown may, however, rely on the NEB process to satisfy
3402 the duty.⁵⁸⁵ In August 2013, the MPMO indicated that the federal Crown would rely on the NEB's
3403 public regulatory process, to the extent possible, to fulfil any Crown duty to consult Aboriginal
3404 groups with respect to the Project.⁵⁸⁶ Trans Mountain submits that the courts have consistently
3405 affirmed that a regulatory process is a reasonable (and practical) means of undertaking
3406 consultation. The Crown may rely on a regulatory process to the extent possible to discharge the
3407 duty to consult. There is no duty on the Crown to engage in dialogue directly with an Aboriginal
3408 group or develop special consultation measures if an established statutory procedure will suffice.
3409 Rather, it is the Crown's duty to ensure that consultation occurs and is adequate prior to making a
3410 decision that may adversely affect potential Aboriginal rights or title.⁵⁸⁷

3411 The MPMO further indicated that the NEB process would be utilized to identify, consider and
3412 address the potential adverse impacts of the Project on established or potential Aboriginal and
3413 treaty rights.⁵⁸⁸ In early April 2014, the NEB released the list of 1,650 participants for its regulatory
3414 process for the Project, including intervenors and commenters. In total, 67 Aboriginal groups
3415 applied for, and were granted, intervenor status in the regulatory process for the Project. Three
3416 Aboriginal groups were granted commenter status.

⁵⁸⁵ *Carrier Sekani Tribal Council v British Columbia (Utilities Commission)*, 2010 SCC 43, para 56, citing *Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73, para 51.

⁵⁸⁶ Exhibit A001 - NEB - Letters and Attachments to Aboriginal Groups with Description of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (Filing 1 of 3) (August 13, 2013) ([A53513](#)).

⁵⁸⁷ *Katlocheeche First Nation v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2013 FC 458, paras 150-153; *Taku River Tlingit First Nation v British Columbia (Project Assessment Director)*, 2004 SCC 74, paras 2, 22, 40; *Conseil des Innus de Ekuanitshit v Canada (Procureur général)*, 2013 FC 418, para 113; *Brokenhead Ojibway Nation v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2009 FC 484, paras 25-26, 42

⁵⁸⁸ Exhibit A001 - NEB - Letters and Attachments to Aboriginal Groups with Description of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (Filing 1 of 3) (August 13, 2013) ([A53513](#)).

3417 Crown consultation for the Project occurs in four phases:

- 3418 (a) Phase I: Initial engagement, from submission of Project description to the start of the NEB
3419 review process;
- 3420 (b) Phase II: NEB hearings, from the start of the NEB review process to the close of the hearing
3421 record;
- 3422 (c) Phase III: Post-NEB hearings, from the close of the hearing record to a Governor in Council
3423 decision on the Project; and
- 3424 (d) Phase IV: Regulatory permitting, from the Governor in Council decision on the project to
3425 issuance of department regulatory approvals, if required.⁵⁸⁹

3426 During the initial engagement phase, an information package containing a letter from the NEB and
3427 the MPMO was sent to each Aboriginal group whose rights might be adversely impacted by the
3428 Project. The letters notified Aboriginal groups that Trans Mountain filed a Project Description
3429 with the NEB; provided information regarding the NEB process and government decisions after
3430 the Application; extended an offer to provide additional information by phone or at a community
3431 meeting; indicated that the Crown would rely on the NEB process, to the extent possible, to fulfil
3432 the Crown's legal duty to consult; advised that concerns raised by Aboriginal groups during the
3433 review process and related mitigation and accommodation measures would be monitored by the
3434 Crown during the regulatory process; and stated that there would be opportunities for additional
3435 consultation with the Crown following the close of the NEB hearing record.⁵⁹⁰ In advance of the
3436 NEB process, MPMO and NEB representatives held pre-hearing information sessions in response

⁵⁸⁹ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCan - NRCan's Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70313](#)), 6.

⁵⁹⁰ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCan - NRCan's Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70313](#)), 7.

3437 to requests for meetings with potentially impacted groups. A total of 14 individual sessions,
3438 representing 31 Aboriginal groups, took place.⁵⁹¹

3439 The Board expects applicants to consult with potentially impacted Aboriginal groups early in the
3440 project planning and design phases.⁵⁹² Trans Mountain took this responsibility seriously and
3441 undertook extensive efforts to develop a clear understanding of Aboriginal interests, values,
3442 concerns, contemporary and historic activities, Aboriginal traditional knowledge and the important
3443 issues facing each potentially affected Aboriginal group. These efforts can be summarized as
3444 follows:

- 3445 (a) First, Trans Mountain worked with Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada
3446 (“AANDC”) to develop a province-specific identification method and attempted to
3447 familiarize each potentially affected Aboriginal group with the Project and potential
3448 Project-related environmental effects.
- 3449 (b) Second, Trans Mountain provided opportunities for each Aboriginal group to inform Trans
3450 Mountain of any issues and concerns regarding the Project or of any traditional or
3451 contemporary land or resource uses that could be affected by the Project.
- 3452 (c) Third, Trans Mountain proposed actions to address or mitigate those issues of concern,
3453 wherever such actions were appropriate.

3454 Although project proponents do not owe the duty to consult, the Crown may delegate procedural
3455 aspects of this duty. The duty to consult does not require a project proponent to offer any particular
3456 form of accommodation to Aboriginal groups, nor does it provide any Aboriginal group with an

⁵⁹¹ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCAN – NRCAN’s Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70313](#)), 7.

⁵⁹² NEB Filing Manual.

3457 effective veto over a proposed project.⁵⁹³ With respect to the Project, the Crown indicated that it
3458 did not delegate the duty to consult to Trans Mountain.⁵⁹⁴

3459 Trans Mountain recognizes that it is best placed to provide information regarding the TMEP to,
3460 and receive information from, Aboriginal groups. The feedback received from Aboriginal groups
3461 as a result of Trans Mountain's consultation efforts has been a fundamental element of Project
3462 planning and design and continues to influence the planned operations for the TMEP. This open
3463 and responsive approach to addressing the interests and concerns of Aboriginal groups is reflected
3464 in how Trans Mountain operates the existing TMPL, Trans Mountain's existing relationships with
3465 Aboriginal groups and the organization's reliance on the KMC Aboriginal Relations Policy to
3466 guide best practices.⁵⁹⁵ To date, Trans Mountain's approach for the Project has been equally open
3467 and responsive as supported by extensive evidence, letters of comment, Board decisions and other
3468 relevant documents filed on the public record.⁵⁹⁶

3469 **6.1.1 Identification Method**

3470 Identifying Aboriginal groups with an interest in, and who may be potentially affected by, the
3471 Project was no small feat. Nearly 450,000 First Nations and Métis peoples play an important role
3472 in the social, cultural and economic fabric of Alberta and B.C. In Alberta, the existing pipeline and

⁵⁹³ *Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73, paras 47-49.

⁵⁹⁴ Exhibit C249-13-8 - 7. NRCan on behalf of Government of Canada Response to Pacheedaht First Nation IRs (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4A0](#)), 5.

⁵⁹⁵ Exhibit B1-40 - V3B APPA TO APPB (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U6](#)), B-1.

⁵⁹⁶ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 44. Part 2, Aboriginal Engagement, which is attached to NEB IR No. 3.008a (NEB IR No. 3.008a – Attachment 1); Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

3473 corridor crosses Treaty 6 territory, Treaty 8 territory and the Métis Nation of Alberta (Zone 4). In
3474 B.C, the existing TMPL system crosses 15 Indian Reserves and dozens of traditional territories.⁵⁹⁷

3475 In 2011, almost two years before filing the Application, Trans Mountain began to identify
3476 Aboriginal groups for engagement regarding the Project. In doing so, Trans Mountain took an
3477 expansive and inclusive approach. More than 100 Aboriginal groups were identified for
3478 engagement in five regions: Alberta, Kamloops, Hope, the Burnaby Terminal, Burrard Inlet and
3479 the marine corridor.⁵⁹⁸

3480 Trans Mountain's engagement efforts were guided by input from the federal and provincial
3481 governments, as well as KMC's existing list of Aboriginal groups where relationships have been
3482 established as a result of the operating TMPL system.⁵⁹⁹ For B.C, Trans Mountain reviewed
3483 AANDC asserted territory maps for Aboriginal groups who are negotiating treaties within the B.C.
3484 Treaty Commission process. Following the review, Trans Mountain identified all Aboriginal
3485 groups within 10 km of the pipeline corridor for engagement. For Aboriginal groups not currently
3486 engaged in the B.C. treaty process, Trans Mountain reviewed territory maps for each community,
3487 or maps of associations or tribal councils with which the community is affiliated, and identified
3488 groups within 10 km of the pipeline corridor. Due to the prevalence of numbered treaties in
3489 Alberta, a much wider buffer area of 100 km was applied to the pipeline corridor.⁶⁰⁰ All groups
3490 within this buffer area were identified for engagement.

⁵⁹⁷ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-1.

⁵⁹⁸ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-5.

⁵⁹⁹ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-6.

⁶⁰⁰ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-5.

3491 The identification process involved collaboration with federal and provincial ministries including
3492 the MPMO, AANDC, the NEB, the B.C. Ministry of Aboriginal Relations and Reconciliation,
3493 B.C. Oil and Gas Commission and the Alberta Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs. Trans Mountain
3494 also relied on the expertise of its consultants who have extensive experience working with
3495 Aboriginal groups in Alberta and B.C.⁶⁰¹

3496 The results of Trans Mountain's efforts to identify and engage with Aboriginal groups are
3497 significant. Since 2012, Trans Mountain has engaged with 133 Aboriginal groups in proximity to
3498 the pipeline and marine transportation corridor.⁶⁰² Trans Mountain is also engaging with the B.C.
3499 Métis Federation, the Métis Nation of B.C. and 11 Aboriginal associations, tribes and councils.⁶⁰³

3500 **6.1.2 Aboriginal Engagement Program Design**

3501 To ensure that all available information on each Aboriginal group's traditional use was collected,
3502 Trans Mountain developed a robust Aboriginal Engagement Program to facilitate an open and
3503 transparent engagement process.⁶⁰⁴ The Program provides a platform for Trans Mountain to
3504 address the interests and concerns of those who have Aboriginal interests potentially affected by
3505 the Project, incorporate feedback into Project planning and execution and create opportunities to
3506 maximize Project benefits to Aboriginal groups.⁶⁰⁵ These objectives are achieved in a variety of

⁶⁰¹ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-16.

⁶⁰² Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

⁶⁰³ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 1.

⁶⁰⁴ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B18-19 - V8A 1.0 TO 1.4.2.6 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X3](#)). Details about the Program including principles, goals and method are included in Volume 3B and Volume 8A, Section 3.2; Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-4; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 39.

⁶⁰⁵ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-1.

3507 ways, including through the sharing of Project information, negotiating group and community-
3508 specific engagement agreements and protocols and discussing the adequacy of planned impact
3509 mitigation.⁶⁰⁶

3510 The public record demonstrates that Trans Mountain provided Aboriginal groups who expressed
3511 an interest in Project an opportunity to engage in meaningful dialogue in the manner they choose,
3512 and in a way that meets their objectives and values.⁶⁰⁷ A prime example is the discussions that
3513 have taken place between Trans Mountain and Aboriginal groups regarding the effects of increased
3514 marine shipping. Trans Mountain does not own the products that will shipped on the pipeline, nor
3515 is it responsible for the tankers that deliver the product to market. Nevertheless, it consulted with
3516 Aboriginal groups along the marine corridor on the south coast of B.C. and on the southern portion
3517 of Vancouver Island in recognition of potential environmental and socio-economic effects of
3518 increased marine shipping as a result of the Project.⁶⁰⁸

3519 The KMC Aboriginal Policy forms the basis for Trans Mountain's commitment to working with
3520 Aboriginal groups in a spirit of cooperation and shared responsibility, and building and sustaining
3521 effective relationships based on mutual respect and trust to achieve respective environmental,
3522 business and community objectives. To meet this commitment, the actions of KMC and its
3523 employees are guided by the following principles:

- 3524 (a) recognition of the inherent and constitutionally protected rights of Aboriginal peoples;
3525 (b) respect for the traditional indigenous knowledge, values and beliefs of Aboriginal peoples;

⁶⁰⁶ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-11.

⁶⁰⁷ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-11.

⁶⁰⁸ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-1.

- 3526 (c) supporting fair and equal access to employment and business opportunities for Aboriginal
3527 groups; and
- 3528 (d) encouraging Aboriginal awareness within its workforce and communities and is committed
3529 to educating employees to achieve a better understanding and appreciation of the traditional
3530 indigenous knowledge, values and beliefs of Aboriginal peoples in Canada.⁶⁰⁹

3531 Trans Mountain understands that engagement is not a one-size-fits-all approach—proponents must
3532 continuously seek to further their understanding of the Aboriginal groups they engage with, and
3533 develop their engagement tools accordingly. To date, more than 24,000 engagement activities with
3534 Aboriginal groups have been carried out by Trans Mountain.⁶¹⁰ These activities include one-on-
3535 one meetings, community group discussions and the sharing of information through field studies.
3536 Detailed information on Trans Mountain’s ongoing engagement activities with each Aboriginal
3537 group is provided in the consultation updates filed on the public record.⁶¹¹

3538 **6.1.3 Engagement Tools**

3539 In order to understand the interests of Aboriginal groups, and the potential impacts of the Project
3540 on these interests, Trans Mountain relied on a wide range of engagement tools⁶¹² including
3541 capacity agreements, engagement meetings, Project newsletters, phone conversations, e-mail
3542 dialogue, public open houses, information sessions and the Project website. Aboriginal groups
3543 were also provided with opportunities to participate in TEK work and conduct TLRU and TMRU

⁶⁰⁹ Exhibit B1-40 - V3B APPA TO APPB (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U6](#)), Appendix B.

⁶¹⁰ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 5.

⁶¹¹ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 5.

⁶¹² As developed through the Stakeholder Engagement Program outlined in Section 1.4.1.11 of Volume 3A. See Exhibit B1-6 - V3A 1.0 TO 1.4.1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)).

3544 studies either independently or with Trans Mountain's consultants. Certain Aboriginal groups
3545 opted to participate in Cultural Use Assessments.⁶¹³ The results of these studies are incorporated
3546 in the Socio-Economic Effects Assessment of TLRU⁶¹⁴ and Cumulative Effects Assessment⁶¹⁵
3547 contained in the Application. The opportunity to conduct both community-led and Trans
3548 Mountain-funded studies for the Project has been provided at the request of Aboriginal groups.⁶¹⁶

3549 To date, Trans Mountain has executed 94 agreements including Letters/Memorandums of
3550 Understanding (which include components for TEK and TLRU and TMRU studies), capacity
3551 funding and integrated cultural assessments with an aggregate total dollar commitment to date in
3552 excess of \$36 million.⁶¹⁷ During the period of May 1, 2014 to December 14, 2014, with the
3553 exclusion of confidential agreements, 17 agreements were executed.⁶¹⁸ In addition, a total of 55
3554 communities have participated in TLRU studies, 15 communities in TMRU studies and 57
3555 communities in TEK.⁶¹⁹

3556 Trans Mountain has received 30 letters of support from Aboriginal groups including Malahat First
3557 Nation, Popkum First Nation, Canim Lake First Nation, B.C. Métis Federation, Ditidaht First

⁶¹³ Exhibit B10-3 - V5D TR 5D1 2of4 TRAD LAND RESOURCE (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2G9](#)).

⁶¹⁴ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)).

⁶¹⁵ Exhibit B5-41 - V5B ESA 16of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1T0](#)).

⁶¹⁶ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)).

⁶¹⁷ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 8; Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

⁶¹⁸ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 8; Exhibits B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)).

⁶¹⁹ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 40 – Aboriginal Traditional Use (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)); Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 57 – Aboriginal Traditional Marine Use (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)).

3558 Nation, Nakcowinewak Nation of Canada, Aseniwuche Winewak Nation of Canada, Paul First
3559 Nation, Métis Nation of B.C, Ermineskin First Nation, Ashcroft Indian Band, Semiahmoo First
3560 Nation, Union Bar First Nation, Whispering Pines, Alexis Nakota Sioux Nation, Beecher Bay First
3561 Nation, Esquimalt First Nation, Seabird Island First Nation, Halalt First Nation, Nicomen First
3562 Nation, Penelakut Tribe, Yale First Nation, Pauquachin First Nation, O'Chiese First Nation, Lake
3563 Cowichan First Nation, Hwlitsum First Nation, Kamloops Indian Band, Enoch Cree Nation, Kelly
3564 Lake Cree Nation and Samson Cree Nation.⁶²⁰ The letters indicate that each community formally
3565 expresses their support for the Project, does not object to the Project and/or is satisfied by the
3566 mitigation measures and the consultation provided with respect to the Project. Several of the
3567 communities also expressed their opinion that the Project will result in positive effects.⁶²¹

3568 **6.1.4 Modifications to the Project as a Result of Engagement**

3569 Based on engagement with Aboriginal groups, Trans Mountain modified the Project in relation to
3570 the regulatory process, environmental impacts on the land and marine environment, routing and
3571 construction, socio-economic interests and engagement.⁶²² Where possible, Project-related
3572 impacts will be mitigated to the greatest extent possible. In some cases, reclamation strategies will
3573 be implemented to further reduce Project-related effects.⁶²³ The ESA outlines the potential

⁶²⁰ Exhibit B417-21 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#)), 9; Exhibit C120-3-1 – Regulatory Support Letter (November 10, 2015) ([A4V2W0](#)); Exhibit C189-10-1 - KLCN Regulator Support Letter (December 7, 2015) ([A4W3E0](#)); Samson Cree - Letter of Support to NEB - Dec. 10, 2015 (December 14, 2015) ([A4W6C1](#)).

⁶²¹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

⁶²² Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-23; Table 1.5.1 provides an overview of the Aboriginal interests and concerns identified by Trans Mountain to date. The results of engagement activities, as well as Trans Mountain's response to any issues raised through these activities, are detailed in Appendix A of this volume, and in Volumes 5 and 8 of the Application.

⁶²³ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-16.

3574 environmental and socio-economic effects of the Project on Aboriginal groups and the ways in
3575 which these effects can be minimized or avoided altogether.

3576 **6.1.5 Government of Canada's Consultation Process with Aboriginal Groups**

3577 Over 130 Aboriginal groups made submissions in relation to their Aboriginal interests during the
3578 regulatory process for the TMEP. The Crown's participation in the NEB process ensured that the
3579 issues and concerns raised by Aboriginal groups were understood and addressed. It is important to
3580 clarify the purpose of the Crown's consultation process with Aboriginal groups in relation to
3581 Aboriginal interests and title, as well as how this process has influenced Trans Mountain's
3582 Application.

3583 Pursuant to the List of Issues, the Board will consider the potential impacts of the Project on
3584 Aboriginal interests. However, because the NEB is a quasi-judicial decision-making body distinct
3585 from the Crown and any of its agents,⁶²⁴ the Board does not owe the Crown's constitutional duty
3586 to consult with Aboriginal groups—any duty to consult lies with the Crown.⁶²⁵

3587 Throughout the Project review, the Crown uses Issues Tracking Tables to ensure that it has an
3588 accurate understanding of Aboriginal interests, concerns and the views of Aboriginal groups on
3589 the potential adverse impacts of the Project to potential or established Aboriginal and treaty rights.
3590 In the tables, the Crown identifies responses to potential impacts and concerns and indicates
3591 whether issues have been addressed in Trans Mountain's commitments, NEB conditions or other
3592 forms of accommodation. The tables have been updated based on evidence submitted to the NEB
3593 and through the IR process.⁶²⁶

⁶²⁴ *Quebec (Attorney General) v Canada (National Energy Board)*, [1994] 1 SCR 159, para 184.

⁶²⁵ *Standing Buffalo Dakota First Nation v Enbridge Pipelines Inc*, 2009 FCA 308, para 34.

⁶²⁶ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCan – NRCan's Written Evidence May 27, 2015 ([A70313](#)), 8.

3594 In addition, the Crown submitted an IR to 58 Aboriginal groups⁶²⁷ seeking feedback on the Issues
3595 Tracking Table as to the completeness and accuracy of the concerns and issues raised, and their
3596 views on concerns and issues that may have not yet been addressed by proposed mitigation
3597 measures or Trans Mountain commitments at this point in the process. The Crown indicated that
3598 it intended to use the feedback to further refine its current understanding of the potential adverse
3599 impacts of the Project on their community's interests, including any adverse impacts the Project
3600 may have on potential or established Aboriginal and treaty rights.⁶²⁸

3601 Trans Mountain carefully reviewed the additional information submitted by Aboriginal groups in
3602 the Issues Tracking Tables. Where outstanding issues remained or where new issues were raised,
3603 Trans Mountain responded to those issues in reply evidence, where appropriate.

3604 After the hearing record closes in 2016, Trans Mountain understands that the MPMO will
3605 coordinate consultation meetings for several months between the Crown and Aboriginal groups
3606 for which the depth of consultation has been determined to be moderate or high. The purpose of
3607 these meetings is to facilitate a meaningful two-way dialogue to determine if there are any concerns
3608 related to the Project that have not been fully addressed by the NEB's Draft Conditions or Trans

⁶²⁷ The 58 Aboriginal groups are: Adams Lake Indian Band, Alexander First Nation, Alexis Nakota Sioux Nation, Ashcroft Indian Band, British Columbia Métis Federation, Cheam First Nation and Chawathil First Nation, Coldwater Indian Band, Cowichan Tribes, Ditidaht First Nation, Enoch Cree Nation, Ermineskin Cree Nation, Esquimalt Nation, Gunn Métis Local 55, Horse Lake First Nation, Katzie First Nation, Kwantlen First Nation, Kwikwetlem First Nation, Lake Cowichan First Nation, Lheidli T'enneh First Nation, Lower Nicola Indian Band, Lyackson First Nation, The First Nations of the Maa-nulth Treaty Society, Matsqui First Nation, Métis Nation of Alberta Region IV, Métis Nation of B.C., Montana First Nation, Musqueam Indian Band, Neskonlith Indian Band, Nooaitch Indian Band, O'Chiese First Nation, Okanagan Nation Alliance, Pacheedaht First Nation, Pauquachin First Nation, Penelakut Tribe, Peters Band, Popkum First Nation, Samson Cree Nation, Scia'new First Nation, Shackan Indian Band, Shxw'ōwhámel First Nation, Simpcw First Nation, Stk'emlupsemc te Secwepemc, Snuneymuxw First Nation, Squamish Nation, Stó:lō Collective, Stz'uminus First Nation, Sucker Creek First Nation, Sunchild First Nation, Tsartlip First Nation, Tsawout First Nation, Tsawwassen First Nation, Tseycum First Nation, Tseil-Waututh Nation, T'Sou-ke First Nation, Upper Nicola Band, Whispering Pines/Clinton Indian Band, Whitefish (Goodfish) Lake First Nation and Williams Lake Indian Band.

⁶²⁸ Exhibit C249-11 - Natural Resources Canada (MPMO) - Information Requests to Intervenors (Part 1/2) (June 22, 2015) ([A70837](#)); Exhibit C249-12 - Natural Resources Canada (MPMO) - Information Requests to Intervenors (Part 2/2) (June 22, 2015) ([A70838](#)).

3609 Mountain's commitments, and to consider proposals from Aboriginal groups for accommodation
3610 measures that could be considered by the Crown to further address outstanding issues or
3611 concerns.⁶²⁹

3612 Trans Mountain understands that the MPMO will send correspondence to Aboriginal groups
3613 communicating the release of the NEB Report in early 2016 and, if applicable, how the findings
3614 in the NEB's Report, associated conditions, Trans Mountain's commitments and other related
3615 government initiatives address the concerns of Aboriginal groups raised through the consultation
3616 process. This phase begins with the Governor in Council decision on the Project and concludes
3617 with the issuance of departmental regulatory approvals, if the Project is approved.⁶³⁰

3618 **6.1.6 Aboriginal Oral Traditional Evidence Hearings**

3619 The NEB has recognized that Aboriginal groups have an oral tradition for sharing stories, lessons,
3620 and knowledge from generation to generation and that this information cannot always be shared
3621 adequately in writing. In late 2014 and early 2015 the NEB held Aboriginal oral traditional
3622 evidence hearings and Trans Mountain was present at each hearing session. In total, the NEB heard
3623 evidence from 39 Aboriginal intervenors in Edmonton, Chilliwack, Kamloops, Victoria and
3624 Calgary.⁶³¹ NEB funding was made available to Aboriginal groups who attended the hearings, and
3625 Aboriginal intervenors were provided an opportunity to file written evidence in addition to their
3626 oral traditional evidence.

⁶²⁹ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCan – NRCan's Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70313](#)), 9.

⁶³⁰ Exhibit C249-09 - NRCan – NRCan's Written Evidence May 27, 2015 ([A70313](#)), 10.

⁶³¹ Exhibit B306 - 12 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a – Attachment 1 – Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)), 5.

3627 The Board's role during the Aboriginal oral traditional evidence hearings was to ensure that
3628 Aboriginal groups had an opportunity explain the potential effects the Project may have on their
3629 rights. The evidence presented at the hearings clearly demonstrates that Aboriginal groups had the
3630 opportunity to do so. The information presented to the Board related to potential impacts of the
3631 Project on potential or established Aboriginal and treaty rights throughout the lifecycle of the
3632 Project. The information also included specific harvesting locations and species used by
3633 Aboriginal groups for the activities outlined above, as well as specific sites that are of cultural or
3634 spiritual importance to potentially affected Aboriginal groups. Trans Mountain documented the
3635 Project-related interests and concerns raised over the course of the hearings.

3636 During the hearings, Aboriginal groups expressed interests and concerns regarding Project-related
3637 impacts. Examples of common concerns raised by Aboriginal groups included Project-impacts on
3638 traditional practices, spill response and remediation in terrestrial and marine environments, the
3639 ability of Aboriginal groups to maintain their role as environmental stewards and Project-related
3640 impacts on species at risk. To address the concerns raised, Trans Mountain has proposed a suite
3641 of mitigation measures to be implemented during the pre-construction, construction and post-
3642 construction phases of the Project.

3643 Following the hearings, Trans Mountain provided a response letter to each intervenor who
3644 presented evidence. The information contained in each letter was grouped together based on the
3645 interest or concern raised and the potential impact of the Project. The letters provided a response
3646 to the comments and concerns raised and included a description of the proposed mitigation
3647 measures.⁶³² Trans Mountain's efforts to engage with Aboriginal groups to share information
3648 regarding Project-related mitigation measures are ongoing.

⁶³² Exhibit B306-21 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.010a-Attachment 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1X1](#)).

3649 Trans Mountain has developed a comprehensive suite of mitigation measures to protect the
3650 environment and ensure that Aboriginal groups will be able to continue with their cultural practices
3651 and subsistence lifestyle. The entire suite of mitigation measures can be found in the EPP for
3652 Pipelines,⁶³³ Facilities⁶³⁴ and the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁶³⁵

3653 **6.1.7 Interests, Concerns and Mitigations**

3654 Since April 2012, through the Aboriginal Engagement Program, Trans Mountain has engaged with
3655 Aboriginal groups to identify Project-related impacts on Aboriginal interests and traditional and
3656 cultural use of the land and marine environment. To minimize Project-related impacts on
3657 Aboriginal interests and traditional practices, Trans Mountain conducted environmental studies
3658 along the proposed pipeline corridor to gather data for the ESA. The assessment considered the
3659 potential environmental effects of the construction, operations and maintenance of the pipeline,
3660 the ways in which these effects could be minimized or avoided altogether and mitigation and
3661 reclamation strategies that would further reduce these effects.⁶³⁶

3662 The Matsqui First Nation filed evidence regarding the potential impacts of the Project on Matsqui
3663 First Nation.⁶³⁷ EcoPlan, the Matsqui First Nation's consultant, conducted an assessment of the
3664 potential impacts of the Project on Matsqui First Nation. Specifically, Matsqui First Nation raised

⁶³³ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)); Exhibit B11-5 - V6B 2of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S4](#)).

⁶³⁴ Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1of2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)); Exhibit B11-8 - V6C 2of2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S7](#)).

⁶³⁵ Exhibit B11-10 - V6D WRIDGE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S9](#)).

⁶³⁶ Exhibit B306-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

⁶³⁷ "An Assessment of Impacts from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project on Matsqui First Nation" prepared by EcoPlan International Inc. (the EcoPlan report) (See Exhibit C227-7-5 - Matsqui First Nation Impact Assessment (part 1of 2) (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8J2](#))), 11; Exhibit C227-7-6 - Matsqui First Nation Impact Assessment (part 2 of 2) (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8J3](#)).

3665 concerns regarding Trans Mountain's methodology for the environmental assessment. Trans
3666 Mountain has provided justification for the environmental assessment methodology in Section 7 -
3667 Environment of this final argument. In addition, Trans Mountain responded directly to issues and
3668 concerns raised by Matsqui First Nation's evidence in reply evidence.⁶³⁸

3669 In their written evidence, the Tsleil-Waututh Nation ("TWN") noted that certain direct effects of
3670 activity at the Westridge Marine Terminal related to the Project may have consequences of loss of
3671 quiet and privacy.⁶³⁹ Trans Mountain understands and acknowledges the importance to Aboriginal
3672 communities of engaging in traditional activities in quiet, undeveloped locations. Trans Mountain
3673 has taken steps to minimize its direct effects related to sensory disturbance and quality of users'
3674 experiences. For example, Trans Mountain will design lighting requirements at the Westridge
3675 Marine Terminal to meet the Canada Labour Code and Transport Canada — International Ship
3676 and Port Requirements and will use low level and low intensity lighting and reduce night lighting,
3677 when feasible. Trans Mountain will also communicate with marine and local fishing industry
3678 organizations, Aboriginal groups, marine recreation organizations and other affected stakeholders
3679 to provide Project information related to Project activities affecting marine use areas.⁶⁴⁰

3680 Trans Mountain will circulate its EPPs to Aboriginal groups for comment and feedback in the fall
3681 of 2015. Following circulation of the EPPs, Trans Mountain plans to hold a series of workshops
3682 for Aboriginal groups to provide additional input and recommended changes to improve the EPPs.

⁶³⁸ Exhibit B418-15 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.19 – Reply to Matsqui First Nation “An Assessment of Impacts from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project on Matsqui First Nation” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L3](#)).

⁶³⁹ Exhibit C358-13-13 - Vol 4 Tab 4 TWN Assessment Part 6 of 7 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A4](#)); Exhibit C358-13-12 - Vol 4 Tab 4 TWN Assessment Part 5 of 7 (May 16, 2015) ([A4L6A3](#)).

⁶⁴⁰ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 42 – Human Occupancy and Resource Use (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 42-1.

3683 This input and recommended changes will be provided back to the Aboriginal groups and to the
3684 Board in a future consultation reports. Pursuant to Draft Condition No. 63, the EPP filed with the
3685 NEB will include a summary of Trans Mountain's consultation with potentially affected
3686 Aboriginal groups, including any comments or concerns raised, and how Trans Mountain has
3687 addressed or responded to them. The process is designed to refine and optimize the work based on
3688 knowledge of the EPP mitigation measures to be implemented in the field.⁶⁴¹

3689 Through Trans Mountain's Environmental Education Program, all personnel working on the
3690 construction of the Project will be informed of the location of known TLRU sites. Sensitive
3691 resources identified in the Environmental Alignments Sheets⁶⁴² and environmental tables within
3692 the immediate vicinity or the right-of-way will be clearly marked before the start of clearing. In
3693 addition, Trans Mountain will:

- 3694 (a) provide Aboriginal groups with the anticipated construction schedule and proposed
3695 pipeline corridor maps a minimum of two weeks prior to the start of construction in the
3696 vicinity of their respective communities;
- 3697 (b) install signage notifying of construction activities in the area; and
- 3698 (c) work with Aboriginal groups to develop strategies to effectively communicate the
3699 construction schedule and work areas to members.⁶⁴³

3700 If additional TLRU sites are identified prior to Project construction, the sites will be assessed and
3701 appropriate mitigation measures will be determined and applied. Access will be managed, where
3702 required, along the Project where new temporary and permanent access is created for the

⁶⁴¹ Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁶⁴² Exhibit B11-12 - V6E 001of306 ENV ALIGNMENT SHEETS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2T1](#)).

⁶⁴³ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

3703 construction and operation of the pipeline.⁶⁴⁴ To mitigate environmental effects associated with
3704 increased access, Trans Mountain will manage access along portions of its right-of-way by
3705 implementing mitigation measures during the pre-construction, construction and post-construction
3706 phases.⁶⁴⁵

3707 During Project construction, Aboriginal Monitors will be engaged as part of the onsite
3708 Environmental Inspection Teams to provide traditional knowledge to the construction program to
3709 ensure protection of the environment, discuss upcoming traditional and western science elements
3710 with the environmental inspectors to ensure the successful protection, mitigation and monitoring
3711 requirements set out in the EPPs.⁶⁴⁶

3712 Further proposed mitigation measures are provided in the Traffic and Access Control Management
3713 Plan.⁶⁴⁷ The Traffic and Access Control Management Plan addresses the management of pipeline
3714 construction traffic and access along the construction right-of-way and temporary access routes.
3715 The Plan also addresses the activities during pre-construction, construction (pipe installation) and
3716 construction clean-up and reclamation phases of the Project and provides guidelines for vehicular
3717 use on the construction right-of-way and associated access roads, as well as blocking and
3718 controlling access to previously inaccessible portions of the right-of-way following
3719 construction.⁶⁴⁸

⁶⁴⁴ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

⁶⁴⁵ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

⁶⁴⁶ Exhibit B11-2 - V6A ENVIRO COMPLIANCE (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S1](#)).

⁶⁴⁷ Exhibit B11-7 – V6C 1of2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)).

⁶⁴⁸ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

3720 Several Aboriginal groups have expressed concern in their written evidence that an oil spill, if one
3721 were to occur, could affect community health, either indirectly through impacts on cultural
3722 activities, sensitive sites, or food resources, or directly through increased stress, anxiety and the
3723 perception of contamination.⁶⁴⁹ Trans Mountain acknowledges the concerns from Aboriginal
3724 groups, government and the public regarding spills. The Application confirmed that evidence from
3725 past spills demonstrates that Aboriginal peoples who rely on subsistence foods and natural
3726 resources are at greatest risk for adverse effects. Trans Mountain remains confident that accidents
3727 and malfunctions related to the pipeline and facilities and the increase in Project-related marine
3728 shipping activities have a low probability of occurrence.⁶⁵⁰ These topics are addressed in detail in
3729 Section 7.2.1.13 – Accidents and Malfunctions (Pipeline and Facilities) and Section 7.2.2.9 - Oil
3730 Spills Resulting from Marine Incidents of this final argument.

3731 As discussed in Section 4 - Emergency Response of this final argument, Trans Mountain has
3732 comprehensive spill response plans in place for the TMPL and associated facilities to protect the
3733 terrestrial and aquatic resources relied on by Aboriginal groups. These plans are updated at least
3734 annually and will be enhanced for the TMEP and the plans are regularly practiced through desktop,
3735 deployment, and worst-case scenario exercises. While the specific strategies used in response to a
3736 spill will vary depending on the circumstances, the primary objectives in all cases are to ensure
3737 safety and minimize environmental damage.⁶⁵¹ Upon completion of the response phase of an

⁶⁴⁹ Exhibit C358-13-8 - Vol 4 Tab 4 TWN Assessment Part 1 of 7 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Z9](#)); Exhibit C187-13-2 - Affidavit #1 of Chief Susan Miller (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5H8](#)), Exhibit C400-8-1 - Evidence of Chief Peters - Vol. 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2C6](#)); Exhibit C78-10-2 - Coldwater Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0W6](#)); Exhibit C217-5 -1- Written Evidence (June 19, 2015) ([A4Q7H4](#)).

⁶⁵⁰ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 43 – Community Health (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 43-1.

⁶⁵¹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

3738 incident, site remediation, if required, is undertaken. Trans Mountain uses internal and external
3739 technical resources to plan and expedite the remediation.

3740 To protect sensitive environmental areas (e.g., the Adams River) Trans Mountain has adopted
3741 measures such as strategically placed pipeline valves near waterways and trenchless river crossings
3742 at some locations. Crossing methods specific to each watercourse will be determined in
3743 consultation with engineering and environmental specialists, as well as applicable regulatory
3744 authorities. Crossings of wetlands and watercourses will be planned during suitable ground and
3745 weather conditions with consideration for sensitive fish and wildlife timing windows. Further,
3746 water quality will be monitored during all instream activity.⁶⁵²

3747 Trans Mountain will implement mitigation to avoid or reduce the Project's potential effects on
3748 species at risk. Field surveys were initiated in 2013 and supplemental field surveys have been
3749 ongoing within segments of the pipeline corridor to collect additional information on species of
3750 conservation concern and their habitat. This information, in addition to targeted, site-specific pre-
3751 construction field surveys, will be used to inform the design and implementation of mitigation.

3752 During the ongoing Project planning and design phase, Trans Mountain has continued to consult
3753 with Environment Canada and provincial regulatory authorities regarding refined critical habitat
3754 mapping and attributes of critical habitat. In addition, field surveys have been ongoing to collect
3755 information at selected locations to inform the presence of biophysical attributes. This information

⁶⁵² Exhibit B007 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 5C Part 2 (December 16, 2013) ([A56007](#)). A summary of the watercourse crossings for the Project are provided in the Fisheries (Alberta) Technical Report and the Fisheries (B.C.) Technical Report in Volume 5C; Exhibit B5-12 - V5A ESA 04of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L6](#)); Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)). Further discussion and mitigation measures to be implemented at watercourse crossings are mentioned under fish and fish habitat in Sections 5.7 and 7.2.7 of Volume 5A (Filing IDs [A3S1L6](#) and [A3S1Q9](#)); Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1of2 FACILITIES EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)); Exhibit B11-4 V6B 1 of 2 PIPELINE EPP (December 12, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)); Exhibit B11 - 4 V6B 2 of 2 PIPELINE EPP (December 12, 2013) ([A3S2S4](#)).

3756 will be used to determine overlap of the Project footprint with critical habitat, and allow for design
3757 modifications (e.g., micro-routing) to avoid or reduce Project impacts to critical habitat.⁶⁵³

3758 In accordance with Draft Condition No. 44, Trans Mountain will file Wildlife Species at Risk
3759 Mitigation Plans for each species whose draft, candidate, proposed, or final critical habitat is
3760 directly or indirectly affected by the Project.⁶⁵⁴ The mitigation measures proposed incorporate
3761 industry best practices and regulatory guidelines, including avoidance of sensitive timing
3762 windows, to the extent feasible. Additional mitigation measures are being developed in species-
3763 specific mitigation plans for several species at risk that are likely to be affected by the Project,
3764 including southern mountain caribou, grizzly bear (North Cascades Grizzly Bear Population Unit),
3765 Oregon forestsnail, Oregon spotted frog, Williamson's sapsucker, Pacific water shrew, Lewis's
3766 woodpecker, Townsend's mole, Coastal giant salamander, spotted owl, American badger
3767 (jeffersonii subspecies), western barn owl, western screech owl (macfarlanei and kennicottii
3768 subspecies), great basin gopher snake, great basin spadefoot, western rattlesnake, nooksack dace
3769 and salish sucker.⁶⁵⁵ These plans are being developed in consideration of the regulatory guidance
3770 and conservation or recovery objectives, as well as feedback received in consultation with
3771 provincial and federal regulatory authorities.

3772 Trans Mountain completed an extensive assessment of potential residual and cumulative effects of
3773 the Project on terrestrial wildlife species at risk, and concluded that with implementation of the

⁶⁵³ Exhibit B239-3 – Trans Mountain Follow-Up Response to GoC EC F-IR No. 1.023 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4S9](#)).

⁶⁵⁴ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 44.

⁶⁵⁵ Exhibit B112-2 – Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 70; Exhibit B239-3 – Trans Mountain Follow-Up Response to GoC EC F-IR No. 1.023 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4S9](#)), 4; Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 57; Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 127-128, 133, 137, 139, 142.

3774 proposed mitigation, which may include offsets for species at risk or their critical habitat, the
3775 effects are not significant. Trans Mountain has taken appropriate steps to minimize adverse
3776 environmental effects to vegetation species at risk and their potential critical habitat, and with the
3777 implementation of mitigation measures, residual environmental effects of pipeline construction
3778 and operations on vegetation species at risk will be not significant.⁶⁵⁶

3779 With respect to fish species at risk, Trans Mountain has committed to constructing within the
3780 instream least risk biological window (“LRBW”) to the extent feasible and including additional
3781 site-specific mitigation measures in the final Pipeline EPP⁶⁵⁷ to be filed with the NEB at least 90
3782 days prior to construction in accordance with Draft Condition No. 63.⁶⁵⁸ These measures include
3783 methods specific to the salvage of nooksack dace and salish sucker from within isolated sections
3784 of channel, and measures specific to riparian vegetation at watercourses identified as proposed
3785 critical habitat for salish sucker. Trans Mountain is confident that the implementation of the
3786 proposed mitigation measures and Project plans will mitigate adverse effects on fish and fish
3787 habitat and will ensure there is no serious harm to fish that are part of a commercial, recreational
3788 or aboriginal fishery, or to fish that support such a fishery.

3789 **6.2 Aboriginal Procurement, Employment and Training**

3790 Trans Mountain is dedicated to working with interested Aboriginal groups to foster community
3791 economic development and share Project benefits. Using a pragmatic approach involving the
3792 collection of capacity information regarding the business and occupational interests and abilities

⁶⁵⁶ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-220.

⁶⁵⁷ The site-specific mitigation measures proposed at the applicable watercourses are provided in Table 3.039c-1 in response to Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 - 3.039 Nooksack dace and salish sucker critical habitat. See Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 320.

⁶⁵⁸ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 327, 330.

3793 of Aboriginal groups, Trans Mountain is able to align interests based on the business and
3794 occupational requirements of the Project.

3795 Trans Mountain's efforts are guided by KMC Aboriginal Procurement Policy which states:

3796 Kinder Morgan Canada (KMC) promotes open and transparent
3797 consultation and communication and strives to build lasting
3798 relationships with Aboriginal communities and businesses. KMC is
3799 committed to ensuring these relationships are based on trust, mutual
3800 respect and the achievement of common goals. KMC will work with
3801 Aboriginal communities to promote economic development through
3802 the identification of opportunities that offer Aboriginal communities
3803 and businesses the ability to participate in the procurement of goods
3804 and services in support of KMC's operational and project
3805 requirements. [emphasis added]⁶⁵⁹

3806 To achieve the objectives set out in the Aboriginal Procurement Policy, Project staff work directly
3807 with Aboriginal groups to identify Aboriginal businesses that are interested in contracting
3808 opportunities. Trans Mountain has engaged with over 80 Aboriginal-owned businesses to date.
3809 Additionally, businesses have the opportunity to register and information is being collected
3810 through the Trans Mountain online procurement portal. The economic benefits realized by
3811 Aboriginal businesses during the Project construction phase will result in positive employment
3812 effects for years to come.

3813 Through the Aboriginal Engagement Program, Trans Mountain shares employment opportunities
3814 with each Aboriginal group and maintains a capacity inventory for employment. The content of
3815 the capacity inventory will ensure that employment benefits for Aboriginal groups are realized
3816 during Project construction. The Trans Mountain Aboriginal Engagement Team will continue to
3817 communicate with Aboriginal groups regarding education, training, employment and procurement
3818 opportunities. This continued dialogue will allow Trans Mountain to:

⁶⁵⁹ Exhibit B1-45 - V3B APPE TO APPH (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0V1](#)).

- 3819 (a) maximize the hiring of on-reserve and off-reserve Aboriginal community members;
- 3820 (b) liaise with Aboriginal communities, contractors and relevant resources;
- 3821 (c) develop a mentorship program for Aboriginal workers to encourage work site integration
- 3822 and retention; and
- 3823 (d) evaluate contractors' recruitment and selection processes to ensure opportunities will be
- 3824 available to Aboriginal workers.

3825 Trans Mountain is committed to maximizing opportunities for Aboriginal groups in Project-related

3826 employment, the majority of which will be through contracting opportunities related to Project

3827 construction. Where qualified Aboriginal community members are available, they will be

3828 identified and have the opportunity to gain employment related to pipeline or facilities

3829 construction. To date, Trans Mountain has worked with over 30 Aboriginal groups to conduct a

3830 workforce analysis. Additionally, Trans Mountain is collecting information about individuals

3831 interested in employment opportunities via Trans Mountain's online employment and skills portal.

3832 Through collaboration with regional training providers, Trans Mountain will work to identify

3833 ongoing opportunities to facilitate, support or participate in delivery of training for Aboriginal

3834 groups. Specifically, Trans Mountain will provide information about the types of Project-related

3835 jobs that will be available and the required skills and qualifications to assist training providers in

3836 developing and implementing appropriate training. Trans Mountain will work with contractors and

3837 labour organizations to encourage contractors to provide training opportunities related to the work

3838 they perform. Contractors will be required to maximize employment and business opportunities

3839 for Aboriginal groups.⁶⁶⁰

⁶⁶⁰ Exhibit B5-26 - V5B ESA 01of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R5](#)), 7-125.

3840 More generally, Trans Mountain will focus on creating initiatives that increase the long-term
3841 capability for Aboriginal groups to participate in the economy and to share in the success of the
3842 Project. Through the creation of partnerships and shared goals between Trans Mountain and
3843 Aboriginal groups, economic development will take place and all parties can work towards
3844 achieving mutually-beneficial Project-based or long-term goals.⁶⁶¹

3845 With the creation of 60,800 person years of employment (full-time equivalent during construction
3846 and Project operation), Trans Mountain recognizes there are opportunities for Aboriginal groups
3847 to secure employment as a result of the Project. Employment is a key component to community
3848 economic development, managed in combination with procurement, education, and training for
3849 interested communities.⁶⁶²

3850 Trans Mountain's goal is to maximize employment opportunities for local, regional and Aboriginal
3851 groups along the proposed pipeline corridor. To achieve this goal, training and education initiatives
3852 are planned.⁶⁶³ Trans Mountain's schedule for training and education initiatives with Aboriginal
3853 groups is currently underway and training will continue through the construction of the Project, if
3854 approved.⁶⁶⁴ Local, regional and Aboriginal capacity inventory data will be provided to Trans
3855 Mountain's contractors for hiring purposes and each contractor will be required to report
3856 employment and training statistics⁶⁶⁵ on a monthly basis. Additionally, contractors will be required

⁶⁶¹ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-18; Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A65693](#)), 77.

⁶⁶² Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 3B-20.

⁶⁶³ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 – (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 146.

⁶⁶⁴ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 – (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 146.

⁶⁶⁵ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 – (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 157.

3857 to include a monthly count of the number of hires from the capacity inventory list and report
3858 procurement statistics on a monthly basis.⁶⁶⁶ These reporting initiatives will allow Trans Mountain
3859 to ensure that construction contracts include requirements to maximize employment for local,
3860 regional and Aboriginal groups.⁶⁶⁷

3861 Where possible, Trans Mountain will work with all interested Aboriginal groups to facilitate
3862 community economic development and share Project benefits through education, training and
3863 community investment. To foster the creation of these opportunities, a training fund has been
3864 established to contribute to education and training initiatives that focus on pipeline construction
3865 and related transferable skills. Trans Mountain will continue to identify opportunities for education
3866 and training for Aboriginal peoples to enhance access to employment opportunities through the
3867 pre-construction phase of Project planning.⁶⁶⁸

3868 **6.3 Future and Ongoing Consultation**

3869 Trans Mountain acknowledges that a number of Aboriginal groups continue to express interests
3870 and concerns regarding Project-related issues. Trans Mountain is committed to continued listening,
3871 learning and working with Aboriginal people to ensure that knowledge and advice is considered
3872 and incorporated in order to optimize the development of the Project—regardless of whether they
3873 oppose Project approval. Trans Mountain will build on its liaison with the Crown through the
3874 lifecycle of the Project and provide updates regarding Trans Mountain’s engagement activities

⁶⁶⁶ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)); Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 142.

⁶⁶⁷ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 142.

⁶⁶⁸ Exhibits B417-21 to B417-22 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7G8](#), [A4S7G9](#)).

3875 with Aboriginal groups.⁶⁶⁹ Once the Project is in-service, engagement opportunities will continue
3876 through hosting facility open houses, providing newsletters and Project updates, making safety
3877 and public awareness presentations, participating in community events, regulatory processes and
3878 ongoing informal meetings with Aboriginal groups.⁶⁷⁰ This is consistent with KMC's policies, the
3879 expectations of the NEB and guidance from the courts regarding the importance of reconciling
3880 Aboriginal rights with broader public interest considerations.

⁶⁶⁹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 43.

⁶⁷⁰ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 43.

3881 **7. ENVIRONMENT**

3882 **7.1 Overview**

3883 This section provides the Board with an overview of the purpose of an ESA, the methodology
3884 Trans Mountain applied to conduct an ESA for the Project, the conclusions of that ESA and
3885 mitigation measures that Trans Mountain has proposed to address the environmental effects of the
3886 Project, all of which will assist the Board in its decision-making process.

3887 This section will discuss Project effects on the environment, and the effect the environment will
3888 have on the Project (including the engineering design and safety of the facilities). The section
3889 provides the Board with the information it requires to make a decision regarding issues relating to
3890 the environmental components (referred to as elements) within the ESA. The social and economic
3891 components of the Project are discussed below.

3892 **7.1.1 Purpose of EA**

3893 The EA⁶⁷¹ process is intended to evaluate a project's potential effects on the environment before
3894 the project is carried out.⁶⁷² By integrating environmental considerations into planning and
3895 decision-making, EAs are important tools for promoting sustainable development.

3896 In *Friends of the Oldman River*, the Supreme Court of Canada outlined the general purpose of an
3897 EA as follows:

3898 Environmental impact assessment is, in its simplest form, a planning
3899 tool that is now generally regarded as an integral component of
3900 sound decision-making. Its fundamental purpose is summarized by

⁶⁷¹ A reference to EA in this final argument is a general reference to the practice of assessing the environmental effects of a project in Canada in accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012* and its predecessor legislation. This may include EAs conducted by the NEB or other regulatory authorities such as a JRP. On the other hand, in this final argument ESA refers specifically to Trans Mountain's ESA for the Project which was guided by the Filing Manual.

⁶⁷² CEAA 2012, s 4.

3901 R. Cotton and D.P. Emond in “Environmental Impact Assessment”,
3902 in J. Swaigen, ed., *Environmental Rights in Canada* (1981), 245, at
3903 p. 247:

3904 The basic concepts behind environmental assessment are
3905 simply stated: (1) early identification and evaluation of all
3906 potential environmental consequences of a proposed
3907 undertaking; (2) decision making that both guarantees the
3908 adequacy of this process and reconciles, to the greatest
3909 extent possible, the proponent’s development desires with
3910 environmental protection and preservation.

3911 As a planning tool it has both an information-gathering and
3912 a decision-making component which provide the decision
3913 maker with an objective basis for granting or denying
3914 approval for a proposed development...In short,
3915 environmental impact assessment is simply descriptive of a
3916 process of decision-making.⁶⁷³

3917 The objective of an EA is not to prevent development from occurring, but to balance that
3918 development against the unique ecological circumstances of the area in question.⁶⁷⁴ In *Labrador*
3919 *Inuit Assn. v Newfoundland (Minister of Environment and Labour)*, the Newfoundland Court of
3920 Appeal stated that:

3921 As important as are environmental considerations, sight cannot be
3922 lost of the economic and social benefits that flow from the
3923 production of these resources. Legitimate concerns of meaningful
3924 employment and security for families are at stake. This is a reality
3925 that must also be taken into account along with environmental
3926 considerations. The importance of development of resources to the
3927 lives of people should not be understated. It, and the investment that
3928 brings it about, are essential to the well-being and progress of
3929 society. In this regard, it is essential that the time-tables of those
3930 managing investment be brought into the equation. Nevertheless,
3931 they cannot be allowed to control the agenda without regard to
3932 competing environmental interests.⁶⁷⁵

⁶⁷³ *Friends of the Oldman River Society v Canada (Minister of Transport)*, [1992] 1 SCR 3, para 103.

⁶⁷⁴ *Bow Valley Naturalists Society v Canada Minister of Canadian Heritage*, [1999] FCJ No 1422 (TD), para 25; aff’d [2001] 2 FC 461 (CA).

⁶⁷⁵ *Labrador Inuit Assn. v Newfoundland (Minister of Environment and Labour)*, [1997] NJ No 223 (CA), para 7.

3933 As a result, the purpose of an EA is to ensure that the environmental effects of a project are
3934 identified and considered along with its benefits before the project is allowed to proceed. EAs are
3935 not intended to predict all environmental impacts of a project with certainty, nor are they intended
3936 to completely eliminate the environmental effects of a project. Rather, the EA, and the conclusions
3937 drawn from the EA, are to be used by the Board as a planning tool to inform its decision on the
3938 project and whether it is in the overall Canadian public interest.

3939 **7.1.2 Methodology**

3940 **7.1.2.1 Overview**

3941 Section 19 of the CEAA 2012 establishes the scope of the EA and identifies the factors which must
3942 be considered in every EA conducted under the CEAA 2012:

3943 19. (1) The environmental assessment of a designated project must
3944 take into account the following factors:

3945 (a) the environmental effects of the designated project,
3946 including the environmental effects of malfunctions or accidents
3947 that may occur in connection with the designated project and any
3948 cumulative environmental effects that are likely to result from
3949 the designated project in combination with other physical
3950 activities that have been or will be carried out;

3951 (b) the significance of the effects referred to in paragraph (a);

3952 (c) comments from the public — or, with respect to a designated
3953 project that requires that a certificate be issued in accordance
3954 with an order made under section 54 of the *National Energy*
3955 *Board Act*, any interested party — that are received in
3956 accordance with this Act;

3957 (d) mitigation measures that are technically and economically
3958 feasible and that would mitigate any significant adverse
3959 environmental effects of the designated project;

3960 (e) the requirements of the follow-up program in respect of the
3961 designated project;

3962 (f) the purpose of the designated project;

3963 (g) alternative means of carrying out the designated project that
3964 are technically and economically feasible and the environmental
3965 effects of any such alternative means;

3966 (h) any change to the designated project that may be caused by
3967 the environment;

3968 (i) [...]; and

3969 (j) any other matter relevant to the environmental assessment
3970 that the responsible authority, or — if the environmental
3971 assessment is referred to a review panel — the Minister, requires
3972 to be taken into account.⁶⁷⁶

3973 To meet these requirements, Trans Mountain first established the environmental elements that
3974 could be affected by the Project, along with Key Indicators (“KIs”) for those components. Trans
3975 Mountain then established spatial and temporal boundaries to assess how the Project will affect
3976 each component and whether the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental
3977 effects. An ESA Approach Summary document was released to stakeholders, Aboriginal
3978 communities and potentially interested regulatory authorities in March 2013 by Trans Mountain.
3979 The elements, KIs and spatial and temporal boundaries were reviewed based on feedback received
3980 on the ESA Approach Summary document from participants of the ESA Workshops, consultation
3981 with regulatory authorities and engagement with Aboriginal communities. Methods, indicators and
3982 boundaries for many of the environmental and socio-economic elements were revised based on the
3983 comments received.⁶⁷⁷

3984 The ESA considered and incorporated the factors listed in section 19 of CEAA 2012 as well as the
3985 Filing Manual, the List of Issues (including consideration of marine shipping) and pertinent issues
3986 and concerns identified through consultation and engagement with Aboriginal groups, landowners,

⁶⁷⁶ CEAA 2012, s 19(1).

⁶⁷⁷ Exhibit B1-43 - V3B APPD 01 OF 02 ENGAGE LETTERS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U9](#)), 48.

3987 regulatory authorities, stakeholders and the general public.⁶⁷⁸ The approach that was followed to
3988 assess Project effects is consistent with the CEA Agency's guidance and past EAs conducted for
3989 other NEB projects.⁶⁷⁹

3990 In addition to assessing Project-specific effects, Trans Mountain conducted a cumulative
3991 environmental effects assessment. The cumulative environmental effects assessment considered
3992 the likely effects of the Project that overlap with the effects of past, existing, and reasonably
3993 foreseeable future developments in the area that have been or will be constructed.⁶⁸⁰ The approach
3994 to assessing cumulative effects was the same as that used for Project-specific effects described
3995 above. This approach is consistent with the CEA Agency's guidance, the List of Issues⁶⁸¹ and past
3996 EAs conducted for other NEB projects.⁶⁸²

3997 **7.1.2.2 Elements and Key Indicators**

3998 In accordance with standard EA practice in Canada the ESA for the Project focused on elements
3999 which are biophysical components of the environment that are valued by society. Elements can be
4000 indicators of environmental change and can assist in focusing the assessment on key issues.⁶⁸³

⁶⁷⁸ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

⁶⁷⁹ NEB – Report – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2011 (July 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH-2-2011 (February 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-2-2010 (January 2011).

⁶⁸⁰ CEEA 2012, s 19(1)(a).

⁶⁸¹ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

⁶⁸² NEB – Report – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2011 (July 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH-2-2011 (February 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-2-2010 (January 2011).

⁶⁸³ Exhibit B5-11 - V5A ESA 03of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L5](#)), 5-1.

4001 Since it is impractical to fully assess every aspect of every element, KIs were chosen as
4002 representative indicators for certain potential Project effects. For example, since the potential
4003 effects pathways and likely responses to Project disturbances will be similar for many wildlife
4004 species, the ESA focused on indicator species and then inferred that similar results would occur
4005 for other species with similar ecological requirements.⁶⁸⁴ This approach allowed Trans Mountain
4006 to fully assess potential effects of the Project on the environment, recognizing the practical
4007 impossibility of assessing each environmental component and individual species separately. At the
4008 request of Environment Canada and the National Energy Board, Trans Mountain also completed
4009 individual assessments for species at risk that may be affected by the Project.⁶⁸⁵ No significant
4010 residual effects were predicted based on the outcome of the individual species at risk effects
4011 assessment.

4012 Trans Mountain's use of elements and KIs for the ESA reflects accepted practice for EAs in
4013 Canada. For example, in the JRP's Report for the Enbridge Northern Gateway Project, the Panel
4014 stated that "[t]he purpose of valued ecosystem components and key indicator species in
4015 environmental assessment is not to be all inclusive, recognizing the practical impossibility of
4016 analyzing everything, but to look at potential project effects on representative components."⁶⁸⁶
4017 Trans Mountain notes that during consultation on the Project many stakeholders were supportive
4018 of the indicator approach to effects assessment for species at risk.⁶⁸⁷

⁶⁸⁴ Exhibit B129-1 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 33.

⁶⁸⁵ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.035 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 123.

⁶⁸⁶ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 185.

⁶⁸⁷ Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 33.

4019 Elements and KIs were selected for the Project based on the Filing Manual, other regulatory
4020 guidelines and experience gained during previous projects with similar conditions and potential
4021 issues. The selection process incorporated extensive feedback from Aboriginal groups,
4022 landowners, regulatory authorities, stakeholders and the general public and included public issues
4023 raised through media, available research literature and the professional judgment of the assessment
4024 team.⁶⁸⁸ A list of the selected indicators for biophysical elements can be found in Table 5.0-1 of
4025 Volume 5A of the Application.⁶⁸⁹

4026 Although several intervenors have raised concerns that specific species were not individually
4027 assessed as part of the ESA,⁶⁹⁰ no credible evidence has been submitted during the regulatory
4028 process that shows any gap in Trans Mountain's ESA as a result of the elements or KIs that were
4029 chosen. As noted above, Trans Mountain conducted individual assessments for species at risk as
4030 part of the IR process. Trans Mountain is confident that the indicators presented in the Application
4031 are appropriate for assessing potential Project effects on the environment and allowing the Board
4032 to determine whether or not the Project is likely to result in significant adverse environmental
4033 effects.

4034 In response to the Board's concerns regarding the need to assess additional wildlife and marine
4035 species at risk, Trans Mountain reiterated in NEB IR 2.040 that the wildlife and marine bird
4036 indicators presented in the Application, Volumes 5A and 8A, are appropriate, and in line with the
4037 methodology used in past projects for assessing potential Project effects on both species at risk

⁶⁸⁸ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-3.

⁶⁸⁹ Exhibit B5-11 - V5A ESA 03of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L5](#)), 5-2.

⁶⁹⁰ See e.g. Exhibit B112-2 – Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 49; Exhibit B116-1 – Trans Mountain Response to FER IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D7](#)), 5; Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 31.

4038 and species not at risk. The use of indicators to assess potential Project effects on wildlife and
4039 other biotic elements is a commonly-employed method in environmental assessment. For example,
4040 several recent section 52 and section 58 applications to the NEB have used an indicator-based
4041 approach.⁶⁹¹ Based on these applications, Trans Mountain submits that the wildlife and marine
4042 bird indicators presented in the application are appropriate for assessing potential Project effects
4043 on both species at risk and species not at risk.

4044 **7.1.2.3 Spatial and Temporal Boundaries**

4045 Trans Mountain's ESA considered the potential effects of the Project on elements and KIs within
4046 defined spatial and temporal boundaries.

4047 The spatial boundaries considered one or more of the following areas: a Footprint Study Area (the
4048 area where surveying, construction, clean-up and associated physical works and activities will
4049 occur), a Local Study Area (the area where Project-specific effects may occur outside the
4050 Footprint), a Regional Study Area ("RSA") (the area where the Project may measurably contribute
4051 to cumulative effects), a Provincial Area (the political boundaries of Alberta and B.C.), a National
4052 Area (the political boundaries of Canada) and an International Area (the area extending beyond
4053 Canada).⁶⁹² These spatial boundaries were dynamic for all elements and therefore varied
4054 depending on the issues and biophysical and socio-economic elements or interactions that were
4055 considered.⁶⁹³

⁶⁹¹ Enbridge Pipelines Inc. Edmonton to Hardisty Pipeline Project (OH-001-2013), the NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. Northwest Mainline Natural Gas Pipeline Expansion Project, (GH-2-2011) and the NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. Sunday Creek South Lateral Loop No. 3 Pipeline Project.

⁶⁹² Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-3.

⁶⁹³ Exhibit B5-9 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - V5A ESA 01 of 16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L3](#)), 48.

4056 The temporal boundaries of the biophysical and socio-economic assessment of the Project include
4057 the planning, construction (including reactivation/modification), operation, decommissioning and
4058 abandonment phases of the Project. The ESA also considered residual and cumulative effects that
4059 are likely to result from the Project in combination with existing activities and reasonably
4060 foreseeable developments that have been or will be carried out.⁶⁹⁴

4061 Intervenor argued that Trans Mountain should have used larger study areas.⁶⁹⁵ With respect to the
4062 size of the study areas that were used in the ESA, the spatial extent of the RSA represents a trade-
4063 off between choosing too large an area that would mask Project effects, versus choosing an area
4064 too small where the effects on the population under consideration (for example, wildlife) might no
4065 longer be meaningful at a landscape scale. Trans Mountain acknowledges that while different
4066 practitioners may use different approaches to define RSAs, the ESA is based on methodologies
4067 that have been used and accepted by regulators across Canada (including the NEB) and provides
4068 sufficient information for the NEB to make informed predictions about the likely environmental
4069 effects of the Project and its contribution to cumulative effects in the region. Trans Mountain
4070 refined spatial boundaries in consultation with technical experts and regulatory agencies. For
4071 example, the Marine LSA and RSA were expanded from Burrard Inlet out to the 12 nautical mile
4072 limit based on early consultation and feedback.⁶⁹⁶

4073 With respect to the temporal boundaries that were used in the ESA, Trans Mountain used the
4074 existing environment as a baseline to measure Project-related effects. This approach is consistent

⁶⁹⁴ Exhibit B5-9 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - V5A ESA 01 of 16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L3](#)), 1-6.

⁶⁹⁵ Exhibit B318-13 – Trans Mountain Response to Tsawout FN IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H9H1](#)), 182.

⁶⁹⁶ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-56.

4075 with generally accepted ESA practice in Canada. For example, in the Final Report of the EUB-
4076 CEAA JRP for the Cheviot Coal Project, the Panel stated:

4077 In this case, the Panel notes that [the Proponent] used present
4078 conditions to describe the environmental “baseline” associated with
4079 the region. The Panel believes that this is an appropriate starting
4080 point for the Cheviot Project CEA and notes that the baseline
4081 includes current mining, logging, and oil and gas activities in the
4082 region. Since these activities have already received approval, the
4083 Panel believes that their inclusion as baseline conditions (as opposed
4084 to more pristine predevelopment conditions) is appropriate.⁶⁹⁷

4085 Similarly, the JRP for the GSX Pipeline concluded:

4086 The Panel views baseline information as the foundation for
4087 evaluating environmental effects under the CEA Act. Baseline
4088 information allows for identification and characterization of the
4089 physical, biological and social conditions at the time a project is
4090 proposed. This provides the foundation for predicting project-
4091 related environmental effects.⁶⁹⁸ [emphasis added]

4092 As previously discussed, Trans Mountain acknowledges that different practitioners may use
4093 different approaches to define temporal boundaries. The ESA is based on standard and accepted
4094 ESA methodologies and provides sufficient information for the NEB to make informed predictions
4095 about the likely environmental effects of the Project and its contribution to cumulative effects in
4096 the region.

4097 **7.1.2.4 Environmental Effects Analysis and Significance Determination**

4098 Once the elements and KIs were selected and the spatial and temporal boundaries were determined,
4099 Trans Mountain reviewed the current state of the environment within the various study areas (i.e.,
4100 the environmental setting) and assessed how the Project could affect those conditions.⁶⁹⁹ The

⁶⁹⁷ EUB Decision 2000-59, “Report of the EUB-CEAA Joint Review Panel for the Cheviot Coal Project” (August 2000), 38.

⁶⁹⁸ Joint Review Panel for the GSX Canada Pipeline Project, Joint Review Panel Final Report (July 2003), 23.

⁶⁹⁹ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL, (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-1.

4101 assessment evaluated the environmental effects of the construction (including
4102 reactivation/modification), operations, decommissioning and abandonment phases of each
4103 component of the Project.⁷⁰⁰ The ESA also considered any effects arising from potential accidents
4104 and malfunctions including hypothetical spill scenarios and changes to the Project caused by the
4105 environment.⁷⁰¹

4106 The key determination for the effects assessment was whether the Project is likely to result in
4107 significant adverse environmental effects which is widely recognized as the critical element of the
4108 federal EA process. Whatever methods are used, the focus of the EA always comes down to a
4109 decision about whether, after taking mitigation measures into consideration, the project is likely to
4110 cause significant adverse environmental effects.⁷⁰²

4111 As provided in the CEA Agency's Adverse Effects Guide, significance is determined after taking
4112 into account any mitigation measures the responsible authority considers appropriate.⁷⁰³ This
4113 approach makes sense because the likelihood of an event occurring depends on whether mitigation
4114 measures will be implemented to prevent the occurrence of that event, and whether those
4115 mitigation measures will be successful. This is consistent with section 52 of the CEAA 2012 which
4116 provides that the decision maker decides whether or not the project is likely to cause significant

⁷⁰⁰ The assessment method includes the following steps: Describe the environmental setting; Identify key environmental elements that could be affected; Define the indicators and measurement endpoints to be used to assess each element; Determine spatial and temporal boundaries for each element; Identify potential environmental effects for each indicator; Develop appropriate technically and economically feasible site-specific mitigation and, where warranted, restitution measures that are technically and economically feasible; Predict anticipated residual effects; and Determine the significance of residual effects.

⁷⁰¹ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-3.

⁷⁰² CEA Agency, "Reference Guide: Determining Whether a Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Environmental Effects", (Ottawa: Federal Minister of Supply and Services Canada, 1994), online: <[https://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/D/2/1/D213D286-2512-47F4-B9C3-08B5C01E5005/Determining Whether a Project is Likely to Cause Significant Adverse Environmental Effects.pdf](https://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/Content/D/2/1/D213D286-2512-47F4-B9C3-08B5C01E5005/Determining%20Whether%20a%20Project%20is%20Likely%20to%20Cause%20Significant%20Adverse%20Environmental%20Effects.pdf)> at 1 [*CEAA Reference Guide*].

⁷⁰³ *CEAA Reference Guide*, s 3.

4117 adverse environmental effects by taking into account the implementation of mitigation measures
4118 the decision maker considers appropriate:

4119 52(1) For the purposes of sections 27, 36, 47 and 51, the decision
4120 maker referred to in those sections must decide if, taking into
4121 account the implementation of any mitigation measures that the
4122 decision maker considers appropriate, the designated project

4123 (a) is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects
4124 referred to in subsection 5(1); and

4125 (b) is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects
4126 referred to in subsection 5(2).⁷⁰⁴ [emphasis added]

4127 The Federal Court of Appeal in *Alberta Wilderness Assn. v Express Pipelines Ltd.* confirmed that
4128 there is no purpose in considering purely hypothetical environmental effects when it is known that
4129 such effects will be mitigated by appropriate measures.⁷⁰⁵

4130 Based on the CEA Agency's guidance, Trans Mountain determined whether an effect was
4131 significant based on the magnitude of the effect, its geographic extent, the duration and frequency
4132 of the event causing the residual effect and the reversibility of the residual effect, the probability
4133 or likelihood of occurrence of the residual effect and the level of confidence or uncertainty.⁷⁰⁶ For
4134 environmental elements, Trans Mountain defined "significant residual effect" to be an effect that:
4135 (i) has a high probability of occurrence; (ii) is permanent or reversible in the long-term; and (iii)
4136 is of high magnitude and cannot be technically or economically mitigated.⁷⁰⁷ This definition is
4137 consistent with the conjunctive test for determining significance under the CEAA 2012.

⁷⁰⁴ CEAA 2012, s 52.

⁷⁰⁵ *Alberta Wilderness Assn. v Express Pipelines Ltd.* (1996), 137 DLR (4th) 177, para 13 (FCA).

⁷⁰⁶ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-7.

⁷⁰⁷ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-7

4138 Separate criteria for determining the magnitude of an effect were created for each element or KI
4139 where appropriate. These criteria were based on guidance from the CEA Agency, applicable
4140 regulatory standards and requirements, previous EAs and the professional experience of the study
4141 team.⁷⁰⁸ The criteria are identified and defined in Volume 7, Table 7.1-2 of the Application.⁷⁰⁹

4142 While Trans Mountain does not dispute that certain Project effects may be perceived as significant
4143 to some intervenors, Trans Mountain determined significance on a broader ecosystem or socio-
4144 economic level. This is consistent with the conclusion of the JRP for the Mackenzie Gas Project
4145 that, “[t]here may well be impacts on individuals that, from an individual perspective, would be
4146 significant but which, again, the Panel might conclude would not be significant in the broader
4147 context.”⁷¹⁰ Therefore, significance was determined in the regional context for the Project. Trans
4148 Mountain submits that its methodology for determining significance is consistent with the law,
4149 CEA Agency guidance and past EAs that have been approved by the Board.

4150 **7.1.2.5 Cumulative Effects Methodology**

4151 For all cases where the ESA found potential residual effects from the Project that were likely to
4152 occur for an indicator, Trans Mountain studied those residual effects of the Project in conjunction
4153 with other projects that have been or will be carried out to determine if there were any cumulative
4154 environmental effects. The approach to assessing cumulative effects was the same that was used
4155 for Project-specific effects described above. This approach is consistent with the CEA Agency’s
4156 guidance and past EAs conducted for other NEB Projects.⁷¹¹

⁷⁰⁸ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-7.

⁷⁰⁹ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-7.

⁷¹⁰ CEAA-MVEIRB Joint Review Panel, Foundation for a Sustainable Northern Future, Report of the Joint Review Panel for the Mackenzie Gas Project (December 2009), 103.

⁷¹¹ See e.g. NEB – Report – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas

4157 The JRP for the Express Pipeline Project (which included the NEB) set out a three-part test for
4158 assessing cumulative effects under the former CEAA which contained identical language
4159 regarding the need to assess cumulative effects as CEAA 2012. The Panel stated:

4160 First, there must be an environmental effect of the project being
4161 assessed.

4162 Second, that environmental effect must be demonstrated to operate
4163 cumulatively with the environmental effects from other projects or
4164 activities.

4165 Third, it must be known that the other projects or activities have
4166 been, or will be carried out and are not hypothetical.⁷¹²

4167 Therefore, in order for there to be cumulative effects, there must be overlap between the effects of
4168 the proposed project and other activities. If there is no overlap, there is no cumulative effect for
4169 the purposes of the CEAA 2012. Secondly, there must be some certainty that a future activity will
4170 in fact be carried out for it to be considered in a cumulative effects assessment. The Panel for the
4171 Express Pipelines Project described this as “some probability, rather than a mere possibility, that
4172 the cumulative environmental effect will occur”.⁷¹³

4173 The cumulative effects assessment that was undertaken for the Project followed the requirements
4174 of the CEAA 2012. First, the environmental effects of the Project were assessed.⁷¹⁴ Second, a

Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2011 (July 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH-2-2011 (February 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-2-2010 (January 2011).

⁷¹² NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May 1996), 187-88.

⁷¹³ NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May 1996), 98.

⁷¹⁴ If a physical, biological or socio-economic element or indicator evaluated in Trans Mountain’s environmental effects assessment had no residual effects predicted or effects were not considered likely, then these elements or indicators were excluded from the cumulative effects assessment. Based on this, the cumulative effects assessment was limited to Project elements or indicators that were found to have residual effects that could act cumulatively with residual effects from other projects or activities. See Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-1.

4175 spatial boundary was developed that was considered by discipline-specific experts to be the area
4176 in which the effects of the Project could overlap with the effects of other activities in a way that
4177 was non-trivial. Finally, the effects of the Project were considered in combination with the effects
4178 of other projects or activities within each spatial boundary that were either existing or reasonably
4179 foreseeable developments and activities. This methodology has been before the Board on
4180 numerous occasions and the Board has found it acceptable.⁷¹⁵

4181 **7.2 Findings of Trans Mountain's ESA**

4182 **7.2.1 Pipeline and Facilities**

4183 Trans Mountain and its consultants have extensive experience with oil pipelines and how these
4184 types of projects affect the environment. The ESA relied on Trans Mountain's experience with
4185 past projects, as well as the most current science on how these types of projects affect the
4186 environment. The mitigation measures proposed by Trans Mountain for the Project in the ESA and
4187 accompanying plans are not novel or untested; these measures have been developed from decades
4188 of experience constructing and operating oil pipelines and industry best management practices.
4189 Trans Mountain's ESA provides the Board with a conservative and comprehensive assessment of
4190 the Project and its potential effects.

4191 Trans Mountain's ESA is supported by detailed studies such as wildlife, fish, vegetation and
4192 geotechnical assessments and TLRU and TMRU studies which provide a thorough understanding
4193 of the current uses of land and resources for traditional purposes. The ESA also includes multiple

⁷¹⁵ See e.g. NEB – Report – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2011 (July 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH-2-2011 (February 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-2-2010 (January 2011).

4194 EPPs⁷¹⁶ and Environmental Alignment Sheets which contain a comprehensive suite of well-
4195 understood and field-proven mitigation techniques to address potential issues that may arise.

4196 **7.2.1.1 Physical and Meteorological Environment**

4197 Trans Mountain is confident, and has provided evidence to the Board, that through proper routing
4198 and construction practices, and through implementation of accepted, proven effective mitigation,
4199 the severity of potential terrain instability has been reduced to a low level of magnitude.⁷¹⁷ The
4200 ESA concluded the residual environmental effects of pipeline construction and operations on the
4201 physical environment will be not significant.⁷¹⁸

4202 **7.2.1.2 Soil and Soil Productivity**

4203 Stakeholders, including private land owners, government agencies and farm associations,
4204 expressed interest during the regulatory process regarding special procedures for soil handling.
4205 The information received by Trans Mountain from stakeholders was incorporated into the
4206 mitigation measures for the Project.

4207 The Agricultural Management Plan (“AMP”) is a comprehensive document that will provide
4208 special procedures for soil handling. The AMP is designed to prevent the introduction and/or
4209 spread of clubroot disease and potato cyst nematode as well as prevent health hazards associated
4210 with farming operations that are in line with prevention strategies being implemented by regulatory
4211 authorities, the counties/municipalities and landowners. In order to prevent the spread of clubroot
4212 disease and potato cyst nematode, Trans Mountain has committed in the AMP to ensure

⁷¹⁶ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1 of 2 Pipeline EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)); Exhibit B11-5 - V6B 2 of 2 Pipeline EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S4](#)); Exhibit B11-7 - V6C 1 of 2 Facilities EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S6](#)); Exhibit B11-8 - V6C 2 of 2 Facilities EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S7](#)); Exhibit B11-10 - V6D Westridge EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S9](#)).

⁷¹⁷ Exhibit B154-1 – Trans Mountain Response to SIMPCW F N IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y3Q5](#)), 75-76.

⁷¹⁸ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-21.

4213 contaminated soil from one field is not transported to any other cultivated field. The mitigation is
4214 simple and effective; all construction equipment, including hand tools and footwear, will be
4215 cleaned using cleaning stations to ensure soil is not transported.⁷¹⁹ In regards to nursery operations,
4216 Trans Mountain has committed to providing ample pre-construction notice to nursery operators so
4217 that the nursery can prepare for possible disruptions in irrigation, drainage and water recycling
4218 systems.⁷²⁰

4219 During construction, Trans Mountain will ensure biosecurity measures are implemented, access is
4220 restricted and equipment and footwear is washed and sterilized. Upon completion of construction
4221 activities, Trans Mountain has committed to re-establishing the nursery infrastructure to the pre-
4222 construction state, replacing potted or trenched-in dormant plants and re-establishing plant support
4223 structures, drip irrigation systems and drainage or recycling systems.⁷²¹ The AMP also contains
4224 comprehensive mitigation measures to be implemented during construction relating to organic
4225 farms, berry crops, dry natural grazing lands, sub-surface drains and irrigation.⁷²²

4226 Trans Mountain is aware that during future negotiations for the acquisition of the right-of-way,
4227 some landowners and/or lessees may request further special procedures related to soil handling,
4228 health or productivity. Trans Mountain is committed to addressing any requests that may be
4229 brought forward by landowners and/or lessees as they arise. Trans Mountain has provided the
4230 Board with information regarding how it intends to manage these requests.⁷²³

⁷¹⁹ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), C-7.

⁷²⁰ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), C-9.

⁷²¹ Exhibit B11-4 - V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)), C-10.

⁷²² See Agricultural Management Plan for a detailed mitigation measures; Exhibit B11-4 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC, V6B 1of2 PIPELINE EPP (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2S3](#)).

⁷²³ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 191.

4231 The Collective Group of Landowners Affected by Pipeline (“CGLAP”) raised concerns regarding
4232 soils and in particular, soil decompaction.⁷²⁴ In response, Trans Mountain stated that it will employ
4233 an Agricultural Monitor—a Professional Agrologist or similarly qualified person—for the B.C.
4234 Lower Mainland who is familiar with soils, drainage and agricultural production to support the
4235 Lead Environmental Inspector. The Agricultural Monitor will work closely with landowners and
4236 the Lead Environmental Inspector to ensure that impacts on soil and agriculture production are
4237 minimized and that mitigation is implemented on agricultural lands as described in the AMP.⁷²⁵ If
4238 the Agrologist has concerns about potential compaction he or she will have the authority to carry
4239 out compaction testing and recommend mitigation measures including subsoiling, ploughing,
4240 disking or other measures as deemed appropriate.⁷²⁶ In addition, Trans Mountain committed in IR
4241 responses to implement the appropriate mitigation measures as specified throughout the Pipeline
4242 EPP to avoid or minimize the impacts to soils and crop yields on agricultural lands.⁷²⁷ Trans
4243 Mountain is committed to ongoing engagement with CGLAP during the construction,
4244 development and operations phase to ensure these commitments are implemented cooperatively.

4245 Yarrow Ecovillage raised concerns regarding agricultural lands. Specifically, Yarrow Ecovillage
4246 is concerned that pipeline construction will disrupt their irrigation system resulting in an inability
4247 to water crops. Trans Mountain will have procedures in place to ensure that irrigation water is not
4248 interrupted and has committed to working with Yarrow Ecovillage in advance of construction to
4249 develop a strategy to ensure that temporary irrigation lines are installed and permanent irrigation

⁷²⁴ Exhibit B053 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - 2014-06-04 Responses to Information Requests from Collaborative Group of Landowners Affected by Pipelines Round 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A60796](#)), 34.

⁷²⁵ Exhibit B053-1 - Trans Mountain Response to CGLAP IR No.1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6A7](#)), 36-37.

⁷²⁶ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 33-34.

⁷²⁷ Exhibit B053 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - 2014-06-04 Responses to Information Requests from Collaborative Group of Landowners Affected by Pipelines Round 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A60796](#)), 7.

4250 lines are re-established during and after construction. As a result of these mitigation measures,
4251 Trans Mountain submits that construction of the Project will not disrupt Yarrow Ecovillage
4252 irrigation system and ability to water crops.

4253 Yarrow Ecovillage also raised concerns regarding impacts of pipeline construction on soil. As
4254 previously indicated, Trans Mountain will have a Professional Agrologist on site during
4255 construction to ensure appropriate soil handling protocols are implemented.⁷²⁸ Trans Mountain has
4256 also committed to developing additional steps for the preservation of the topsoil on Yarrow
4257 Ecovillage's organic farm in cooperation with the landowners and land users as well as the Organic
4258 Certification Board.⁷²⁹

4259 Metro Vancouver and the City of New Westminster raised concerns regarding potential
4260 contaminated soils along the pipeline right-of-way, particularly soil contamination from historical
4261 industrial activity along the shores of the Fraser and Brunette Rivers.⁷³⁰ While Trans Mountain
4262 agrees that many areas around the Brunette River are industrial or brownfield sites which are
4263 suspected to contain contaminated soils, Trans Mountain is prepared in the event that
4264 contamination is discovered during construction of the Project. Trans Mountain has committed to
4265 undertake a site assessment of the Project footprint to ensure any suspected contaminated soils are
4266 discovered. Following this, if contaminated soils are discovered, Trans Mountain will implement
4267 the Contamination Discovery Contingency Plan and/or measures in the contamination

⁷²⁸ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 33-34.

⁷²⁹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 30 – Agricultural Lands (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 30-3.

⁷³⁰ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)); Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)).

4268 management and monitoring program as well as the Waste Management Standards contained in
4269 the Pipeline EPP.

4270 Concerns were also raised regarding the ability of contaminated soil to cause external corrosion to
4271 the pipeline.⁷³¹ Trans Mountain submits that external corrosion to the pipeline as a result of
4272 contaminated soil is very rare and unlikely based on advances in external coating systems. As
4273 stated in Trans Mountain's reply evidence, Trans Mountain is not aware of any past examples,
4274 incidents or studies that document a pipeline leak or rupture resulting from specific contaminants
4275 within the soil. Trans Mountain is confident that advances in external coating systems, such as
4276 fusion-bond epoxy and other higher performance coating in combination with the technological
4277 improvement in the delivery and surveillance of cathodic protection, will ensure the pipeline is
4278 reliable and protected. Trans Mountain's evidence shows that external corrosion is rarely found on
4279 a pipeline coated with fusion-bond epoxy if adequate cathodic protection is in place. Trans
4280 Mountain is also planning to use thicker pipe in high consequence areas within the Lower
4281 Mainland and for watercourse crossings. Based on Trans Mountain's world-class design approach
4282 and the risk mitigation strategies in place, Trans Mountain is confident that it has negated any risks
4283 to pipeline integrity as a result of existing contaminants.

4284 In addition to the inventory of potentially contaminated sites within the proposed pipeline corridor
4285 filed with the Application, Trans Mountain has committed to conducting more detailed
4286 contaminated site investigations to gather site-specific information. Depending on the results of

⁷³¹ Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)), 20.

4287 the contaminated soil investigations, Trans Mountain will develop a contamination management
4288 and monitoring program to mitigate against risk to human health or the environment.⁷³²

4289 In their evidence, Parks Canada submitted a similar proposed condition relating to soil
4290 contamination and specifically requested a Remediation Plan be submitted to Parks Canada in the
4291 event Trans Mountain discovers previously unidentified contamination.⁷³³ Trans Mountain is
4292 committed to this recommendation by Parks Canada and plans to use this approach elsewhere
4293 along the Project.⁷³⁴

4294 The Board can be confident that Trans Mountain's commitment to implementing the AMP, along
4295 with other soil related mitigation discussed above, will ensure that impacts on soil and agriculture
4296 production are minimized.

4297 Trans Mountain's evidence is that the residual environmental effects of pipeline construction and
4298 operations on soil and soil productivity will be not significant.⁷³⁵

4299 **7.2.1.3 Groundwater Quality and Quantity**

4300 Burnaby raised concerns regarding groundwater quality and in particular, concerns regarding
4301 leakage from the Project facilities.⁷³⁶

⁷³² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 29 – Soil and Soil Productivity (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 29-2.

⁷³³ Exhibit C347-1-1 – Parks Canada TMX Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5U9](#)).

⁷³⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 29 – Soil and Soil Productivity (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 29-3.

⁷³⁵ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-46.

⁷³⁶ Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 79.

4302 Trans Mountain has provided evidence demonstrating that state of the art leak detection systems
4303 will be used throughout the Project facilities. For storage tanks, the first line of defence will be the
4304 tank design itself.⁷³⁷ Trans Mountain employs leading edge technology and materials in the design
4305 of its tanks to ensure that the integrity of the tank is maintained. Storage tanks will utilize level
4306 transmitters (to prevent overfill), a leak detection system under each tank, secondary containment
4307 and hydrocarbon detection within the secondary containment to ensure groundwater is
4308 protected.⁷³⁸ The pipeline will have a computational pipeline monitoring leak detection system in
4309 accordance with CSA Z662-15.⁷³⁹ More discussion on the design of tanks and pipeline can be
4310 found in Section 3 - Project Design of this final argument.

4311 In addition to designing advanced facilities, Trans Mountain has multiple well-established
4312 groundwater monitoring programs in place at select facilities, including the Burnaby Terminal and
4313 Westridge Marine Terminal, to detect impacts to groundwater. At these locations, Trans
4314 Mountain's monitoring wells are sampled semi-annually for a suite of hydrocarbon analysis. For
4315 expansions to facilities, such as the Burnaby Terminal and Westridge Marine Terminal, the
4316 location and number of wells will be assessed prior to operation of the expanded facility and
4317 changes will be made as required to ensure satisfactory monitoring of groundwater quality in
4318 compliance with applicable regulatory criteria.⁷⁴⁰

⁷³⁷ For example, all proposed storage tanks at Burnaby Terminal will be designed in accordance with American Petroleum Institute Standard 650, internally coated (on the floor and 1 m up the shell), and located within secondary containment designed in accordance with Canadian Standards Association Standard Z662 (which includes a limitation permeability) and the National Fire Protection Association Code 30.

⁷³⁸ Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 79.

⁷³⁹ Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 79.

⁷⁴⁰ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 258.

4319 Trans Mountain has a comprehensive plan in place in the unlikely event a release from the pipeline
4320 or facility occurs and groundwater impacts are suspected. Under these circumstances, Trans
4321 Mountain will immediately undertake a hydrogeological investigation to assess site conditions and
4322 the magnitude and extent of any impacts. Following the investigation, groundwater monitoring,
4323 risk management or groundwater remediation may be implemented to ensure that groundwater
4324 quality meets applicable standards. If necessary, Trans Mountain will continue remediation until
4325 the applicable regulatory authority indicates that the contamination has been resolved.⁷⁴¹

4326 A variety of intervenors have raised more specific concerns regarding the potential for pipeline
4327 activities to impact groundwater.⁷⁴² Specifically, their concerns relate to the security of
4328 groundwater supplies that source water from vulnerable shallow aquifers and the need for
4329 alternative water supplies in the event of pipeline-related impacts to groundwater systems. Taking
4330 into consideration the properties and behaviour of diluted bitumen in the subsurface and Trans
4331 Mountain's spill response plans, Trans Mountain has demonstrated that these risks are limited.
4332 Nonetheless, Trans Mountain acknowledges the potential risks for shallow highly vulnerable
4333 aquifer resources and has committed to providing alternative water supplies to communities or
4334 individuals affected by the Project, if necessary.⁷⁴³

4335 Coldwater Indian Band raised multiple concerns regarding potential groundwater contamination
4336 and security of groundwater supply in its evidence and in the Coldwater B.C. Groundwater Report.

⁷⁴¹ Exhibit B317-30 – Trans Mountain Response to SFN IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H9C8](#)), 10-18.

⁷⁴² Exhibit C78-10-5 - Appendix C - Part 1 of 2 Pages 1 to 171 – B.C. Groundwater Hydrogeologic Overview (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0W9](#)); Exhibit C78-10-6 - Appendix C - Part 2 of 2 - Pages 172 to 148 (Appendix E to end of report) B.C. Groundwater Hydrogeologic Overview (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0X0](#)); Exhibit C78-10-2 - Coldwater Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0W6](#)); Exhibit C249-9-1 - NRCan Written Evidence Submission TMX 27May2015 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0V2](#)).

⁷⁴³ Exhibit B316-34 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Province of B.C. Information Request No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8W6](#)), 39.

4337 Trans Mountain responded to these concerns and corrected inaccuracies in the Coldwater B.C.
4338 Groundwater Report in its reply evidence. Specifically, Trans Mountain provided evidence that it
4339 was unlikely that pyrene aromatic hydrocarbons reportedly detected in the groundwater could be
4340 associated with the existing pipeline but are more likely a result of another source such as coal, or
4341 resulted from a sampling quality assurance/quality control issue. Trans Mountain provided
4342 evidence that, in the event of an unlikely potential spill from the pipeline impacting Coldwater's
4343 drinking water supply, replacement water supplies are available other than the installation of wells
4344 in the Coldwater River floodplain.

4345 Shxw'ōwhámél raised multiple groundwater concerns regarding the potential groundwater
4346 impacts that could result from a pipeline leak or rupture in the report entitled "Review of Trans
4347 Mountain Expansion Pipeline Project Groundwater Issues Associated with Ohamil IR 1 and Peters
4348 IR 1 and 2" ("Piteau Groundwater Report") filed as part of their evidence. The Piteau Groundwater
4349 Report discusses mitigation measures and key issues associated with groundwater concerns
4350 including pipeline wall thickness and/or double-walled pipe, leak detection, response time, routing,
4351 potential effects on groundwater, area of groundwater related concerns, quality of response plans,
4352 compensation plans and proportion of dense non-aqueous phase liquids in the hydrocarbon
4353 mixture. Trans Mountain responded to these concerns and corrected inaccuracies in the Piteau
4354 Groundwater Report in its reply evidence. Trans Mountain submits that it has sufficiently
4355 addressed all groundwater issues raised by Coldwater and Shxw'ōwhámél in its reply evidence.⁷⁴⁴

⁷⁴⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 29 – Soil and Soil Productivity (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 29-3.

4356 In summary, Trans Mountain's extensive and state of the art groundwater monitoring programs
4357 and leak detection systems will ensure that the quality of groundwater along the Project route is
4358 protected.

4359 **7.2.1.4 Surface Water Quality and Quantity**

4360 Intervenors raised concerns regarding surface water quality. Specifically, these concerns related to
4361 impacts to water quality and quantity during pipeline construction at watercourse crossings⁷⁴⁵ and
4362 surface water contamination in the event of an accident or spill.⁷⁴⁶

4363 Metro Vancouver raised concerns regarding disturbance to riparian zones in their evidence.⁷⁴⁷
4364 While riparian areas within the pipeline easement will be altered during construction of the Project,
4365 Trans Mountain is confident that proper mitigation will reduce the potential to adversely affect
4366 water quality. Trans Mountain's proposed pipeline watercourse crossing methods and reclamation
4367 strategies provided in the Pipeline EPP were selected in consideration of the size and
4368 environmental sensitivities of the watercourses, the period of construction, the effectiveness of
4369 erosion control and sediment reduction measures and the ability to maintain flow at all times. Upon
4370 completion of construction, all riparian buffers will be revegetated.⁷⁴⁸

4371 With the implementation of the general and site-specific mitigation, monitoring and reclamation
4372 measures contained in the ESA and Pipeline EPP, Trans Mountain is confident that any adverse

⁷⁴⁵ Exhibit B154-1 – Trans Mountain Response to SIMPCW F N IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y3Q5](#)), 93; Exhibit B328-2 - Response to Adams Lake Indian Band IR No. 2 Notice of Motion (March 12, 2015) ([A4J4Z9](#)), 29; Exhibit B120-1 – Trans Mountain Response to CIB IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2I0](#)), 8.

⁷⁴⁶ Exhibit B39-2 – Trans Mountain Response to ALIB IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X5V6](#)), 3.

⁷⁴⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 31 – Surface Water/Hydrology (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 31-1.

⁷⁴⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 31 – Surface Water/Hydrology (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 31-1.

4373 impacts to water quality (e.g., from increased turbidity) or quantity from trenched pipeline
4374 crossings and temporary vehicle crossing activities can be reduced to acceptable levels or avoided.
4375 Trans Mountain will include additional site-specific mitigation measures in the final Pipeline EPP
4376 to be filed with the NEB at least 90 days prior to construction in accordance with Draft Condition
4377 No. 63.⁷⁴⁹

4378 In addition to designing state of the art facilities, Trans Mountain has a comprehensive ERP in
4379 place in the unlikely event a release from the pipeline or facility occurs and surface water impacts
4380 are suspected.

4381 Trans Mountain has surface water monitoring programs in place for the pipeline and facilities. For
4382 example, surface water discharged from the on-site retention pond at the Burnaby Terminal is
4383 tested monthly, or in the event any contamination is suspected, as per current permit
4384 requirements.⁷⁵⁰ Trans Mountain has processes in place to conduct regular aerial and ground-based
4385 patrols that include observation for potential releases such as an oil sheen on surface waterbodies.
4386 Certain Trans Mountain personnel working regularly on the pipeline are trained to observe and
4387 respond to the potential indicators of a release.⁷⁵¹ Trans Mountain will conduct water quality
4388 monitoring as part of its ERP.⁷⁵²

4389 Trans Mountain is confident that the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and
4390 reclamation strategies will mitigate adverse effects on surface water quality and quantity at

⁷⁴⁹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁷⁵⁰ Exhibit B118-1 – Trans Mountain Response to City Burnaby IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2E6](#)), 391.

⁷⁵¹ Exhibit B134-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Jensen C IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2S8](#)), 23.

⁷⁵² Exhibit B154-1 – Trans Mountain Response to SIMPCW F N IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y3Q5](#)), 44.

4391 watercourse crossings, in compliance with all applicable provincial regulatory requirements.
4392 Moreover, Trans Mountain's extensive and state of the art surface water monitoring programs and
4393 leak detection systems will ensure that the quality of surface water along the Project route is
4394 protected.

4395 In summary, Trans Mountain's evidence is that the residual environmental effects of the Project
4396 on surface water quality and quantity will not be significant.⁷⁵³

4397 **7.2.1.5 Air Emissions**

4398 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual environmental effects on the air emissions
4399 indicator associated with the construction and operations of the pipeline.⁷⁵⁴ However, the ESA
4400 concluded that there are no situations where there is a high probability of occurrence of a
4401 permanent or long-term residual environmental effect on air emissions indicators of high
4402 magnitude that cannot be technically or economically mitigated. Therefore, the residual
4403 environmental effects of pipeline construction and operations on air emissions will not be
4404 significant.⁷⁵⁵ Trans Mountain is committed to voluntarily undertaking ambient monitoring during
4405 the construction and post-construction phases under Draft Condition No. 19.⁷⁵⁶ This condition
4406 requires methods and a schedule for ambient monitoring of air contaminants of potential concern
4407 such as particulate matter, carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂),
4408 hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and volatile organic compounds ("VOCs").

⁷⁵³ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-83.

⁷⁵⁴ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-89.

⁷⁵⁵ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-93.

⁷⁵⁶ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 19; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence - Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

4409 In its evidence, Metro Vancouver submitted that Trans Mountain's methodology to assess the
4410 residual effects of the Project on air equality should have been based on an absolute value as
4411 opposed to basing the assessment on the predicted relative (incremental) increase in concentration
4412 for its determination of Project-related effects on air quality.⁷⁵⁷ Trans Mountain submits that the
4413 methodology used to assess the residual effects of the Project on air quality is correct.⁷⁵⁸ Trans
4414 Mountain is committed to meeting applicable ambient air quality objectives. Summaries of
4415 maximum predicted concentrations from the combined effects of the Burnaby Terminal, Westridge
4416 Marine Terminal and marine transportation traffic for the base and application cases, including
4417 ambient background, was provided.⁷⁵⁹ Additional discussion regarding one-hour SO₂ has been
4418 provided in Trans Mountain's reply evidence.⁷⁶⁰

4419 Metro Vancouver submitted evidence that Trans Mountain's vapour collection efficiency of
4420 99.9999 per cent is not commonly achieved and is likely under-conservative. The report submitted
4421 by Metro Vancouver recommends that more conservative collection efficiencies of 95 and 99 per
4422 cent be used to assess VOC-related air quality impacts. The report concludes that collection
4423 efficiencies lower than 99 per cent could result in exceedances of benzene concentrations

⁷⁵⁷ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)).

⁷⁵⁸ Exhibit C234-3-2 - Summary of MV responses to TM responses to Notice of Motion (July 16, 2014) ([A3Z3Y3](#)), 21.

⁷⁵⁹ Exhibit B310-25 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6D8](#)); Exhibit B310-26 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6D9](#)); Exhibit B310-27 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 3 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E0](#)); Exhibit B310-28 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056b-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E1](#)); Exhibit B310-29 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.057a-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E2](#)); Exhibit B310-30 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.057b-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E3](#)); Exhibit B310-31 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1_Part1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E4](#)); Exhibit B310-32 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1 Part2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E5](#)); Exhibit B310-33 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1 Part3 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E6](#)); Exhibit B310-34 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.063-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E7](#)).

⁷⁶⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)).

4424 surrounding the site and at the nearest sensitive receptors. Trans Mountain submits that Metro
4425 Vancouver's evidence does not accurately reflect the efficiency of Trans Mountain's proposed
4426 vapour collection devices. KMC previously performed testing on three oil tankers loading in
4427 Galena Park, Texas, U.S. and demonstrated typical VOC collection efficiencies during loading
4428 ranging from 99.865 per cent to 99.985 per cent.⁷⁶¹ Based on these field verified results and
4429 assuming a conservative estimate for collection efficiency of 99.5 per cent, Trans Mountain
4430 provided the maximum predicted benzene concentrations as evidence demonstrating that the
4431 applicable ambient objectives will continue to be met in response to the Metro Vancouver
4432 intervenor evidence Sections 3.4 and 3.5.⁷⁶² In addition, Trans Mountain provided the maximum
4433 predicted benzene concentrations for collection efficiency of 99 per cent as evidence
4434 demonstrating that the applicable ambient objectives will continue to be met in response to the
4435 Metro Vancouver Reply Evidence IR 1.2.⁷⁶³

4436 Metro Vancouver raised concerns regarding uncertainty in Trans Mountain's original
4437 photochemical modelling analysis due to: their assertion that omission of a proper meteorological
4438 model evaluation; the examination of only a single meteorological episode; and the use of an
4439 outdated set of emissions data for marine vessel emissions. Metro Vancouver submitted that the
4440 potential impacts of the Project, with respect to secondary formation of ozone, should be assessed
4441 in a more comprehensive manner than has been done to date. Moreover, Metro Vancouver
4442 submitted that Trans Mountain should be required to revise the assessment of the potential effect
4443 of VOC emissions from the Project on the secondary formation of ozone in the Lower Fraser

⁷⁶¹ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R614](#)), 15.

⁷⁶² Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)), 23 – 27; Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 33-1.

⁷⁶³ Exhibit B435-7 – Trans Mountain Response to Metro Vancouver Reply Evidence IR – (November 12, 2015) ([A4V3W1](#)), 5-7.

4444 Valley based on revised Community Multi-Scale Air Quality (“CMAQ”) modelling. Trans
4445 Mountain committed to consult with the members of the Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality
4446 Coordination Committee (“LFVAQCC”) and update the photochemical modelling (presented in
4447 the December 2013 submission)⁷⁶⁴ of potential impacts of the TMEP on ozone, photochemical
4448 PM_{2.5}, and visibility in the Lower Fraser Valley for four historical episodes.⁷⁶⁵ Trans Mountain
4449 submitted its draft Work Plan for the CMAQ Modelling Update for the Project to the LFVAQCC
4450 members for their review and comments.⁷⁶⁶ The revised CMAQ modelling addressed the
4451 additional meteorological episodes, used Environment Canada’s Marine Emission Inventory Tool,
4452 used the most recent Project-related emissions, included additional emissions in the Lower Fraser
4453 Valley from larger projects announced after 2013 and included a more refined inner modelling
4454 domain (one km size). It should be noted that CMAQ photochemical modelling has never been
4455 done before in the Lower Fraser Valley by a proponent as it is a very complicated analysis typically
4456 completed for municipal land use planning purposes and far exceeds what is required for a project
4457 specific environmental assessment. Nonetheless, Trans Mountain undertook to have this
4458 photochemical modelling completed twice.⁷⁶⁷ Trans Mountain submits that Metro Vancouver’s
4459 evidence regarding Trans Mountain’s photochemical modelling analysis is flawed based on the

⁷⁶⁴ Exhibit B6-12 - V5C TR 5C4 04of8 AIR GHG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1U3](#)); Exhibit B6-13 - V5C TR 5C4 05of8 AIR GHG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1U4](#)). See Appendix C “Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modelling for Trans Mountain Expansion Pipeline Project”.

⁷⁶⁵ Exhibit B331 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to Fraser Valley Regional District Notice of Motion regarding IR Round 2 responses (March 12, 2015) ([A68647](#)); Exhibit B141-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Metro Vancouver IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2V0](#)), 95; Exhibit B344-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Metro Vancouver Notice of Motion regarding IR Round 2 responses (March 12, 2015) ([A4J5G8](#)); Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 169.

⁷⁶⁶ Exhibit C234-7-24 - Exhibit 19A Draft Work Plan – TMEP CMAQ Update v1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8A5](#)); Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 33-10.

⁷⁶⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)); Exhibit B417-39 - Appendix 33C – Updated Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Photochemical Modelling for the TMEP ([A4S7I6](#)).

4460 over conservative assumptions made by Environment Canada with respect to VOC collection
4461 efficiencies during tanker loading and marine tanker traffic. The Board can be confident that Trans
4462 Mountain's updated photochemical modelling analysis is correct and that the conclusions derived
4463 from the analysis are accurate and can be relied upon.⁷⁶⁸

4464 On September 26, 2014, the NEB denied both Environment Canada's and Metro Vancouver's
4465 motion to compel an update to the CMAQ modelling within the NEB's review process.⁷⁶⁹ Despite
4466 the NEB's decision, Trans Mountain initiated contact with the LFVAQCC members and met face-
4467 to-face in the Metro Vancouver offices on September 25, 2014 to discuss the air quality issues
4468 raised by the LFVAQCC. At this meeting, Trans Mountain and the LFVAQCC discussed a
4469 possible update to the CMAQ model for the Project. It was agreed that a work plan would be
4470 jointly updated but a timeline and roles and responsibilities were not discussed. A second face-to-
4471 face meeting was held on November 13, 2014 with the LFVAQCC and more technical issues were
4472 discussed and information was requested.⁷⁷⁰ Trans Mountain provided substantive responses to
4473 LFVAQCC on air quality matters in letters dated November 24, 2014,⁷⁷¹ April 27, 2015 and May
4474 26, 2015.⁷⁷² Trans Mountain's focus has been to address the LFVAQCC's concerns and answer

⁷⁶⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)); Exhibit B417-39 - Appendix 33C – Updated Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Photochemical Modelling for the TMEP ([A4S7I6](#)).

⁷⁶⁹ Exhibit A081 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 33 - Motions to compel full and adequate responses to the first round of intervenor information requests (September 26, 2014) ([A63066](#)).

⁷⁷⁰ Exhibit B291-28 – Part 12 Responses AQ HHRA Follow Up LFVAQCC (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5C9](#)).

⁷⁷¹ Exhibit B291-28 – Part 12 Responses AQ HHRA Follow Up LFVAQCC (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5C9](#)).

⁷⁷² Exhibit B291-28 - Part 12 Responses AQ HHRA Follow Up LFVAQCC (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5C9](#)); Exhibit B310-25 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6D8](#)); Exhibit B310-26 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6D9](#)); Exhibit B310-27 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056a-Attachment 3 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E0](#)); Exhibit B310-28 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.056b-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E1](#)); Exhibit B310-29 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.057a-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E2](#)); Exhibit B310-30 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.057b-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E3](#)); Exhibit B310-31 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1 Part1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E4](#)); Exhibit B310-32 – Trans Mountain Response

4475 questions related to the Project irrespective of whether it is required for the NEB's regulatory
4476 review process. Despite commitments from Trans Mountain to consult and review comments on
4477 the draft work plan for the updated CMAQ modelling, the LFVAQCC decided not to consult after
4478 all, and, therefore, the updated CMAQ modelling proceeded without their involvement.⁷⁷³

4479 Metro Vancouver has raised concerns regarding Trans Mountain's assessment of Particulate matter
4480 ("PM") emissions from the Vapour Combustion Unit ("VCU"). Metro Vancouver submitted that
4481 there should be a requirement for Trans Mountain to conduct comprehensive monitoring of the
4482 PM emissions from the VCU once it has been commissioned and on a regular basis thereafter.
4483 Trans Mountain submits that its assessment of PM emissions from the VCU is reasonable and
4484 based on standard industry engineering practices.⁷⁷⁴ After the final design is complete, Trans
4485 Mountain has committed to undertake another round of dispersion modelling to inform design
4486 engineering and prepare a more detailed dispersion modelling in 2016 for PMV in support of its
4487 permitting process.⁷⁷⁵ Trans Mountain is supportive of Draft Condition No. 19 which requires

to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1 Part2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E5](#)); Exhibit B310-33 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.061-Attachment 1 Part3 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E6](#)); Exhibit B310-34 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2.063-Attachment 1 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6E7](#)); Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)); Exhibit B417-38 - Appendix 33B – Letter to Metro Vancouver – May 26, 2015 (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7I5](#)).

⁷⁷³ More details of the consultation process between the LFVAQCC and Trans Mountain are provided in their correspondence as Attachments 1 and 2 of Section 40.2 of the Reply Evidence. The updated CMAQ modelling report is Attachment 3 of Section 40.2 of Reply Evidence. See Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)); Exhibit B417-38 - Appendix 33B – Letter to Metro Vancouver – May 26, 2015 (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7I5](#)); Appendix 33C – Updated Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Photochemical Modelling for the TMEP.

⁷⁷⁴ Alberta Energy Regulator, Directive 060: Upstream Petroleum Industry Flaring, Incinerating, and Venting (August 15, 2014): online, < <https://www.aer.ca/documents/directives/Directive060.pdf> >.

⁷⁷⁵ Exhibit B316-33 – Trans Mountain Response to PMV IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8W5](#)).

4488 Trans Mountain to file an Air Emissions Management Plan for the Westridge Marine Terminal
4489 that includes, among other things, a PM management plan that will monitor PM emissions.⁷⁷⁶

4490 In its evidence, Metro Vancouver submitted that the dispersion modelling was based on
4491 inappropriate land use. This assertion is incorrect. The dispersion modelling followed the
4492 Guidelines for Air Quality Dispersion Modelling in B.C.⁷⁷⁷ (“Guidelines”), which recommends
4493 using one of two land use datasets. Both data sets have perceived strengths and weaknesses. No
4494 preference is given in the Guidelines, nor are there any recommendations or requirements to
4495 manually manipulate the land use. It was therefore decided to follow regulatory guidance and leave
4496 the land use characterization unchanged as presented in the Guidelines. Metro Vancouver’s
4497 assertions are questionable given the fact that Trans Mountain’s expert, RWDI, created a work
4498 plan that was co-approved by Metro Vancouver and the B.C. Ministry of Environment.⁷⁷⁸ It is not
4499 expected to materially affect the predicted results; however, Trans Mountain commits to updating
4500 the defined land use areas for the updated dispersion modelling to inform engineering design in
4501 support of Project approval.

4502 Metro Vancouver asserts that although Metro Vancouver operates a comprehensive network of air
4503 quality monitoring stations throughout the Lower Fraser Valley airshed, the network currently
4504 lacks the ability to measure and assess the specific impacts to air quality resulting from the Project.
4505 This assertion is incorrect. First, Trans Mountain submits that the existing Burmount station, which
4506 is located beside the Burnaby Terminal, has the ability to adequately monitor and assess air quality

⁷⁷⁶ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁷⁷⁷ Exhibit C234-7-9 - Exhibit 04, Guidelines for Air Quality Dispersion Modelling B.C. (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Z0](#)).

⁷⁷⁸ Exhibit B11-24 - V6E 013of306 ENV ALIGNMENT SHEETS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2U3](#)). See Appendix B: Detailed Model Plan, Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Technical Report for the Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC.

4507 resulting from the current operations and the Project. Trans Mountain currently provides financial
4508 support to operate the Burmount station. Second, Trans Mountain has installed an ambient
4509 monitoring station at the Westridge Marine Terminal and is supportive of Draft Condition No. 19
4510 which includes construction of a new monitoring station at the Westridge Marine Terminal for
4511 ambient monitoring of additional contaminants of potential concern in air such as PM, CO, NO₂,
4512 SO₂, H₂S and VOCs.⁷⁷⁹ The condition requires consultation with the Lower Fraser Valley
4513 regulators on the work plan for monitoring emissions and ambient monitoring. Trans Mountain's
4514 evidence is that air quality will be adequately monitored at the Westridge Marine Terminal through
4515 existing and potential future monitoring stations.

4516 Metro Vancouver has provided evidence that Trans Mountain has predicted exceedances of Metro
4517 Vancouver's newly adopted interim ambient air quality objective for SO₂ at resident locations
4518 centered near the Queensbury neighbourhood of North Vancouver. This issue was eliminated for
4519 the Cumulative Case Assessment (after 2015) which takes into account that the maximum sulphur
4520 content in fuel oils within the North American Emission Control Area ("ECA")⁷⁸⁰ decreased to
4521 0.1 per cent starting January 1, 2015. More details, along with the concentration contour plot for
4522 the maximum one-hour SO₂, was provided in response to Metro Vancouver Intervenor Evidence
4523 No. 3.9.1.3.⁷⁸¹ As the updated modelling has demonstrated compliance with the new Metro
4524 Vancouver interim air quality objective for SO₂, there is no reason for Trans Mountain to operate

⁷⁷⁹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁷⁸⁰ Vancouver is within the North American Emissions Control Area (as are Seattle, San Francisco and Los Angeles) which applies stringent engine emission standards and fuel sulphur limits to all ships entering or plying within 200 miles of the B.C. coast. Mandated further improvement in fuel standards take effect in 2012, 2015 and 2016, which period straddles the Project's coming into operation schedule.

⁷⁸¹ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)), 39.

4525 a new monitoring station in the Queensbury neighbourhood. This conclusion is in line with the
4526 Metro Vancouver intentions paper on the interim SO₂ objective which noted, “[p]reliminary
4527 dispersion modelling results indicate that ambient SO₂ concentrations will decrease significantly
4528 within the Burrard Inlet Area. However, the model predicts that the proposed interim 1-hour
4529 average objective will still be exceeded from time to time in a small area near the refinery—Metro
4530 Vancouver will be consulting with refinery representatives.”⁷⁸² The Queensbury neighbourhood is
4531 several km away from the refinery so it would not address the stated Metro Vancouver concern.

4532 Metro Vancouver has provided evidence that continuous hourly monitoring of benzene, toluene,
4533 ethyl benzene and xylenes is necessary. Trans Mountain is supportive of Draft Condition No. 19
4534 which includes construction of a new monitoring station at the Westridge Marine Terminal for
4535 ambient monitoring of contaminants of potential concern in air such as PM, CO, NO₂, SO₂, H₂S
4536 and VOCs. This Draft Condition requires consultation with the Lower Fraser Valley regulators on
4537 the work plan for the ambient monitoring so details of the monitored parameters will be addressed
4538 in the consultation process.⁷⁸³

4539 Metro Vancouver raised concerns with Trans Mountain’s assessment of cancer risks associated
4540 with Project-related diesel particulate matter (“DPM”). Metro Vancouver’s evidence is that Trans
4541 Mountain should be required, as a condition of approval, to monitor black carbon particulate via
4542 continuous aethalometers as well as speciated particulate filter sampling of PM_{2.5} in accordance
4543 with the methodologies employed by the Environment Canada National Air Pollution Surveillance
4544 Program. As stated earlier, Trans Mountain is supportive of Draft Condition No. 19 which includes

⁷⁸² Exhibit C234-7-29 - Exhibit 28, Interim Sulphur Dioxide Objective for Metro Vancouver (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8C0](#)).

⁷⁸³ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

4545 construction of a new station at the Westridge Marine Terminal for ambient monitoring of
4546 contaminants of potential concern in air such as particulate matter, CO, NO₂, SO₂, H₂S and VOCs.
4547 This Draft Condition requires consultation with the LFVAQCC on the work plan so details of the
4548 monitored parameters will be addressed in the consultation process.⁷⁸⁴

4549 Environment Canada raised concerns that boiler emissions were excluded from the final estimates
4550 of marine-source pollutant emissions and inputs to air quality dispersion modelling. Environment
4551 Canada's evidence states that "boiler emissions can account for approximately 10-30 per cent of
4552 the emissions from Westridge tankers in the region close to port, depending on the pollutant." In
4553 response to Environment Canada IR 2.067, Trans Mountain stated that revised dispersion
4554 modelling is not required as boilers do not operate on tankers most of the time. As such, any
4555 emissions are released infrequently, limited to outer operating areas well outside Burrard Inlet and
4556 are small in magnitude. Trans Mountain assessed the boiler emissions at berths in the response to
4557 the Government of Canada Intervenor Evidence Section 3.2.2.1⁷⁸⁵ and demonstrated that the
4558 applicable ambient air quality objectives will be met.

4559 In response to an NEB IR regarding boiler emissions, PMV stated that "[t]hese rates [the 2005-
4560 2006 B.C. Ocean Going Vessel Emissions Inventory published by the B.C. Chamber of Shipping]
4561 are not negligible and, in the absence of appropriate references to support alternative boiler
4562 emission rates for tankers calling at Westridge Terminal, it is PMV's view that emissions from
4563 boilers should not be excluded from Trans Mountain's marine air emissions assessment."⁷⁸⁶ Trans

⁷⁸⁴ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁷⁸⁵ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 85-88.

⁷⁸⁶ Exhibit C365-9-2 - Responses to NEB Information Request #1 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7L3](#)), 1.c.

4564 Mountain submits that PMV statement regarding an “absence of appropriate references” is
4565 misleading. Trans Mountain has provided references to support alternative boiler emission rates
4566 for tankers calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁷⁸⁷

4567 In their evidence, Environment Canada recommends that Trans Mountain develop an Air Quality
4568 Monitoring, Reporting, and Mitigation Plan in conjunction with the LFVAQCC. Trans Mountain
4569 has committed to discussing monitoring parameters and reporting requirements with the
4570 LFVAQCC and will address these issues in the work plan for the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁷⁸⁸

4571 Living Oceans Society submitted, with respect to existing emissions, that the uncertainty of each
4572 measurement or calculation that was used in the Application or Report should have been critically
4573 evaluated and quantified. Trans Mountain agrees that knowledge of the accuracy of the ambient
4574 monitoring data is of interest; however, it is not Trans Mountain’s responsibility to audit the Metro
4575 Vancouver data. In fact, Metro Vancouver does not make public the results of their internal audits
4576 of their monitoring network. Ambient background concentrations were calculated in accordance
4577 with the B.C. modelling guideline and the model work plan which was approved by B.C. Ministry
4578 of the Environment and Metro Vancouver.⁷⁸⁹ Trans Mountain agrees that ambient background
4579 concentrations vary in time and space. To evaluate Project effects, elevated background values are
4580 calculated to assist with developing a reasonable maximum operating and effects scenario.

⁷⁸⁷ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 2, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 10-14; Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 33-30-33-31.

⁷⁸⁸ Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E2](#)).

⁷⁸⁹ Exhibit C234-7-9 - Exhibit 04, Guidelines for Air Quality Dispersion Modelling B.C. (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Z0](#)); Exhibit B11-24 -V6E 013of306 ENV ALIGNMENT SHEETS (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2U3](#)). See Appendix B: Detailed Model Plan, Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Technical Report for the Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC.

4581 Trans Mountain, as required by Draft Condition No. 19, will develop an Air Emissions
4582 Management Plan for the Westridge Marine Terminal. Trans Mountain has committed to
4583 consulting with Fraser Valley Regional District (“FVRD”) and other local governments on this
4584 plan.⁷⁹⁰ Trans Mountain will monitor air emissions at the Westridge Marine Terminal in
4585 accordance with the Air Emissions Management Plan for the Westridge Marine Terminal
4586 described in Draft Condition No. 19.⁷⁹¹ Collectively, these measures will ensure that the air
4587 emissions from the Westridge Marine Terminal do not exceed applicable air quality standards and
4588 guidelines.

4589 **7.2.1.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

4590 Concerns were raised regarding increased GHG emissions (carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous
4591 oxide) associated with the construction and operation of the Project facilities.⁷⁹²

4592 Trans Mountain has expended significant resources to ensure that GHG emissions are mitigated to
4593 the greatest extent possible. Emissions management is embedded in the design of the Project.
4594 Although a modest increase in GHG emissions will result from the construction and operation of
4595 the proposed pipeline and related facilities, through upgrading technology at existing facilities,
4596 Trans Mountain will achieve a reduction in GHG emissions at the Westridge Marine Terminal as
4597 a result of the Project by 3.8 kT CO₂e annually. This change in technology at Westridge Marine
4598 Terminal is predicted to contribute to a reduction of 0.006 per cent of B.C.’s total annual GHG

⁷⁹⁰ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 19; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)); Exhibit B128 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to Information Requests from Fraser Valley Regional District Round 1 Part 2 (June 18, 2014) ([A61133](#)), 27-29.

⁷⁹¹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 19.

⁷⁹² Exhibit C337-1 - Syme, Neil - IR1 - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 9, 2014) ([A60231](#)), 5.

4599 emissions.⁷⁹³ As a member of Green Marine, which is an audit-based environmental certification
4600 program for the North American marine industry that includes a far-reaching environmental
4601 program aimed to reduce its environmental footprint by undertaking concrete and measurable
4602 actions, Trans Mountain has committed to continuously improving the environmental performance
4603 of the Westridge Marine Terminal. Trans Mountain achieved a Green Marine Level 3 rating in all
4604 categories applicable to terminal operators for the 2013 operating year including GHG emissions.
4605 Level 3 integrates best practices into an adopted management plan and quantifiable understanding
4606 of environmental impacts.⁷⁹⁴ Furthermore, Trans Mountain has committed to implementing
4607 standard and well accepted energy pipeline industry practices to minimize direct GHG emissions
4608 during construction and operation of the pipeline.⁷⁹⁵ Trans Mountain will monitor GHG emissions
4609 in Alberta and B.C. during the operation of the pipeline once construction has been completed.
4610 Trans Mountain will, in compliance with federal and provincial GHG reporting requirements,
4611 report the direct annual operating GHG emissions from the facilities which meet or exceed the
4612 reporting thresholds.⁷⁹⁶

4613 To ensure that GHG emissions are at the lowest possible levels, Trans Mountain has committed to
4614 continuously improving GHG emissions over the life of the Project through the following actions:

- 4615 (a) Land clearing (removal of vegetative waste, site preparation) along the pipeline right-of-
4616 way and at facility locations such as terminals and pump stations will account for over 80
4617 per cent of all estimated construction GHG emissions due in large part to burning of

⁷⁹³ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 173-178.

⁷⁹⁴ Exhibit B316-33 – Trans Mountain Response to PMV IR No. 2 (February 18, 2014) ([A4H8W5](#)), 48.

⁷⁹⁵ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 193.

⁷⁹⁶ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 173-177.

4618 vegetative waste.⁷⁹⁷ In the Lower Fraser Valley where air quality is an issue, Trans
4619 Mountain will avoid burning slash. Instead, mulching will be performed in place or slash
4620 will be transported to an approved disposal location.⁷⁹⁸ A pre-construction timber cruise
4621 will be completed to determine the economically operable and merchantable timber volume
4622 for the construction right-of-way.⁷⁹⁹ Trans Mountain will meet with the governments,
4623 industry and local Aboriginal communities with respect to the use of merchantable
4624 timber.⁸⁰⁰

4625 (b) Lesser sources of GHG emissions during Project construction will be addressed through
4626 Trans Mountain's contract specifications.⁸⁰¹

4627 (c) KMC will continue to explore opportunities to reduce GHG and other air emissions during
4628 the operation of its facilities including the Project.⁸⁰²

4629 Parents from Cameron Elementary School Burnaby and the City of Vancouver requested that the
4630 List of Issues be expanded to include environmental and socio-economic effects associated with
4631 upstream activities, including development of the oil sands (upstream effects) and the downstream
4632 use of the oil intended to be shipped on the pipeline (downstream effects). Specifically, Parents
4633 from Cameron Elementary School and the City of Vancouver focused on the effects of GHG

⁷⁹⁷ Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 28-31.

⁷⁹⁸ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 195.

⁷⁹⁹ Exhibit B66-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Government of Canada National Resources Canada IR No. 1.9.1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6G0](#)), 59.

⁸⁰⁰ Exhibit B5-20 – Trans Mountain Application to NEB Volume 5B (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-92.

⁸⁰¹ Several examples are provided in the response to NEB IR No. 1.31 (e.g., ensuring equipment is well-maintained during construction to minimize air emissions and unnecessary noise). See Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 183.

⁸⁰² Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 183.

4634 emissions from the production of oil sourced from the oil sands that would be shipped by the
4635 Project and from the end use of that oil.⁸⁰³

4636 In response to the motion, Trans Mountain cited⁸⁰⁴ the NEB's decisions regarding the List of Issues
4637 for both the Enbridge Line 9B Reversal and the Line 9 Reversal Phase I Project in which the Board
4638 held:

4639 [T]he Board confirms that its assessment will include consideration
4640 of the environmental effects of GHG emissions associated with the
4641 Project, as outlined by Table A-2 in the NEB's Filing Manual. Some
4642 submissions requested that the Board consider federal and
4643 provincial GHG policy and legislation, and international
4644 commitments. Any detailed consideration of such policies,
4645 legislation, and commitments, beyond their direct impact on the
4646 Project and its environmental effects, is outside the appropriate
4647 scope of the present review.⁸⁰⁵

4648 In Ruling No. 25, the Board held that in the circumstances of the current proceeding, upstream and
4649 downstream effects, including those of GHG emissions, were not relevant. In holding that a full
4650 environmental and socio-economic assessment of upstream and downstream effects is not required
4651 or relevant, the Board stated:

4652 The Board acknowledges that the environmental and socio-
4653 economic effects of GHG emissions are different from other effects
4654 because they are less dependent on the particular location or timing
4655 of the activity that produces them. However, considering those
4656 effects without also considering all other effects, both positive and
4657 negative, would suffer the same problem raised in the motions and
4658 some letters of support; that is, considering one cost or benefit of

⁸⁰³ Exhibit A63-1 – NEB - Ruling No. 25 (June 12, 2015) ([A3Z5I4](#)).

⁸⁰⁴ Exhibit B036 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - 2014-05-26 Letter to NEB re Response to City of Vancouver Notice of Motion (May 16, 2014) ([A60578](#)).

⁸⁰⁵ NEB, Line 9B Reversal and Line 9 Capacity Expansion Project, "Procedural Update No.1 – List of Issues and Application to Participate form" (4 April 2013), 5; NEB, Line 9 Reversal Phase I Project, "Procedural Update No.1 – Procedural Update No. 1, List of Issues, and Scope of the Environmental Assessment" (1 February 2012), 4.

4659 upstream or downstream activities in isolation of other costs and
4660 benefits.⁸⁰⁶

4661 Trans Mountain has historically been at the forefront of emissions reduction by consistently
4662 upgrading technology at its existing facilities to address direct GHG emissions created during
4663 operations. Trans Mountain has similarly committed to continuously identifying and integrating
4664 design changes over the life of the Project to improve operating efficiency while reducing GHG
4665 and other emissions.⁸⁰⁷ Based on the above, the Board can be confident that Trans Mountain has
4666 reduced GHG emissions to the extent reasonable and will take appropriate steps during operations
4667 to further reduce GHG emissions. Trans Mountain submits the Board should accept its evidence
4668 that the residual environmental effects of Project construction and operation on GHG emissions
4669 will not be significant.⁸⁰⁸

4670 **7.2.1.7 Acoustic Environment**

4671 The operation of the pump stations, storage tank facilities and Westridge Marine Terminal will
4672 result in an increase in continuous sound levels—this is a fact of operating the Project and cannot
4673 be avoided. The effect of an increase in sound will extend over the life of the facilities and will
4674 cease when the facilities are decommissioned.⁸⁰⁹ In order to directly deal with acoustic emissions
4675 and mitigate the adverse effects that may occur, Trans Mountain will monitor noise at the Sumas
4676 and Burnaby Terminals and at the Westridge Marine Terminal per Draft Condition No. 132 (Post-
4677 construction noise surveys) as part of the Post-Construction Environmental Monitoring Program.
4678 Monitoring will also be conducted at select facilities within one year of the commencement of

⁸⁰⁶ Exhibit A063 - National Energy Board - Ruling No. 25 - Motions requesting that the Board include in the List of Issues the environmental and socio-economic effects associated with upstream activities and downstream use (July 23, 2014) ([A61912](#)), 6.

⁸⁰⁷ Exhibit B89-1 - Syme, Neil - IR1.3 - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6U3](#)).

⁸⁰⁸ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-103.

⁸⁰⁹ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain - Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 173-174.

4679 operation of the Project, or as per NEB certificate conditions, to ensure the facilities are operating
4680 within noise objectives.⁸¹⁰ Should compliance issues be identified, Trans Mountain has committed
4681 to repeating the monitoring on the site once appropriate controls are put in place to reduce acoustic
4682 emissions.⁸¹¹

4683 In addition to Trans Mountain's post-construction noise monitoring,⁸¹² Trans Mountain has
4684 committed to providing company contact information to those potentially affected by noise in the
4685 event there are noise concerns related to operation of the pipeline system, including residents, land
4686 users and Aboriginal groups.⁸¹³ For any noise complaints that are received, Trans Mountain will
4687 investigate, and if requested by the resident, follow up with the affected resident.

4688 Trans Mountain will develop noise management plans for the Project construction which will
4689 incorporate the components of Draft Condition Nos. 63 (Pipeline EPP), 96 (Tunnel Construction
4690 Noise Management Plan for Burnaby Mountain), 147 (Horizontal directional drilling (HDD) Noise
4691 Management Plan) and 148 (Noise Management Plan for construction at pump stations, tank
4692 terminals and the Westridge Marine Terminal) with the goal of limiting the effect of noise at
4693 sensitive receptors and include a monitoring component to verify effectiveness of controls.⁸¹⁴

⁸¹⁰ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) (A71776), 132; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁸¹¹ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain - Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 259.

⁸¹² Trans Mountain has committed to filing its post-construction noise monitoring results with the NEB within 6 months of conducting the initial measurements, or as per NEB certificate conditions. See Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain - Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 261.

⁸¹³ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 135-136.

⁸¹⁴ Exhibit B83-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Cameron School Parents IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) (A3X6T0), 4; Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)); Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)); Exhibit A237 - National Energy Board - Letter - Five additional draft conditions for comment (December 11, 2015) ([A74635](#)).

4694 Intervenors raised concerns that tanker noise has not been adequately addressed. Trans Mountain
4695 submits that it has adequately addressed tanker noise at the Westridge Marine Terminal as well as
4696 various anchorages controlled by PMV. Trans Mountain conducted an operations noise assessment
4697 in the Terrestrial Noise and Vibration Technical Report.⁸¹⁵ In addition, Trans Mountain addressed
4698 noise from tankers at anchor in Burrard Inlet in response to IRs.⁸¹⁶ Trans Mountain found that
4699 noise from tankers at anchorage would occur but found that noise levels at homes are within
4700 acceptable levels as defined in the B.C. Oil and Gas Commission Noise Control Guidelines
4701 (2009).⁸¹⁷ Trans Mountain has committed to preparing an updated Westridge Marine Terminal
4702 EPP, a Noise Management Plan, and to conducting post-construction noise surveys as per Draft
4703 Condition Nos. 31, 33 and 57.⁸¹⁸ Based on the foregoing, Trans Mountain submits that noise from
4704 tankers has been adequately addressed.

4705 Trans Mountain is confident that any noise emissions from the Project facilities will comply with
4706 applicable noise objectives. As a result, the ESA concluded that the residual environmental effects
4707 of pipeline construction and operations on the acoustic environment will be not significant.

⁸¹⁵ Exhibit B6-6 - V5C TR 5C3 01of3 TERR NOISE VIBR (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1T7](#)); Exhibit B6-7 - V5C TR 5C3 02of3 TERR NOISE VIBR (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1T8](#)); Exhibit B6-8 - V5C TR 5C3 03of3 TERR NOISE VIBR (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1T9](#)).

⁸¹⁶ Exhibit B80-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Miller B IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6R9](#)), 4-6; Exhibit B316-18 – Trans Mountain Response to Miller B IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8V0](#)), 7-10.

⁸¹⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 34 – Acoustic Environment/Noise (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 34-2.

⁸¹⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 34 – Acoustic Environment/Noise (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 34-2.

4708 **7.2.1.8 Fish and Fish Habitat**

4709 During the Project review, concerns were raised by intervenors and the Board regarding fish and
4710 fish habitat and, specifically, the proposed crossing methods for watercourses.⁸¹⁹ It is also
4711 important to note that evidence submitted by a number of intervenors (e.g., Cowichan Tribes⁸²⁰
4712 and the City of Coquitlam⁸²¹) was often based solely on technical information contained within
4713 the initial 2013 application and appears to have not considered Trans Mountain's February 2015
4714 technical update.⁸²² This resulted in a number of intervenors continuing to reference crossing
4715 numbers contained in the initial fish and fish habitat technical information.⁸²³

4716 In response to concerns regarding the proposed crossing methods for watercourses, Trans
4717 Mountain advised the Board that it has selected vehicle and pipeline crossing methods that reduce
4718 Project-specific effects in consideration of presence and use by all fish, particularly those
4719 comprising part of commercial, recreational or Aboriginal fisheries. Based on this, Trans
4720 Mountain's proposed pipeline crossing methods for fish-bearing watercourses are trenchless,
4721 isolated trenched (i.e., if water is present at the time of construction) or open cut without flow
4722 isolation (i.e., if dry or frozen to bottom) as listed in the Watercourse Summary Table.⁸²⁴

4723 Trans Mountain undertook extensive investigation of fish and fish habitat potential in the
4724 watercourses crossed by the Project. Watercourses were assigned a High sensitivity ranking for

⁸¹⁹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 313.

⁸²⁰ Exhibit C86-18-1 - Appendix F Part1 (June 12, 2015) ([A4Q0U9](#)).

⁸²¹ Exhibit C70-3 - City of Coquitlam Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A70304](#)).

⁸²² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-1. The February 2015 technical update included revised watercourse crossing summary tables and atlases, and included additional information that addressed site-specific mitigation and *Species at Risk Act* listed species.

⁸²³ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-2.

⁸²⁴ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-157.

4725 fish and fish habitat where they were found to contain species that were part of a commercial,
4726 recreational or Aboriginal fishery, where species of management concern were found, where the
4727 habitat potential was rated moderate-high or high for two or more of the following life history
4728 stages: spawning, wintering or rearing, or if critical habitat was identified.⁸²⁵ All watercourses that
4729 were determined to be of high habitat sensitivity and containing species of management concern
4730 were considered in more detail before assigning a crossing method.

4731 Based on this process, trenchless pipeline construction methods were proposed, if feasible, for
4732 several larger fish-bearing watercourses that were determined to have high sensitivity and/or
4733 generally contain species of management concern (namely, the North Saskatchewan and McLeod
4734 rivers in Alberta, the North Thompson, Thompson and Lower Fraser rivers in B.C.).⁸²⁶

4735 For all other watercourses with a High sensitivity, Trans Mountain investigated the use of trenched
4736 pipeline construction methods. For isolated trenched crossing methods, Trans Mountain's goal is
4737 to time construction so as to occur within the proposed LRBW in order to minimize impacts to
4738 fish and fish habitat. However, if flows during the LRBW preclude the use of an isolated trenched
4739 crossing method, then construction during periods of low flow and outside the LRBW were
4740 examined. The preference was always to isolate flows outside the LRBW, rather than use an open-
4741 cut (without flow isolation).⁸²⁷ However, it is important to note that where federally-listed species
4742 are concerned (e.g., green sturgeon, nooksack dace, salish sucker, etc.), Trans Mountain intends to

⁸²⁵ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 315.

⁸²⁶ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 315.

⁸²⁷ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 315.

4743 use an isolated trenched crossing method inside the LRBW or a trenchless method (e.g., horizontal
4744 directional drill).⁸²⁸

4745 In the event an isolated crossing is utilized outside of the LRBW, due to feasibility concerns, Trans
4746 Mountain is committed to implementing additional site-specific mitigation measures to protect
4747 fish and fish habitat. For example, Trans Mountain has committed to conducting spawning surveys
4748 for species with a moderate-high or high potential for spawning at the right-of-way or within the
4749 immediate zone-of-influence (“ZOI”), in the year preceding trenched construction. The results of
4750 these surveys will inform Trans Mountain and assist in the refinement of construction scheduling
4751 or development and implementation of any further mitigation measures (e.g., placement of snow-
4752 fence or other matting over spawning substrate to deter spawning) not already proposed. This
4753 supplemental information will provide the Environmental Inspectors and Trans Mountain with a
4754 current and site-specific understanding of the potential for spawning activity at and near the
4755 crossings. Based on this, Trans Mountain will be able to augment construction timing, sequencing
4756 for the Project and implement any additional or enhanced mitigation measures to address instream
4757 disturbance of spawning.⁸²⁹

4758 In response to recent Board IRs, Trans Mountain committed to further mitigation measures
4759 including: implementing additional instream enhancement using naturally available materials at
4760 each of the 28 sites with a high risk of residual effect (where the opportunity to do so is available);
4761 reducing the disturbance within old growth riparian habitat at high sensitivity fish-bearing
4762 watercourses (where possible during construction); and, if further enhancement is not feasible,
4763 developing a Riparian Vegetation Offset Plan in the event post-construction monitoring results

⁸²⁸ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 318.

⁸²⁹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 317.

4764 indicate riparian habitat did not return to a similar or greater value than pre-construction conditions
4765 at high sensitivity fish-bearing watercourses.⁸³⁰

4766 Trans Mountain has also committed to including additional site-specific mitigation measures in
4767 the final Pipeline EPP,⁸³¹ including measures specific to watercourses identified as critical salish
4768 sucker habitat, to be filed with the NEB at least 90 days prior to construction in accordance with
4769 Draft Condition No. 63.⁸³²

4770 As stated above, Trans Mountain is proposing to deter potential spawning from within the ZOI⁸³³
4771 of select watercourse crossings where spawning has previously been documented or is documented
4772 during the pre-construction spawning surveys and is expected to coincide with instream
4773 construction activities. Deterring spawning within the ZOI of these crossings means that effects
4774 on eggs, embryos and resulting fry can be avoided.⁸³⁴

4775 Environment Canada recommended that Trans Mountain demonstrate how the NEB review
4776 process outcomes related to protection of the marine environment (e.g., marine fish and fish
4777 habitat) will be respected, taking into account concerns identified by Aboriginal groups and other
4778 users of the sea. Trans Mountain has committed to implementing a number of mitigation measures

⁸³⁰ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R614](#)), 71 - 72.

⁸³¹ The site-specific mitigation measures proposed at the applicable watercourses are provided in Table 3.039c-1 in response to Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 - 3.039 Nooksack dace and salish sucker critical habitat. See Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 320.

⁸³² Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 324, 330.

⁸³³ Exhibit B7-1 - V5C TR 5C6 01of31 FISH AB (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1W6](#)).

⁸³⁴ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 317.

4779 during construction of the Westridge Marine Terminal to protect marine fish and fish habitat.⁸³⁵

4780 Mitigation measures specific to dredging include:

4781 (a) commitment that dredging, should it be required, be done during DFO least risk work
4782 window for Burrard Inlet (August 16 to February 28);

4783 (b) use of silt curtains to contain the spread of sediment during dredging; and

4784 (c) habitat offsetting for marine fish habitat lost due to dredging and infilling at the Westridge
4785 Marine Terminal.⁸³⁶

4786 In their evidence, the Salmon River Enhancement Society (“SRES”) identified the need for a post-
4787 construction monitoring program for the life of the Project that will be sufficient to determine the
4788 effectiveness of instream restoration, stream bank reclamation and riparian vegetation.⁸³⁷ Trans
4789 Mountain has committed to post-construction monitoring; however, as with other equivalent linear
4790 development projects, an initial post-construction monitoring period of five years is typical and
4791 anticipated by Trans Mountain. While intensive environmental post-construction monitoring
4792 beyond five years has not been proposed by Trans Mountain, it is important to note that ongoing
4793 operational inspection of the line is intended for the life of the Project, as requested by SRES.
4794 Therefore, Trans Mountain submits that there is no need for a post-construction monitoring
4795 program for the life of the Project.⁸³⁸

⁸³⁵ Exhibit B5-21 - V5A ESA 13of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R0](#)), 7-429 – 7-31, 7-439 – 7-445; Exhibit B5-22 - V5A ESA 14of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R1](#)), 8-34.

⁸³⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 53 – Marine Sediment and Water Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 53-1 - 53-2. See also the Exhibit B291-24 – Part 10 Fisheries Act Self-Assessment Serious Harm Marine Report (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5C5](#)).

⁸³⁷ Exhibit C301-05 - Salmon River Enhancement Society - SRES Evidence Report (May 28, 2015) ([A70370](#)).

⁸³⁸ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-10.

4796 Cowichan Tribes' evidence raised questions regarding the selected spatial boundaries in the
4797 Application, in particular, that individual local study areas ("LSA") were not provided for each
4798 watercourse.⁸³⁹ Trans Mountain's evidence is that due to the number of proposed watercourse
4799 crossings and differences in the downstream length of the respective Fish and Fish Habitat LSA,
4800 based on the estimated ZOI, it was not feasible to map the Fish and Fish Habitat LSA for each
4801 individual crossing location and, therefore, Trans Mountain submits that the selected spatial
4802 boundaries in the Application were appropriate and adequate for an effects assessment.⁸⁴⁰

4803 Multiple intervenors raised concerns with the proposed pipeline corridor route through the
4804 Brunette River Conservation Area. Particular concerns included species at risk (e.g., nooksack
4805 dace), riparian setbacks, proposed crossing methods, potential data gaps and potential for spills
4806 into the Brunette River.⁸⁴¹ It is important to note that the proposed pipeline corridor parallels but
4807 does not cross the Brunette River. Trans Mountain is acutely aware of the species within and
4808 habitat sensitivity of the Brunette River and its tributaries, including nooksack dace, brassy
4809 minnow and abundant salmonoids. In order to fully document fish and fish habitat in the Brunette
4810 River and tributaries, Trans Mountain has conducted extensive consultation with various public
4811 groups, DFO and a local provincial expert with respect to the Project and its potential effects. In
4812 addition, Trans Mountain investigated the potential for nooksack dace and overall fish habitat
4813 value in Brunette tributaries crossed by the proposed pipeline and conducted multiple seasons of

⁸³⁹ Exhibit C86-18-1 - Appendix F Part I (June 12, 2015) ([A4Q0U9](#)).

⁸⁴⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-5.

⁸⁴¹ Exhibit C309-1 – Geoffrey Senichenko Intervenor Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6Q9](#)); C309-1-5 – Appendix E Recovery Strategy Nooksack Dace (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6R4](#)); Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)); Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)); Exhibit C234-11-2 – Revised Exhibit 30, Support of Environmental Evidence Zoetica 2015 (June 24, 2015) ([A4Q9L9](#)); Exhibit C70-3-02 – City of Coquitlam Summary of Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0I9](#)); Exhibit C70-3-26 – Appendix J – Part 2 of 3 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0S1](#)); Exhibit C70-3-27 – Appendix J – Part 3 of 3 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0S2](#)).

4814 fish sampling to determine the presence or absence of fish. Trans Mountain has committed to flow
4815 isolation at non-fish-bearing crossings (where required) and general mitigation measures outlined
4816 in the Pipeline EPP to reduce the impacts to downstream watercourses during construction. In
4817 addition, Trans Mountain will adopt appropriate mitigation and reclamation measures to prevent
4818 serious harm at all fish-bearing watercourse crossings, including the downstream ZOI which may
4819 extend into the Brunette River (e.g., avoidance of key spawning periods for nooksack dace and
4820 Pacific salmon). Site-specific mitigation measures have also been provided for watercourse
4821 crossings that are considered to be proposed critical habitat or potential habitat for nooksack dace.
4822 Based on the following, Trans Mountain submits that the proposed pipeline corridor route through
4823 the Brunette River Conservation Area has been adequately assessed, there are no data gaps and
4824 Trans Mountain has proposed extensive mitigation measures to ensure fish and fish habitat is not
4825 compromised.⁸⁴²

4826 In their evidence, many intervenors submitted detailed concerns regarding species of conservation
4827 concern (e.g., SARA-listed species, provincially-listed species and other species of management
4828 concern and conservation units).⁸⁴³ Trans Mountain responded to, and addressed, these concerns
4829 in detail in its reply evidence.⁸⁴⁴

⁸⁴² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-16 - 35-18.

⁸⁴³ Exhibit C309-1 – Geoffrey Senichenko Intervenor Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6Q9](#)); Exhibit C309-1-5 – Appendix E Recovery Strategy NooksackDace (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6R4](#)); Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)); Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)); Exhibit C234-11-2 – Revised Exhibit 30, Support of Environmental Evidence Zoetica 2015 (June 24, 2015) ([A4Q9L9](#)); Exhibit C86-18-1 - Appendix F Part1 (June 12, 2015) ([A4Q0U9](#)); Exhibit C231-2-1 - MNBC TMX Submission Final (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2H2](#)).

⁸⁴⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 35 – Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 35-10 - 35-16.

4830 With the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures contained in the ESA, including
4831 compliance with applicable DFO Measures to Avoid Causing Harm, the Alberta Environment
4832 Codes of Practice, and various other provincial and industry guidelines (e.g., B.C. Oil and Gas
4833 Commission Environmental Protection and Management Guide, Canadian Association of
4834 Petroleum Producers Pipeline Associated Watercourse Crossings) Trans Mountain is confident
4835 that the potential for serious harm to fish or any permanent alteration to, or destruction of, fish
4836 habitat as a result of trenched pipeline crossings and temporary vehicle crossings can be avoided.
4837 Trans Mountain's view is confirmed in DFO's responses to NEB IRs wherein DFO stated that it
4838 "is of the view that the mitigation measures proposed by Trans Mountain are standard mitigation
4839 measures, that if implemented appropriately, will likely mitigate residual effects on the Nooksack
4840 dace and Salish sucker for the watercourses where a trenched pipeline crossing method is
4841 proposed."⁸⁴⁵ DFO further concluded that "[a]t this time, DFO is not aware of additional mitigation
4842 measures that the Proponent could implement beyond those already proposed to mitigate effects
4843 on fish and fish habitat at the referenced watercourse crossings. Trenchless pipeline crossing
4844 methods (i.e., aerial crossings and HDD) are preferred methods for reducing potential impacts on
4845 fish and fish habitat; however, these methods may not always be technically or economically
4846 feasible."⁸⁴⁶ Finally, DFO stated that "the implementation of habitat enhancement measures
4847 proposed by Trans Mountain ... during restoration works at the watercourse crossings may
4848 effectively mitigate potential localized effects on aquatic productivity; enhancement of the specific

⁸⁴⁵ Exhibit C97-3-2 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada Responses to Information Requests from the National Energy Board (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7Q1](#)), 2.

⁸⁴⁶ Exhibit C97-3-2 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada Responses to Information Requests from the National Energy Board (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7Q1](#)), 2.

4849 habitat features and functions that benefit the Nooksack dace and Salish sucker may assist in
4850 furthering the recovery of these species.”⁸⁴⁷

4851 Trans Mountain has provided the results of its Self-Assessment of the Potential for Serious Harm
4852 to Fish and Fish Habitat to the Board and is of the opinion that with appropriate mitigation and
4853 crossing methodology for each of the primary crossing methods proposed, there are no watercourse
4854 crossings that will result in serious harm to fish and fish habitat. As such, there should be no
4855 requirement for a section 35 Authorization (“*Fisheries Act* Authorization”). Notwithstanding this,
4856 if the Board finds that a *Fisheries Act* Authorization is required (i.e., that there is a potential for
4857 serious harm), Trans Mountain will apply for a *Fisheries Act* Authorization from DFO and will
4858 prepare an offsetting plan to address any serious harm that is identified.

4859 As a precautionary measure, Trans Mountain has initiated conceptual planning for a potential
4860 offsetting plan, should this be required to support an application for a *Fisheries Act*
4861 Authorization.⁸⁴⁸ If required, the Project’s final Fish and Fish Habitat Offset Plan would be
4862 designed in consultation with regulators, fisheries managers, Aboriginal groups and other
4863 stakeholders, and with specific consideration for the guiding principles outlined in DFO’s Fisheries
4864 Productivity Investment Policy: A Proponents Guide to Offsetting.⁸⁴⁹ As required by DFO, this
4865 plan will be developed with the goal of maintaining or improving the productivity of commercial,
4866 recreational or Aboriginal fisheries.⁸⁵⁰

⁸⁴⁷ Exhibit C97-3-2 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada Responses to Information Requests from the National Energy Board (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7Q1](#)), 2.

⁸⁴⁸ Exhibit B323-3 - Self Assessment Potential for Serious Harm to Fish and Fish Habitat Part 1 of 7 (February 27, 2015) ([A4I6C1](#)), 1-2.

⁸⁴⁹ DFO, *Fisheries Productivity Investment Policy: A Proponent’s Guide to Offsetting*, online: < <http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/offsetting-guide-compensation/index-eng.html>>.

⁸⁵⁰ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 2.

4867 In the event the Board determines that Trans Mountain requires a *Fisheries Act* Authorization, in
4868 order to avoid the risks of delay associated with Trans Mountain and the Board having different
4869 interpretations of which crossings require authorizations, Trans Mountain requests guidance from
4870 the Board in its decision with respect to its review of the potential for serious harm.

4871 Trans Mountain is confident that the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and
4872 Project plans will mitigate adverse effects on fish and fish habitat and will ensure there is no serious
4873 harm to fish that are part of a commercial, recreational or Aboriginal fishery, or to fish that support
4874 such a fishery. As a result, Trans Mountain's evidence is that the residual environmental effects of
4875 the Project on fish and fish habitat will not be significant.⁸⁵¹

4876 **7.2.1.9 Wetland Loss and Alteration**

4877 Environment Canada raised concerns that, to date, not all wetlands that the Project would
4878 potentially impact have been assessed through field surveys due to land access issues. Environment
4879 Canada noted, however, that Trans Mountain has committed to conducting ground surveys for all
4880 wetlands that the Project would encounter prior to construction. Based on this, Environment
4881 Canada has recommended that Trans Mountain conduct a detailed assessment of baseline wetland
4882 functions prior to the start of construction for all wetlands that the Project would directly impact
4883 and for any wetland(s) that are hydrologically connected to those wetlands.⁸⁵² Trans Mountain
4884 conducted an extensive field program to collect pre-construction information on wetlands that will
4885 potentially be encountered by the Project in 2012, 2013 and 2014 (i.e., ground-based wetland
4886 surveys at all wetlands where access was available, combined with aerial surveys through
4887 helicopter reconnaissance). A review of an overflight video and review of high resolution satellite

⁸⁵¹ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-165.

⁸⁵² Exhibit C121-3-1 - EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 44.

4888 imagery of the proposed pipeline corridor provided a visual documentation of the wetlands
4889 encountered by the Project.⁸⁵³ Supplementary wetland field surveys will be conducted during the
4890 2015 field program.⁸⁵⁴ Guidance for survey intensity level in B.C. suggests that for the wetlands
4891 study area, 25-50 per cent of identified wetlands should be ground surveyed. Trans Mountain has
4892 gone over and above this recommendation. Trans Mountain submits that the expected number of
4893 wetlands to be ground-surveyed (i.e., all wetlands that are accessible on the ground and all
4894 wetlands through helicopter reconnaissance) has already exceeded recommendations for Survey
4895 Intensity Level 3.⁸⁵⁵

4896 Based on the *Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation* goal of “no net loss” of wetland function
4897 on federal lands and waters, Trans Mountain committed to, where feasible, route the pipeline
4898 corridor to reduce potential effects on wetlands by implementing a routing decision framework
4899 that takes into consideration the following:

- 4900 (a) avoiding wetlands, where feasible;
- 4901 (b) minimizing length traversing environmentally sensitive areas such as protected areas, or
4902 areas containing vegetation and wildlife habitat for species with special conservation
4903 status;
- 4904 (c) where practical, following existing linear infrastructure (e.g., pipelines, power lines,
4905 roads);
- 4906 (d) using the shortest route practical;

⁸⁵³ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 14.

⁸⁵⁴ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 14.

⁸⁵⁵ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 14.

4907 (e) where avoidance is not technically or economically feasible, implementing construction
4908 and reclamation mitigation measures; and

4909 (f) monitoring wetland function and recovery post-construction.⁸⁵⁶

4910 Through a series of route revisions since the submission of the Application, the number of wetlands
4911 encountered by the Project has been reduced from a potential 638 wetlands to 538 wetlands and is
4912 anticipated to be reduced further once the final pipeline route has been determined. Based on this,
4913 approximately 100 wetlands have been avoided by the Project. Furthermore, in an effort to reduce
4914 the effects of pipeline construction on the wetlands that will be crossed, discussions have been
4915 initiated between the engineers, Environmental Inspection Teams and Wetland Specialists to
4916 identify areas where the proposed pipeline construction right-of-way and extra temporary
4917 workspace could either be narrowed or moved out of wetland areas.⁸⁵⁷ Trans Mountain has
4918 extensive experience with wetlands through, among others, the award-winning KMC TMX –
4919 Anchor Loop Project. Based on the experience gained from past projects, Trans Mountain will
4920 employ mitigation measures proven to reduce adverse effects for wetlands crossed using a trenched
4921 method. While the majority of wetlands along the proposed pipeline route will be crossed using a
4922 trenched method, to ensure the best method is chosen, a site-specific, case-by-case assessment will
4923 be used to determine the site crossing method.⁸⁵⁸ Trans Mountain's response to NEB IR 2.050
4924 provides a list of specific information that will be required to assist in choosing the appropriate
4925 crossing method.⁸⁵⁹

⁸⁵⁶ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-173.

⁸⁵⁷ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 12.

⁸⁵⁸ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 210.

⁸⁵⁹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 210.

4926 Trans Mountain will consider recommended mitigation from other biophysical disciplines (i.e.,
4927 vegetation, aquatics and wildlife) when selecting the crossing method for wetlands that have
4928 demonstrated special features such as Red or Blue-listed wetlands in B.C., rare plants or ecological
4929 communities, wildlife species of concern or sensitive aquatic habitat.⁸⁶⁰ Trans Mountain is
4930 reviewing Project scheduling, and will avoid the nesting period and post-breeding dispersal of
4931 migratory birds, including completing clearing/construction outside of the nesting period. If this
4932 is not feasible the Project footprint will be pre-cleared or mowed prior to the nesting period.

4933 Trans Mountain is committed to ensuring the protection and proliferation of wetlands along the
4934 Project corridor. At this point in time permanent disturbance to wetlands requiring compensatory
4935 measures is not anticipated as pipeline construction through wetlands is considered to be a
4936 temporary disturbance. To ensure wetlands return to their pre-construction conditions following
4937 construction of the Project, Trans Mountain's Wetland Function Post-Construction Monitoring
4938 Program ("Wetland Function PCM Program") will collect and monitor post-construction data for
4939 wetlands crossed during pipeline construction. If a wetland is not determined as having at least the
4940 same functional conditions as documented during the pre-construction assessment, Trans
4941 Mountain will continue to monitor those specific wetlands in years three and five after
4942 construction. If necessary, additional remedial measures will be implemented to assist wetlands in
4943 returning to full pre-construction functional condition.⁸⁶¹ If a wetland is determined to not be on
4944 the trajectory to returning to pre-construction functional condition at the end of the Wetland
4945 Function PCM Program (i.e., post-construction functional condition category is less than the

⁸⁶⁰ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 211.

⁸⁶¹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 219.

4946 preconstruction category), Trans Mountain will discuss next steps with Environment Canada to
4947 achieve the goal of “no net loss” of wetland function.⁸⁶²

4948 Environment Canada’s evidence recommends that the Wetland Function PCM Program be
4949 designed in such a way as to ensure that the type and amount of each wetland function would be
4950 considered individually in determining recovery success and that each wetland function would be
4951 recovered to at least the same type and amount of function as assessed during baseline. Setting
4952 compensation objectives in the form of a quantitative “range” for each function as a benchmark is
4953 recommended.⁸⁶³ Trans Mountain’s wetland landscape functional assessment is intended to
4954 address key selected functional components that inform a wetlands’ overall functional condition.
4955 Although individual wetlands may vary in the types of functions they provide, the selected
4956 components apply to most wetlands encountered. This assessment is meant as a generalized tool
4957 for assessing key biophysical functions. Each wetland function will be determined for each
4958 functional category. Trans Mountain’s evidence is that “no net loss” of wetland function is still
4959 being achieved by using functional condition categories rather than exact pre-construction scores
4960 within the categories.

4961 In their evidence, Environment Canada recommends that Trans Mountain develop and file a
4962 Wetland Compensation Plan.⁸⁶⁴ Although permanent loss of wetland function is not anticipated at
4963 wetlands crossed by the Project, Trans Mountain has developed and filed a Preliminary Wetland
4964 Compensation Plan⁸⁶⁵ to address Draft Condition No. 52 as well as Government of Canada,

⁸⁶² Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 214.

⁸⁶³ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 48.

⁸⁶⁴ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 49.

⁸⁶⁵ Exhibit B239-27 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No.2.052a-Attachment 1 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4V3](#)).

4965 Environment Canada IRs 1.040a to 1.040h⁸⁶⁶ and NEB IRs 2.052a to 2.052d.⁸⁶⁷ Trans Mountain
4966 is committed to working with Environment Canada to develop a finalized Wetland Compensation
4967 Plan. The Preliminary Wetland Compensation Plan will be updated as part of the Pre-construction
4968 Wetland Survey and Mitigation Plan. Environment Canada has recommended that the Pre-
4969 construction Wetland Survey and Mitigation Plan be submitted to the Board at least four months
4970 prior to the commencement of construction.⁸⁶⁸ Trans Mountain is committed to submitting a Pre-
4971 construction Wetland Survey and Mitigation Plan to meet the objective of Draft Condition No.
4972 52.⁸⁶⁹ However, in order to provide the Pre-construction Wetland Survey and Mitigation Plan 120
4973 days prior to construction, it would require submission prior to issuance of the CPCN. Therefore,
4974 Trans Mountain is asking for consideration of submission of the Wetland Survey and Mitigation
4975 Plan 90 days prior to commencement of construction.⁸⁷⁰

4976 Based on the above commitments, the ESA concluded that the residual environmental effects of
4977 pipeline construction and operations on wetland loss or alteration will be not significant.⁸⁷¹

4978 **7.2.1.10 Vegetation**

4979 In order to combat effects of pipeline construction on vegetation, Trans Mountain has committed
4980 to conducting a vegetation survey prior to construction to identify if any species that require special
4981 consideration before, during or after construction are present along the construction right-of-

⁸⁶⁶ Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 88-89.

⁸⁶⁷ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 220-222.

⁸⁶⁸ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 46.

⁸⁶⁹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

⁸⁷⁰ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 15.

⁸⁷¹ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-179.

4982 way.⁸⁷² In addition, Trans Mountain developed the Rare Ecological Community and Rare Plant
4983 Population Management Plan, which includes potential mitigation measures that generally fall into
4984 three categories: avoidance, (e.g., realignment, change of work side, narrowing), reducing
4985 disturbance (e.g., narrowing, adjusting workspaces, ramping/matting over) and alternative
4986 construction/reclamation techniques (e.g., salvaging seed or sod, plant propagation, transplanting,
4987 separate topsoil/root zone material salvage, delay clearing, access management).

4988 In the event that rare species or communities are observed within the final Project footprint,
4989 complete avoidance will be adopted, where practical, as the preferred mitigation method for rare
4990 species ranked S1 or S1S2⁸⁷³ or species that are provincially or federally protected.⁸⁷⁴ For example,
4991 Trans Mountain has committed to avoiding toothcup critical habitat by implementing a trenchless
4992 crossing of the North Thompson River. The Project footprint, workspace and right-of-way
4993 maintenance activities will avoid habitat attributes for toothcup and critical habitat will be
4994 considered during vegetation re-establishment and maintenance activities. Furthermore, the
4995 Project will avoid disturbance of shoreline habitat for known toothcup populations at Mission
4996 Plats, and the proposed mitigation to avoid the introduction and spread of weeds will ensure that
4997 the Recovery Strategy objectives are not impacted. Trans Mountain will continue to consult with
4998 Environment Canada to identify whitebark pine candidate regeneration critical habitat areas within
4999 the Project footprint, and discuss mitigation measures as needed.⁸⁷⁵

⁸⁷² Exhibit B39-2 – Trans Mountain Response to ALIB IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X5V6](#)), 85.

⁸⁷³ Plant species listed as S1 or S1S2 are categorized in B.C. into a Red List. The Red List means the plant species are candidates for extirpated, endangered or threatened status.

⁸⁷⁴ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 201.

⁸⁷⁵ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 10.

5000 Furthermore, where PCEM is recommended (as part of the site specific mitigation measures
5001 developed after the Project footprint has been defined), vegetation specialists will revisit the
5002 locations documented during pre-construction surveys at intervals over a five-year period (e.g.,
5003 years one, three and five following completion of reclamation, until the issue has been considered
5004 to be resolved), and during biologically appropriate times. For rare plant occurrences, abundance,
5005 distribution, plant health and phenology will be documented.⁸⁷⁶ Trans Mountain's objective for
5006 vegetation under the PCEM will be to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures and, if
5007 needed, correct measures.

5008 Trans Mountain has also committed to continuous consultation with Environment Canada
5009 regarding recommendations and site-specific mitigation for SARA listed vegetation species that
5010 exist along the Project footprint.⁸⁷⁷

5011 Metro Vancouver submitted evidence that the Project will negatively impact sensitive ecosystems
5012 in the region and that routing and construction methods fail to avoid impacting critical habitat or
5013 areas of high importance to Species of Conservation Concern.⁸⁷⁸ This is incorrect. Vegetation
5014 species and ecological communities of concern have been observed along the pipeline corridor and
5015 their extent has been documented. Trans Mountain has also identified mitigation measures to avoid
5016 or reduce disturbance to the vegetation features. Furthermore, Trans Mountain has conducted
5017 surveys where land access has been granted, following appropriate provincial and federal
5018 guidelines, to account for potential Species of Conservation Concern if there are vegetation or
5019 ecological communities of concern listed by the B.C. Conservation Data Center, Identified

⁸⁷⁶ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 201.

⁸⁷⁷ Exhibit B129-1 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2K9](#)), 100 - 101.

⁸⁷⁸ Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)).

5020 Wildlife Management Strategy, *SARA*, or the Committee of the Status of Endangered Wildlife in
5021 Canada known to occur along the proposed pipeline corridor.⁸⁷⁹ Site-specific mitigation measures
5022 for occurrence found within the construction footprint will be developed in the EPP and will be
5023 provided on the Environmental Alignment Sheets for construction planning.⁸⁸⁰ Moreover, Trans
5024 Mountain is committed to substantially reducing the right-of-way and work space areas to
5025 minimize impacts on environmentally sensitive areas and parks.⁸⁸¹

5026 Metro Vancouver stated in their evidence that Trans Mountain should commit to a no net loss of
5027 habitat. Trans Mountain submits that the concept of “no net loss” for Regional Parks is not a
5028 commitment by Trans Mountain, nor is this a standard industry recognized mitigation mechanism.
5029 Areas of temporary workspace during construction will be reclaimed and replanted after
5030 construction, therefore only 0.0137 ha of sensitive ecosystems has the potential to be permanently
5031 lost.

5032 Several municipalities expressed concern related to tree loss and replacement within urban areas.
5033 In response to this, Trans Mountain has committed to engage a qualified arborist to develop a tree
5034 plan specific to municipal lands directly impacted by pipeline construction and will be used to
5035 develop a reclamation plan for replacement of trees in consultation with the affected city and
5036 landowners.⁸⁸²

⁸⁷⁹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 37 – Vegetation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 37-2.

⁸⁸⁰ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 37 – Vegetation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 37-5.

⁸⁸¹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 37 – Vegetation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 37-3.

⁸⁸² Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R614](#)), 56.

5037 With respect to BC Parks, Trans Mountain has put forward net benefit proposals and
5038 considerations for each of the protected areas traversed, if the BC Parks Stage 2 Detailed Proposal
5039 Boundary Adjustment Application is approved, contingent on Project approval from the NEB.⁸⁸³

5040 Based on the mitigation measures and PCEM plans Trans Mountain has proposed, the Board can
5041 be confident that Trans Mountain has taken appropriate steps to minimize adverse environmental
5042 effects to vegetation and should accept Trans Mountain's evidence that the residual environmental
5043 effects of pipeline construction and operations on vegetation will be not significant.⁸⁸⁴

5044 **7.2.1.11 Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat**

5045 Wildlife field surveys were initiated in 2013 and supplemental field surveys have been ongoing to
5046 collect additional information on species of conservation concern. This information, in addition to
5047 targeted site specific pre-construction field surveys will be used to inform Project planning and
5048 mitigation.

5049 Trans Mountain has committed to preparing and filing mitigation plans for the following species
5050 at risk: southern mountain caribou, grizzly bear, Oregon forestsnail, Oregon spotted frog,⁸⁸⁵
5051 Williamson's sapsucker,⁸⁸⁶ Pacific water shrew,⁸⁸⁷ Lewis's woodpecker,⁸⁸⁸ Townsend's mole,⁸⁸⁹

⁸⁸³ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 307-312; Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Pipeline Reply Evidence (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)).

⁸⁸⁴ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-220.

⁸⁸⁵ Exhibit B371-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 57.

⁸⁸⁶ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 139.

⁸⁸⁷ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 127.

⁸⁸⁸ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 133.

⁸⁸⁹ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 128.

5052 Coastal giant salamander⁸⁹⁰ and spotted owl.⁸⁹¹ For those wildlife species that will not have a
5053 stand-alone mitigation plan, Trans Mountain will update the mitigation measures presented in the
5054 Pipeline EPP, as well as wildlife-related contingency plans. The mitigation measures for wildlife
5055 and wildlife habitat are also accounted for and provided on the Environmental Alignment Sheets
5056 prepared for the Project. Trans Mountain will develop beneficial management practices to avoid
5057 impacts to migratory birds, and attention will be given to areas identified as having particularly
5058 high habitat value for migratory birds such as the Douglas Lake Plateau Important Bird Area.

5059 Trans Mountain is committed to working with federal and provincial regulatory authorities and
5060 other stakeholders to refine and optimize mitigation measures, as well as monitoring programs for
5061 select species. Trans Mountain has committed to collaborate with federal and provincial regulatory
5062 authorities, Aboriginal communities, non-governmental environmental organizations and
5063 universities to support programs to monitor and conserve species at risk that could be affected by
5064 Project activities, conduct construction and operations monitoring for agreed to species at risk,
5065 including monitoring of activity levels in known and predicted high quality habitat, using the
5066 appropriate survey methods, and where the effectiveness of proposed mitigation or compensation
5067 is uncertain, commit to a monitoring program to evaluate the effectiveness of the implemented
5068 measures.

5069 At the Westridge Marine Terminal, Trans Mountain has committed to implementing the following
5070 mitigation measures to reduce potential effects from artificial lighting on marine birds:

5071 (a) Prevent sky-lighting which may lead to bird disorientation/collisions, where feasible, by:
5072 using low level and low intensity lighting; using no lighting in areas where no work is

⁸⁹⁰ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 142.

⁸⁹¹ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 137.

5073 planned; using downturned shaded fixtures in light standards; and using a higher
5074 lumen/watt (light out to power in) ratio, such as metal halide lighting.

5075 (b) Report during construction all bird strikes/collisions “that occur during construction”
5076 immediately to Trans Mountain’s Lead Activity Inspector and the Environmental
5077 Inspector. Bird strikes/collisions during operations will be reported to KMC Operations
5078 Supervisor.⁸⁹²

5079 Intervenors raised concerns about the potential effects of the Project on species at risk and their
5080 habitat.⁸⁹³ Trans Mountain is committed to implementing mitigation to avoid or reduce the
5081 Project’s potential effects. Trans Mountain will use the information gathered during field studies,
5082 along with targeted, site-specific pre-construction field studies, to inform the design and
5083 implementation of mitigation. In addition, during the ongoing Project planning and design phase,
5084 Trans Mountain has continued to consult with Environment Canada and provincial regulatory
5085 authorities regarding refined critical habitat mapping and attributes of critical habitat. This
5086 information, along with field survey information, will be used to determine overlap of the Project
5087 Footprint with critical habitat and allow for design modifications (e.g., micro-routing) to avoid or
5088 reduce Project impacts to critical habitat.⁸⁹⁴

5089 The City of New Westminster and Metro Vancouver raised concerns regarding the potential
5090 adverse effects of noise disturbance on wildlife, specifically noise from the proposed HDD around

⁸⁹² Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 241.

⁸⁹³ Exhibit C74-11-4 - Evidence of Elaine Golds Port Moody (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Q7](#)); Exhibit C70-3-2 - City of Coquitlam Summary of Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0I9](#)); Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)); Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)); Exhibit C231-2-1 - MNBC TMX Submission Final (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2H2](#)); Exhibit C288-16-1 - TMX3 Written evidence from Pro Information Pro Environment United People Network (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0Q5](#)).

⁸⁹⁴ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 38-1.

5091 the Brunette River section of the Project.⁸⁹⁵ Trans Mountain has committed to implementing
5092 mitigation to comply with appropriate regulatory guidelines related to noise during construction
5093 and operation of facilities, and avoiding sensitive timing windows for wildlife, to the extent
5094 feasible. Trans Mountain is planning to schedule construction activities outside of sensitive timing
5095 windows for wildlife and other environmental and social elements. Furthermore, as construction
5096 planning for the Project progresses, noise modelling maps are being developed to depict noise
5097 levels and noise attenuation from Project construction into surrounding residential, recreational
5098 (including the Brunette River watershed) and business areas. The Noise Management Plan will use
5099 the result of the noise modelling to identify noise reduction requirements and measures at specific
5100 locations. The Noise Management Plan will also incorporate the components of Draft Condition
5101 No. 63 (Pipeline EPP) and No. 96 (Tunnel Construction Noise Management Plan for Burnaby
5102 Mountain). Trans Mountain is confident that the effects of noise at sensitive receptors will be
5103 limited to the greatest extent possible and that its monitoring will verify the effectiveness of the
5104 controls and allow for augmentation of the controls if necessary.⁸⁹⁶

5105 LNIB raised concerns regarding the sustainability of mule deer and moose populations in the
5106 Nicola River valley. In the Application, Trans Mountain described the potential effects of the
5107 Project on ungulates and in particular moose, which was identified as an indicator to focus the
5108 assessment.⁸⁹⁷ Trans Mountain's evidence is that the proposed pipeline corridor in the LNIB
5109 traditional territory is located primarily in areas that are affected by urban and rural settlements,
5110 agriculture, forestry, and transportation activities. The proposed pipeline corridor crosses the

⁸⁹⁵ Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)); Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)).

⁸⁹⁶ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 38-2 - 38-3.

⁸⁹⁷ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-220 – 7-221.

5111 Ungulate Winter Range u-3-003 for approximately 39.2 km, of which approximately 26 km (66
5112 per cent) parallels the existing TMPL and other existing pipeline right-of-ways. Portions of the
5113 remaining 13.2 km parallel other existing disturbances (e.g., roads and highways). As a result, the
5114 Project avoids the larger, more intact patches of habitat delineated within Ungulate Winter Range
5115 u-3-003. Trans Mountain submits that routing the Project within and adjacent to existing corridors
5116 and disturbances reduces the Project's effects on ungulates.⁸⁹⁸

5117 The Métis Nation of B.C. and Environment Canada raised concerns about the lack of information
5118 provided for bats.⁸⁹⁹ Trans Mountain is completing work to identify rock features (e.g., cliffs,
5119 crevices, caves) within the pipeline corridor that have the potential to support bats. In the event
5120 that disturbance to a rock feature with the potential to support bats is identified, Trans Mountain
5121 will contact the appropriate regulatory agency to discuss whether further survey work is needed.
5122 Trans Mountain has committed to searching for bat roost trees during the period when maternity
5123 roosts are active. In the event an active roost tree is found, a protective buffer will be implemented
5124 based on consultation with provincial regulators.⁹⁰⁰

5125 Environment Canada recommended that specific surveys for swifts and swallows be completed
5126 prior to clearing activity in areas where construction would coincide with high suitability habitat
5127 for these species.⁹⁰¹ Trans Mountain has previously stated that in the event an active colony/nest
5128 is found, it will be subject to site-specific mitigation measures that may include a protective buffer

⁸⁹⁸ Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 38 – Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 38-4.

⁸⁹⁹ Exhibit C231-2-1 - MNBC TMX Submission Final (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2H2](#)); Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 14.

⁹⁰⁰ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 48 – Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 38-4; Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 3.

⁹⁰¹ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 34.

5129 and/or non-intrusive monitoring. Trans Mountain has committed to reviewing and identifying
5130 active colonies that may be affected by construction activities in areas with high suitability habitat
5131 for swifts and swallows to ensure appropriate mitigation is implemented.⁹⁰²

5132 In its evidence, Environment Canada raised concerns regarding habitat
5133 loss/alteration/fragmentation and disturbance to migratory birds arising from construction
5134 operation activities in the Douglas Lake Plateau and Burrard Inlet Important Bird Areas (“IBA”),
5135 as well as other areas (e.g., Lac Du Bois Grasslands Protected Areas).⁹⁰³ Trans Mountain submits
5136 that it is reviewing Project scheduling and acknowledges the importance of priority habitat areas
5137 for migratory birds such as the Douglas Lake Plateau IBA. Trans Mountain is committed to
5138 scheduling clearing and construction to avoid sensitive time periods for migratory birds,
5139 specifically in priority habitat areas. In the event this cannot be achieved (e.g., given the duration
5140 of construction activity), pre-clearing outside of sensitive periods will be completed.⁹⁰⁴

5141 Environment Canada recommended in its evidence that pre and post construction surveys within
5142 priority habitat areas (such as IBAs) be completed in order to establish a robust baseline for
5143 predicting potential impacts, verifying the accuracy of predicted impacts, managing potential
5144 cumulative effects and applying the results in support of mitigation and monitoring.⁹⁰⁵ Trans
5145 Mountain has conducted numerous baseline surveys to date for migratory birds within the Douglas

⁹⁰² Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 8.

⁹⁰³ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 35.

⁹⁰⁴ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 9.

⁹⁰⁵ Exhibit C121-3-1 – EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)), 36.

5146 Lake Plateau IBA.⁹⁰⁶ Trans Mountain submits that the baseline data collected within the Douglas
5147 Lake Plateau IBA to date is sufficient to inform appropriate mitigation design and implementation.
5148 In addition, select surveys for migratory birds and bird habitat features will be incorporated into
5149 the PCEM Program, using methods similar to those used for the baseline surveys. Surveys will be
5150 completed at select locations identified as priority locations by regulatory authorities, or locations
5151 identified as having high species diversity or density. Post-construction migratory bird surveys
5152 will also be completed in conjunction with the PCEM Program to evaluate wetland habitat function
5153 to determine the success of wetland mitigation and reclamation. Trans Mountain submits that the
5154 baseline and post-construction surveys proposed will ensure the Project-specific residual effects
5155 and contribution to cumulative effects are appropriately managed.⁹⁰⁷

5156 The residual environmental effects of Project construction and operations on wildlife and wildlife
5157 habitat indicators are concluded to be not significant.⁹⁰⁸

5158 **7.2.1.11.2 Marine Mammals**

5159 For harbour seals, only one residual effect of high probability was identified (i.e., sensory
5160 disturbance of harbour seals or other marine mammals due to underwater noise produced during
5161 pile driving or dredging).⁹⁰⁹ Therefore, the combined potential residual effects from Westridge

⁹⁰⁶ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 9.

⁹⁰⁷ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), 10.

⁹⁰⁸ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1Q9](#)), 7-314.

⁹⁰⁹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 234.

5162 Marine Terminal construction and operations on harbour seals were determined to be not
5163 significant.⁹¹⁰

5164 Intervenors questioned the potential for implementing construction-related mitigation measures
5165 for Project related effects on marine mammals.⁹¹¹ Trans Mountain outlined its framework
5166 mitigation plan for marine mammals during construction of the Westridge Marine Terminal in its
5167 MMPP.⁹¹² DFO's written evidence was supportive of the proposed mitigation measures. It stated:
5168 "DFO is of the view that the implementation of mitigation measures specific to pile driving
5169 activities, e.g., deployment of bubble curtains and acoustic monitoring via hydrophone, will largely
5170 mitigate the residual effects of construction-related underwater noise on marine mammals. The
5171 use of trained marine mammal observers to halt works in the event that acoustically sensitive
5172 marine mammals are observed should further reduce the potential residual effects on marine
5173 mammals. The construction-related mitigation measures proposed in the MMMP framework are
5174 standard measures that are technically feasible and have successfully been implemented previously
5175 in other marine development projects."⁹¹³

5176 **7.2.1.12 Accidents and Malfunctions (Pipelines and Facilities)**

5177 Oil sands derived products have been safely transported via the TMPL for decades and accidents
5178 and malfunctions are predicted to be unlikely for the Project. Nonetheless, Trans Mountain
5179 recognizes the necessity in evaluating the potential consequences of a spill so that emergency
5180 response and contingency planning can be completed to mitigate the risk.

⁹¹⁰ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 234-235.

⁹¹¹ Exhibit C231-2-1 – MNBC TMX Submission Final (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2H2](#)).

⁹¹² Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)).

⁹¹³ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)).

5181 Trans Mountain completed a Pipeline Ecological Risk Assessment (“Pipeline ERA”) to assess the
5182 spill-related environmental effects that could result from a large oil spill at almost any location
5183 along the proposed corridor, including those that could affect smaller streams.⁹¹⁴ The information
5184 provided in the Pipeline ERA is based on effects and documents from past spills and credible
5185 worst-case pipeline spill scenarios modelled to provide a detailed evaluation of potential ecological
5186 and human health consequences.

5187 Metro Vancouver asserted that Trans Mountain’s risk assessment approach was “largely subjective
5188 and poorly validated.”⁹¹⁵ Despite Metro Vancouver’s assertion, the risk assessment approach used
5189 by Trans Mountain followed Environment Canada’s standard risk assessment methodology and:

- 5190 (a) provides detailed chemical characterization of a representative diluted bitumen product;
- 5191 (b) develops a rationale for the selection of representative hypothetical spill locations and
5192 scenarios, with descriptions of those locations including information on seasonal
5193 variability;
- 5194 (c) describes a wide range of potential ecological receptors and resources that could be at risk
5195 in the event of an oil spill;
- 5196 (d) identifies credible exposure pathways and a conceptual site model for exposure of
5197 ecological receptors to spilled crude oil;
- 5198 (e) reviews the fate and behaviour of spilled oil in freshwater environments, including the
5199 potential for oil-mineral aggregate formation;
- 5200 (f) describes nine individual case studies of actual crude oil spills into relevant freshwater and
5201 riparian environments; and

⁹¹⁴ Exhibit B18-2 – V7 5.2.8.3 F5.2.5 TO 10.0 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V6](#)).

⁹¹⁵ Exhibit C234-11-2 - Revised - Exhibit 30, Support of Environmental Evidence Zoetica 2015 (June 24, 2015) ([A4Q9L9](#)).

5202 (g) describes the fate of spilled crude oils, including diluted bitumen and synthetic oil from
5203 Alberta sources, and modelling studies carried out for the Enbridge Northern Gateway
5204 project.⁹¹⁶

5205 Trans Mountain determined that the most-credible worst-case scenario involves a full-bore
5206 rupture, followed by drain-down to the fullest extent possible, given the elevation profile and valve
5207 configuration.⁹¹⁷ A series of multi-layered conservative assumptions are included in this type of
5208 spill scenario, including a ten minute period before pump shutdown occurs. Trans Mountain did
5209 not account for any potential response or intervention, or of any attenuation of volumes prior to
5210 reaching a high consequence area, such as a large river that subsequently transports oil
5211 downstream.⁹¹⁸ In this respect, the volumes modelled are extremely conservative to ensure that
5212 effects are not understated.

5213 Trans Mountain commissioned an independent outflow analysis based on preliminary valve
5214 spacing to quantify the oil volume that would be released in the event of a spill incident at four
5215 representative locations (Athabasca River, North Thompson River, Lower Fraser River and Lower
5216 Fraser River-Port Mann Bridge). These locations were selected to:

- 5217 (a) reflect areas of expressed concern by Aboriginal groups or the general public;
- 5218 (b) support evaluation of potential effects to traditional use, other human use or infrastructure;
- 5219 (c) support evaluation of potential effects to environmentally sensitive resources (e.g., salmon
5220 spawning grounds);

⁹¹⁶ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 46-15-46-16.

⁹¹⁷ Exhibit B418-6 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.07 – Reply to Assessment of Oil Spill Risks TMEP (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K4](#)), 33.

⁹¹⁸ Exhibit B418-6 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.07 – Reply to Assessment of Oil Spill Risks TMEP (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K4](#)), 9.

5221 (d) be close to a large river so that a large spill volume could credibly enter the river; and
5222 (e) represent the range of watercourse types found along the pipeline corridor.⁹¹⁹

5223 The outflow analysis was used as input into overland and stream models to predict overland spill
5224 trajectories, which in turn were used to assess the ecological effects of the four representative
5225 hypothetical pipeline spill scenarios.⁹²⁰

5226 The Gunton and Broadbent Report concludes that Trans Mountain's scientific modelling and
5227 assessment of ecological risks does not comply with environmental assessment and risk
5228 assessment standards of practice or legal requirements.⁹²¹ This is incorrect. Trans Mountain
5229 submits that the Pipeline ERA meets standard risk assessment practice and legal requirements. In
5230 addition, the Gunton and Broadbent Report either discounts or ignores the various updates and
5231 refinements provided to the public domain resulting from the extensive process undertaken
5232 through the NEB review process.⁹²²

5233 Trans Mountain recognizes that assessment practitioners and intervenors may favour alternative
5234 risk assessment methodologies but maintains that its assessment of pipeline accident and
5235 malfunctions follows the NEB's guidance on the issue, meets the legal and regulatory requirements
5236 of CEAA 2012 and provides a conservative assessment of the real risks associated with a spill.

⁹¹⁹ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 28 – Environmental Assessment Methods (August 20, 2015), ([A4S7E9](#)) 28-4.

⁹²⁰ Exhibit B418-6 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.07 – Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Tsawout First Nation, Upper Nicola Indian Band “An Assessment of Oil Spill Risks for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K4](#)), 9.

⁹²¹ Exhibit C355-15-27 – Tsawout First Nation Expert Report. An Assessment of Spill Risk for the TMEP (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1G5](#)); Exhibit C358-13-15 – Vol 15 Tab 4A Appendix 1 Assessment of Spill Risk Report (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A6](#)); Exhibit C363-21-22 – Upper Nicola Band Expert Report. An Assessment of Spill Risk for the TMEP (00250905 x C6E53) (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1T7](#))

⁹²² Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60 – Marine Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-27.

5237 The Pipeline ERA evaluated potential acute and chronic environmental effects to different groups
5238 of ecological receptors that might be exposed to spilled oil as a result of their habitats and life
5239 cycles.⁹²³ This includes various aquatic organisms and wildlife over the range of watercourses and
5240 flow conditions traversed by the Project.

5241 Contrary to the assertions of intervenors, studies that focus on individually assessing every
5242 receptor that may be potentially affected by a hypothetical spill are not practical or necessary.⁹²⁴
5243 Trans Mountain's evaluation of spill-related effects on broad habitat and sensitive species groups
5244 was reasonable because it focused on ecological receptors that are more sensitive to hydrocarbon
5245 exposure and are representative of the potential effects to other groups.⁹²⁵ The Pipeline ERA
5246 concluded that credible worst-case spills could have medium to high magnitude ecological effects,
5247 but that these effects would be reversible. Evidence from actual case studies showed that
5248 freshwater ecosystems recover from oil spills, often within relatively short periods of time. A
5249 smaller spill confined to land would be unlikely to result in negative effects on Aboriginal and
5250 recreational fisheries.

5251 Squamish Nation submitted evidence related to the uncertainty of the fate and behaviour of crude
5252 oil spills in freshwater.⁹²⁶ Much of this argument relies on the intervenor's own assessment of
5253 knowledge gaps and uncertainty, including the potential for diluted bitumen to sink, the physical
5254 and chemical differences between diluted bitumen and conventional oil, and resultant toxic effects

⁹²³ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 46-15.

⁹²⁴ Exhibit C309-1 – Geoffrey Senichenko Intervenor Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6Q9](#)); Exhibit C72-5-2 - City of New Westminster Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0L5](#)).

⁹²⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 46-15.

⁹²⁶ Exhibit C319-26-6 – 4. Potential Effects of Diluted Bitumen Spills on Salmonid Species Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7E7](#)).

5255 to fish and other aquatic biota.⁹²⁷ Trans Mountain addresses this expressed uncertainty about the
5256 fate and behaviour of diluted bitumen at length in Section 7.2.2.9 - Oil Spills Resulting from
5257 Marine Incidents of this final argument.⁹²⁸ Recent studies have added to the growing body of
5258 evidence that identifies how the physical and chemical properties of diluted bitumen are similar to
5259 those of heavy conventional crude oils, which do not readily disperse into the water column.⁹²⁹

5260 The discussion in the Mark West Report surrounding the potential health effects that could be
5261 experienced by individuals in the unlikely event of an oil spill near their communities is deficient
5262 in several respects. The report: (i) models hypothetical vapour plumes on land using a program
5263 designed to simulate spills on water surfaces; (ii) discusses the fate and behaviour of products that
5264 are less likely to be transported by Line 2; (iii) does not consider the nature and extent of health
5265 effects according to dosage and individual exposure; (iv) does not distinguish between short and
5266 long term effects; and (v) identifies effects associated with chronic exposure to benzene or THC
5267 vapours despite their quick dispersion rates.⁹³⁰ Due to these weaknesses, the report provides no
5268 clear indication of the potential health effects that could be experienced in the unlikely event of an
5269 oil spill.

5270 In comparison, the Human Health Risk Assessment of Pipeline Spill Scenarios Technical Report⁹³¹
5271 (“Pipeline HHRA”) filed by Trans Mountain is a more complete, picture of the nature and extent

⁹²⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 46-16.

⁹²⁸ See section 7.2.2.10.3- Risk Modelling- Probability and Credible Worst Case Scenario and section 7.2.2.10- Oil Spills Resulting from Marine Accidents.

⁹²⁹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 46-5 – 46-8.

⁹³⁰ Exhibit B418-14 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.15 – Reply to Shxw’ōwhámel First Nation “Mark West Spill Risk Assessment Report” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L2](#)), 1, 4-6, 20-21.

⁹³¹ Exhibit B88-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Surrey Teachers IR No. 1.5a Attachment 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6U1](#)).

5272 to which the health of First Nation members and the general public may be affected by an oil
5273 spill.⁹³² Accounting for varying exposures to both spilled oil and vapours, the Pipeline HHRA
5274 concludes that there is no obvious indication that the health of First Nations or the general public
5275 would be seriously affected by acute inhalation exposure to the chemical vapours released by
5276 pooled oil during the early stages of a spill.⁹³³ Though discomforting and annoying, health effects
5277 that could be experienced by people in the area would be confined to minor, transient sensory
5278 and/or non-sensory effects.⁹³⁴ The arrival of first responders and the implementation of the
5279 emergency response measures discussed in Section 4 - Emergency Response of this final argument
5280 will serve to minimize transient health effects.

5281 Trans Mountain submits that the spill-related environmental effects that could result from a large
5282 oil spill at almost any location along the proposed corridor have been adequately assessed. Based
5283 on the findings of the ESA, the probability of a significant residual environmental effect arising
5284 from accidents and malfunctions as a result of the construction and operations of the Project is
5285 low.

5286 **7.2.1.13 Summary of Environmental Effects of the Pipeline and Facilities**

5287 Trans Mountain has demonstrated in the ESA that the potential adverse environmental effects of
5288 the pipeline and other Project facilities will be reduced or eliminated by way of general and site-
5289 specific mitigation measures based upon current industry-accepted standards, consultation with

⁹³² Exhibit B418-14 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.15 – Reply to Shxw’ōwhámel First Nation
“Mark West Spill Risk Assessment Report” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L2](#)), 9.

⁹³³ Exhibit B88-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Surrey Teachers IR No. 1.5a Attachment 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6U1](#)).

⁹³⁴ Exhibit B418-14 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.15 – Reply to Shxw’ōwhámel First Nation
“Mark West Spill Risk Assessment Report” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L2](#)), 9.

5290 regulatory authorities, interested groups and individuals, engagement with Aboriginal groups and
5291 the professional judgment of the assessment team.

5292 The ESA concluded that the proposed pipeline and associated facilities (e.g., pump stations,
5293 terminals, Westridge Marine Terminal) will not likely result in significant adverse environmental
5294 effects on any element or indicator.⁹³⁵ None of the intervenors have filed evidence that affects
5295 that conclusion.

5296 **7.2.2 Increased Marine Shipping to and from the Westridge Marine Terminal**

5297 Following the release of the List of Issues⁹³⁶ the Board made it clear that although the increased
5298 marine shipping to and from the Westridge Marine Terminal is not part of the Project, the potential
5299 environmental and socio-economic effects of those marine shipping activities, including the
5300 potential effects of accidents or malfunctions that may occur, are relevant to the Board's
5301 consideration of the Application.⁹³⁷ As a result, the Board provided a detailed list of filing
5302 requirements that it directed Trans Mountain to include with the Application relating to the
5303 potential environmental and socio-economic effects of increased marine shipping activities.⁹³⁸

5304 Based on the Board's direction, Trans Mountain completed an extensive and comprehensive
5305 marine ESA in order to provide the Board and all stakeholders with a better understanding of the

⁹³⁵ Exhibit B5-21 - V5A ESA 13of16 BIOPHYSICAL - (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R0](#)), 7-542 – 7-588.

⁹³⁶ Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

⁹³⁷ NEB - Letter and Filing Requirements to Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Related to the Potential Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects of Increased Marine Shipping Activities - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (September 10, 2013) ([A53984](#)).

⁹³⁸ NEB Letter and filing requirements to Trans Mountain - Related to the Potential Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects of Increased Marine Shipping Activities - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (September 10, 2013) ([A53984](#)).

5306 potential effects of Project-related increases in marine traffic.⁹³⁹ The marine ESA provides the
5307 Board with the information necessary to understand the environmental and socio-economic effects
5308 resulting from the Project-related increase in marine traffic from the geographic area extending
5309 between the Westridge Marine Terminal and a location known as “Buoy J” (i.e., the 12 mile
5310 nautical territorial limit) at the entrance to the Strait of Juan de Fuca, covering the internationally
5311 established shipping lanes and the waters and lands closely adjoining these lanes.⁹⁴⁰

5312 It should be noted that marine shipping is ultimately regulated by both PMV within its geographic
5313 jurisdiction and by Transport Canada, not the NEB. Although the Filing Manual does not provide
5314 guidance for assessing marine transportation effects downstream of a pipeline, the general outline
5315 of the marine ESA followed the guidance set out in the Filing Manual for project-specific effects
5316 assessments to maintain consistency with the terrestrial ESA.⁹⁴¹ Trans Mountain’s marine ESA
5317 employed the same methodology as the terrestrial ESA to meet the requirements of both the NEB
5318 Filing Manual and section 19(1) of the CEEA 2012.

5319 For each element in the marine ESA, environmental or socio-economic boundaries were
5320 individually determined by the distribution, movement patterns and potential zones of interaction
5321 between an element and the Project.⁹⁴² Within the marine ESA, two main spatial boundaries were
5322 considered: (i) the Marine LSA which includes the inbound and outbound marine shipping lanes,
5323 the area between the shipping lanes, where it exists, and a two km buffer extending from the

⁹³⁹ Trans Mountain’s marine ESA is largely supported by, and relies on, Volume 8B of the Application which contains the Technical Reports developed in support of the ESA and Volume 8C of the Application which contains the TERMPOL Study Reports and all of the technical reports prepared in support of the TERMPOL process.

⁹⁴⁰ Exhibit B18-19 - V8A 1.0 TO 1.4.2.6 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X3](#)), 8A-34-8A-35.

⁹⁴¹ Exhibit B18-21 - V8A 4.1.1 F4.1.1 TO T4.2.1.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X5](#)), 8A-92.

⁹⁴² Exhibit B18-21 - V8A 4.1.1 F4.1.1 TO T4.2.1.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X5](#)), 8A-94.

5324 outermost edge of each shipping lane; and (ii) the Marine RSA which is comprised of a large
5325 portion of the Salish Sea, including the inland marine waters of the southern Strait of Georgia and
5326 Juan de Fuca Strait and their connecting channels, passes and straits. Individual spatial boundaries
5327 were established for marine birds (Marine Birds LSA, a one km buffer around the shipping lanes),
5328 marine air quality (Marine Air Quality RSA, a 150 km x 150 km area; and Lower Fraser Valley
5329 Photochemical Model Domain, a 412 km × 688 km area) and human health (Human Health Risk
5330 Assessment LSA, a 5 km buffer around the shipping lanes).⁹⁴³

5331 **7.2.2.1 Marine Sediment and Water Quality**

5332 There are two main ways contaminants associated with routine marine vessel transportation can
5333 be released into the marine environment: release of bilge water and erosion of marine paints.⁹⁴⁴
5334 Bilge water and marine paints are well-known historical sources of contaminants. In response, the
5335 federal government has taken steps to mitigate any adverse effects related to these marine
5336 contaminants; through, the *Vessel Pollution and Dangerous Chemicals Regulations*.⁹⁴⁵ These
5337 regulations, together with pollution prevention provisions of the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and
5338 the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships⁹⁴⁶ (“MARPOL”), restrict
5339 harmful effects on marine water and sediment quality by Project-related marine vessels during
5340 marine transportation operations. While Trans Mountain has no authority over these vessels once
5341 they have departed the Westridge Marine Terminal, the responsible regulatory authorities have
5342 broad powers to ensure that all applicable marine laws and regulations are being complied with.

⁹⁴³ Exhibit B18-21 - V8A 4.1.1 F4.1.1 TO T4.2.1.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X5](#)), 8A-98 - 8A - 99.

⁹⁴⁴ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-247.

⁹⁴⁵ See *Vessel Pollution and Dangerous Chemicals Regulations*, SOR/2012-69.

⁹⁴⁶ International Maritime Organization, *International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL)*. In Canada, MARPOL is enforced through the *Vessel Pollution and Dangerous Chemicals Regulations* (annexed to the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*).

5343 The Board can be confident that based on the legislation governing potential sources of
5344 contaminants from marine vessels, the effects of Project-related marine vessel traffic on marine
5345 water and sediment quality will be minimal.

5346 **7.2.2.2 Marine Air Emissions**

5347 Marine air emissions can be linked to two aspects of the Project. The first source of marine air
5348 emissions comes from the combustion of fuel in the tanker engines. When the vessel combusts
5349 fuel to power the engines, Criteria Air Contaminants (“CACs”) are released into the environment.
5350 The second source of marine air emissions is VOCs that may be released into the atmosphere from
5351 evaporative losses of product from tanker holds and incomplete combustion of fuel.⁹⁴⁷ These
5352 emissions are inherent in the operation of marine vessels and will occur as a result of the Project.

5353 Several intervenors raised concerns that the release of CACs and VOCs will have a negative impact
5354 on the ambient air quality. In addition, marine air emissions could reduce visibility within the
5355 shipping channel.⁹⁴⁸ Trans Mountain thoroughly assessed emissions of CACs and VOCs⁹⁴⁹ and
5356 concluded that, even though marine emissions are expected to change ambient concentrations
5357 intermittently when tankers and tugs travel through the Marine Air Quality RSA, the maximum
5358 predicted concentrations did not exceed any applicable ambient air quality objectives due to the
5359 Project contribution. Trans Mountain committed to update the photochemical modelling
5360 (presented in the December 2013 submission)⁹⁵⁰ of potential impacts of the Project on ozone,

⁹⁴⁷ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-249.

⁹⁴⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-253.

⁹⁴⁹ Exhibit B290-45 – Part 3 Marine AQ Supp Technical Report 2 Pt01 (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5H8](#)), iii.

⁹⁵⁰ Exhibit B6-12 - V5C TR 5C4 04of8 AIR GHG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1U3](#)); Exhibit B6-13 - V5C TR 5C4 05of8 AIR GHG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1U4](#)).

5361 photochemical PM_{2.5} and visibility in the Lower Fraser Valley and filed the results of the updated
5362 modelling.⁹⁵¹

5363 On March 26, 2010 the International Maritime Organization officially designated the North
5364 American Emission Control Area, bringing in stricter requirements to control ship emissions.
5365 Under this legislation, emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and sulphur oxides (SO_x and PM_{2.5})
5366 are expected to decrease within the ECA, which extends approximately 200 nautical miles off the
5367 Pacific Coast. Specifically, the maximum sulphur content in fuel oils within ECA decreased to 0.1
5368 per cent starting January 1, 2015. For non-large vessels (less than or equal to 30,000 cc), the
5369 maximum sulphur content in fuel oils within ECA was set to 0.0015 per cent starting from June 1,
5370 2012.

5371 Benefits of coming into force of future regulations such as International Maritime Organization
5372 NO_x Tier III regulations and programs and initiatives such as the Energy Efficiency Design Index
5373 and the Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan will take a phased in approach and will be on
5374 top of any mitigation measures that were accounted for in the modelling. All new vessels will be
5375 required to meet all applicable local and international regulations. The predicted NO_x results, for
5376 example, are expected to be less than the Project-related results reported as the benefits of Energy
5377 Efficiency Design Index and Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan would be felt.

⁹⁵¹ Exhibit B331 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Response to Fraser Valley Regional District Notice of Motion regarding IR Round 2 responses (March 12, 2015) ([A68647](#)); Exhibit B141-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Metro Vancouver IR No 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2V0](#)), 95; Exhibit B344-2 - Response to Metro Vancouver IR No. 2 Notice of Motion (March 12, 2015) ([A4J5G9](#)); Exhibit B129 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – 2014-06-18 Response to Information Request from Government of Canada – Environment Canada Round 1 Part 2 (June 16, 2014) ([A61134](#)); Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Air Quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)); Exhibit B417-39 - Appendix 33C – Updated Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Photochemical Modelling for the TMEP ([A4S7I6](#)).

5378 While, Trans Mountain is not responsible for vessel operations, all marine vessels will need to
5379 meet regulatory standards established by the International Maritime Organization as part of the
5380 North American Emission Control Area.⁹⁵² The Board can be confident that there are no further
5381 mitigation measures warranted for the marine air emissions element.⁹⁵³

5382 The ESA concluded that the residual environmental effects of increased Project-related marine
5383 vessel traffic on marine air emissions will be not significant.⁹⁵⁴

5384 **7.2.2.3 Marine GHG Emissions**

5385 While Trans Mountain does not own or operate the marine vessels associated with existing or
5386 proposed operations, Trans Mountain has committed to enforcing its tanker acceptance criteria.
5387 The tanker acceptance criteria require tankers and barges to be equipped and maintained in
5388 accordance with international and federal regulations and operated to best practices. The tanker
5389 acceptance criteria also require Project-related tankers and barges to carry an International Air
5390 Pollution Prevention Certificate as well as Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan. The
5391 International Air Pollution Certificate ensures that vessels meet requirements set by MARPOL
5392 Annex VI with respect to reducing possible sources of air pollution. The Ship Energy Efficiency
5393 Management Plan will instruct the vessel operators on how to operate in the most energy efficient
5394 manner, which will result in a reduction of emissions.⁹⁵⁵

⁹⁵² Vancouver is within the North American Emissions Control Area (as are Seattle, San Francisco and Los Angeles) which applies stringent engine emission standards and fuel sulfur limits to all ships entering or plying within 200 miles of the B.C. coast. Mandated further improvement in fuel standards take effect in 2012, 2015 and 2016, which period straddles the Project's coming into operation schedule.

⁹⁵³ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-252.

⁹⁵⁴ Exhibit B290-45 – Part 3 Marine AQ Supp Technical Report 2 Pt01 (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5H8](#)), 2.

⁹⁵⁵ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 221.

5395 In addition to Trans Mountain's tanker acceptance criteria, all vessels will have to adhere to
5396 stringent federal requirements regarding vessel pollution and diesel fuel regulations.⁹⁵⁶ Vessels
5397 constructed after June 30, 2013 will also have to meet the International Maritime Organization's
5398 new energy efficiency standards.⁹⁵⁷

5399 Trans Mountain is confident that the mechanisms already in force, coupled with the mitigation
5400 discussed above, will ensure that marine GHG emissions will meet acceptable levels. The Board
5401 can rely on the strict federal and international laws and regulations governing GHG emissions for
5402 marine vessels as the vessel operators must follow these laws.

5403 The ESA concluded that the residual environmental effects of increased Project-related marine
5404 vessel traffic on marine GHG emissions will not be significant.⁹⁵⁸

5405 **7.2.2.4 Marine Acoustic Environment (Atmosphere)**

5406 Trans Mountain considered the potential for sound levels in the atmospheric acoustic environment
5407 to change due to increased Project-related marine vessel traffic.⁹⁵⁹ The Project will result in an
5408 increase in mooring and departure at the Westridge Marine Terminal, which will create engine
5409 noise that may affect some people onshore. In addition, there is the potential for increased noise
5410 related to horns used in specific weather conditions or as part of normal navigation.

5411 To manage the increase in atmospheric sound levels, Trans Mountain has committed to ensuring
5412 that all Project-related tankers and tugboats are fitted with exhaust silencers similar to those

⁹⁵⁶ See *Vessel Pollution and Dangerous Chemicals Regulations*, SOR/2012-69; and *Sulphur in Diesel Fuel Regulations*, SOR/2002-254.

⁹⁵⁷ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-266.

⁹⁵⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-271; Exhibit B290-44 – Part 3 Cover Letter Marine AQ (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5H7](#)).

⁹⁵⁹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-272.

5413 already in place. This will limit the sound emitted by all vessels passing through the Marine RSA
5414 and calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁹⁶⁰ While Trans Mountain cannot eliminate sound
5415 from singular events such as horns, Trans Mountain will encourage vessel operators to follow best
5416 practices that consider nuisance effects from such activities and attempt to reduce or eliminate
5417 those nuisance effects to the greatest extent possible.

5418 Based on these commitments, the ESA concluded that the residual environmental effects of
5419 operation activities associated with increased Project-related marine vessel traffic on marine
5420 acoustic environment will be not significant.⁹⁶¹

5421 **7.2.2.5 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat**

5422 Trans Mountain understands that marine fish have high ecological, economic and cultural
5423 importance in B.C. For this reason, Trans Mountain undertook discussions with federal
5424 government agencies, including DFO and PMV to better understand the key issues faced by marine
5425 fish and fish habitat and to minimize or avoid potential effects of the Project in these areas.⁹⁶²

5426 Trans Mountain also undertook numerous Aboriginal engagement and public consultation
5427 activities to obtain feedback on issues related to the Project. These included public open houses,
5428 Marine ESA Workshops and one-on-one meetings.⁹⁶³ Feedback raised through these engagement
5429 and consultation activities contributed to the scoping of the marine fish and fish habitat assessment
5430 and to the development of mitigation measures.

⁹⁶⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-274.

⁹⁶¹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-280.

⁹⁶² Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-280.

⁹⁶³ Exhibit B19-2 - V8B TR 8B1 MAR RESOURCE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4J5](#)), 2.1.

5431 Based on these discussions, Trans Mountain identified three key issues for marine fish and fish
5432 habitat related to marine transportation activities: the potential introduction of invasive species
5433 during discharge of ballast water; the potential for accidental release of contaminated bilge water;
5434 and the potential effects of vessel wake on shoreline habitats and associated biota.⁹⁶⁴

5435 Regarding the first issue, the *Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations*⁹⁶⁵ (“Ballast
5436 Water Regulations”) under the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* strictly regulates the release of ballast
5437 water in Canadian waters for all vessels. The purpose of the Ballast Water Regulations is to protect
5438 waters under Canadian jurisdiction from non-indigenous aquatic organisms and pathogens that can
5439 be harmful to ecosystems by minimizing the probability of introductions of harmful aquatic
5440 organisms and pathogens from ships’ ballast water. The Ballast Water Regulations outline a set of
5441 mandatory procedures for ballast water exchange or treatment prior to discharge in waters under
5442 Canadian jurisdiction. These procedures are based on International Maritime Organization
5443 Guidelines for Ballast Water Management and Development of Ballast Water Management Plans
5444 and the IMO Guidelines for Ballast Water Exchange. All ships entering Canadian waters are
5445 required to exchange ballast water outside the 200 nautical mile limit of Canada’s exclusive
5446 economic zone. Exchange of ballast water in deep ocean areas or open seas lowers the probability
5447 that harmful aquatic organisms and pathogens be transferred in ships’ ballast water. Ships can
5448 choose to treat ballast water before entering Canadian waters instead of exchanging it. Under the
5449 Ballast Water Regulations, treated ballast water must meet the Ballast Water Performance
5450 Standard specified in Regulation D-2 of the International Maritime Organization Regulations for
5451 the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediments.

⁹⁶⁴ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-280.

⁹⁶⁵ SOR/2011-237.

5452 All tankers calling on the Westridge Marine Terminal are required to comply with all federal laws
5453 and legislation regarding ballast water management, including the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and
5454 the Ballast Water Regulations. Compliance with the Ballast Water Regulations will reduce the
5455 likelihood that aquatic invasive species will be introduced during ballast water exchange. This was
5456 confirmed in DFO's written evidence: "[a]lthough Trans Mountain does not own or operate the
5457 vessels that will be calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal these vessels will be required to
5458 comply with the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and the Ballast Water Regulations. Compliance with
5459 these regulations will reduce the risk of introduction of harmful aquatic organisms or pathogens
5460 during ballast water exchanges as is currently the case with commercial shipping vessels berthing
5461 at Canadian ports on the west coast."⁹⁶⁶

5462 Cowichan Tribes submitted a report in its evidence claiming that the Application does not provide
5463 an adequate assessment of the environmental effects of potential ballast water introductions of
5464 marine aquatic invasive species.⁹⁶⁷ This is incorrect. The potential effects of accidental
5465 introductions of aquatic invasive species from ballast water discharges along with an overview of
5466 the federal laws and legislation that are in place to reduce the risk of aquatic invasive species
5467 introductions were discussed in detail in the Application.⁹⁶⁸

5468 Regarding the second concern, the release of contaminated bilge water is illegal in Canadian waters
5469 by any vessel. The vessels calling on the Westridge Marine Terminal are required by law to follow

⁹⁶⁶ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 24.

⁹⁶⁷ Exhibit C86-18-1 - Appendix F Part1 (June 12, 2015) ([A4Q0U9](#)).

⁹⁶⁸ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 54 – Marine Fish and Fish Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 54-1.

5470 the *Vessel Pollution and Dangerous Chemicals Regulations*⁹⁶⁹ made under the *Canada Shipping*
5471 *Act, 2001*. The only way in which contaminated bilge water could be released in Canadian waters
5472 is through an accident or malfunction.⁹⁷⁰ Trans Mountain will accept reputable operators and
5473 encourage compliance with bilge water regulations; however, monitoring and enforcement will be
5474 the responsibility of the responsible authority, Transport Canada.⁹⁷¹ At the Westridge Marine
5475 Terminal, Transport Canada will ensure that all tankers will comply with the *Canada Shipping*
5476 *Act, 2001*.

5477 Furthermore, Trans Mountain, as part of its Tanker Acceptance Standard, will require Project
5478 vessels to not discharge any bilge water while within the territorial waters of Canada (the Marine
5479 RSA).⁹⁷² All tankers nominated to call on the Westridge Marine Terminal will be screened by
5480 Trans Mountain personnel to ensure that they do not have any malfunctions to pollution prevention
5481 equipment or history of non-adherence to provisions of the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and
5482 MARPOL.⁹⁷³ Trans Mountain is confident that the stringent regulations under the *Canada*
5483 *Shipping Act, 2001*, and vessel compliance with the Tanker Acceptance Standards, will ensure that
5484 a release of contaminated bilge and ballast water will not occur in Canadian waters.

5485 Regarding the third issue, vessel wake associated with the transit of Project-related tankers and
5486 tugs has the potential to affect shoreline habitats and associated biota. However, Trans Mountain
5487 found that the predicted wave heights from vessel wake are not expected to be detectable from

⁹⁶⁹ SOR/2012-69.

⁹⁷⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-281.

⁹⁷¹ Exhibit C353-5-2 - TC Evidence Submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7K1](#)), 6.

⁹⁷² Exhibit B112-2 - Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Nature Cda (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 39.

⁹⁷³ Exhibit B112-2 – Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 39.

5488 existing wave conditions along most of the shoreline in the Marine RSA. Specifically, Trans
5489 Mountain's evidence is that wake waves generated by Project-related tankers and tugs transiting
5490 the shipping lanes are predicted to be less than 0.1 m in height at the shoreline—well within the
5491 range of natural wave conditions.⁹⁷⁴ As a result, Trans Mountain determined that no measures are
5492 necessary to mitigate the effects of vessel wake on marine fish and fish habitat.⁹⁷⁵ Regarding vessel
5493 wake, DFO concluded in its evidence that potential effects on intertidal fish habitat from Project-
5494 related vessel wake are unlikely to differ substantially from current conditions in the Marine RSA.
5495 Therefore, DFO considered the likelihood and magnitude of such occurrences to be of low risk to
5496 intertidal habitat and associated biota.⁹⁷⁶

5497 In its written evidence, the Raincoast Conservation Foundation (“Raincoast”) raised concerns that
5498 the Application lacks relevant information regarding fish responses to underwater noise, and that
5499 this may have served to “minimize potential project-related effects.”⁹⁷⁷ Trans Mountain disagrees
5500 with this assertion. The potential effects of underwater noise from Project-related vessels on
5501 marine fish and invertebrates found within the Marine RSA were discussed in the Application.⁹⁷⁸
5502 Trans Mountain provided additional information on the effects of vessel noise on marine fish in
5503 the response to GOC IR No. 2.081.⁹⁷⁹ As stated in the Application, there are few available studies
5504 that have investigated the effects of underwater noise from vessel traffic on marine fish,

⁹⁷⁴ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 246-248

⁹⁷⁵ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-285.

⁹⁷⁶ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 26.

⁹⁷⁷ Exhibit C291-1-2 - Attachment A to written evidence of Raincoast - Evaluation of impacts on Pacific herring and other forage fish - Dr Fox (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9F3](#)).

⁹⁷⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-284.

⁹⁷⁹ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)).

5505 particularly for those species that occur within the Marine RSA. The general consensus in the
5506 literature is that the number and context of the studies is too limited for extrapolation. Due to this
5507 limitation, the potential effects of vessel noise on marine fish were discussed in the Application,
5508 but were not carried forward for detailed assessment. In its written evidence, DFO agreed with this
5509 approach by stating that: “it would be difficult for the Proponent to conduct a detailed effects
5510 assessment on the potential effects of underwater noise on marine fish and invertebrates,” given
5511 that “limited information is available on species-specific behavioural responses of marine fish and
5512 invertebrates to marine vessel noise in the Marine RSA” and that “no Canadian standards or
5513 thresholds have been established for assessing such effects.”⁹⁸⁰

5514 Based on the above, Trans Mountain’s evidence is that the residual environmental effects of
5515 operation activities associated with increased Project-related marine vessel traffic on marine fish
5516 and fish habitat will not be significant.⁹⁸¹

5517 **7.2.2.6 Marine Mammals**

5518 The southern resident killer whale, humpback whale, and Steller sea lion were selected as
5519 indicators to assess the potential effects of the increase in Project-related marine transportation on
5520 marine mammals. All three species are listed under Schedule 1 of SARA.⁹⁸²; southern resident
5521 killer whales are listed as Endangered⁹⁸³, humpback whales are listed as Threatened⁹⁸⁴ and Steller

⁹⁸⁰ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 16 – 17.

⁹⁸¹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-296.

⁹⁸² Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-320, 8A-325, 8A-331.

⁹⁸³ Under SARA, an “endangered species” means a wildlife species that is facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

⁹⁸⁴ Under SARA, a “threatened species” means a wildlife species that is likely to become an endangered species if nothing is done to reverse the factors leading to its extirpation or extinction.

5522 sea lions are listed as Special Concern. A large portion of the Marine RSA has been designated as
5523 critical habitat under SARA for the southern resident killer whales and a small western portion of
5524 the Marine RSA has been identified by DFO as critical habitat for humpback whales.⁹⁸⁵ The
5525 southern resident killer whale, humpback whale and Steller sea lion are each discussed separately
5526 below.

5527 ***Southern Resident Killer Whale***

5528 Trans Mountain understands the need to protect the southern resident killer whale. The population
5529 size of 81 individuals, and the fact that members of this population consistently occupy the Marine
5530 RSA during every month of the year,⁹⁸⁶ means that all reasonable efforts must be made to ensure
5531 that any effects on southern resident killer whales are mitigated to the greatest extent possible.

5532 Trans Mountain found in the ESA that the increase in Project-related marine vessel traffic will
5533 contribute to additional underwater noise to the already existing adverse acoustic conditions in the
5534 Marine RSA. Modelling suggests that this noise will be detectable by marine mammals over
5535 distance and may cause sensory disturbance within four to seven km of the shipping lanes. One of
5536 the primary concerns associated with the effects of acoustic disturbance is that it can interfere with
5537 an animal's ability to communicate and reduce the efficiency and amount of time spent feeding.⁹⁸⁷

5538 The ESA concluded that, given the small size, unstable population trends, Endangered status and
5539 relative importance of this area (i.e., critical habitat) to the southern resident killer whale
5540 population, residual effects associated with increased Project-related marine vessel traffic—while

⁹⁸⁵ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-320, 8A-325.

⁹⁸⁶ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A - 321.

⁹⁸⁷ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A - 322.

5541 small on their own—on southern resident killer whales as well as associated traditional use of the
5542 population are considered to be significant.⁹⁸⁸

5543 As stated above, tankers calling at Westridge Marine Terminal will use the already established,
5544 well-defined, internationally recognised, federally-regulated major traffic route between the PMV
5545 area and the Pacific Ocean—the Project will not result in a new marine transportation route.⁹⁸⁹
5546 The tankers calling at Westridge will increase from approximately five partly laden tankers per
5547 month up to 34 per month.⁹⁹⁰ This equates to 6.6 per cent of total large commercial vessel traffic
5548 volume, compared to 1.1 per cent currently calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal.⁹⁹¹ Project-
5549 related marine vessels will contribute a proportionately small component of the overall marine
5550 transportation sources of underwater noise.

5551 DFO, through the document entitled *Recovery Strategy for Northern and Southern Resident Killer*
5552 *Whale*, and COSEWIC through its *Assessment and Update Status Report on the Killer Whale*, have
5553 determined that the key threats to the southern resident killer whale population include chemical
5554 and biological contaminants, reductions in the availability or quality of prey (primarily Chinook
5555 and chum salmon), and physical and acoustic disturbance.⁹⁹² Among the sources of acoustic
5556 disturbance identified by DFO are “chronic sources such as vessel traffic.”⁹⁹³ A challenge facing
5557 resource managers, regulatory authorities, and those in the maritime community is that the

⁹⁸⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-323 – 8A-325.

⁹⁸⁹ Exhibit B018-20 – V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-67.

⁹⁹⁰ Exhibit B018-20 – V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-68.

⁹⁹¹ Exhibit B018-20 – V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-69.

⁹⁹² Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-321.

⁹⁹³ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-321.

5558 stressors enumerated above can interact and the relative contribution of each stressor is not clear.⁹⁹⁴
5559 There are currently no quantitative Canadian thresholds with respect to assessing sensory
5560 disturbance for marine mammals associated with underwater noise, nor are there recommended
5561 Canadian standards or guidelines with respect to what are appropriate ambient sound levels for
5562 southern resident killer whale critical habitat.⁹⁹⁵

5563 The stressors affecting the southern resident killer whale population will continue to exist with or
5564 without the Project. If the Project proceeds, vessels calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal will
5565 continue to represent a comparatively small proportion of total marine transportation activity in
5566 the Salish Sea. For these reasons, Trans Mountain is not proposing unilateral measures to mitigate
5567 the effects of acoustic disturbance on southern resident killer whales.⁹⁹⁶ Nonetheless, Trans
5568 Mountain is dedicated to working cooperatively with other interested parties and stakeholders to
5569 find solutions to address the adverse effects on southern resident killer whales.

5570 As stated in response to NEB IR 2,⁹⁹⁷ Trans Mountain was not able to identify any technically and
5571 economically feasible mitigation or compensation measures that would offset Project-specific
5572 residual effects of underwater noise from marine vessel traffic on the endangered southern resident
5573 killer whale population, or the associated traditional use of this population. Since the existing
5574 cumulative effects on these indicators are already significant and any further residual effect will
5575 also be significant, Project approval for these two residual effects will require justification under
5576 CEAA 2012. It is important to note that such justification will have to reflect the fact that (i) neither

⁹⁹⁴ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-321.

⁹⁹⁵ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-324.

⁹⁹⁶ Exhibit B32-1 – Trans Mountain Letter NEB IR No. 1 May 1 2014 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H7](#)), 8A-322.

⁹⁹⁷ Exhibit B239-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 154.

5577 Trans Mountain nor the NEB has direct control over marine vessel activity within the southern
5578 resident killer whale critical habitat; (ii) the Project will only slightly increase existing levels of
5579 marine shipping in this area; (iii) the shipping lanes that will be used by Project-related vessels
5580 already exist, are well utilized and are subject to strict regulation by federal authorities; (iv) the
5581 shipping lanes will continue to host marine vessel traffic with or without the Project; (v) the impact
5582 will continue to be significant with or without the project; and (vi) there is no clear solution that
5583 has been identified to alleviate the residual adverse effects mentioned above. Any justification
5584 decision should consider Trans Mountain's commitment to work collaboratively with all interested
5585 parties and stakeholders, including existing shippers, to find solutions to adverse effects on the
5586 southern resident killer whale.⁹⁹⁸

5587 Parties using the existing shipping lanes and involved in the regulation of marine shipping are
5588 currently working towards solutions addressing effects of marine shipping on southern resident
5589 killer whales. In furtherance of these goals, Trans Mountain has committed to developing a
5590 MMPP,⁹⁹⁹ which, during the operations phases of the Project, will focus on supporting three of the
5591 recovery strategies identified by DFO in their southern resident killer whale Action Plan.¹⁰⁰⁰

5592 The first recovery strategy identified in DFO's southern resident killer whale Action Plan is to
5593 ensure that resident killer whales have an adequate and accessible food supply to allow recovery
5594 of the species.¹⁰⁰¹ To assist in achieving this goal, Trans Mountain will work with stakeholders,
5595 Aboriginal communities, and regulatory authorities such as DFO and the NEB to protect, preserve

⁹⁹⁸ Exhibit B239-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 154.

⁹⁹⁹ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 329.

¹⁰⁰⁰ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 326.

¹⁰⁰¹ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 326.

5596 and, where possible, enhance the freshwater habitat of Fraser River salmon stocks. The primary
5597 way Trans Mountain will contribute is by implementing the various comprehensive measures
5598 proposed in the Application to mitigate environmental effects during construction of the Project,
5599 including for the 116 salmon-bearing crossings within the B.C. portion of the proposed pipeline
5600 corridor. Trans Mountain has committed to consulting with DFO to determine whether
5601 contributions to the Pacific Salmon Foundation “Salish Sea Marine Survival Project” would be a
5602 useful recovery measure for resident killer whales. The multi-year comprehensive SSMSPP will
5603 focus on salmon production and the management actions needed to restore sustainable fisheries in
5604 these waters, with a goal to restoring an adequate and accessible food supply.¹⁰⁰² Trans Mountain
5605 will consult with DFO to determine whether this initiative can also be considered to be a
5606 scientifically defensible and useful recovery measure for resident killer whales by restoring an
5607 adequate and accessible food supply.

5608 The second recovery strategy that Trans Mountain will support aims to ensure that chemical and
5609 biological pollutants do not prevent the recovery of resident killer whale populations.¹⁰⁰³ This
5610 strategy will dovetail with Trans Mountain’s enhancements to marine safety with a goal of
5611 reducing the risk that chemical releases will be introduced into southern resident killer whale
5612 habitat from existing and future shipping activity.¹⁰⁰⁴

5613 The third recovery strategy that Trans Mountain will incorporate into its MMPP aims to ensure
5614 that disturbance from human activities does not prevent the recovery of southern resident killer
5615 whales. This strategy is designed to deal directly with the issue of ship-associated underwater

¹⁰⁰² Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 327.

¹⁰⁰³ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 327 - 328.

¹⁰⁰⁴ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 328.

5616 noise. Trans Mountain is currently engaging with various organizations regarding initiatives
5617 related to the study of marine mammals in the Salish Sea. This engagement includes Ocean
5618 Networks (based at the University of Victoria), which is participating in the International Quiet
5619 Ocean Experiment to learn what noise levels large mammals can tolerate and how marine noise
5620 affects their behaviour.¹⁰⁰⁵ Availability of this type of information would allow Trans Mountain
5621 and other parties to work together towards developing mitigation measures that will have a positive
5622 effect on the southern resident killer whale population. Trans Mountain has entered into a funding
5623 agreement with Vancouver Fraser Port Authority, wherein Trans Mountain has agreed to
5624 contribute \$1.6 million to PMV's ECHO Program, which seeks to better understand and manage
5625 potential effects on cetaceans (i.e., whales, porpoises, and dolphins) resulting from commercial
5626 vessel activities throughout the southern coast of B.C.¹⁰⁰⁶ Through the ECHO program, PMV will
5627 work in collaboration with government agencies, First Nations, marine industry users (including
5628 Trans Mountain), non-government organizations and scientific experts to examine threats to at-
5629 risk cetaceans in the region. Under the umbrella of the ECHO Program, a series of individual short-
5630 term projects, scientific studies and education initiatives are being considered to better understand
5631 potential threats associated with commercial vessel related activities. As discussed in Trans
5632 Mountain's evidence,¹⁰⁰⁷ multiple projects are currently under consideration by the ECHO
5633 Program relating to underwater noise and vessel strikes.

¹⁰⁰⁵ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 328.

¹⁰⁰⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 51 – Environmental Monitoring (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 51-1.

¹⁰⁰⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 55 – Marine Mammals (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 55-11 - 55-12.

5634 Trans Mountain submits that multi-party solutions are the most appropriate approach to managing
5635 effects on southern resident killer whale critical habitat and any associated effects on traditional
5636 use of the population. For this reason, the MMPP identifies and integrates multi-party solutions.¹⁰⁰⁸

5637 Trans Mountain intends that the MMPP will be a living document that will be updated and
5638 amended throughout the life of the Project and will be adapted to manage and monitor Project
5639 effects.¹⁰⁰⁹ It is Trans Mountain's position that the MMPP will extend beneficial effects well
5640 beyond the Project. The results of the various initiatives undertaken as a result of the MMPP will
5641 be of great value to other organizations and proponents and will be used to support the recovery
5642 strategies and action plans for species of conservation concern.¹⁰¹⁰ The Board can be confident
5643 that Trans Mountain's southern resident killer whale recovery strategies will ensure impacts to the
5644 whale population are being studied so that any Project related effects can be mitigated. These types
5645 of projects will provide a better understanding of vessel-related cumulative regional threats, with
5646 the aim of informing potential mitigation options and developing innovative solutions to reduce
5647 underwater noise levels in the region. Trans Mountain intends to review all the results of the ECHO
5648 Program studies with a view to incorporating the resulting recommendations in the MMPP.

5649 In their evidence, DFO acknowledged that Trans Mountain has limited control over the tankers
5650 and escort tugs that will be calling at the Terminal, and recognized that the actions/measures
5651 identified above are likely the most feasible actions that Trans Mountain can engage in to minimize
5652 potential effects from the Project on marine mammals.¹⁰¹¹

¹⁰⁰⁸ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 154.

¹⁰⁰⁹ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 254.

¹⁰¹⁰ Exhibit B239-13 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 254.

¹⁰¹¹ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 34.

5653 DFO's evidence recommended Trans Mountain explore¹⁰¹² the potential for having trained marine
5654 mammal observers on-board Project-related shipping vessels that have undergone training to help
5655 them identify risks to marine mammals and make appropriate vessel navigation alterations to
5656 reduce effects on marine mammals species.¹⁰¹³ In response to NEB IR 6.06, Trans Mountain
5657 provided the Board with its views regarding the use of on-board marine mammal observers on
5658 project-related marine vessels as mitigation to reduce impacts to marine mammals. Trans
5659 Mountain stated that the ECHO Program would be the ideal forum to coordinate, develop and
5660 pursue this type of educational/training measure in a manner that best supports marine mammals
5661 across the entire marine transportation community. Trans Mountain reached out to others in the
5662 maritime shipping community to gauge support for such a collaborative initiative and found that
5663 companies such as local tug operators strongly support having their tug crew participate in a marine
5664 mammal observation training program. In addition, Trans Mountain submitted that, as
5665 ambassadors for marine safety and environmental protection, coastal pilots might also be good
5666 resources in any such regional initiative. Should such a marine mammal observation training
5667 program be undertaken, Trans Mountain submits that it should be done across the maritime
5668 shipping industry as a whole, and that the training of pilots and local tug crew is the most
5669 logistically viable option.¹⁰¹⁴

5670 Trans Mountain will implement any additional technically and economically feasible mitigation
5671 measures that are identified in the future for southern resident killer whales. Trans Mountain is
5672 going well beyond any requirements of the CEAA 2012, NEB or DFO to ensure the southern

¹⁰¹² Exhibit C97-3-2 - Fisheries and Oceans Canada Responses to Information Requests from the National Energy Board (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7Q1](#)), 3.

¹⁰¹³ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 34.

¹⁰¹⁴ Exhibit B413-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 6 (July 22, 2015) ([A4R6I4](#)), 20-25.

5673 resident killer whale population continues to recover and thrive through the implementation of
5674 proper mitigation measures in the Salish Sea.

5675 ***Humpback Whale***

5676 DFO raised concerns that in making their significance conclusions, Trans Mountain may not have
5677 considered the strong long-term site fidelity exhibited by individual humpback whales to particular
5678 feeding areas in the Marine RSA¹⁰¹⁵ (i.e., they return to the same site to feed year after year). DFO
5679 suggests the residual effect on humpback whales from underwater noise generated by Project-
5680 related vessel traffic may be greater than Trans Mountain identified.¹⁰¹⁶ Trans Mountain maintains
5681 that its assessment of effects on humpback whales and subsequent significance determination
5682 accurately considered the localized areas of high humpback whale densities that occur within the
5683 marine RSA.

5684 As evidenced by the sightings of humpback whales reported to the B.C. Cetacean Sightings
5685 Network and presented by Trans Mountain in the Application¹⁰¹⁷, humpback whales have been
5686 observed throughout most of the Marine RSA; however, their distribution is not uniform. Most
5687 humpback whale sightings have been reported off Victoria and Race Rocks Ecological Reserve,
5688 in the Gulf and San Juan Islands and west of Cape Flattery. Trans Mountain understands that
5689 humpback whales show high site fidelity to localized foraging areas.¹⁰¹⁸ Based largely on DFO's

¹⁰¹⁵ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada - (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 29.

¹⁰¹⁶ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada - (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 29.

¹⁰¹⁷ Exhibit B19-2 – V8B TR 8B1 MAR RESOURCE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4J5](#)), 4.83.

¹⁰¹⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - Table 4.3.7.1 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)); Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 55 – Marine Mammals (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 55-4 - 55-5.

5690 boundaries for critical habitat as the area around Swiftsure Bank,¹⁰¹⁹ it is Trans Mountain's
5691 expectation that relative to other areas of the Marine RSA, the highest numbers of humpback
5692 whales would be found in Juan de Fuca Strait, in the westernmost portion of the Marine RSA, and
5693 primarily in the summer and fall.¹⁰²⁰

5694 DFO submits that because of the potentially high densities of humpback whales showing strong
5695 site fidelity in the Marine RSA, individual whales have the potential for repeated exposure to
5696 Project-related shipping noise at levels that could result in behavioural disturbance. This
5697 conclusion is in keeping with that presented in the Application. Trans Mountain's assessment of
5698 underwater noise concluded that there would be residual effects from the increase in Project-
5699 related marine traffic on humpback whales.¹⁰²¹

5700 Based on the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association's ("NOAA") behavioural
5701 disruption threshold and acoustic modelling done for the Project, Trans Mountain concluded that
5702 there is a high probability that Project-related underwater noise within the Marine RSA will exceed
5703 NOAA's regulatory standards for sensory disturbance. While there are no Canadian regulatory
5704 standards with respect to this effect, the NOAA thresholds are used as commonly-applied
5705 environmental standards. This approach has been accepted by DFO.¹⁰²² Trans Mountain further
5706 concluded that humpback whales within four to seven km of the shipping lanes are expected to be
5707 disturbed by vessel traffic, that this noise would likely be detectable over much greater distances

¹⁰¹⁹ As identified by Trans Mountain on Exhibit B18-25 – V8A 4.2.6.5.2 to F4.2.26 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X9](#)), Figure 4.2.22.

¹⁰²⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.13 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰²¹ Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.7.6.2 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰²² Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 29.

5708 and that humpback whales will experience some degree of Project-related sensory disturbance
5709 while in the Marine RSA. Despite this predicted residual effect, and the higher density area in the
5710 western-most region, Trans Mountain also recognized that the Marine RSA overlaps only a small
5711 portion of the identified Canadian critical habitat for this species. Furthermore, the predicted
5712 residual effects will affect a relatively small, localized component of the much larger North Pacific
5713 humpback whale population and only during periods of the year that they are present within the
5714 Marine RSA. For these population status reasons, the magnitude of the predicted residual effect
5715 was rated as medium. In making its determination of significance for humpback whales, Trans
5716 Mountain also recognized that, although a *SARA* Threatened species, the North Pacific (and
5717 Canadian) humpback whale population is large and increasing. As a result of these considerations,
5718 effects of increased Project-related marine vessel traffic on humpback whales were deemed to have
5719 a negative impact balance, but are not considered significant.

5720 Trans Mountain recognizes the importance of protecting *SARA*-listed marine mammals and in
5721 taking measures to support DFO's recovery strategies and action plans. For these reasons, Trans
5722 Mountain is contributing to regional monitoring efforts for cumulative impacts on marine
5723 mammals, including efforts that monitor marine noise (see discussion of DFO Action Plan
5724 strategies and support of ECHO Program).

5725 *Steller Sea Lion*

5726 Cowichan Tribes expressed concerns regarding whether the assessment of effects on Steller sea
5727 lion could adequately capture potential effects on other pinniped species such as harbor seals. In
5728 addition to the rationale for selection of marine mammal indicators found in the Application,¹⁰²³

¹⁰²³ B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-297 – 8A-300.

5729 Trans Mountain submits that all pinnipeds belong to the same functional hearing group and effects
5730 of sensory disturbance to the Steller sea lion indicator are expected to be comparable to effects on
5731 all pinniped species found within the Marine RSA, including harbour seals. Trans Mountain's
5732 evidence is that the Steller sea lion is a reasonable indicator to represent effects to other pinniped
5733 species in the Marine RSA.¹⁰²⁴

5734 In their evidence, DFO agreed with the findings of Trans Mountain's ESA that Project-related
5735 effects on Steller sea lions in the Marine RSA are considered to be not significant.¹⁰²⁵ DFO's
5736 evidence concluded that "the residual effect of underwater noise from increased Project-related
5737 marine vessel traffic on Steller sea lions has been accurately characterized in the Application.
5738 DFO's assessment supports its conclusion that potential residual effects would be negligible for
5739 this species."¹⁰²⁶

5740 *Marine Mammal Vessel Strikes*

5741 The NEB and intervenors expressed concern over the possibility of marine mammal vessel
5742 strikes.¹⁰²⁷ In its evidence, DFO stated that "[a]lthough the risk to Southern Resident Killer Whales
5743 and Steller Sea Lions from Project-related vessel collisions may [be] extremely low or negligible,
5744 this may not be the case for Humpback Whales."¹⁰²⁸ This evidence supports Trans Mountain's

¹⁰²⁴ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 55 – Marine Mammals (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 55-3 - 55-5.

¹⁰²⁵ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A–332.

¹⁰²⁶ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 29.

¹⁰²⁷ Exhibit C269-18-2 - Affidavit of Jeff Jones sworn 22 May 2015 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5F3](#)); Exhibit C359-4-2 - T Sou-ke Nation - Sworn Affidavit of Chief Gordon Planes (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5T0](#)); Exhibit C219-6-2 - Written Evidence of Lyackson First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0H9](#)).

¹⁰²⁸ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 30.

5745 conclusion of ‘not significant’ with respect to potential effects of vessel strikes on southern
5746 resident killer whales and Steller sea lions.¹⁰²⁹ With respect to humpback whales, DFO submitted
5747 that the risk is greater due to their higher density in the Juan de Fuca Strait and the western entrance
5748 of the Marine RSA. Trans Mountain reached a similar conclusion, and found that on a relative
5749 scale (by species), humpback whales would be at higher risk.¹⁰³⁰

5750 Part of DFO’s concern over the humpback whale assessment arose from uncertainties regarding
5751 whether Trans Mountain had considered humpback whale foraging site fidelity.¹⁰³¹ Trans
5752 Mountain maintains that its assessment of effects on humpback whales and subsequent
5753 significance determination accurately considered the localized areas of high humpback whale
5754 densities that occur within the marine RSA. Strike risk is concentrated along the shipping lanes
5755 and areas of higher relative risk occur where shipping traffic overlaps with higher density areas
5756 for marine mammals. Based on DFO’s boundaries of critical habitat, it is Trans Mountain’s
5757 expectation that relative to other areas of the Marine RSA, the highest numbers of humpback
5758 whales (and the highest strike risk for this species) would be found in the western portion of this
5759 region, primarily in the summer and fall.¹⁰³²

5760 Trans Mountain’s initial Application presented a qualitative vessel strike assessment that
5761 determined that the potential effect of accidental physical injury or mortality of an individual
5762 marine mammal (including humpback whales) due to a vessel strike was not significant due to the

¹⁰²⁹ Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.13 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰³⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.13 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰³¹ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 30.

¹⁰³² Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.13 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

5763 low probability of the event.¹⁰³³ In a follow-up response to NEB IR No. 4.72, Trans Mountain filed
5764 a quantitative vessel strike risk analysis that was available to intervenors for comment.¹⁰³⁴ Many
5765 of the concerns that DFO identified during their IRs and evidence were addressed in this new
5766 vessel strike risk analysis.¹⁰³⁵ Trans Mountain therefore considers that DFO's comments relating
5767 to the original qualitative assessment have been superceded and/or met by the filing of this
5768 quantitative study.¹⁰³⁶ Similar to the qualitative conclusions presented in the Application, the
5769 quantitative study concluded that the overall probability of a Project-related vessel encountering a
5770 marine mammal in the Marine RSA is very low.¹⁰³⁷ While encounter risk was predicted to be
5771 higher for humpback whales (as suggested by DFO) and killer whales compared to the other
5772 species considered, this is largely a factor of the much higher densities of humpback whales and
5773 killer whales in the study area, and the number of encounters was still predicted to be infrequent.
5774 This relationship remains true with or without the addition of the Project.

5775 Raincoast expressed concern that the strike analysis relies on occurrence data, primarily collected
5776 from whale watchers. Raincoast also stated that the uncertainty of the estimates was not quantified.
5777 Based on this, Raincoast stated the assessment is "possibly wrong."¹⁰³⁸ Trans Mountain's
5778 assessment is not wrong. Trans Mountain relied on data collected by Raincoast, other published

¹⁰³³ Exhibit B18-29 - Section 4.3.13 of Volume 8A (Marine Transportation) (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰³⁴ Exhibit B378-3 - Follow-Up Response to NEB F-IR No. 4.72-Attachment1 (April 27, 2015) ([A4K8Q0](#)).

¹⁰³⁵ Exhibit C97-2-2 - Attachment 1 - Written Evidence of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D4](#)), 30.

¹⁰³⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 55 – Marine Mammals (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 55-3 - 55-6.

¹⁰³⁷ As defined in the quantitative vessel strike analysis, encounter risk refers to the probability that a whale and vessel share the same physical space at the same time. It does not predict whether actual contact between the whale and vessel is made. See Exhibit B378-3 - Follow-Up Response to NEB F-IR No. 4.72-Attachment1 (April 27, 2015) ([A4K8Q0](#)), 14.

¹⁰³⁸ Exhibit C291-1-1 - Statement of Written Evidence of Raincoast Conservation Foundation (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9F2](#)), 38.

5779 sources and data from B.C. Cetacean Sightings Network. In addition, confidence intervals are
5780 presented on Figure 8 of the study and a sensitivity analysis (which is the same method used by
5781 Raincoast in their filed evidence) was conducted and presented in Section 4.2 of the report.¹⁰³⁹

5782 There are two primary mitigation measures relevant to the Salish Sea that could potentially be used
5783 to reduce the risk of marine mammal vessel strikes: (i) altering the shipping lanes to avoid sensitive
5784 habitat; and (ii) setting speed restrictions.¹⁰⁴⁰ Regarding the first measure, the shipping lanes are
5785 set by Transport Canada. The established marine traffic route through the Salish Sea runs through
5786 an adequate yet relatively narrow water body (approximately 1.5 nautical miles wide) and there is
5787 no option for using a completely separate route through this area. Due to this limitation, while
5788 small adjustments to the internationally-mandated shipping lanes may be possible, major
5789 deviations to the shipping lanes are not. Furthermore, even if minor shipping lane adjustments
5790 were considered by Transport Canada, there are no potential alternative routings through the
5791 Marine RSA that would avoid the designated critical habitat for the southern resident killer
5792 whale.¹⁰⁴¹ Transport Canada could also, at its discretion, set speed restrictions for the shipping
5793 lanes. PMV has established the ECHO Program, which seeks to better understand and manage
5794 potential effects on cetaceans (i.e., whales, porpoises and dolphins) resulting from commercial
5795 vessel activities throughout the southern coast of B.C. The ECHO Program's long term goal is to
5796 develop mitigation measures that will lead to a quantifiable reduction in potential threats to whales
5797 as a result of shipping activities.¹⁰⁴² It is important to note that in response to an NEB IR, Transport

¹⁰³⁹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 55 – Marine Mammals (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 55-3 - 55-6.

¹⁰⁴⁰ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 253.

¹⁰⁴¹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 254.

¹⁰⁴² Exhibit C234-11-2 – Revised Exhibit 30, Support of Environmental Evidence Zoetica 2015 (June 24, 2015) ([A4Q9L9](#)).

5798 Canada stated that it “is not currently contemplating alternative shipping lanes or vessel speed
5799 restrictions for the purpose of reducing impacts on marine mammals from marine Shipping in
5800 British Columbia; however, Transport Canada is participating in the ECHO program ... as an
5801 Advisory working group member.”¹⁰⁴³

5802 Trans Mountain has little direct control over the operating practices of the tankers or tugs as
5803 Project-related marine vessels are owned and operated by a third party. As detailed above, Trans
5804 Mountain executed a \$1.6 million funding agreement for the ECHO Program.

5805 Trans Mountain understands that the ECHO Program—a program which intends to study and
5806 identify local areas of whale concentration so that appropriate mitigation measures may be
5807 considered—is exploring the utility of real-time whale detection technologies that may provide a
5808 means to reduce ship strikes (e.g., the use of hydrophones to track real time-location of marine
5809 mammals) while simultaneously allowing maritime commerce and other activities to proceed with
5810 limited biological and economic impact.¹⁰⁴⁴ The ECHO Program also intends to research the
5811 feasibility of providing such information to mariners in real-time so that they are then able to
5812 undertake appropriate measures to avoid the whales.¹⁰⁴⁵ Future mitigation measures proposed by
5813 the ECHO Program may include the following recommendations to Transport Canada:

- 5814 (a) propose small adjustments to the internationally-mandated existing shipping lanes;
5815 (b) develop vessel traffic management practices so as to reduce the effect of passing ships;

¹⁰⁴³ Exhibit C353-6-2 - Transport Canada Responses to NEB Information Requests received July 15, 2015 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7L6](#)), 5.

¹⁰⁴⁴ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 236.

¹⁰⁴⁵ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 236.

- 5816 (c) consider possible deviations by vessels within the shipping lanes to avoid locations of
5817 known whale aggregation areas;
- 5818 (d) evaluate possible speed adjustment for vessels; and
- 5819 (e) consider any other mitigation options that the Program studies may identify.¹⁰⁴⁶

5820 As an industry leader, Trans Mountain has committed to providing active support to the ECHO
5821 Program for all of the above studies and research. Upon completion of those studies, Trans
5822 Mountain will include the results and recommendations as part of its MMPP, which will be a first
5823 class protection program.¹⁰⁴⁷ The results of the ECHO Program are intended to assist in identifying
5824 mitigations measures to reduce marine transportation effects on marine mammals not only from
5825 Project-related vessels but from all vessel traffic along the marine corridor.

5826 Tankers are expected to report marine mammal distress incidents to regional whale/marine
5827 mammal emergency hotlines or Coast Guard radio channels.¹⁰⁴⁸ To ensure these events are
5828 reported, Trans Mountain committed to amending its Tanker Acceptance Standards to clarify that
5829 all vessels calling on the Westridge Marine Terminal must comply with relevant local and
5830 international laws and regulations, which includes the requirement to report marine mammal
5831 distress incidents. Trans Mountain will include guidance for reporting marine mammal vessel
5832 strikes and sightings of marine mammals in distress in its Port Information and Terminal
5833 Operations Manual, which will be supplied to all vessels in advance of their call at Westridge
5834 Marine Terminal.¹⁰⁴⁹ These programs underline Trans Mountain's commitment to gather

¹⁰⁴⁶ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 236-237.

¹⁰⁴⁷ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 236.

¹⁰⁴⁸ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 49.

¹⁰⁴⁹ Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 50.

5835 important data regarding marine mammal vessel strikes. Trans Mountain will continue to support
5836 the efforts of regulators and other initiatives (such as the ECHO Program) to address this issue.

5837 **7.2.2.7 Marine Birds**

5838 Marine vessel traffic has the potential to cause visual, acoustic and physical disturbance to marine
5839 birds.

5840 To mitigate these potential adverse effects, Trans Mountain will comply with the relevant
5841 legislation¹⁰⁵⁰ with respect to harassment, harm or the mortality of birds or bird nesting areas and
5842 provincial and local policies related to biodiversity and wildlife habitat conservation. However,
5843 because the wake from Project-related vessels will not normally be detectable from existing marine
5844 conditions along the shoreline, Trans Mountain's evidence is that marine birds are unlikely to be
5845 disturbed to any substantial extent by wake from Project-related vessels.¹⁰⁵¹

5846 Intervenors raised concerns regarding marine bird strike/collision reporting. In response, Trans
5847 Mountain has committed to including a section on marine birds in its future Port and Terminal
5848 Book, which will be submitted to the TERMPOL Review Committee a minimum of six months
5849 prior to the commencement of Project operations. The section will request that all vessel operators
5850 report any bird strikes/collisions to Marine Communication and Traffic Services.¹⁰⁵² While Trans
5851 Mountain will not own or operate the vessels calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal, this
5852 commitment demonstrates that Trans Mountain has attempted to address this issue to the best of
5853 its ability.

¹⁰⁵⁰ *B.C. Wildlife Act*, CEAA, SARA, and the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*. For example, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* prohibits a vessel from depositing a substance that is harmful to migratory birds in waters or an area frequented by migratory birds or in a place from which the substance may enter such waters or such an area.

¹⁰⁵¹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-339.

¹⁰⁵² Exhibit B371-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 4 (April 13, 2015) ([A4K4W3](#)), 59.

5854 Concerns were also raised regarding vessel bird strikes. In response to these concerns, Trans
5855 Mountain committed to implementing the following mitigation measures to reduce potential
5856 effects from Project-related vessel traffic:

5857 (a) During migratory bird periods and/or during extreme weather events, bird strike warnings
5858 will be issued to berthed vessels with a request to reduce deck lighting.

5859 (b) Inform all operators of Project-related vessels of the hazards regarding bird strikes
5860 occurring at night because of deck lighting.¹⁰⁵³

5861 Trans Mountain is supportive of a collaborative approach to long-term monitoring for marine birds
5862 and has committed to meet with regulatory authorities, including Environment Canada, to discuss
5863 the potential for development of a long-term monitoring program as a partnership with others.¹⁰⁵⁴

5864 In addition, Trans Mountain has sponsored a study by Bird Studies Canada to map bird populations
5865 in the Burrard Inlet to quantify and map seasonal bird populations. The maps will be made publicly
5866 available so that local stakeholders (e.g., industry, government and environmental organizations)
5867 can use the information in planning for the appropriate conservation and protection of marine birds
5868 as Burrard Inlet continues to develop.¹⁰⁵⁵ In January 2015 Trans Mountain contributed \$50,000 to
5869 the Pacific Salmon Foundation in response to stakeholder feedback and input from Aboriginal
5870 groups identifying salmon habitat as a priority for Burrard Inlet. The funding will be used for

¹⁰⁵³ Exhibit B310-2 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 241.

¹⁰⁵⁴ Exhibit B112-2 - Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 48.

¹⁰⁵⁵ Exhibit B310-2 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 232.

5871 salmon habitat enhancement in Burrard Inlet, which is expected to improve foraging opportunities
5872 for piscivorous marine birds inhabiting Burrard Inlet.¹⁰⁵⁶

5873 Intervenor raised concerns regarding the sufficiency of baseline data used by Trans Mountain to
5874 support the assessment of Project effects on marine birds in the Application.¹⁰⁵⁷ Specifically, B.C.
5875 Nature and Nature Canada, the City of Port Moody, and Environment Canada noted that
5876 inadequate baseline data on annual and seasonal marine bird abundance and distribution prevent
5877 Trans Mountain from properly evaluating the effects from an oil spill,¹⁰⁵⁸ thereby limiting Trans
5878 Mountain's ability to develop appropriate response plans and other recovery initiatives. In
5879 response to B.C. Nature and Nature Canada IR No. 1.03, Trans Mountain described the limitations
5880 of data available to characterize abundance and distribution of species expected to occur in
5881 offshore habitats.¹⁰⁵⁹ Trans Mountain recognizes that the collection of additional baseline marine
5882 bird data can contribute to coordinated planning initiatives. Trans Mountain has therefore provided
5883 support to several initiatives to collect additional marine bird data in the Marine Transportation
5884 RSA; as detailed in response to GoC IR No. 2.047a.¹⁰⁶⁰ Trans Mountain is also exploring
5885 additional options to contribute towards the collection of long-term monitoring data for marine

¹⁰⁵⁶ Exhibit B310-2 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 232; Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 21.

¹⁰⁵⁷ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰⁵⁸ Exhibit B19-14 - V8B TR 8B7 01 OF 24 ERA MAR SPILL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K7](#)).

¹⁰⁵⁹ Exhibit B112-2 – Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)), 9-14.

¹⁰⁶⁰ Exhibit B310-2 – Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)), 123-185.

5886 birds that may be affected by the Project and other industrial activities, in cooperation with
5887 regulatory authorities, industry, local communities, Aboriginal groups and other stakeholders.¹⁰⁶¹

5888 The written evidence submitted by B.C. Nature and Nature Canada¹⁰⁶² and Friends of Ecological
5889 Reserves¹⁰⁶³ identified concerns regarding the rationale for selection of marine bird indicator
5890 species used to represent Project-related effects from vessel traffic in the Marine Transportation
5891 RSA. Intervenors contended that the indicator species presented in the Westridge Marine Terminal
5892 and Marine Transportation assessments do not adequately reflect the extent of marine bird species
5893 and habitat usage in the Marine Transportation RSA or best support an assessment of Project
5894 effects. This is incorrect. In the ESA, Trans Mountain provided detailed descriptions of the
5895 rationale used for selection of marine bird indicator species.¹⁰⁶⁴ Trans Mountain submits that the
5896 final suite of marine bird indicator species chosen represent a group of birds with different
5897 ecological niches that were selected to represent the effects to a broad range of marine bird species,
5898 consistent with standard environmental practice.¹⁰⁶⁵ Additional rationale for the selection of
5899 indicators used in the Westridge Marine Terminal and Marine Transportation assessments has been
5900 detailed in several IR responses. A thorough review of the appropriateness of indicator species was
5901 provided in response to B.C. Nature and Nature Canada IR No. 1.01 and 1.02 for the marine
5902 transportation and Westridge Marine Terminal assessments, respectively.¹⁰⁶⁶ Further evidence on

¹⁰⁶¹ Exhibit B418-19 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.20 – Reply to Environment Canada (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L7](#)), Section 2.0: Species at Risk, Migratory Birds and Wetlands, 21; Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 56 – Marine Birds (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 56-1 – 56-2.

¹⁰⁶² Exhibit C24-12-2 – B.C. Nature and Nature Canada Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8K8](#)).

¹⁰⁶³ Exhibit C33-6-1 - Friends of Ecological Reserves Evidence KM TMX for NEB Report (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2T7](#)).

¹⁰⁶⁴ Exhibit B5-21 - V5A ESA 13of16 BIOPHYSICAL ([A3S1R0](#)) (December 16, 2013), 7-472 – 7-475; Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-333 – 8A-336.

¹⁰⁶⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 56 – Marine Birds (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 56-3.

¹⁰⁶⁶ Exhibit B112-2 – Trans Mountain Response to B.C. Nature Cda IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2C5](#)).

5903 the representativeness of selected indicators for waterbirds (including ducks, alcids, and
5904 shorebirds) was provided in response to Mr. John Black IR No. 1.1.2d, e and f¹⁰⁶⁷ and B.C. Nature
5905 and Nature Canada IR 2 (e.g., 2.05a, 2.06a.1, 2.11a, 2.25b).¹⁰⁶⁸ Evidence supporting the selection
5906 of shorebird indicator species was presented in response to Environment Canada Pre-Hearing
5907 Order IR No. 20,¹⁰⁶⁹ NEB IR No. 1.58b¹⁰⁷⁰ and Friends of Ecological Reserves IR No. 1.04.6.¹⁰⁷¹
5908 With respect to species at risk, Trans Mountain completed additional assessments on a per species
5909 basis, in response to GoC IR No. 2.035.¹⁰⁷² Based on the approach applied in the Application and
5910 subsequent assessment of species at risk completed in response to GoC IR No. 2.035, Trans
5911 Mountain submits that it has provided an accurate characterization of residual Project effects and
5912 significance determinations for marine bird species at risk. Based on the foregoing, Trans
5913 Mountain submits that the KIs chosen for marine bird species adequately reflect the extent of
5914 marine bird species and habitat usage in the Marine Transportation RSA.¹⁰⁷³

5915 Intervenors expressed concerns over the variation in response to sensory disturbance by different
5916 marine bird species and in particular that some species are expected to be more sensitive and/or
5917 unlikely to habituate to sensory disturbances caused by activities at the Westridge Marine Terminal
5918 and/or marine vessel traffic.¹⁰⁷⁴ Trans Mountain submits that ships will be travelling at reduced

¹⁰⁶⁷ Exhibit B114-1 - Trans Mountain Response to Black J IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D1](#)).

¹⁰⁶⁸ Exhibit B333-2 - Response to B.C. Nature IR No 2 Notice of Motion (March 12, 2015) ([A4J5C4](#)).

¹⁰⁶⁹ Exhibit B129-2 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC EC IR No. 1.001-Attachment1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2L0](#)).

¹⁰⁷⁰ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1, 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)).

¹⁰⁷¹ Exhibit B116-1 - Trans Mountain Response to FER IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D7](#)).

¹⁰⁷² Exhibit B310-2 - Trans Mountain Response to GoC IR No. 2 (February 13, 2015) ([A4H6A5](#)).

¹⁰⁷³ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 56 – Marine Birds (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 56-3 - 56-4.

¹⁰⁷⁴ Exhibit C24-12-2 - B.C. Nature and Nature Canada Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8K8](#)); Exhibit C231-2-1 - MNBC TMX Submission Final (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2H2](#)).

5919 speeds as they approach the Westridge Marine Terminal and using pilots and tug assistance, in
5920 addition to mandatory compliance with safe shipping practices under *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*
5921 regulations. Trans Mountain is also committed to the mitigation measures for sensory disturbance
5922 and injury or mortality to marine birds at the Westridge Marine Terminal.¹⁰⁷⁵ Trans Mountain is
5923 confident that the Project will not contribute significantly toward residual cumulative effects of
5924 sensory disturbance to marine birds.¹⁰⁷⁶

5925 Given Trans Mountain's proposed mitigation measures and other commitments combined with
5926 relevant legislation and government policies, no significant effects on marine birds are expected
5927 as a result of the Project.¹⁰⁷⁷

5928 **7.2.2.8 Accidents and Malfunctions**

5929 The likelihood of accidents and malfunctions in the Project area from equipment failure on tankers,
5930 human error or natural perils such as floods, hurricanes or earthquakes, ranges between low and
5931 rare. Trans Mountain assessed the potential consequences of these accidents and malfunctions so
5932 that emergency response and contingency planning can be identified to ensure the risk is further
5933 mitigated.¹⁰⁷⁸

5934 **7.2.2.9 Oil Spills Resulting from Marine Incidents**

5935 Marine incidents may result from equipment and human failure on tankers, including grounding
5936 of a loaded tanker or collisions between a loaded tanker and another vessel; however, not all

¹⁰⁷⁵ Exhibit B5-21 - V5A ESA 13of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R0](#)), 7-480 – 7-482.

¹⁰⁷⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 56 – Marine Birds (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 56-5.

¹⁰⁷⁷ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)).

¹⁰⁷⁸ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-426. The ESA concluded that the residual effects arising from an accident or malfunction during the operation of the increased Project related marine vessel traffic will be not significant.

5937 incidents will lead to an oil spill accident. The comprehensive marine and navigation risk study
5938 conducted for the Project by DNV provides evidence that a major oil spill will remain a low
5939 likelihood event in the region. An oil spill incident involving a Project tanker caused by a natural
5940 peril such as flood, hurricane or earthquake, is considered to be of very low likelihood. Through
5941 the work completed by DNV and others, Trans Mountain has assessed the potential likelihood and
5942 consequences of a marine oil spill in accordance with NEB and other federal guidance for
5943 emergency response and contingency planning and proposed extraordinary additional risk control
5944 measures to ensure that incremental risks are mitigated. Through various comparisons, Trans
5945 Mountain has shown that the quantitative risk assessment completed by DNV is based on
5946 conservative assumptions and the results of the risk assessment are realistic and conservative.¹⁰⁷⁹

5947 Marine spill prevention, response and mitigation are paramount concerns for Trans Mountain and
5948 will remain a priority indefinitely. In the unlikely event of a spill or release during loading at the
5949 Westridge Marine Terminal, Trans Mountain will respond immediately in accordance with its
5950 Westridge Marine Terminal ERP. Once a tanker has completed loading and leaves the Westridge
5951 loading facility and terminal, the responsibility for the ship and its cargo fall under the jurisdiction
5952 of the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001* and associated marine transport regulations. Marine oil spill
5953 incidents are responded to by WCMRC under its mandate as a certified Response Organization
5954 under the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*. Trans Mountain will always provide necessary support and
5955 assistance to limit the effects of an incident.¹⁰⁸⁰

¹⁰⁷⁹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60 – Marine Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-5.

¹⁰⁸⁰ Exhibit B18-19 – V8A 1.0 TO 1.4.2.6 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X3](#)), 8A-49.

5956 The regulation of marine oil spill response is primarily defined in the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*
5957 and administered by Transport Canada. The Act requires that: (i) oil spill Response Organizations
5958 be certified by the Minister; (ii) all large vessels and oil handling facilities must have an
5959 arrangement with a certified Response Organization as a condition of operating in Canadian
5960 waters; and (iii) that the Response Organization meets or exceeds the planning standards that
5961 define minimum levels of capacity as set by regulations.¹⁰⁸¹

5962 WCMRC is the Response Organization for the West Coast of Canada. Current planning standards
5963 require a minimum capacity to respond to oil spills of up to 10,000 tonnes in up to 72 hours plus
5964 travel time. WCMRC currently maintains capacity significantly in excess of the minimum
5965 planning standard requirement. With support of WCMRC, Trans Mountain has proposed an
5966 enhanced response regime that will be capable of delivering 20,000 tonnes of capacity within 36
5967 hours from dedicated resources staged within the Project area. The WCMRC report¹⁰⁸² is available
5968 as a supplementary report supporting the TERMPOL submission and a summary of the proposed
5969 regime is available in Volume 8A of the Application.¹⁰⁸³

5970 In the unlikely event of a spill into the marine environment, the responsible party (i.e., Trans
5971 Mountain for a pipeline spill, the tanker owner for a tanker spill) would work with WCMRC and
5972 regulatory agencies in a Unified Command to determine both response and remediation strategies
5973 appropriate for the specific circumstances of the event.¹⁰⁸⁴ To ensure efficient response, the
5974 responders would focus on:

¹⁰⁸¹ Exhibit B18-19 – V8A 1.0 TO 1.4.2.6 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X3](#)), 8A-37-8A-38

¹⁰⁸² Exhibit B24-7 –V8C TR 8C 12 TR S12 OIL SPILL RESP (December 17, 2013) ([A3S519](#)).

¹⁰⁸³ Exhibit B18-19 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Volume 8A: Marine Transportation - Effects Assessment and Spill Scenarios, (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y6](#)), Table 5.5.3.

¹⁰⁸⁴ Exhibit B18-1 – V7 1.0 to 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-27.

- 5975 (a) controlling the source of the spill;
- 5976 (b) preventing oil from entering or encroaching on a water body or sensitive area;
- 5977 (c) containing, intercepting and promptly removing oil from the water surface; and
- 5978 (d) removing stranded oil that could be remobilized from the shoreline.

5979 In addition to the Pipeline ERA, Trans Mountain submitted two ERA reports to extensively
5980 examine the potential effects from marine transportation spills¹⁰⁸⁵ and Westridge Marine Terminal
5981 spills (“Westridge ERA”).¹⁰⁸⁶ These reports focused on the evaluation of the potential negative
5982 environmental effects to marine ecological receptors and supporting habitats that could result from
5983 a hypothetical crude oil spill during: (i) marine transportation between the PMV and international
5984 waters west of Juan de Fuca Strait; and (ii) marine vessel loading at the Westridge Marine
5985 Terminal. These reports are further supplemented by a Detailed Quantitative Ecological Risk
5986 Assessment for Loading Accidents and Marine Spills (“DQERA”), which evaluates the
5987 toxicologically-induced changes in health of ecological receptors, such as those that may
5988 potentially be exposed to chemicals of potential concern in the event of a spill at the Westridge
5989 Marine Terminal and Arachne Reef.¹⁰⁸⁷

5990 It is important to note that Trans Mountain does not own or operate vessels calling at the Westridge
5991 Marine Terminal. Although Trans Mountain is not directly responsible for the operation of tankers
5992 and barges calling at the Westridge Marine Terminal, it is an active member in the maritime

¹⁰⁸⁵ Exhibit B19-14 to B19-37 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Technical Report 8B-7, Ecological Risk Assessment of Marine Transportation Spills (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K7](#); [A3S4K8](#); [A3S4K9](#); [A3S4L0](#); [A3S4L1](#); [A3S4L2](#); [A3S4L3](#); [A3S4L4](#); [A3S4L5](#); [A3S4L6](#); [A3S4L7](#); [A3S4L8](#); [A3S4L9](#); [A3S4Q0](#); [A3S4Q1](#); [A3S4Q2](#); [A3S4Q3](#); [A3S4Q4](#); [A3S4Q5](#); [A3S4Q6](#); [A3S4Q7](#); [A3S4Q8](#); [A3S4Q9](#); [A3S4R0](#)).

¹⁰⁸⁶ Exhibit B18-17 – V7 TR ERA WESTRIDGE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X1](#)).

¹⁰⁸⁷ Exhibit B32-25 to B32-33 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1.62d - Attachment (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9K1](#), [A3W9K2](#), [A3W9K3](#), [A3W9K4](#), [A3W9K5](#), [A3W9K6](#), [A3W9K7](#), [A3W9K8](#), [A3W9K9](#)).

5993 community and works with maritime agencies to promote best practices and facilitate
5994 improvements focusing on the safety, efficiency and environmental standards of tanker traffic in
5995 the Salish Sea.¹⁰⁸⁸ Spills resulting from the Project facilities (i.e., the pipeline and terminals) are
5996 discussed in Section 7.2.1.12 - Accidents and Malfunctions (Pipeline and Facilities) of this final
5997 argument.

5998 Several intervenors questioned or disagreed with the methodology applied by Trans Mountain to
5999 evaluate the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, particularly worst-case and smaller
6000 tanker spills.¹⁰⁸⁹ While Trans Mountain acknowledges the concerns of Aboriginal groups,
6001 governments and stakeholders regarding spills, Trans Mountain submits that its assessment of
6002 accidents and malfunctions based on risk follows NEB guidance on this issue and meets the legal
6003 requirements of CEAA 2012.

6004 Trans Mountain's assessment of marine incidents is based on a comprehensive evaluation that
6005 includes a quantitative navigation risk assessment together with determining credible worst-case
6006 oil spill volume for a Project tanker. Stochastic modelling of crude oil spills was undertaken
6007 originating at several locations in the Burrard Inlet, Strait of Georgia in an area near the Fraser
6008 River Estuary, Gulf Islands and Juan de Fuca Strait together with detailed deterministic spill
6009 modelling. The scope and methods used in the Marine ERA were based on additional application

¹⁰⁸⁸ Exhibit B18-29 – V8A 4.2.12.2 to T5.2.2 Mar Trans Assess (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 516.

¹⁰⁸⁹ Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)); Exhibit C358-13-16 – Tsleil-Waututh Nation – Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A7](#)); Exhibit C77-28-10 – City of Vancouver – Appendix 56 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7L5](#)); C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)); C214-18-3 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment B to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R8](#)); C358-13-23 – Tsleil-Waututh Nation – Vol 9 Tab 4E to 04I Appendix 5 to 9 Air Quality Dispersion Modelling Report Levelton (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6C4](#)).

6010 filing requirements as outlined in correspondence from the NEB to Trans Mountain in a letter
6011 dated September 10, 2013, as presented below:

6012 The assessment of accidents and malfunctions related to the increase
6013 in marine shipping activities must include an assessment of potential
6014 accidents and malfunctions at the Terminal and at representative
6015 locations along the marine shipping routes. Selection of locations
6016 should be risk informed considering both probability and
6017 consequence. The assessment must include a description of:

- 6018 • measures to reduce the potential for accidents and
6019 malfunctions to occur, including an overview of relevant
6020 regulatory regimes;
- 6021 • credible worst case spill scenarios and smaller spill
6022 scenarios;
- 6023 • the fate and behaviour of any hydrocarbons that may be
6024 spilled;
- 6025 • potential environmental and socio-economic effects of
6026 credible worst case spill scenarios and of smaller spill
6027 scenarios, taking into account the season-specific behaviour,
6028 trajectory, and fate of hydrocarbons spilled, as well as the
6029 range of weather and marine conditions that could prevail
6030 during the spill event;
- 6031 • ecological and human health risk assessments for credible
6032 worst case spill scenarios and smaller spill scenarios,
6033 including justification of the methodologies used; and
- 6034 • preparedness and response planning and measures, including
6035 an overview of the relevant regulatory regimes.¹⁰⁹⁰
6036 [emphasis added]

6037 ***Risk Modelling – Location Selection***

6038 TWN, the City of Vancouver and the Living Oceans Society stated that Trans Mountain selected
6039 modelling locations based only on an assessment of the probability of an oil spill, resulting in

¹⁰⁹⁰ Correspondence from the NEB to Trans Mountain in a letter dated September 10, 2013.

6040 locations that are neither representative nor typical of the surrounding areas.¹⁰⁹¹ Many of these
6041 concerns appear to be based on a partial reading of Trans Mountain’s evidence focus on highest
6042 consequence spill events while disregarding the hazards required to cause such events and the
6043 likelihood of the event, as well as the engineering controls, safety management systems and
6044 mitigation plans in place to avoid such events. Risk assessments of spills that do not consider
6045 likelihood are subjective and cannot be relied on. For example, several intervenors rely on reports
6046 on the fate and effects of oil spills by Dr. Jeffrey Short that, in Dr. Short’s own words, are based
6047 on a review of “parts of the Trans Mountain application, especially Volume 8.”¹⁰⁹² It is important
6048 to point out that Volume 8 of Trans Mountain’s Application does not include the Pipeline ERA,
6049 Westridge ERA nor the DQERA (which was submitted at a later date). As such, Dr. Short’s sole
6050 reference to the Marine ERA¹⁰⁹³ diminishes his critique of Trans Mountain’s risk-based approach
6051 as it discounts, or ignores, extensive additional field marine spill studies that would be relevant,
6052 and extremely important, to his analysis and conclusions.

6053 The numerous technical marine impact reports filed by Trans Mountain provide evidence that the
6054 hypothetical spill site locations were selected after due consideration of marine shipping risks as
6055 determined through the TERMPOL process, and supporting work conducted by a leading
6056 classification society and expert advisor for the maritime industry (DNV).¹⁰⁹⁴ Contrary to the

¹⁰⁹¹ Exhibit C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)), 6.

¹⁰⁹² Exhibit C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)), 18.

¹⁰⁹³ Exhibit C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)), 19.

¹⁰⁹⁴ Exhibit B21-1 V8C TR 8C 12 01 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5F4](#)); Exhibit B21-2 V8C TR 8C 12 02 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5F6](#)); Exhibit B21-3 V8C TR 8C 12 03 OF 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5F8](#)).

6057 assertions of Dr. Short, Trans Mountain did not fail to select locations informed by the potential
6058 consequences of oil spills.¹⁰⁹⁵

6059 From eight hypothetical spill locations, stochastic modelling results indicated that three locations
6060 (one each in the Southern Strait of Georgia, at Arachne Reef, off Race Rocks in Juan de Fuca
6061 Strait) were most likely to affect areas of high biological diversity, high human use or concern or
6062 known ecological sensitivity.¹⁰⁹⁶ Each location is also representative of their ecodistrict along or
6063 adjacent to the marine shipping route (more specifically, Roberts Bank and the Fraser River Delta,
6064 the Gulf and San Juan Islands, Race Rocks and Puget Sound).¹⁰⁹⁷ The three locations bracket the
6065 critical habitat for southern resident killer whale and capture major breeding and feeding habitats
6066 for marine birds and other important ecological receptors. The Strait of Georgia hypothetical spill
6067 location is, in fact, most proximal to both the Fraser River Delta and Boundary Bay intertidal
6068 habitats that are of great importance to shore birds and migratory birds.¹⁰⁹⁸

6069 The extensive stochastic modelling that was undertaken for these three locations, representing spill
6070 behaviour, trajectories and fate under realistic combinations of weather and tides in all four
6071 seasons, provides Trans Mountain with ample scope to explore the potential distribution of spilled
6072 oil in the Georgia Basin Marine Ecoregion and the potential scope of environmental effects that

¹⁰⁹⁵ Exhibit C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)), 6 and 23.

¹⁰⁹⁶ Exhibit B418-7 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.08 – Reply to “Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”, Genwest System Inc. Edmonds, Washington, USA 98020 (Genwest Report) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K5](#)), 8.

¹⁰⁹⁷ Exhibit B418-7 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.08 – Reply to “Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”, Genwest System Inc. Edmonds, Washington, USA 98020 (Genwest Report) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K5](#)), 8.

¹⁰⁹⁸ Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 18-19.

6073 could be incurred in the event of a spill.¹⁰⁹⁹ While the probability contours generated through
6074 stochastic modelling cannot be used to determine the outcome of any single event, they are
6075 valuable for informing the likelihood of an area being affected by a particular spill from a particular
6076 location. They also provide a transparent and defensible basis for describing the range of effects
6077 that could result from a spill along the marine shipping route.

6078 ***Risk Modelling – Probability and Credible Worst-Case Scenario***

6079 Trans Mountain has diligently sought to conform to the NEB’s direction from September 10, 2013,
6080 and submits that the key component of the overall direction lies in the determination of what is a
6081 credible worst-case scenario.

6082 Risk is commonly defined as being the product of two terms: the probability (likelihood) of a
6083 failure and the consequences of that failure. It is the failure (in this case, vessel collision or
6084 grounding) that is the initiating event, and the probability of such an event must be the principal
6085 consideration in selecting potential locations for accidents and malfunctions. For example, vessels
6086 can only ground if they enter waters that are of keel depth or less and a loss of containment implies
6087 striking a sufficiently solid substrate with sufficient kinetic energy to result in damage to both outer
6088 and inner hulls. Similarly, collisions can only occur when the courses of two vessels intersect in
6089 both space and time. A loss of containment can only occur from a collision if the incident involves
6090 a second vessel having sufficient kinetic energy (a function of vessel mass and the intersecting
6091 velocities of the two vessels) and vector to result in damage to both outer and inner hulls of the
6092 tanker. In this context, the probability of crude oil spills is not uniformly or randomly distributed

¹⁰⁹⁹ Exhibit B418-7 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.08 – Reply to “Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”, Genwest System Inc. Edmonds, Washington, USA 98020 (Genwest Report) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K5](#)), 20.

6093 throughout the Strait of Georgia and the Juan de Fuca Strait, but varies from low, (but finite)
6094 values, to exceedingly low values, depending upon location.¹¹⁰⁰

6095 The three representative sites selected by Trans Mountain properly consider both probability and
6096 consequence of marine accidents or malfunctions to provide the foundation for a credible worst-
6097 case scenario. The Strait of Georgia and Race Rocks represent hypothetical collision accidents
6098 sites, while Arachne Reef represents a potential power grounding accident location.¹¹⁰¹

6099 The absence of objective discussion of risks in the reports relied on by TWN, the City of
6100 Vancouver, Metro Vancouver, Burnaby and Living Oceans Society negates the credibility and
6101 usefulness of their evidence. The consequences estimated in their reports are speculative. As part
6102 of their evidence, the intervenors also relied upon oil spill trajectory modelling by Genwest
6103 Systems Inc. (“Genwest”) to demonstrate the impact of major oil spills occurring at four locations
6104 in Burrard Inlet:

- 6105 (a) an oil spill of 8,000 m³ at the Westridge Marine Terminal;
- 6106 (b) an oil spill of 16,000 m³ at Second Narrows under the Canadian National Railway Bridge;
- 6107 (c) an oil spill of 16,000 m³ at First Narrows; and
- 6108 (d) an oil spill of 16,000 m³ in the Outer Harbour at Anchorage #8.¹¹⁰²

¹¹⁰⁰ Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 17.

¹¹⁰¹ Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 17-18.

¹¹⁰² Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)), 10.

6109 There is no justification for why Genwest modelled these precise locations as potential accident
6110 locations.¹¹⁰³ The Nuka Report (relied on by Genwest as conclusive evidence of volumes spilled)
6111 also describes the spill scenarios as “worst-case” but not as “credible worst-case”.¹¹⁰⁴ For reasons
6112 described earlier, the volume of oil spilled during an accident is directly related to the severity of
6113 the incident and the type and extent of damage caused. The probability of a very large oil volume
6114 to be released during a tanker incident may only be assessed after first considering the probability
6115 of the selected location to host such a severe incident.¹¹⁰⁵ It is concerning to note that this type of
6116 logic has been ignored in the intervenors’ approach to selection of these spill locations.

6117 Several intervenors rely on a report by Levelton Consultants Ltd. (“Levelton Report”) to
6118 demonstrate the health consequences associated with a marine spill.¹¹⁰⁶ The Levelton Report
6119 undertook air dispersion modelling at these very sites. Metro Vancouver filed the Levelton Report
6120 on May 27, 2015.¹¹⁰⁷ Aside from many technical and procedural errors in the work carried out by
6121 Levelton, submission of this flawed evidence has increased the amount of misleading information
6122 introduced into the NEB regulatory process.

¹¹⁰³ Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)); Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.08 – Reply to “Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”, Genwest Systems Inc. Edmonds, Washington, USA 92020 (Genwest Report) (August 20, 2015), 10.

¹¹⁰⁴ Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)), 10; Exhibit C234-7-5 – Exhibit 02A Nuka Report – Oil Spill Response (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y6](#)), 39.

¹¹⁰⁵ Exhibit B418-7 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.08 – Reply to “Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”, Genwest System Inc. Edmonds, Washington, USA 98020 (Genwest Report) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K5](#)), 4-5.

¹¹⁰⁶ Exhibit C358-13-23 – Tsleil-Waututh Nation – Vol 9 Tab 4E to 04I Appendix 5 to 9 Air Quality Dispersion Modelling Report Levelton (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6C4](#)).

¹¹⁰⁷ Exhibit C234-7-7 - Exhibit 03, Air Quality Impacts from Simulated Oil Spills in Burrard Inlet and English Bay (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y8](#)).

6123 The conclusions related to potential spill consequences in the Levelton Report on the fate and
6124 effects of oil spills are also misleading because the opinions on the range of effects consistently
6125 lean towards the worst imaginable case without limitation or qualification as to likelihood of
6126 occurrence, or the spatial extent over which such worst possible conditions might occur.¹¹⁰⁸ At the
6127 same time, these reports do not make any allowance for spill response, especially given the
6128 enhanced oil spill response regime proposed in the Application. In essence, this removes any
6129 potential benchmark for determining whether the risks associated with an event or occurrence can
6130 be credibly likened to the activities contemplated in the Application. The same critique applies to
6131 Dr. Short's report.¹¹⁰⁹ Accordingly, Trans Mountain submits that evidence in the Genwest report,
6132 Dr. Short's reports and the Levelton Report does not represent credible worst-case scenarios.

6133 ***Fate and Behaviour of Hydrocarbons in an Accident – Diluted Bitumen***

6134 To assess the consequences of a spill, a number of intervenors have presented evidence on the
6135 similarities and differences in the physical and chemical properties of diluted bitumen,
6136 conventional oil and refined heavy oils which affect fate, transport and toxicity.¹¹¹⁰ The various
6137 statements and opinions advanced by intervenors include the following:

- 6138 (a) properties of diluted bitumen are qualitatively different from crude oil and thus behaviour
6139 will be different;

¹¹⁰⁸ Exhibit C358-13-23 – Tsleil-Waututh Nation – Vol 9 Tab 4E to 04I Appendix 5 to 9 Air Quality Dispersion Modelling Report Levelton (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6C4](#)).

¹¹⁰⁹ Exhibit C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)).

¹¹¹⁰ Exhibit C319-26-6 – Potential Effects of Diluted Bitumen Spills on Salmonid Species Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7E7](#)); Exhibit C214-18-3 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment B to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R8](#)); Exhibit C246-4-1 – Prelim Report MIB Evidence for TMPE (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2F9](#)); Exhibit C86-18-2 – Appendix F Par 2 to Written Evidence of Cowichan Tribes (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0V0](#)); Exhibit C291-1-3 – Attachment B to written evidence of Raincoast – Potential effects on salmon of an oil spill into the Lower Fraser River – Logan et al. (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9F4](#)).

- 6140 (b) the Application should discuss potential differences between diluted bitumen and
6141 conventional crude oil;
- 6142 (c) heavy fuel oil (HFO) is not a good model for effects of diluted bitumen behaviour, or
6143 toxicity;
- 6144 (d) HFO is a good indicator of the effects of diluted bitumen; and
- 6145 (e) no information has been presented on the effects of exposure of fish to diluted bitumen.

6146 Trans Mountain's position on the physical and chemical properties of diluted bitumen as well as
6147 its fate, transport and toxicity in the case of a spill to a marine environment is based on its own
6148 research (Gainford) corroborated by a growing body of evidence regarding the environmental fate
6149 and behaviour of diluted bitumen.¹¹¹¹ Recent simulations and studies¹¹¹² have corroborated the
6150 findings of earlier studies,¹¹¹³ as well as the findings of the NEB in the Review for Enbridge
6151 Northern Gateway, that the physical and chemical properties of diluted bitumen are similar to those
6152 of heavy conventional crude oils.¹¹¹⁴ Together, the studies support the assertion that higher
6153 viscosity oils such as diluted bitumen do not readily disperse as fine droplets into the water column,
6154 and are less likely to form oil mineral aggregates than light conventional crude oils. This is a
6155 difference that facilitates rather than hinders oil recovery in the unlikely event of spill.

¹¹¹¹ Exhibit B18-2 – V7 5.2.8.3 F5.2.5 TO 10.0 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V6](#)), 7-65.

¹¹¹² Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 13, 21.

¹¹¹³ Exhibits B21-5, B21-6, B21-7 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 8C – TERMPOL Reports, TR 8C-12 S7 – A study of Fate and Behavior of Diluted Bitumen Oils on Marine Waters (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5G2](#), [A3S5G4](#), and [A3S5G5](#)); Exhibit C121-3-1-EC written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8Y6](#)); 123-124.

¹¹¹⁴ Enbridge Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 99.

6156 In many cases intervenors did not consider research studies available on the properties, fate and
6157 behaviour of diluted bitumen and have drawn conclusions from unsubstantiated or inappropriate
6158 material properties, or from historic oil spills that are not relevant to the Project.¹¹¹⁵ The criticism
6159 that Trans Mountain’s ERA fails to assess the possibility of organisms being exposed to submerged
6160 oil is based upon allegations of flaws in the experimental studies done to evaluate the susceptibility
6161 of diluted bitumen to achieve a density greater than that of the ambient water by weathering
6162 alone.¹¹¹⁶ Rather than the rapid weathering scenario advanced by the intervenors, more recent
6163 literature points to the important role of viscosity in the environmental behaviour of diluted
6164 bitumen.¹¹¹⁷ In summary, the oil must first become dispersed into the water column. This implies
6165 that a sufficient level of energy is being provided by wind and waves. After dispersion has
6166 occurred, there must be a sufficient concentration of suitable suspended sediment already in the
6167 water in order for oil – mineral aggregates to form. Recent studies show that due to the tendency
6168 for the viscosity of spilled diluted bitumen to rapidly increase after release, the formation and
6169 dispersion of small droplets in the water column is mitigated making interactions between diluted

¹¹¹⁵ Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)); C214-18-2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)).

¹¹¹⁶ Exhibit 2 – Living Oceans Society – Attachment A to written evidence of Living Oceans - Fate and effect of oil spills in Burrard Inlet and Fraser River Estuary - Dr Short (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R7](#)), 5; Exhibit C77-27-04 – Appendix 3 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7W1](#)); Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 21.

¹¹¹⁷ Exhibit B418-8 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.09 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Living Oceans Society “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in Burrard Inlet and the Fraser River Estuary” and “Fate and Effects of Oil Spills from the Trans Mountain Expansion Project in the Gulf Islands, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Fraser River” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K6](#)), 21.

6170 bitumen and suspended sediment less likely to occur than may be the case for conventional crude
6171 oils.¹¹¹⁸

6172 ***Fate and Behaviour of Hydrocarbons in an Accident – Shoreline Interaction***

6173 Trans Mountain recognizes that, in the unlikely event of a significant spill to water, diluted bitumen
6174 (relatively fresh to weathered) may contact the shoreline. Volume 8C of Trans Mountain's
6175 Application describes the thorough approach taken to model oil-shoreline interaction using the
6176 B.C. Government Shoreline database, which contains shore type, and specific studies of oil
6177 retention by various shore types for diluted bitumen.¹¹¹⁹ The potential for oil to penetrate and
6178 persist on beaches within study areas was evaluated based on a report prepared by Coastal and
6179 Ocean Resources that takes into account the thickness of gravel layers, depth to the impermeable
6180 layer and fluid characteristics into account.¹¹²⁰

6181 The evidence submitted by intervenors on oil-shoreline interactions fails to take into account these
6182 fundamental variables. For example, the alternative approach to shoreline retention in the Genwest
6183 report assumes that the shore retains oil regardless of the oil type and the shoreline type (i.e., sandy
6184 beach behaves the same in this model as man-made structures) and that all oil ashore refloats with
6185 an arbitrary half-life of 18 hours, regardless of viscosity and weathering state.¹¹²¹ This ignores the
6186 fact that oil retention along different shorelines is a function of the type of pore space and effective

¹¹¹⁸ Exhibit B417-2 – Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 25 – Fate and Behaviour of Oil (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 25-5-25-6; Exhibit B21-5 to B21-7 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Study of Fate and Behaviour of Diluted Bitumen Oils in Marine Waters (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5G2](#), [A3S5G4](#), [A3S5G5](#)).

¹¹¹⁹ Exhibit B21-16 – V8C TR 8C 12 TR S9 08 OF 09 MODEL MAR SPILLS (December 17, 2012) ([A3S5I0](#)); Exhibit B21-17 – V8C TR 8C 12 TR 59 09 OF MODEL MAR SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5I1](#)).

¹¹²⁰ Exhibit B24-6 – V8C TR 8C 12 TR S11 ESTIMAT SHORELINE RETEN (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5I8](#)).

¹¹²¹ Exhibit C234-7-6 – Metro Vancouver – Exhibit 02B, Genwest Report-Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Report in Burrard Inlet for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y7](#)), 23; Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 52 – Marine Spill Modelling (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 52-53.

6187 permeability, which, in turn, is a function of pore geometry and fluid (oil) characteristics.¹¹²² Trans
6188 Mountain does not dispute that small amounts of oil can become sequestered and remain in deep,
6189 porous beach deposits, or brackish marshes following an oil spill.¹¹²³ However, the shortcomings
6190 identified in intervenor evidence raises serious concerns about the usefulness of their evidence in
6191 assessing shoreline impacts.

6192 ***Fate and Behaviour Effects of Hydrocarbons in an Accident – Air Quality and Human Health***

6193 To supplement prior reports with more detailed analysis of potential health effects in the events of
6194 a credible worst-case (and smaller) sized spill, Trans Mountain conducted a specific HHRA to
6195 evaluate the human health effects associated with a representative and credible marine spill
6196 scenario (“Marine HHRA”).¹¹²⁴ Deterministic 3D modelling of spill fate and behaviour was
6197 completed at various hypothetical scenario locations based on the conservative and unrealistic
6198 assumption that no spill response measures would be implemented.¹¹²⁵ Additional, comprehensive
6199 deterministic and stochastic simulations were undertaken to narrow in on the Westridge Marine
6200 Terminal as the site to predict the potential health risks for people and organisms from a credible
6201 worst-case scenario. The HHRA estimated the level of exposure based on the hourly average

¹¹²² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 25 – Fate and Behaviour of Oil (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 25-6.

¹¹²³ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 46 – Ecological Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 46-22.

¹¹²⁴ Exhibit B106-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline UYLC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1E9](#)).

¹¹²⁵ Exhibit B418-12 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.13 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil – Waututh Nation, Metro Vancouver – “Air Quality Impacts from Simulated Oil Spills in Burrard Inlet and English Bay” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L0](#)), 9.

6202 contaminant airborne concentrations provided in Trans Mountain's Technical Report on
6203 Modelling the Fate and Behaviour of Marine Oil Spills.¹¹²⁶

6204 The results of this assessment identified that there is no obvious indication that people's health
6205 would be seriously affected by acute inhalation exposure to the chemical vapours released during
6206 the early stages of a spill. The Marine HHRA also concluded that any health effects that could be
6207 experienced by people in the area close to an oil spill, though discomforting and annoying, would
6208 likely be confined to mild, transient sensory and/or non-sensory effects attributable largely to the
6209 irritant and central nervous system depressant properties of the chemicals.¹¹²⁷ Regardless, these
6210 effects are not acceptable and Trans Mountain fully acknowledges and proposes timely and
6211 effective emergency response to limit any opportunities for public exposure to chemical vapours
6212 from a spill.¹¹²⁸

6213 Several intervenors rely on the Levelton Report to demonstrate the health consequences associated
6214 with a marine spill. With some exceptions, the overall approach used by Levelton to assess
6215 whether, and to what extent, people's health might be affected by exposure to vapours was similar
6216 to that of Trans Mountain's Marine HHRA.¹¹²⁹ The significantly different conclusions are almost
6217 wholly attributable to problematic issues with Levelton's assessment:

¹¹²⁶ Exhibits B21-9 to B21-17 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – Volume 8C; Modelling the Fate and Behaviour of Marine Oil Spills for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5G9](#), [A3S5H1](#), [A3S5H3](#), [A3S5H4](#), [A3S5H7](#), [A3S5H8](#), [A3S5H9](#), [A3S5I0](#), [A3S5I1](#)).

¹¹²⁷ Exhibit B106-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline UYLC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1E9](#)).

¹¹²⁸ Exhibit B418-12 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.13 – Reply to City of Vancouver, Tsleil-Waututh Nation, Metro Vancouver – “Air Quality Impacts from Simulated Oil Spills in Burrard Inlet and English Bay” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7L0](#)), 29.

¹¹²⁹ Exhibit B18-18 V7 TR 73 QHHRA WESTRIDGE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X2](#)); Exhibit B19-39 – V8B TR 8B9 QHHRA MAR SPILL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4R2](#)); Exhibit B106-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline UYLC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1E9](#)); Exhibit B 106-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 2 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F0](#)); Exhibit B106-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline

- 6218 (a) analysis of unrealistic spill locations and scenarios;
- 6219 (b) exaggerated premise that an accident or malfunction will result in an instantaneous loss of
- 6220 the entire contents of a tank; and
- 6221 (c) misstated and misleading estimates about vapour concentrations (specifically, benzene)
- 6222 that are available for evaporation that maybe encountered by people in the area .¹¹³⁰

6223 Because of the limitations and weaknesses, Trans Mountain submits that Levelton's findings and

6224 conclusions respecting the potential human health impacts that could result from an oil spill should

6225 be considered highly tenuous and little confidence should be assigned to them.

6226 In summary, through the work completed by DNV and others, Trans Mountain has assessed the

6227 potential likelihood and consequences of a marine oil spill in accordance with NEB and other

6228 federal guidance for emergency response and contingency planning and proposed extraordinary

6229 additional measures to ensure that incremental risks are mitigated. An oil spill incident involving

6230 a Project tanker within the Project area caused by a natural peril such as flood, hurricane or

6231 earthquake is considered of very low likelihood.

6232 Marine spill prevention, response and mitigation are paramount concerns for Trans Mountain and

6233 will remain a priority indefinitely. As detailed in Section 4 - Emergency Response of this final

6234 argument, in the unlikely event of a spill or release during loading at the Westridge Marine

6235 Terminal, Trans Mountain will respond immediately under the Terminal ERP.

ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 3 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F1](#)) Exhibit B106-4 – Trans Mountain Pipeline
ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 4 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F0](#)); ([A3Y1F2](#)).

¹¹³⁰ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60 – Marine Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-27 - 60-28.

6236 Furthermore, as discussed in Section 9 - Economic of this final argument, the assumptions and
6237 approaches that Trans Mountain has relied on for assessing spill costs are conservative and
6238 reasonable. They suit the purpose (estimating potential liability), the location (as defined by the
6239 Application) and the circumstances (that the Application is an expansion of existing operations
6240 that have been ongoing for 60 years). Significant evidence has already been placed on the record
6241 through the Application and supplemental filings, Trans Mountain's responses to IRs, and
6242 independently prepared material (e.g., TERMPOLE Review Process Report on the Trans Mountain
6243 Expansion Project). This evidence illustrates that adequate financial resources are available to meet
6244 claims in event of a spill.¹¹³¹

6245 Trans Mountain is confident that it has adequately assessed the potential consequences of a marine
6246 oil spill in accordance with NEB and other federal guidance for emergency response and
6247 contingency planning to ensure that risks are mitigated.

6248 **7.2.3 Cumulative Effects Assessment**

6249 The Board included the potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the Project,
6250 including any cumulative environmental and socio-economic effects that are likely to result from
6251 the Project in the List of Issues.¹¹³²

6252 In addition to assessing Project-specific effects, Trans Mountain conducted a rigorous assessment
6253 of the cumulative effects of the Project that satisfies all legal requirements. Following the findings
6254 of the Project-specific effects assessment, Trans Mountain conducted an assessment of the likely
6255 cumulative effects of the Project based on the requirements of the CEAA 2012 and guidance

¹¹³¹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 61 – Marine Spill Liability Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 61-5; Exhibit C353-4-3 – TMEP TERMPOLE Report (December 11, 2014) ([A4F8Z4](#)).

¹¹³² Exhibit A15-3 – National Energy Board – Hearing Order OH-001-2014 (April 3, 2014) ([A3V6I2](#)), 18.

6256 documents published by the CEA Agency. These documents require that all ESAs conducted under
6257 the CEAA 2012 consider the likely effects of the proposed project that overlap with the effects of
6258 past, existing, and reasonably foreseeable future developments in the area that have been or will
6259 be constructed.¹¹³³

6260 The JRP for the Express Pipelines Project (which included the NEB) set out a three-part test for
6261 assessing cumulative effects under the former CEAA which contained identical language
6262 regarding the need to assess cumulative effects as CEAA 2012. The Panel stated that:

6263 First, there must be an environmental effect of the project being
6264 assessed.

6265 Second, that environmental effect must be demonstrated to operate
6266 cumulatively with the environmental effects from other projects or
6267 activities.

6268 Third, it must be known that the other projects or activities have
6269 been, or will be carried out and are not hypothetical.¹¹³⁴

6270 Therefore, in order for there to be cumulative effects, there must be overlap between the effects of
6271 the proposed project and other activities. If there is no overlap, there is no cumulative effect for
6272 the purposes of the CEAA 2012. Secondly, there must be some certainty that a future activity will
6273 in fact be carried out for it to be considered in a cumulative effects assessment. The Panel for the
6274 Express Pipelines Project described this as “some probability, rather than a mere possibility, that
6275 the cumulative environmental effect will occur”.¹¹³⁵

¹¹³³ CEAA, s 19(1)(a).

¹¹³⁴ NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May 1996), 187-88.

¹¹³⁵ NEB-CEAA Joint Review Panel, Environmental Assessment of the Express Pipeline Project: Joint Review Panel Report OH-I-95, (May 1996), 98.

6276 The cumulative effects assessment that was undertaken for the Project followed the requirements
6277 of the CEAA 2012. First, the environmental effects of the Project were assessed.¹¹³⁶ Second, a
6278 spatial boundary was developed that was considered by discipline-specific experts to be the area
6279 in which the effects of the Project could overlap with the effects of other activities in a way that
6280 was non-trivial. Finally, the effects of the Project were considered within each spatial boundary in
6281 combination with the effects of other projects or activities that were either existing or reasonably
6282 foreseeable developments and activities. This methodology has been before the Board on
6283 numerous occasions and the Board has found it acceptable.¹¹³⁷

6284 For each element and indicator, with the exception of the southern resident killer whale, the ESA
6285 concluded that the Project contribution to environmental and socio-economic cumulative effects
6286 will not be significant. In other words, for each element and indicator, the residual effects of the
6287 Project in conjunction with other projects that have been or will be carried out were not found to
6288 be significant, based on the definitions of significance for each indicator.

6289 With respect to the southern resident killer whale, the cumulative effects assessment concluded
6290 that the population is currently experiencing significant cumulative effects. The Project will
6291 contribute to the existing adverse underwater acoustic conditions in the Marine RSA; however, the
6292 Project's additional contribution will be very small compared to other marine transportation

¹¹³⁶ If a physical, biological or socio-economic element or indicator evaluated in Trans Mountain's environmental effects assessment had no residual effects predicted or effects were not considered likely, then these elements or indicators were excluded from the cumulative effects assessment. Based on this, the cumulative effects assessment was limited to Project elements or indicators that were found to have residual effects that could act cumulatively with residual effects from other projects or activities. See Exhibit B5-22 - V5A ESA 14of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R1](#)), 8-2.

¹¹³⁷ See e.g. NEB – Report – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2011 (July 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd – GH – 2 – 2011 (February 2012); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-2-2010 (January 2011).

6293 sources for underwater noise—vessels calling on Westridge Marine Terminal as a result of the
6294 Project will only make up 6.6 per cent of total marine traffic volume within the Juan de Fuca Strait,
6295 compared to 1.1 per cent currently.¹¹³⁸ The current stressors affecting the southern resident killer
6296 whale populations (i.e., environmental contamination, reductions in the availability or quality of prey,
6297 and both physical and acoustic disturbance) will continue to affect this population with or without
6298 the Project. As discussed above in Section 7.2.2.7, Trans Mountain has committed to developing
6299 the MMPP.

6300 Trans Mountain has little direct control over the operating practices of the tankers or tugs, as
6301 Project-related marine vessels are owned and operated by a third-party. Through the ECHO
6302 Program, PMV will work in collaboration with government agencies, Aboriginal groups, marine
6303 industry users (including Trans Mountain), non-government organizations and scientific experts,
6304 to examine threats to at-risk cetaceans in the region. These threats, as identified by DFO in relevant
6305 Recovery Strategies and/or Action Plans, will broadly encompass the four primary concerns that
6306 were raised by intervenors and that were considered by Trans Mountain in the Application (i.e.,
6307 physical disturbance vessel strikes, acoustic disturbance underwater noise, environmental
6308 contaminants and reduced prey availability).

6309 These types of projects will provide a better understanding of vessel-related cumulative regional
6310 threats, with the aim of informing potential mitigation options and developing innovative solutions
6311 to reduce underwater noise levels in the region. Trans Mountain intends to review the results of
6312 the ECHO Program studies with a view to incorporating the resulting recommendations in the
6313 MMPP.

¹¹³⁸ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-69.

6314 LNIB raised concerns with the cumulative effects assessment methodology. Specifically that the
6315 Project scoped out evaluating the cumulative impact of residual effects that were determined
6316 unlikely to affect the viability or sustainability of a resource.¹¹³⁹ However, Trans Mountain's
6317 evidence is that all likely residual Project effects, whether or not they were determined to be
6318 significant, were carried through the cumulative effects assessment for the Project. The approach
6319 adhered to the requirements of the NEB Filing Manual and is consistent, with current cumulative
6320 effects practice.

6321 LNIB also expressed concern that the wildlife RSA is not large enough to understand cumulative
6322 effects at the population scale.¹¹⁴⁰ Trans Mountain submits that the wildlife RSA was delineated
6323 to assess the area within which the Project has a reasonable potential to interact with other
6324 developments that affect wildlife. The spatial extent of the study area represents a balance between
6325 an expansive study area that would dilute the apparent effects of the Project, and a small area that
6326 may be too small to capture cumulative impacts of other disturbance or to reflect the ecology of
6327 the wildlife indicator. Trans Mountain's wildlife RSA is consistent with the regional study area
6328 delineation approach used in recent assessments of federally and provincially regulated pipeline
6329 projects in B.C. and Alberta.¹¹⁴¹

6330 **7.3 Follow-up and Monitoring**

6331 The Application describes the Environmental Compliance Program which will implement the
6332 EPPs for each component of the Project. Trans Mountain will engage qualified personnel to fill

¹¹³⁹ Exhibit C217-5 -1 - Written Evidence (June 19, 2015) ([A4Q7H4](#)).

¹¹⁴⁰ Exhibit C217-5 -1 - Written Evidence (June 19, 2015) ([A4Q7H4](#)).

¹¹⁴¹ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence Section 48 – Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 48-5.

6333 the roles and responsibilities described in the Environmental Compliance Program. Trans
6334 Mountain's Construction Management Team will ensure that measures of the EPP are
6335 communicated and understood by personnel and applied to all construction activities.¹¹⁴² The
6336 Environmental Compliance process is open to inspection by the NEB.¹¹⁴³

6337 Trans Mountain has proposed a comprehensive PCEM program that is similar to recently approved
6338 PCEM programs on recent NEB projects. The objective of PCEM is to determine whether the
6339 environment is on a successful trajectory towards pre-construction conditions or acceptable
6340 operational conditions. PCEM can also help determine the effectiveness of reclamation measures
6341 conducted. The results of the PCEM Program will be submitted to the NEB after each year of
6342 monitoring. The PCEM Program will document post-construction environmental issues identified
6343 for the Project. Issues that have been successfully mitigated will be listed as resolved. The program
6344 will also identify any locations with unresolved environmental issues and the remedial measures
6345 planned by Trans Mountain to resolve these issues.¹¹⁴⁴

6346 Follow-up programs are mandatory for all EAs under the CEAA 2012. Under section 53 of the
6347 CEAA 2012, if the decision maker decides that the designated project is not likely to cause
6348 significant adverse environmental effects or if the Governor in Council decides that the adverse
6349 environmental effects are justified, the decision maker must establish conditions which the
6350 proponent of the designated project must comply with. These conditions include the mitigation

¹¹⁴² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 31 – Environmental Compliance Program (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 31-1.

¹¹⁴³ Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

¹¹⁴⁴ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Post-construction Monitoring (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-6.

6351 measures that were taken into account in reaching the significance determination as well as the
6352 implementation of a follow-up program.¹¹⁴⁵

6353 Under the CEAA 2012, and as described in the Filing Manual, a follow-up program is defined as
6354 a program to verify the accuracy of the ESA of a designated project, and to determine the
6355 effectiveness of any mitigation measures.¹¹⁴⁶ The purpose of follow-up programs is to address the
6356 uncertainties that are inherent in EAs so that the actual effects of a project are monitored and
6357 adaptive management programs can be implemented if the actual effects differ from those
6358 predicted in the EA. Follow-up programs are particularly useful when:

- 6359 (a) the project involves a new or unproven technology;
- 6360 (b) the project involves new or unproven mitigation measures;
- 6361 (c) an otherwise familiar or routine project is proposed for a new or unfamiliar environmental
6362 setting;
- 6363 (d) the assessment's analysis was based on a new assessment technique or model, or there is
6364 otherwise some uncertainty about the conclusions;
- 6365 (e) project scheduling is subject to change such that environmental effects could result;
- 6366 (f) the project may result in adverse environmental effects that were not addressed in the
6367 assessment; or
- 6368 (g) the scientific knowledge used to predict the environmental effects of the proposed project
6369 is limited.¹¹⁴⁷

¹¹⁴⁵ CEAA 2012, s 53(4)(b).

¹¹⁴⁶ CEAA 2012, 2(1).

¹¹⁴⁷ CEA Agency, "Operational Policy Statement: Follow-up Programs under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act", (Updated December 2011) online: < <https://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=En&n=499F0D58-1>>, 3.

6370 Trans Mountain has committed to extensive monitoring as well as follow-up for the Project. The
6371 objective of each follow-up program will be to test the accuracy of the predictions made in the
6372 ESA for a given biophysical or socio-economic component and to verify the effectiveness of
6373 mitigation measures.

6374 Based on Project knowledge and comprehensive field studies to date, the need for follow-up
6375 programs have been identified for select wildlife species at risk.¹¹⁴⁸ Trans Mountain continues to
6376 have ongoing discussions with Environment Canada, PMV and DFO as well as the appropriate
6377 provincial agencies on species at risk.¹¹⁴⁹ The need for, and specifics of, follow-up programs will
6378 be defined as Project details become more refined and spatially-explicit information on critical
6379 habitat for species at risk becomes available. Trans Mountain will:

- 6380 (a) collaborate with federal and provincial wildlife authorities, Aboriginal groups, non-
6381 governmental environmental organizations and universities to support programs to monitor
6382 and conserve species at risk that could be affected by Project activities;
- 6383 (b) conduct construction, post-construction and operations monitoring for agreed to species at
6384 risk, including monitoring of activity levels in known and predicted high quality habitat,
6385 using the appropriate survey methods; and
- 6386 (c) where the effectiveness of proposed mitigation or compensation is uncertain, commit to a
6387 follow-up program to monitor and assess the effectiveness of its EPP, including the access
6388 management plan and specific mitigation measures proposed for each of the species at risk
6389 as outlined in Appendix “C” of the Management Plans.¹¹⁵⁰

¹¹⁴⁸ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 84-86.

¹¹⁴⁹ Exhibit B5-9 - V5A ESA 01of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L3](#)), vii.

¹¹⁵⁰ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 84.

6390 Trans Mountain stated in response to NEB IR 2.032 that it is committed to Draft Condition No. 21
6391 for a Caribou Habitat Restoration Plan. For those species at risk that warrant monitoring and
6392 follow-up, a similar process and plan will be prepared to include:

- 6393 (a) clear objectives for each species at risk;
- 6394 (b) a list of criteria used to identify potential site-specific SARA listed species habitat;
- 6395 (c) a description of how Trans Mountain has taken available and applicable Aboriginal
6396 traditional knowledge studies into consideration in identifying site specific habitat;
- 6397 (d) a conceptual decision process used to identify any mitigation or restoration measures to be
6398 applied at different sites;
- 6399 (e) quantifiable targets and performance measures that will be used to evaluate the extent of
6400 predicted residual effects, mitigation and restoration effectiveness, the extent to which the
6401 objectives have been met, and need for further measures to offset unavoidable and residual
6402 effects on habitat;
- 6403 (f) a schedule indicating when mitigation measures will be implemented; and
- 6404 (g) a summary of Trans Mountain's consultation with appropriate regulatory agencies and any
6405 potentially affected Aboriginal groups regarding the plan.¹¹⁵¹

6406 Trans Mountain has also committed to meeting Draft Condition No. 17 which requires Trans
6407 Mountain to develop a Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan.¹¹⁵²

6408 At this stage, Trans Mountain's proposed monitoring and follow-up programs are preliminary.

6409 NEB approved conditions will incorporate input from this regulatory process, as well as the

¹¹⁵¹ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 85.

¹¹⁵² Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 63; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

6410 detailed Project plans that will be developed once the process is complete and a decision is made
6411 to proceed with the Project. Trans Mountain will meet the requirements of the NEB and CEA
6412 Agency guidance on follow-up and monitoring for all follow-up programs that are implemented
6413 for the Project.¹¹⁵³

6414 The Board of Friends of Ecological Reserves (“FER”) submitted written evidence regarding
6415 environmental monitoring and suggested several conditions, including the creation of a Marine
6416 Environmental Research and Monitoring Endowment Funds of \$450,000.¹¹⁵⁴ FER contends Trans
6417 Mountain has not collected adequate marine environmental data in the vicinity of the international
6418 shipping lanes and has not accurately predicted effects from Project-related marine transportation.
6419 These assertions are incorrect. Trans Mountain conducted the marine transportation effects
6420 assessment based on up to date research and does not believe that additional data collection would
6421 affect the conclusions presented in the Application. Trans Mountain submits that the conclusions
6422 presented in the Application and effects assessment are complete and accurate. To date, Trans
6423 Mountain has contributed to a number of collaborative initiatives that involve the collection of
6424 marine environmental data within the marine RSA as detailed in Trans Mountain’s reply
6425 evidence.¹¹⁵⁵

6426 Parks Canada recommends a condition that relates to post-construction monitoring through
6427 Management Objectives/Desired End Results (“MO/DERs”). In the past, these MO/DERs have
6428 been related to the ecological integrity, commemorative integrity and visitor experience of Jasper

¹¹⁵³ CEA Agency, *Follow-up Programs under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, (December, 2011)
Online: <<https://www.ceaa-acee.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=En&n=499F0D58-1>>; NEB Filing Manual, A.2.8
Inspection, Monitoring, and Follow-up.

¹¹⁵⁴ Exhibit C33-6-1 - Friends of Ecological Reserves Evidence KM TMX for NEB Report (May 28, 2015) ([A4Q2T7](#)).

¹¹⁵⁵ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 33 – Environmental Monitoring (August 20, 2015)
([A4S7E9](#)), 33-1.

6429 National Park and preservation of the Yellowhead Pass National Historic Site. Trans Mountain has
6430 agreed to work with Parks Canada to develop a set of MO/DERs with appropriate and applicable
6431 monitoring and performance criteria for the proposed reactivation activities. Trans Mountain
6432 supports Parks Canada's recommended condition¹¹⁵⁶ and believes it is consistent with proposed
6433 Draft Condition No. 21.¹¹⁵⁷

6434 **7.4 Environment Conclusion**

6435 The Board can be confident that the construction and operation of the Project, subject to the
6436 Board's conditions, and the extensive regulatory regime that is currently in place, can be carried
6437 out in a manner that will have no unacceptable environmental or socio-economic impacts. Where
6438 significant adverse environmental effects exist for the southern resident killer whale, Trans
6439 Mountain submits that multi-party solutions are the most appropriate approach to managing effects
6440 on critical habitat and any associated effects on traditional use of the population. The MMPP
6441 identifies and integrates multi-party solutions for this reason.¹¹⁵⁸ Through the ECHO program,
6442 PMV will work in collaboration with government agencies, Aboriginal groups, marine industry
6443 users (including Trans Mountain), non-government organizations and scientific experts to examine
6444 threats to at-risk cetaceans in the region. These threats, as identified by DFO in relevant Recovery
6445 Strategies and/or Action Plans, will broadly encompass the four primary concerns that were raised
6446 by intervenors and that were considered by Trans Mountain in the Application (i.e., physical
6447 disturbance - vessel strikes, acoustic disturbance - underwater noise, environmental contaminants,
6448 and reduced prey availability).

¹¹⁵⁶ Exhibit C347-1-1 - Parks Canada TMX Written Evidence (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5U9](#)), 11.

¹¹⁵⁷ Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 24 – Post-construction Monitoring (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 24-1.

¹¹⁵⁸ Exhibit B239-13 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 2 (September 21, 2014) ([A3Z4T9](#)), 154.

6449 **8. SOCIAL**

6450 **8.1 Overview**

6451 This section discusses social elements of the Project including public participation, the NEB
6452 process and the potential Project-related effects on individuals, groups, communities and society.
6453 Trans Mountain's examination of social effects is based on extensive baseline data collection from
6454 published sources, technical discussions with informed sources, the guidance and requirements in
6455 local and regional land use and development policies and plans, feedback and information received
6456 through the Project's comprehensive stakeholder and Aboriginal Engagement Program,
6457 knowledge from traditional use and cultural studies conducted for the Project by and with
6458 Aboriginal communities and the professional experience of the assessment team.

6459 Trans Mountain's commitment to the socio-economic aspects of sustainable development goes
6460 well beyond the economic benefits that will result from Project development and operations (e.g.,
6461 job creation, job-related training opportunities and increased tax revenues). This commitment is
6462 reflected in Trans Mountain's decision not to rely solely on the NEB process to inform
6463 stakeholders about the Project. Instead, Trans Mountain designed its own process to ensure that all
6464 stakeholders had the opportunity to understand how the Project might impact them, have input into
6465 the Project and to participate in the regulatory process. Through consultation and conversations
6466 with tens of thousands of individuals, Trans Mountain made significant efforts to improve and
6467 optimize the Project. These efforts are ongoing.¹¹⁵⁹

¹¹⁵⁹ Exhibit B1-6 - V3A 1.0 TO 1.4.1.11 PUBL CONSULT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R2](#)).

6468 **8.2 Social Aspects of Pipeline and Facilities ESA**

6469 Social¹¹⁶⁰ elements potentially interacting with the Project include heritage resources, traditional
6470 land and resource use traditional marine resource use, social and cultural well-being, human
6471 occupancy and resource use (including marine commercial, recreational and tourism use),
6472 infrastructure and services, navigation and navigation safety, community health and human health
6473 risk.¹¹⁶¹

6474 Similar to the environmental elements, the indicators for each social element have been identified
6475 based on the Filing Manual and other regulatory guidelines, experience gained during previous
6476 projects with similar conditions/potential issues, feedback from Aboriginal groups, landowners,
6477 regulatory authorities, stakeholders and the general public, public issues raised through media,
6478 available research literature and the professional judgment of the assessment team.¹¹⁶²

6479 The socio-economic effects assessment considers the potential effects of the Project on the social
6480 or human environment in the context of defined spatial and temporal boundaries. These boundaries
6481 vary with the issues and socio-economic elements or interactions to be considered, and reflect:

- 6482 (a) the construction, operations, and decommissioning and abandonment phases of the
6483 proposed physical works and physical activities;
- 6484 (b) the natural variation of a population or socio-economic indicator;
- 6485 (c) the time required for an effect to become evident;

¹¹⁶⁰ The Application refers to socio-economic elements, as per the NEB Filing Requirements; social and economic elements have been separated for the purposes of the final argument. The employment and economy indicator of the ESA is summarized in Section 8.2.3 of the final argument.

¹¹⁶¹ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-2.

¹¹⁶² Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-3.

- 6486 (d) the time required for a population or socio-economic indicator to recover from an effect
6487 and return to a natural condition;
- 6488 (e) the area directly affected by proposed physical works and physical activities; and
- 6489 (f) the area in which a population or socio-economic indicator functions and within which a
6490 Project effect may be experienced.¹¹⁶³

6491 **8.2.1 Heritage Resources**

6492 In May 2013, Trans Mountain commenced a Historical Resources Impact Assessment (“HRIA”)
6493 for the Alberta portion of the proposed pipeline. In June 2013, Trans Mountain commenced an
6494 Archaeological Impact Assessment (“AIA”) for the B.C. portion of the proposed pipeline corridor.
6495 Fieldwork for both the Alberta HRIA and the B.C. AIA are ongoing through the 2015 fieldwork
6496 season. To date, a total of 32 previously unknown archaeological sites and a potential of
6497 approximately 50 previously unknown historic sites have been identified in Alberta, along with 55
6498 previously unknown archaeological sites in B.C. Based on both assessments, Trans Mountain
6499 committed to implementing the recommendations of Alberta Culture and the B.C. Archaeology
6500 Branch, respectively.¹¹⁶⁴

6501 The selected indicators for heritage resources included archaeological, historic and
6502 palaeontological sites.¹¹⁶⁵

6503 Trans Mountain reduced the potential for encountering heritage resources by aligning the proposed
6504 pipeline corridor to parallel the existing TMPL right-of-way to the extent feasible. In addition,

¹¹⁶³ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-3, 7-4.

¹¹⁶⁴ Exhibit B5-20 - V5A ESA 12of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1O9](#)), 7-10.

¹¹⁶⁵ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-9.

6505 Trans Mountain committed to implementing recommendations from Alberta Culture and the B.C.
6506 Archaeology Branch.¹¹⁶⁶

6507 During the regulatory process, the Board raised concerns regarding palaeontological resources in
6508 B.C. because palaeontological resources do not have protection as heritage resources under the
6509 B.C. *Heritage Conservation Act*.¹¹⁶⁷ Trans Mountain, through qualified palaeontologists,
6510 conducted an overview palaeontological assessment of the entire proposed pipeline corridor in
6511 B.C. Based on this assessment, Trans Mountain developed mitigation measures to address issues
6512 associated with palaeontological resources in B.C. that may arise during Project construction.¹¹⁶⁸

6513 By implementing the mitigation measures for the heritage resources indicators and adhering to
6514 governmental legislation, the Project gives communities the opportunity to promote their
6515 heritage.¹¹⁶⁹ The ESA found that with the implementation of industry standard and provincially
6516 regulated mitigation measures during the pre-construction and construction phases of the Project,
6517 there are no residual effects of the Project on heritage resources.

6518 **8.2.2 Traditional Land and Resources Use**

6519 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on TLRU indicators
6520 associated with the construction and operations of the Project.¹¹⁷⁰ However, Trans Mountain's
6521 ESA concluded that there are no situations for TLRU that would result in a significant adverse

¹¹⁶⁶ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-10.

¹¹⁶⁷ RSBC 1996, c 187.

¹¹⁶⁸ Exhibit B32-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 134.

¹¹⁶⁹ Exhibit B5-40 - V5B ESA 15of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S9](#)), 7-316.

¹¹⁷⁰ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-30.

6522 residual socio-economic effect. This indicates that the socio-economic effects of the pipeline and
6523 facilities component of the Project on TLRU indicators will be not significant.¹¹⁷¹

6524 Trans Mountain assessed potential Project effects on land and resource use on the basis of effects
6525 on hunting, trapping, fishing, plant gathering, trails and travelways, habitation sites, gathering
6526 places and sacred areas. This was done through extensive consultation beginning in April 2012
6527 with over 85 Aboriginal groups engaged on the Project.¹¹⁷² Trans Mountain provided funding to
6528 Aboriginal groups to conduct land and resource use studies, and performed a thorough review of
6529 literature and relevant government data for publically available current TLRU information.¹¹⁷³
6530 Project-specific TLRU studies were completed by 52 Aboriginal communities and two non-Project
6531 specific TLRU studies were provided to Trans Mountain for baseline information on TLRU. In
6532 addition Aboriginal communities participated in the Aboriginal field program accompanying
6533 biophysical surveys.

6534 Trans Mountain reviewed all TLRU information that it received and results were incorporated into
6535 the Application. Four public supplemental TLRU reports and one confidential TLRU report were
6536 filed with the NEB.¹¹⁷⁴ The results of TLRU studies were used to inform the assessment by
6537 identifying TLRU sites potentially affected by the Project, identifying potential Project effects on
6538 TLRU indicators and contributing to the development of mitigation measures to address these
6539 effects. A letter updating the assessment conclusion based on new information obtained from the

¹¹⁷¹ Exhibit B5-40 - V5B ESA 15of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S9](#)), 7-318.

¹¹⁷² Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-15.

¹¹⁷³ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-16.

¹¹⁷⁴ Exhibit B241-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Traditional Land Use Part 1 of 4 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4Z2](#));
Exhibit B291-30 – Part 13 Traditional Land Resource Use Supplemental Report (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D1](#));
Exhibit B306-20 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.008a-Attachment 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1X0](#)).

6540 TLRU studies accompanies each supplemental report filed.¹¹⁷⁵ The results of the TLRU studies
6541 are also integrated into the Aboriginal Engagement Program, and are used to facilitate the planning
6542 and design of mitigation measures as appropriate and available.¹¹⁷⁶

6543 **8.2.3 Social and Cultural Well-Being**

6544 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on social and cultural
6545 well-being indicators.¹¹⁷⁷ However, Trans Mountain's ESA concluded that there are no situations
6546 for social and cultural well-being indicators that would result in a significant residual socio-
6547 economic effect. Therefore, the residual socio-economic effects of Project construction and
6548 operations on social and cultural well-being indicators will be not significant.¹¹⁷⁸

6549 Regarding income patterns, Trans Mountain found that a wide range of employment opportunities
6550 are anticipated in relation to the Project, particularly during construction. For example, there is
6551 evidence to suggest that the levels of income experienced by those involved in direct Project-
6552 related employment during construction may be notably higher than existing average incomes in
6553 the socio-economic RSA.¹¹⁷⁹ Furthermore, the ESA found that the overall Project effect on income
6554 levels and distribution is anticipated to be positive.¹¹⁸⁰

¹¹⁷⁵ Exhibit B251-3 – TLRU Supplemental Letter Aug 11 (August 13, 2014) ([A4A0W2](#)); Exhibit B291-29 – Part 13 Cover Letter Traditional Use Studies (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D0](#)); Exhibit B306-1 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC NEB IR No. 3 Cover Letter Feb 3 2015 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V1](#)).

¹¹⁷⁶ Exhibit B249-30 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Tech Update 1 Cons Update 2 Part 6 Update Aboriginal Engage Pt01 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8Q1](#)), 9; Exhibit B001 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 3B (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)).

¹¹⁷⁷ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-45.

¹¹⁷⁸ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-59.

¹¹⁷⁹ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-52.

¹¹⁸⁰ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-54.

6555 **8.2.4 Human Occupancy and Resource Use**

6556 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on human occupancy
6557 and resource use indicators associated with the construction and operations of the Project.
6558 However, Trans Mountain's ESA found that there are no situations for human occupancy and
6559 resource use indicators that would result in a significant residual socio-economic effect. Therefore,
6560 the residual socio-economic effects of Project construction and operations on human occupancy
6561 and resource use indicators will not be significant.

6562 To ensure issues raised by holders of forest Management Areas in Alberta, tenure holders of
6563 Mineral Placers or claims in B.C. and trappers in both Alberta and B.C. were considered in the
6564 assessment of human occupancy and resource use, Trans Mountain made information available to
6565 the stakeholders through the Stakeholder Engagement Program and through mail-outs.¹¹⁸¹

6566 **8.2.5 Infrastructure and Services**

6567 Based on the findings in Trans Mountain's ESA, there are no situations for infrastructure and
6568 services indicators that would result in a significant residual socio-economic effect. Therefore, the
6569 residual socioeconomic effects of Project construction and operations on infrastructure and
6570 services indicators will not be significant.¹¹⁸²

6571 **8.2.6 Navigation and Navigation Safety**

6572 The proposed pipeline corridor crosses multiple watercourses considered navigable or potentially
6573 navigable in Alberta and B.C., as well as several potentially navigable wetlands. In the Pipeline
6574 EPP, Trans Mountain provided a summary of the watercourse crossings, including a determination
6575 of navigability for each watercourse, which will continue to be refined as required as the route is

¹¹⁸¹ Exhibit B306-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)), 30.

¹¹⁸² Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-157.

6576 finalized.¹¹⁸³ Construction through watercourses will utilize a number of appropriate pipeline
6577 watercourse crossing methods selected in consideration of the size, environmental sensitivities of
6578 each watercourse and the season/timeframe of the construction period of each particular crossing.
6579 Trans Mountain has committed to a number of mitigation measures to minimize the impact of the
6580 Project on navigation and navigation safety including marine navigation and navigation safety in
6581 Burrard Inlet related to the expanded Westridge Marine Terminal.

6582 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on navigation and
6583 navigation safety associated with the construction and operations of the Project.¹¹⁸⁴ However,
6584 based on the results of the ESA, there are no situations for navigation and navigation safety that
6585 would result in a significant socio-economic residual effect. Therefore, the residual socio-
6586 economic effects of Project construction and routine operations on navigation and navigation
6587 safety will not be significant.¹¹⁸⁵

6588 **8.2.7 Community Health**

6589 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on community health
6590 indicators associated with the construction and operations of the Project.¹¹⁸⁶ However, as stated in
6591 Trans Mountain's ESA, there are no situations for community health indicators that would result
6592 in a significant residual socio-economic effect. Therefore, the residual socio-economic effects of
6593 Project construction and operations on community health indicators will not be significant.¹¹⁸⁷

¹¹⁸³ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-152.

¹¹⁸⁴ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-155.

¹¹⁸⁵ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-157.

¹¹⁸⁶ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-206.

¹¹⁸⁷ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-230.

6594 Several Aboriginal communities expressed concerns in written evidence that changes in surface
6595 water quality could occur that would reduce the availability or quality of drinking water.¹¹⁸⁸ The
6596 Project is unlikely to have a significant adverse effect on drinking water quality. Planned
6597 mitigation measures include: prohibiting the use of herbicides within 30 m of a watercourse or
6598 waterbody; monitoring water quality during construction and post-construction; grading away
6599 from watercourses to reduce the risk of introduction of soil and organic debris; reducing potential
6600 for soil erosion; and other mitigation measures as described in the EPPs.¹¹⁸⁹ Trans Mountain
6601 submits that its mitigation measures are sufficient to minimize any impacts of the Project on
6602 surface water quality and availability for Aboriginal communities.

6603 **8.3 Social Aspects of Marine Shipping ESA**

6604 **8.3.1 Traditional Marine Resource Use**

6605 Trans Mountain understands that many Aboriginal communities have historically used or presently
6606 use the Marine RSA to maintain a traditional lifestyle and continue to use resources for a variety
6607 of purposes including fish, shell-fish, mammal and bird harvesting, aquatic plant gathering and
6608 spiritual/cultural pursuits as well as through the use of navigable waters within the Marine RSA to
6609 access subsistence resources, neighboring communities and coastal settlements.¹¹⁹⁰

6610 Trans Mountain assessed potential Project effects on TMRU on the basis of effects on travelways,
6611 plant gathering sites, hunting, fishing, gathering places and sacred areas. This was done through

¹¹⁸⁸ Exhibit C78-10-2 - Coldwater Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0W6](#)); Exhibit C333-3-2 - Documents (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8L3](#)); Exhibit C333-3-3 - Traditional Land Use Study (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8L4](#)).

¹¹⁸⁹ Exhibit 417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 43.5 – Drinking water quality (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 43.5.

¹¹⁹⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-364.

6612 extensive consultation beginning in April 2012 with over 85 Aboriginal groups.¹¹⁹¹ Trans
6613 Mountain also provided funding to Aboriginal groups to conduct TMRU studies, and performed a
6614 thorough review of literature and relevant government data for publically available current TMRU
6615 information.¹¹⁹² Project-specific TMRU studies were completed by 16 Aboriginal communities
6616 with interests in the marine RSA and two non-Project specific TMRU studies were provided to
6617 Trans Mountain for baseline information on TMRU.

6618 Trans Mountain reviewed all TMRU information received and results were incorporated into the
6619 Application. Three public supplemental TMRU technical reports were filed with the NEB and one
6620 confidential TLRU report was filed with the NEB.¹¹⁹³ The results of TMRU studies were used to
6621 inform the assessment by identifying TMRU sites potentially affected by the Project, identifying
6622 potential Project effects on TMRU indicators and contributing to the development of mitigation
6623 measures to address these effects. Accompanying each filing of supplemental reports was a letter
6624 updating the assessment conclusions based on new information obtained from the TMRU
6625 studies.¹¹⁹⁴ The results of the TMRU studies are also integrated into the Aboriginal Engagement
6626 Program.¹¹⁹⁵

¹¹⁹¹ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-15.

¹¹⁹² Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-16.

¹¹⁹³ Exhibit B241-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Traditional Land Use Part 1 of 4 (July 21, 2014) ([A3Z4Z2](#));
Exhibit B291-31 – Part 13 Traditional Marine Resource Use Supplemental Report (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D2](#));
Exhibit B306-20 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.008a-Attachment 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1X0](#)).

¹¹⁹⁴ Exhibit B251-3 – TLRU Supplemental Letter Aug 11 (August 13, 2014) ([A4A0W2](#)); Exhibit B291-31 – Part 13
Traditional Marine Resource Use Supplemental Report (December 1, 2014) ([A4F5D2](#)).

¹¹⁹⁵ Exhibit B249-30 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC Tech Update 1 Cons Update 2 Part 6 Update Aboriginal Engage
Pt01 (August 1, 2014) ([A3Z8Q1](#)), 9; Exhibit B001 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion
Project Volume 3B (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)).

6627 To mitigate potential effects from increased marine shipping as a result of the Project, all vessels
6628 in Canadian waters are required to follow Transport Canada rules in order to avoid conflict when
6629 passing and possible collision.¹¹⁹⁶

6630 In their evidence, the Canadian Coast Guard provided a summary of navigational aids that provide
6631 valuable information to vessels in the marine shipping lanes to ensure the safety of all vessels
6632 navigating in close proximity to each other:

6633 Ships of 300 gross tonnes or more engaged on an international
6634 voyage and domestic ships of 500 gross tonnes or more (other than
6635 fishing vessels) must be fitted with AIS. This system automatically
6636 provides information, including the ship's identity, type, position,
6637 course, speed, navigational status and other safety-related
6638 information, to AIS-equipped shore stations, vessels and aircraft.
6639 AIS improves situational awareness and greatly enhances the traffic-
6640 monitoring capabilities for MCTS centres. With radar also in place
6641 throughout the zone, there is no requirement for additional sensors.
6642 Radio reception is sufficient for the entire route from the entrance to
6643 Juan de Fuca Strait to Vancouver Harbour. MCTS officers monitor
6644 ship traffic within the zone providing information to vessels to help
6645 make on-board navigational decisions.¹¹⁹⁷

6646 As noted by Transport Canada in their evidence, the *Collision Regulations*¹¹⁹⁸ provide uniform
6647 measures in regard to the safe conduct of vessels. The regulations describe rules of general conduct
6648 specific to the navigational, steering and sailing rules; navigational lights and shapes to be
6649 displayed; and the sound and light signals to be used by every vessel and pleasure craft in Canadian
6650 waters.¹¹⁹⁹

¹¹⁹⁶ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-364.

¹¹⁹⁷ Exhibit C97-2-3 - Attachment 2 - Written Evidence of the Canadian Coast Guard (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7D5](#)), 9.

¹¹⁹⁸ CRC, c 1416.

¹¹⁹⁹ Exhibit C353-5-2 - TC Evidence Submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7K1](#)), A-12 - A-13.

6651 Trans Mountain has voluntarily committed to requiring a tug to accompany Project-related tankers
6652 for their entire transit through the Strait of Georgia and between Race Rocks and the 12 nautical
6653 mile marker to assist with navigation. The tug escort commitment is an enhancement to existing
6654 tug requirements and goes above and beyond any current regulatory requirements, including
6655 Transport Canada's rules. The tug can be tethered for extra navigational assistance if needed.¹²⁰⁰

6656 Based on this mitigation, the ESA concluded that the residual effects associated with increased
6657 Project-related marine vessel traffic on TMRU are considered not significant, with the exception
6658 of the expected residual effects on the southern resident killer whale population as well as
6659 associated traditional use of the population, which are considered to be significant, as discussed in
6660 Section 7 - Environment.¹²⁰¹ It is important to note that existing cumulative effects on this species
6661 are already significant. Presently, there are no technically or economically feasible mitigation
6662 measures to address the Project's contribution to these effects.

6663 **8.3.2 Marine Commercial, Recreational and Tourism Use**

6664 Trans Mountain recognizes that a variety of marine commercial, recreational, and tourism use
6665 activities occur in the PMV and the shipping lanes. Trans Mountain provided a comprehensive
6666 review of existing commercial fisheries and aquaculture, marine transportation, marine recreation
6667 and marine tourism use in the Marine RSA in the Application.¹²⁰² Similar to TMRU, potential
6668 effects on increased marine vessel traffic on marine commercial, recreational and tourism use will
6669 be mitigated through Trans Mountain's commitment to use tug escorts to act as navigational aids

¹²⁰⁰ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-364.

¹²⁰¹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-377.

¹²⁰² Exhibit B19-11 - V8B TR 8B6 01 OF 03 1 to 3.3 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K4](#));
Exhibit B19-12 - V8B TR 8B6 02 OF 03 3.4 to F4.2-6 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013)
([A3S4K5](#)); Exhibit B19-13 - V8B TR 8B6 03 OF 03 4.2 to 7.3 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013)
([A3S4K6](#)).

6670 for Project-related vessels in the shipping channel. Trans Mountain has committed to providing
6671 other marine users with timely information regarding Project-related shipping so that marine users
6672 are aware of all Project-related vessels utilizing the shipping lanes. Trans Mountain has also
6673 considered marine access and movement and sensory disturbance in Burrard Inlet during the
6674 construction and operation of the Westridge Marine Terminal. Trans Mountain is confident the
6675 proposed mitigation will ensure any potential impacts to marine commercial, recreational and
6676 tourism use are minimized and not significant.

6677 A number of marine-based Aboriginal groups raised concerns regarding Project-related impacts
6678 on marine commercial activities. TWN are partial owners of a commercial fishing company
6679 involved in commercial salmon and other fisheries.¹²⁰³ TWN submitted that increased tanker
6680 traffic has the potential to result in harm to local ecology and may affect TWN fishing activities.¹²⁰⁴

6681 Shxw'ōwhámél and Peters Band submitted evidence that a marine spill in the Salish Sea has the
6682 potential to contaminate fish migrating up the Fraser River. This would greatly diminish or
6683 eliminate the ability of First Nations' members to harvest salmon, lamprey and eulachon from the
6684 Fraser River.¹²⁰⁵ Other issues raised by Aboriginal communities included risk of vessel

¹²⁰³ Exhibit C358-13-6 - Vol 2 Tab 2 REDACTED TWN History Culture and Aboriginal Interest Report Morin Part 4 of 4 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Z7](#)), 360.

¹²⁰⁴ Exhibit C358-13-6 - Vol 2 Tab 2 REDACTED TWN History Culture and Aboriginal Interest Report Morin Part 4 of 4 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5Z7](#)), 406.

¹²⁰⁵ Exhibit C312-8-3 - Collier Impacts of Freshwater or Marine Spill of Aquatic Resources Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1A1](#)), 39.

6685 collisions,¹²⁰⁶ damage to fishing vessels and/or gear,¹²⁰⁷ disruption of access to fishing areas¹²⁰⁸
6686 and effects on tourism operations (related to hazards and sensory effects).¹²⁰⁹

6687 Other intervenors emphasized the social and economic importance of commercial fisheries to
6688 Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal communities. Trans Mountain recognizes the overall value that
6689 commercial fishing has to many communities and individuals located in coastal B.C. and the
6690 importance of assessing and minimizing any Project-related interactions with all commercial
6691 fishing activities and other marine users.¹²¹⁰ Trans Mountain identified and addressed all such
6692 potential effects on marine commercial, recreational and tourism use that were noted by
6693 intervenors.

6694 With respect to the marine fish resources that underpin commercial fishing, Trans Mountain
6695 examined potential effects of Project-related marine vessels on marine fish and fish habitat.¹²¹¹

¹²⁰⁶ Exhibit C411-1-1 - Written Evidence of the Maa-nulth Nations (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6D5](#)), 9; Exhibit C219-6-5 - Appendix C - LFN Firelight Desktop Study TMEP Socio-Economic Impacts (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0I4](#)); Exhibit C246-4-1 - Prelim Report MIB Evidence for TMEP (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2F9](#)), 32; Exhibit C336-7-2 - Written Evidence Appendix A (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G2](#)); Exhibit C336-7-3 - Written Evidence Appendix B part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G3](#)).

¹²⁰⁷ Exhibit C411-1-1 - Written Evidence of the Maa-nulth Nations (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6D5](#)), 9; Exhibit C267-6-2 - Written Evidence of Adam Olsen (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6V3](#)), 5; Exhibit C359-4-2 - T Sou-ke Nation - Sworn Affidavit of Chief Gordon Planes (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5T0](#)), 13; Exhibit C336-7-2 - Written Evidence Appendix A (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G2](#)), 11; Exhibit C336-7-3 - Written Evidence Appendix B part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G3](#)), 51-53; Exhibit C336-7-5 - Written Evidence Appendix C part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G5](#)), 15; Exhibit C336-7-7 - Written Evidence Appendix D (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G7](#)), 3.

¹²⁰⁸ Exhibit C411-1-1 - Written Evidence of the Maa-nulth Nations (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6D5](#)), 9; Exhibit C267-6-2 - Written Evidence of Adam Olsen (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6V3](#)), 5; Exhibit C359-4-2 - T Sou-ke Nation - Sworn Affidavit of Chief Gordon Planes (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5T0](#)), 13; Exhibit C86-12-1 - Written Evidence of Cowichan Tribes (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9Y9](#)), 5; Exhibit C246-4-1 - Prelim Report MIB Evidence for TMPE (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2F9](#)), 2-4; Exhibit C336-7-8 - Written Evidence Appendix E (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G8](#)), 2.

¹²⁰⁹ Exhibit C358-13-13 - Vol 4 Tab 4 TWN Assessment Part 6 of 7 (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A4](#)), 78; Exhibit C219-6-2 - Written Evidence of Lyackson First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0H9](#)), 6.

¹²¹⁰ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60.1 – Economic Importance of Commercial Fisheries and Marine Tourism (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-1.

¹²¹¹ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-280 - 8A-281.

6696 Trans Mountain has committed to a number of measures to limit the effects of the expanded
6697 Westridge Marine Terminal on marine commercial, recreational and tourism use in Burrard Inlet.
6698 To minimize incremental hazards and effects on marine access, the expanded dock complex has
6699 been designed to ensure marine movement will not be impeded. The shortest distance that will
6700 occur between a tanker docked at Westridge Marine Terminal and the navigation beacon at Roche
6701 Point will be approximately 850 m; the high tide line at the boat launch at Cates Park will be
6702 approximately 1020 m; and the southeast corner of the dock at Cates Park will be approximately
6703 1000 m.¹²¹² Trans Mountain will undertake a variety of measures to reduce lighting and noise
6704 during the construction and operation phases of the Westridge Marine Terminal. The residual
6705 environmental effects of operation activities associated with increased Project-related marine
6706 vessel traffic on marine fish and fish habitat will not be significant.

6707 Certain intervenors raised concerns that the increase in Project-related tankers and tugs in the
6708 shipping lanes may further restrict the times and locations in which commercial fishing activities
6709 can take place and may obstruct or otherwise impede the ability of fishers to travel to and access
6710 fishing areas.¹²¹³

¹²¹² Exhibit B316-26 – Trans Mountain Response to NS NOPE IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8V8](#)).

¹²¹³ Exhibit C267-6-2 - Written Evidence of Adam Olsen (June 12, 2015) ([A4L6V3](#)); Exhibit C86-12-1 - Written Evidence of Cowichan Tribes (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9Y9](#)); Exhibit C219-6-2 - Written Evidence of Lyackson First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0H9](#)); Exhibit C411-1-1- Written Evidence of the Maa-nulth Nations (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6D5](#)); Exhibit C246-4-1 - Prelim Report MIB Evidence for TMPE (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2F9](#)); Exhibit C355-15-2 - Tsawout First Nation Affidavit of Harvey Underwood (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1D4](#)); Exhibit C359-4-2 - T Sou-ke Nation - Sworn Affidavit of Chief Gordon Planes (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5T0](#)); Exhibit C362-4-2 - Unifor Evidence TMX (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6C6](#)); Exhibit C336-7-2 - Written Evidence Appendix A (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G2](#)); Exhibit C336-7-3 - Written Evidence Appendix B part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G3](#)); Exhibit C336-7-5 - Written Evidence Appendix C part 1 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G5](#)); Exhibit C336-7-7 - Written Evidence Appendix D (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G7](#)); Exhibit C336-7-8 - Written Evidence Appendix E (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7G8](#)).

6711 The potential for Project tankers to disrupt Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal fishing vessels while in
6712 transit to fishing areas or actively engaged in fishing activities is discussed in the Application.¹²¹⁴
6713 Trans Mountain will provide regular, updated information on Project-related marine vessel traffic
6714 to industry organizations, Aboriginal communities and other affected stakeholders, and will initiate
6715 a public outreach program prior to the Project operations phase. It is important to note that Project-
6716 related tankers will represent an incremental addition to existing large-vessel commercial traffic
6717 in the PMV and the established shipping lanes. Disruptions to fishing activities are equally likely
6718 to occur in relation to all large vessels currently using the shipping lanes, and Project-related
6719 marine vessels will make up a small portion of total marine traffic.¹²¹⁵

6720 Trans Mountain recognizes that a variety of commercial, recreational, tourism and traditional use
6721 activities occur in PMV and the shipping lanes. That is why Trans Mountain provided a
6722 comprehensive review of existing commercial fisheries and aquaculture, marine transportation,
6723 marine recreation and marine tourism use in the Marine RSA in the Application.¹²¹⁶

6724 KMC's Tanker Acceptance Standard states that "all vessels shall conduct operations within
6725 Canada, specifically PMV, in accordance with any additional guidance provided by the Terminal,
6726 and always respectful of the rights of the residents in surrounding neighbourhoods to not be
6727 unnecessarily disturbed by noise, odours and health or other concerns from vessel operations."¹²¹⁷

¹²¹⁴ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 8A-377, 8A-378.

¹²¹⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 60.2 – Disruption of Fishing Activities and Access to Commercial Fishing Areas (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 60-2.

¹²¹⁶ Exhibit B19-11 - V8B TR 8B6 01 OF 03 1 to 3.3 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K4](#)); Exhibit B19-12 - V8B TR 8B6 02 OF 03 3.4 to F4.2-6 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K5](#)); Exhibit B19-13 - V8B TR 8B6 03 OF 03 4.2 to 7.3 MAR COMM REC TOUR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4K6](#)).

¹²¹⁷ Exhibit B96-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Belcarra IR No. 1.9 Attachment1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6W2](#)).

6728 Trans Mountain will operate the Westridge Marine Terminal in a manner that reduces the time
6729 vessels bound for the terminal spend at designated anchorages in Burrard Inlet.¹²¹⁸ Trans Mountain
6730 worked extensively with PMV to develop guidance for the vessels to minimize the effects of light
6731 and noise on residents around the Port.¹²¹⁹ Trans Mountain's commitment to on-going
6732 communication regarding increased shipping activities at the terminal is reflected in the fact that
6733 Trans Mountain will:

- 6734 (a) provide information updates on Project-related marine vessel traffic to fishing industry
6735 organizations, Aboriginal communities, and other affected stakeholders; and
6736 (b) where possible, initiate a public outreach program prior to the Project operations phase
6737 through the Chamber of Shipping of B.C. and other applicable agencies.

6738 A range of possible interactions between Project-related marine vessels and other commercial,
6739 recreational and tourism marine users were identified and considered in the Marine Transportation
6740 ESA including commercial fisheries and aquaculture. No significant adverse residual effects are
6741 identified with respect to routine operations of Project-related marine vessels on marine
6742 commercial, recreational and tourism use by Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal users in the marine
6743 local study area or marine RSA.¹²²⁰

6744 **8.3.3 Human Health Risk Assessment**

6745 To identify and understand the nature and extent to which people's health could be affected from
6746 exposure to the chemicals emitted from the Project and Project-related marine traffic, Trans
6747 Mountain conducted HHRAs. The HHRAs examined the potential health impacts that could result

¹²¹⁸ Exhibit B96-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Belcarra IR No. 1.9 Attachment1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6W2](#)).

¹²¹⁹ Exhibit B96-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Belcarra IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) (A3X6W1), 19.

¹²²⁰ Exhibit B18-20 - V8A 1.4.2.7 TO T4.1.1.1.1 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X4](#)), 8A-89.

6748 from both routine, planned operations, for which the chemical exposures could be anticipated and
6749 addressed on the basis of known or reasonably well-defined exposure scenarios, as well as
6750 accidents and malfunctions, involving chemical exposures that may potentially be experienced
6751 under a number of simulated oil spill scenarios.

6752 **8.3.3.1 Routine Operations**

6753 Trans Mountain conducted four HHRA to assess the potential impacts of chemicals emitted from
6754 the Project and Project-related marine traffic on human health under routine operating conditions:

- 6755 (a) Screening Level Human Health Risk Assessment of Pipeline and Facilities Technical
6756 Report;¹²²¹
- 6757 (b) Screening Level Human Health Risk Assessment of Marine Transportation Technical
6758 Report;¹²²²
- 6759 (c) Human Health Risk Assessment of Westridge Marine Terminal Technical Report;¹²²³ and
6760 (d) Human Health Risk Assessment of Marine Transportation Technical Report.¹²²⁴

6761 The overall approach to assessing the potential human health risks associated with the Project and
6762 Project-related marine vessel traffic proceeded step-wise, beginning with an initial screening-level
6763 human health risk assessment (“SLHHRA”). The SLHHRA represented a preliminary
6764 examination of the potential health effects that might be experienced under the routine operation

¹²²¹ Exhibit B5-7 - V4C 1.0 TO APPB PROJ DES AND EXEC-OP AND MAINT (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L1](#));
Exhibit B5-8 - V5A COVER (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L2](#)); Exhibit B5-11 - V5A ESA 03of16 BIOPHYSICAL
(December 16, 2013) ([A3S1L5](#)); Exhibit B5-13 - V5A ESA 05of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013)
([A3S1L7](#)).

¹²²² Exhibit B5-22 - V5A ESA 14of16 BIOPHYSICAL (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1R1](#)).

¹²²³ Exhibit B107-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Westridge Marine Terminal Part 1 (June 16, 2014)
([A3Y1F4](#)); Exhibit B107-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Westridge Marine Terminal Part 2 (June 16,
2014) ([A3Y1F5](#)).

¹²²⁴ Exhibit B108-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Marine Transportation Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F7](#));
Exhibit B108-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Marine Transportation Part 2 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F8](#)).

6765 of the Project and Project-related marine vessel traffic by members of the general public. The
6766 assessment was conducted as a screening-level exercise to understand the overall likelihood, nature
6767 and extent to which people's health might be affected, with the findings used to determine if
6768 elevated health risks exist, and if so, the need for further, more detailed investigation of these
6769 risks.¹²²⁵

6770 The SLHHRAs, by convention, embraced a high degree of conservatism through the use of
6771 assumptions intentionally selected to represent worst-case or near worst-case conditions. For
6772 example, people were assumed to be found on both a short-term and long-term basis at the location
6773 within the LSA¹²²⁶ corresponding to the maximum point of impingement ("MPOI") of the
6774 chemical emissions (i.e., the location where the highest concentrations of the chemical emissions
6775 were predicted to occur and where the highest chemical exposures could potentially be experienced
6776 by the general public), regardless of whether or not people would reasonably be expected to reside
6777 at or frequent this location.¹²²⁷

6778 The goal of the HHRAs was to identify and understand the potential health risks presented to
6779 people associated with short-term and long-term exposure to the chemicals emitted from the
6780 Project, with a focus on the chemicals emitted from the Edmonton, Sumas and Burnaby terminals

¹²²⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62.1 – Routine Operations (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-1.

¹²²⁶ The LSAs for the Edmonton, Sumas, and Burnaby terminals as well as the Westridge Marine Terminal were defined as the area within a 5-km radius of the terminal. For marine transportation, the LSA was defined as the area within a 5-km buffer of the marine shipping lanes for the Project-related marine vessel traffic, extending from the Westridge Marine Terminal in Burnaby, through Burrard Inlet, south through the southern part of the Strait of Georgia, the Gulf Islands and Haro Strait, then westward past Victoria and through the Juan de Fuca Strait out to the 12 nautical mile limit of Canada's territorial sea.

¹²²⁷ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62.1 – Routine Operations (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-2. MPOI refers to the location at which the highest air concentrations of each of the chemicals of potential concern would be expected to occur, and at which the chemical exposures received by the people within the area would be greatest.

6781 and the Westridge Marine Terminal, and Project-related marine vessel traffic.¹²²⁸ The HHRAs
6782 were completed following a standard risk assessment approach which offered a “tried and true”
6783 method for assessing the potential health risks related to chemical exposure. This approach has
6784 been developed by leading regulatory agencies such as Health Canada, the United States
6785 Environmental Protection Agency (“US EPA”) and the World Health Organization.

6786 In the HHRAs close attention was given to: identifying the people who could be at greatest risk;
6787 the chemicals of potential concern (“COPC”) to which these people could be exposed; and, the
6788 pathways by which exposure could occur. Allowance was made for the fact that the people may
6789 practice different lifestyles that could affect their opportunities for exposure to the COPC. In this
6790 regard, the HHRAs examined the potential health risks that could be presented to residents of local
6791 Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal communities, with allowance made for the possibility that these
6792 Aboriginal peoples may practice a traditional lifestyle. Allowance also was made for the fact that
6793 the people exposed to the chemical emissions could include sub-populations who may show
6794 heightened sensitivity to chemical exposures, such as infants and young children, the elderly and
6795 people with compromised health. The HHRAs characterized the potential health risks for an
6796 extensive list of chemicals, including those identified to be of particular concern by intervenors
6797 (e.g., benzene, nitrogen dioxide, sulphur dioxide and particulate matter). In addition to the health
6798 risks associated with exposure to the individual COPC, the HHRAs followed Health Canada
6799 guidance by assessing the health risks of multiple chemicals acting in combination with each other
6800 (i.e., chemical mixtures).¹²²⁹

¹²²⁸ Exhibit B10-25 - V5D TR 5D7 1of4 SCREEN HUMAN HEALTH (December 16, 2013) ([A3S2L1](#)); Exhibit B19-38 - V8B TR B8 SLHHRA MAR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4R1](#)); Exhibit B107-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Westridge Marine Terminal Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F4](#)); Exhibit B108-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Marine Transportation Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F7](#)).

¹²²⁹ Exhibit B19-38 - V8B TR B8 SLHHRA MAR (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4R1](#)), 3-32.

6801 The exposure pathways examined in the HHRAs included not only the primary inhalation
6802 pathway, but also secondary pathways such as the consumption of locally-grown and/or harvested
6803 foodstuffs. In the absence of consumption patterns for Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal peoples
6804 (referred to as urban dwellers) within the LSA, reliance was placed on the *First Nations Food*
6805 *Nutrition and Environment Survey* for B.C.¹²³⁰ and guidance provided by Health Canada¹²³¹ to
6806 characterize the consumption patterns of people living in the LSA.

6807 Contrary to the assertions of intervenors, the HHRAs offered detailed and comprehensive analyses
6808 of the potential health risks that could result from either short-term or long-term exposure to the
6809 COPC emitted from the Project and the Project-related marine vessel traffic for all relevant routes
6810 of exposure. As indicated above, the assessments proceeded step-wise, beginning with the
6811 SLHHRA in which the potential health risks that could be presented to the general public were
6812 examined in the context of a “worst-case exposure scenario” which assumed human exposure to
6813 the maximum ground-level air concentrations of the COPC at the MPOI. Subsequent, more refined
6814 analyses involving more realistic exposure scenarios were then performed to better understand any
6815 potential health risks that could be presented to people, with examination of locations extending
6816 beyond the MPOI, including discrete receptor locations near the Westridge Marine Terminal and
6817 on land along Burrard Inlet. The HHRAs revealed that, notwithstanding the conservative
6818 assumptions employed, the maximum predicted levels of exposure to the COPC remained below
6819 the levels of exposure that would be expected to cause health effects for even the most sensitive
6820 individuals in the population.

¹²³⁰ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45.1.5 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)).

¹²³¹ Exhibit B107-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Westridge Marine Terminal Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F4](#)); Exhibit B108-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Marine Transportation Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F7](#)).

6821 Trans Mountain has a high level of confidence in the conclusion that serious adverse human health
6822 effects are not expected as a result of the chemical emissions from the Edmonton, Sumas and
6823 Burnaby terminals, the Westridge Marine Terminal and the Project-related marine vessel traffic
6824 under routine operating conditions. This is primarily due to the: (i) conservative assumptions used
6825 in the air quality assessment; (ii) conservative assumptions used in the HHRAs; and (iii)
6826 conservative exposure limits used in the HHRAs that are developed by leading scientific and
6827 government authorities charged with the protection of public health, including sensitive or
6828 susceptible individuals (e.g., infants and children, pregnant women, the elderly, individuals with
6829 compromised health).¹²³² Trans Mountain's HHRAs illustrate that it is highly unlikely that people
6830 will experience health effects from the potential increase in chemical exposures associated with
6831 emissions from the Project or the increase in Project-related marine vessel traffic.¹²³³

6832 Health Canada expressed concern regarding the uncertainties in the predicted ground-level air
6833 concentrations of the COPC that served as the basis of the predicted health risks.¹²³⁴ Although
6834 Trans Mountain acknowledges that uncertainty can surround any predictions, regardless of
6835 whether the predictions relate to air quality or health risks, it is Trans Mountain's position that
6836 these uncertainties were accommodated through the use of assumptions that were both reasonable
6837 and conservative. Further, Trans Mountain has committed to design each terminal such that the
6838 ground-level air concentrations of the COPC, including those chemicals identified to be of
6839 particular concern by intervenors and Health Canada¹²³⁵ (e.g., benzene, nitrogen dioxide, sulphur

¹²³² Exhibit B115-1 – Trans Mountain Response to BROKE IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D3](#)), 36.

¹²³³ Exhibit B18-29 - V8A 4.2.12.2 TO T5.2.2 MAR TRANS ASSESS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4Y3](#)), 426.

¹²³⁴ Health Canada – Letter of Comment (August 11, 2015) ([A4S0Z6](#)).

¹²³⁵ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)).

6840 dioxide and particulate matter) are below the lowest applicable ambient air quality objectives
6841 established in B.C., or Alberta.¹²³⁶ To ensure that these objectives are met, Trans Mountain has
6842 also agreed to update its assessment of air quality as the Project's engineering design nears or
6843 reaches completion,¹²³⁷ and to conduct ambient air quality monitoring and reporting at a new
6844 station to be installed at the Westridge Marine Terminal. It is Trans Mountain's opinion that the
6845 findings and conclusions of the HHRA's remain valid and accurately reflect the manner and extent
6846 to which people's health could be affected by exposure to the chemical emissions associated with
6847 Project and Project-related marine vessel traffic. Based on the weight-of-evidence, it is Trans
6848 Mountain position that the potential health risks that could be presented to the general public from
6849 exposure to the emissions would be negligible and no adverse health effects would be anticipated.
6850 Nonetheless, Trans Mountain has committed to update its HHRA of the Westridge Marine
6851 Terminal should the updated air quality assessment reveal increases in the predicted ground-level
6852 air concentrations of the COPC under the Base, Application or Cumulative cases.¹²³⁸

6853 A number of parties expressed concerns related to the potential effects of DPM on health.
6854 Specifically, FVRD, Metro Vancouver, Health Canada and Dr. Brahm Miller expressed concerns
6855 regarding the potential carcinogenic risks associated with exposure to DPM emitted from the
6856 Project-related marine vessel traffic.¹²³⁹ According to Metro Vancouver and the FVRD, Trans
6857 Mountain inaccurately characterized the evidence supporting DPM cancer risks; dismissed the
6858 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") guideline for DPM;

¹²³⁶ Exhibit B306-2 - Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.019b (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1V2](#)).

¹²³⁷ Exhibit B316-33 - Trans Mountain Response to PMV IR No. 2.25 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8W5](#)).

¹²³⁸ Exhibit B384-18 - Trans Mountain Responses to GoC F-IR No. 2.01 (May 4, 2015) ([A4L0A5](#)).

¹²³⁹ Exhibit C132-9-11 - Affidavit of Rebecca Abernethy (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8W6](#)); Exhibit C234-7-2 - MV Evidence Submission Final (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Y3](#)); Exhibit C240-4-1 - B. Miller - Trans Mountain written evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8L6](#)); Health Canada – Letter of Comment (August 11, 2015) ([A4S0Z6](#)).

6859 inappropriately characterized the cancer risks by using DPM concentrations averaged over the air
6860 quality study area; and failed to account for the notion that existing DPM concentrations along the
6861 shores of Burrard Inlet already present an unacceptably high level of risk to the area residents.

6862 Contrary to these assertions, Trans Mountain maintains that its assessment of potential health risk
6863 associated with DPM was appropriate and that the conclusions with respect to the Project-related
6864 cancer risks remain valid.¹²⁴⁰ Trans Mountain fully recognizes that there is general consensus
6865 among regulatory agencies that diesel exhaust, including DPM, is carcinogenic. However, the
6866 weight-of-evidence currently does not support the use of a cancer-based exposure limit for
6867 assessing the health risks associated with DPM. In this regard, considerable uncertainty exists with
6868 respect to the actual dose-response relationship of DPM, thereby limiting the ability of regulators
6869 to develop a proper cancer-based exposure limit. In light of this uncertainty, neither Health Canada
6870 nor the US EPA has developed a cancer-based exposure limit (or unit risk value) for DPM.

6871 In its evidence, Metro Vancouver¹²⁴¹ contends that “an appropriately conservative risk assessment
6872 approach would be to use the OEHHA’s cancer unit risk in the Trans Mountain assessment, while
6873 acknowledging the inherent uncertainty raised by the US EPA and others.”¹²⁴²

6874 Trans Mountain did not dismiss the OEHHA guideline for DPM. In fact, Trans Mountain carefully
6875 reviewed and weighed the basis of the OEHHA guideline. In light of the US EPA’s assessment of
6876 DPM, Trans Mountain maintains that the low confidence of the OEHHA guideline limits its

¹²⁴⁰ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 62.1.1.3 – Diesel Particulate Matter (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 62-14.

¹²⁴¹ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45.1.5 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)).

¹²⁴² Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-15.

6877 usefulness when assessing the potential risks to health associated with DPM. In spite of this, Trans
6878 Mountain estimated the DPM cancer risks using the OEHHA guideline in its response to FVRD
6879 IR No. 2.12. ¹²⁴³ It did so by using predicted DPM air concentrations averaged over a five km radius
6880 centered on the Westridge Marine Terminal in order to remain consistent with the approach taken
6881 in the two health risk assessment reports referenced by FVRD and Metro Vancouver. ¹²⁴⁴ Instead
6882 of presenting risks at discrete receptor locations, use of average DPM concentrations allowed for
6883 a more meaningful estimate of population-level risks. ¹²⁴⁵

6884 Trans Mountain acknowledges that, when using the OEHHA guideline, the calculated excess
6885 cancer risks could marginally exceed 1 in 100,000 at certain locations along the shores of Burrard
6886 Inlet. However, these cancer risk estimates need to be interpreted with a degree of caution. The
6887 need for caution is principally due to the uncertainty associated with the use of the OEHHA
6888 guideline. ¹²⁴⁶

6889 In response to the concerns raised by FVRD, Metro Vancouver and Dr. Brahm Miller with respect
6890 to DPM, Trans Mountain has presented extensive and compelling evidence that:

6891 (a) it used a scientifically defensible approach for assessing the potential health risks for DPM;
6892 and

¹²⁴³ Exhibit B315-44 – Trans Mountain Response to FVRD IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8S0](#)).

¹²⁴⁴ Exhibit C132-9-23 - Exhibit M to R. Abernethy Affidavit (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8X8](#)); Exhibit C234-7-23 - Exhibit 18, Sonoma Technology 2015 Toxic Air Pollutants Risk Assessment (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8A4](#)).

¹²⁴⁵ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-16.

¹²⁴⁶ In response to Metro Vancouver Reply Evidence IR 3.1a), on November 12, 2015 Trans Mountain confirmed its conclusion that the DPM emissions associated with the Project-related marine vessel traffic are not expected to adversely affect health in the region. See Exhibit B435-7 – Trans Mountain Response to Metro Vancouver Reply Evidence IR (November 12, 2015) ([A4V3W1](#)), 27.

6893 (b) there is low confidence in the OEHHA guideline that FVRD and Metro Vancouver used to
6894 characterize the potential carcinogenic risks associated with DPM.¹²⁴⁷

6895 The fact is that Trans Mountain used the OEHHA cancer unit risk in its assessment of DPM and
6896 in doing so described in detail the “inherent uncertainty raised by the US EPA” in its response to
6897 FVRD IR No. 2.12. Trans Mountain maintains that the low confidence of the OEHHA cancer unit
6898 risk limits its usefulness when attempting to assess the potential risks to health associated with
6899 DPM exposure.¹²⁴⁸

6900 Even so, Trans Mountain is supportive of Draft Condition No. 19 which includes construction of
6901 a new station at the Westridge Marine Terminal for ambient monitoring of contaminants of potential
6902 concern in air such as DPM (possibly as elemental carbon) and speciated PM_{2.5}. This condition
6903 requires consultation with the LFVAQCC on the work plan so details of the monitored parameters
6904 will be addressed in the consultation process.¹²⁴⁹

6905 Based on the above evidence, Trans Mountain maintains that chemical emissions, including DPM,
6906 from the Project and the Project-related marine vessel traffic are not expected to adversely affect
6907 people’s health in the region.

¹²⁴⁷ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-21.

¹²⁴⁸ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-15.

¹²⁴⁹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 19; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

6908 **8.3.3.2 Accidents and Malfunctions**

6909 To assess the potential impacts of an accident or malfunction involving a pipeline spill, facility or
6910 marine vessel associated with the Project on human health, Trans Mountain conducted HHRAs,
6911 including:

6912 (a) Qualitative Human Health Risk Assessment of Westridge Marine Terminal Spills
6913 Technical Report;¹²⁵⁰

6914 (b) Qualitative Human Health Risk Assessment of Marine Transportation Spills Technical
6915 Report;¹²⁵¹

6916 (c) Human Health Risk Assessment of Pipeline Spill Scenarios Technical Report;¹²⁵² and

6917 (d) Human Health Risk Assessment of Facility and Marine Spill Scenarios Technical
6918 Report.¹²⁵³

6919 The overall approach to assessing the potential health effects that could occur among people
6920 present in the area of an oil spill associated with the Project and Project-related marine vessel
6921 traffic proceeded step-wise, beginning with a preliminary qualitative human health risk assessment
6922 (“QHHRA”). The results of the preliminary QHHRA were then used to determine the need for a
6923 more comprehensive assessment to better determine the prospect for people’s health to be affected
6924 and to better define the nature and extent of any health effects that they might experience.¹²⁵⁴

¹²⁵⁰ Exhibit B18-18 - V7 TR 73 QHHRA WESTRIDGE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4X2](#)).

¹²⁵¹ Exhibit B19-39 - V8B TR 8B9 QHHRA MAR SPILL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4R2](#)).

¹²⁵² Exhibit B88-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Surrey Teachers IR No. 1.5a-Attachment1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6U1](#)).

¹²⁵³ Exhibit B106-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1E9](#));
Exhibit B106-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 2 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F0](#));
Exhibit B106-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 3 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F1](#));
Exhibit B106-4 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 4 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1F2](#)).

¹²⁵⁴ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-43.

6925 The approach followed for the QHHRAs of the various spill scenarios differed from that routinely
6926 adopted for the assessment of the potential health risks associated with chemical exposures,
6927 including the HHRAs of the routine operations. Unlike routine operations, which consist of
6928 planned activities for which chemical exposures and any associated health risks can be anticipated
6929 and assessed on the basis of known or reasonably well-defined exposure scenarios, spills represent
6930 low probability, unpredictable events for which the exposures and risks must necessarily be
6931 forecast on the basis of strictly hypothetical scenarios. Accordingly, rather than following a
6932 conventional HHRA paradigm with an emphasis on quantifying the potential risks involved, the
6933 QHHRAs of the various spill scenarios were designed to provide an indication of the prospect for
6934 people's health to be affected under different hypothetical spill scenarios, together with an
6935 indication of the types of health effects, if any, that might be experienced, with both elements
6936 addressed from a qualitative perspective.

6937 The overall approach followed for the QHHRAs included consideration of: the type and volume
6938 of oil spilled; the types of chemicals contained in the spilled oil to which people could be exposed;
6939 the extent to which people could be exposed based on predictions of how the spilled oil and the
6940 chemicals would likely behave in the environment; the manner and pathways by which people
6941 might be exposed to the chemicals; the types of health effects known to be caused by the chemicals
6942 as a function of the amount and duration of exposure; the responsiveness and sensitivity of the
6943 people who potentially could be exposed to the chemicals; and, the emergency response measures
6944 that will quickly be taken by Trans Mountain and other spill response authorities to limit people's
6945 exposure to the chemicals in the unlikely event of a spill.¹²⁵⁵

¹²⁵⁵ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-43 - 45-44.

6946 In their written evidence, Adams Lake Indian Band,¹²⁵⁶ Burnaby,¹²⁵⁷ the City of Vancouver,¹²⁵⁸
6947 Coldwater Indian Band,¹²⁵⁹ Living Oceans Society,¹²⁶⁰ LNIB,¹²⁶¹ NS NOPE,¹²⁶²
6948 Shxw'ōwhámel¹²⁶³ and Upper Nicola Band¹²⁶⁴ expressed concerns over the possible effects that a
6949 pipeline or facility oil spill might have on human health via exposures other than inhalation. In
6950 most cases, the concerns raised were associated with a pipeline spill.

6951 The prospect for and extent to which the general public might be exposed to either the spilled oil
6952 itself and/or chemicals originating from the spilled oil through exposure pathways other than
6953 inhalation were determined to be low to very low, and adverse health effects would not be
6954 anticipated. Opportunity for exposure of the general public by these other pathways would be
6955 limited, in part, because of the emergency and spill response measures that would be taken by
6956 Trans Mountain, the WCMRC, Coast Guard authorities and/or other spill response agencies and
6957 personnel, to quickly contain and recover the spilled oil. These timely, coordinated spill response
6958 actions are intended to reduce the prospect for people to be exposed to the spilled oil itself and/or

¹²⁵⁶ Exhibit C3-14-2 - ALIB Response to MPMO IR #1 (June 14, 2015) ([A4R4D0](#)).

¹²⁵⁷ Exhibit C69-44-22 - Health Impacts - Guidance to Metro Vancouver and Fraser Valley Municipalities to Assist in Reviewing the Trans Mountain Pipeline Expansion Project from Public Health Perspective (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8H6](#)).

¹²⁵⁸ Exhibit C77-28-4 - Appendix 50 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7K9](#)); Exhibit C77-27-1 - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7V8](#)).

¹²⁵⁹ Exhibit C78-13-2 - Coldwater Response to Information Request of Natural Resources Canada (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4H0](#)).

¹²⁶⁰ Exhibit C214-18-5 - Attachment D to written evidence of Living Oceans - Health Risks - Dr Batterman (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9S0](#)).

¹²⁶¹ Exhibit C217-5 -1 - Written Evidence (June 19, 2015) ([A4Q7H4](#)).

¹²⁶² Exhibit C259-9-6 - NSNOPE written evidence (R. Ott) (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9R2](#)).

¹²⁶³ Exhibit C312-7-2 - Sworn Affidavit of Alfred James Shxw'ōwhámel First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9U9](#)); Exhibit C312-8-4 - Mark West Spill Risk Assessment Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1A2](#)).

¹²⁶⁴ Exhibit C363-25-2 - Upper Nicola Band Response to Information Request from Government of Canada (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4I4](#)).

6959 chemicals released from the oil via all exposure pathways on both a short-term and longer-term
6960 basis.¹²⁶⁵

6961 Certain intervenors expressed concerns regarding the potential health effects associated with the
6962 spillage of products other than Cold Lake Winter Blend (“CLWB”) diluted bitumen, including
6963 light and synthetic crudes as well as refined products such as gasoline or jet fuel. As discussed in
6964 Trans Mountain’s response to City of Vancouver IR No. 2.08.04b, although the TMPL system
6965 (existing Line 1) currently transports a variety of crude oil and refined products such as gasoline
6966 or jet fuel, the expansion (Line 2) has been proposed in response to requests for service from
6967 Western Canadian oil producers and West Coast refiners for increased pipeline capacity in support
6968 of growing oil production and access to growing West Coast and offshore markets.¹²⁶⁶ The
6969 expanded TMPL system will have the capability to transport a variety of crude oil products,
6970 including both light and heavy crude oil. Those crude oils often referred to as diluted bitumen will
6971 be the primary crude oil transported in Line 2, and refined products such as gasoline will continue
6972 to be transported in existing Line 1. Assessment of products carried in existing Line 1 is outside
6973 the scope of the Application.¹²⁶⁷

6974 Based on the rationale provided in response to Living Oceans Society IR No. 1.33c¹²⁶⁸ and
6975 summarized below, CLWB diluted bitumen was selected as the representative crude oil for the

¹²⁶⁵ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-66.

¹²⁶⁶ Exhibit B314-46 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Vancouver IR No. 2 (February 18, 2015) ([A4H8I9](#)), 202-203.

¹²⁶⁷ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-58.

¹²⁶⁸ Exhibit B136-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Living Oceans IR No. 1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2T4](#)).

6976 identification of the COPC to be assessed in the HHRAs. The rationale for the selection of CLWB
6977 was:

6978 (a) Diluted bitumen is expected to comprise a large percentage of the oil transported by Line
6979 2.¹²⁶⁹

6980 (b) CLWB is currently transported by Trans Mountain, and it will continue to represent a large
6981 percentage of the total products transported by Line 2. Accordingly, in the unlikely event
6982 of a spill occurring, there is a strong possibility that the spilled product will be CLWB.

6983 (c) The diluent in CLWB is liquid condensate that is rich in light-end hydrocarbons that are
6984 volatile or semi-volatile in nature. These hydrocarbon components could potentially be
6985 released as vapours from the surface of the spilled oil, which would then disperse in a
6986 downwind direction, possibly reaching people who could inhale them.

6987 (d) A sample of CLWB was tested by an accredited third-party laboratory to provide
6988 information on its physical and chemical characteristics. A full list of trace elements and
6989 organic compounds analyzed in CLWB, including the concentration of individual chemical
6990 compounds, was provided in Table 6.2 of the Qualitative Ecological Risk Assessment of
6991 Pipeline Spills Technical Report.¹²⁷⁰

6992 (e) A study characterizing the emissions from the surface of the CLWB in terms of the types
6993 and amounts of chemicals present was conducted. The study was provided as BROKE IR
6994 No 1.9a – Attachment 1 – Flux Chamber Sampling Program in Support of Spill Modelling
6995 for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project Final Report.¹²⁷¹

¹²⁶⁹ Exhibit B18-1 - V7 1.0 TO 5.2.8.3 RISK ASSESS MGMT SPILLS (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4V5](#)), 7-49 – 7-51.

¹²⁷⁰ Exhibit B18-15 - V7 TR 71 01 OF 02 ERA PIPELINE (December 17, 2013) ([A3S4W9](#)).

¹²⁷¹ Exhibit B115-2 – Trans Mountain Response to BROKE IR No.1.9a-Attachment1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D4](#)).

6996 It remains Trans Mountain's position that CLWB diluted bitumen is a representative product for
6997 the assessment of the potential health effects that might be experienced by people in the event of
6998 an oil spill.¹²⁷²

6999 In terms of the specific chemical constituents of the CLWB diluted bitumen that were examined,
7000 selection was guided by the results of a chemical analysis together with the results from a study
7001 characterizing the emissions from the surface of the CLWB as discussed above.¹²⁷³ On the basis
7002 of these results, the COPC consisted principally of lighter-end volatile and semi-volatile
7003 hydrocarbons, including aliphatic and aromatic constituents. These latter constituents included
7004 benzene, which was identified as a chemical of primary concern to certain intervenors.¹²⁷⁴

7005 Consistent with the NEB's letter entitled "Filing Requirements Related to the Potential
7006 Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects of Increased Marine Shipping Activities, Trans
7007 Mountain Expansion Project",¹²⁷⁵ each of the HHRAs examined a set of simulated and unmitigated
7008 spill scenarios involving different-sized spills: one corresponding to credible worst-case
7009 circumstances and the second involving a similar, but smaller-sized spill. Descriptions of each of
7010 the simulated and unmitigated oil spill scenarios are discussed below.

¹²⁷² Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-59.

¹²⁷³ The study was provided as BROKE IR No 1.9a – Attachment 1 – Flux Chamber Sampling Program in Support of Spill Modelling for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project Final Report (See Exhibit B115-2 – Trans Mountain Response to BROKE IR No.1.9a-Attachment1 (June 18, 2014) ([A3Y2D4](#))).

¹²⁷⁴ Exhibit C41-8-2 - Human Health Impacts Report TMEP - Takaro (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6U5](#)); Exhibit C77-28-5 - Appendix 51 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7L0](#)); Exhibit C109-3-1 - Written Evidence D Doherty (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8U3](#)); Exhibit C259-8-2 - NSNOPE written evidence (J Edmonds) (May 26, 2015) ([A4L5V1](#)); Exhibit C214-18-5 - Attachment D to written evidence of Living Oceans - Health Risks - Dr Batterman (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9S0](#)); Exhibit C312-8-3 - Collier Impacts of Freshwater or Marine Spill of Aquatic Resources Report (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1A1](#)).

¹²⁷⁵ NEB Letter and Filing Requirements to Trans Mountain - Related to the Potential Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects of Increased Marine Shipping Activities - Trans Mountain Expansion Project (September 10, 2013), ([A53984](#)).

7011 The QHRA of Westridge Marine Terminal involved the spillage of oil while loading a tanker
7012 vessel at berth at the Westridge Marine Terminal. The Credible Worst-Case spill was assessed
7013 assuming a volume of 160 m³ of CLWB diluted bitumen. At 160 m³, this spill is substantially
7014 smaller than the over 1,500 m³ capacity of the precautionary boom that will be deployed around
7015 each berth while any cargo transfer activities are taking place, and reasonable currents at the
7016 terminal support the full containment of the spilled oil within the pre-deployed boom. As a
7017 conservative approach to this scenario, it was deemed that, for the purpose of oil spill modelling
7018 and health effects assessment, 20 per cent of the oil released (i.e., 32 m³) would escape the
7019 containment boom. This condition was chosen to ensure a conservative approach to spill response
7020 requirements at the site and does not reflect Trans Mountain's expectation for performance of the
7021 precautionary boom, which will be in place to fully contain such a release at the Westridge Marine
7022 Terminal. A smaller release of 10 m³ of CLWB diluted bitumen was also evaluated. This smaller
7023 release was assumed to result from a loading arm leak and be totally contained within the boom
7024 placed around all tankers during loading.¹²⁷⁶

7025 The QHRA of marine transportation involved a second set of simulated and unmitigated spill
7026 scenarios of different sized spills resulting from the grounding of a laden tanker on Arachne Reef.
7027 The Credible Worst-Case oil spill scenario and the similar but smaller spill scenario that were
7028 assessed involve the spillage of 16,500 m³ and 8,250 m³, respectively, of CLWB diluted bitumen
7029 into the northern portion of the Haro Strait from the powered grounding of a laden tanker on
7030 Arachne Reef. Both scenarios shared a number of common features with respect to the various
7031 criteria that governed their selection in terms of the spill location, including:

¹²⁷⁶ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-44.

- 7032 (a) the northern entrance to the Haro Strait has the greatest level of navigation complexity for
7033 the entire passage that would be taken by the tanker, due in part to the nature of the route
7034 and conditions encountered, as well as the numerous vessels that transit the Strait;
- 7035 (b) the tanker was assumed to strike the reef while under its own power; whereas, it has been
7036 proposed that the tanker be tethered to a tug through this part of the passage; and
- 7037 (c) the spill location has a very high environmental and socio-economic value, with several
7038 distinct areas and habitats present including Boundary Bay, the Gulf Islands, the San Juan
7039 Islands, the Salish Sea, and the Juan de Fuca Strait.¹²⁷⁷

7040 The findings of the QHHRAs suggested that people's health could be affected by acute inhalation
7041 exposure to the chemical vapours released during the early stages of an oil spill under each of the
7042 simulated oil spill scenarios examined. Although the health effects would likely be confined to
7043 mild, transient sensory and/or non-sensory effects, attributable largely to the irritant and central
7044 nervous system depressant properties of the chemicals, the findings of the QHHRAs signaled the
7045 need for further analysis to define the nature and extent of any health effects. On this basis, the
7046 HHRA of facility and marine spill scenarios was completed, which presents a more in-depth
7047 analysis of the potential health effects that could be experienced by people under the different
7048 simulated spill scenarios compared to the earlier QHHRAs, providing better definition of the types
7049 of effects that could occur, the time course of these effects, and the populations that might be
7050 affected.¹²⁷⁸

¹²⁷⁷ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-44.

¹²⁷⁸ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-45

7051 In addition, in Trans Mountain's response to Surrey Teachers IR 1.5a – Attachment 1, an HHRA
7052 aimed at identifying and understanding the potential health effects that might be experienced by
7053 people under a set of simulated and unmitigated pipeline oil spill scenarios was completed.¹²⁷⁹ The
7054 oil spill scenarios examined involved the spillage of oil to land in Metro Vancouver as a result of
7055 third-party damage to the pipeline during the summer season. The selection of the spill location
7056 was based, in part, on the fact that more people could be potentially affected by a spill occurring
7057 near an urban centre compared to a spill in a remote, largely uninhabited area along the pipeline
7058 corridor because of the higher population size and density involved. Moreover, the large
7059 population size found in urban centres better allows for the possibility that individuals showing
7060 heightened sensitivity to chemical exposures could be part of the exposed cohort compared to the
7061 sparser populations found in remote areas. In addition, stakeholders at various community
7062 meetings and the Fraser Health and Vancouver Coastal Health expressed an interest in
7063 understanding the potential human health effects that could result from an oil spill in an urban area.
7064 Although the pipeline oil spill scenarios assumed that the spills occurred in Metro Vancouver, the
7065 findings and conclusions of the HHRA were considered to be representative of the manner and
7066 extent to which people's health could potentially be affected by exposure to the chemical vapours
7067 emitted by the spilled oil in the unlikely event of a spill along the entire pipeline route.¹²⁸⁰

7068 Certain intervenors¹²⁸¹ expressed concern regarding the potential health effects that might be
7069 experienced by people in the event of a large tanker spill (i.e., 16,000 m³) within Burrard Inlet or

¹²⁷⁹ Exhibit B88-2 – Trans Mountain Response to Surrey Teachers IR No. 1.5a-Attachment1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6U1](#)).

¹²⁸⁰ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-45.

¹²⁸¹ Exhibit C41-8-2 - Human Health Impacts Report TMEP - Takaro (May 27, 2015) ([A4L6U5](#)); Exhibit C69-44-21 - Health Impacts - VCH and FH to City of Vancouver and City of Burnaby (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8H5](#)); Exhibit C77-28-4 - Appendix 50 (June 12, 2015) ([A4L7K9](#)); Exhibit C77-27-1 - Written Evidence (June 12, 2015) ([A4L7V8](#)); Exhibit C77-28-5 - Appendix 51 (June 12, 2015) ([A4L7L0](#)).

7070 English Bay. This concern was re-iterated in Health Canada's letter of comment.¹²⁸² Identification
7071 of the exact location to be examined in the HHRA¹²⁸³ of the marine transportation spill scenarios
7072 (i.e., Arachne Reef) was risk-informed, taking into consideration both spill probability and
7073 potential consequences in terms of ecological, human and socio-economic sensitivities.¹²⁸⁴
7074 Furthermore, the Credible Worst-Case of 16,500 m³ was specific to a vessel grounding or collision
7075 that results in complete loss of two cargo tanks in an Aframax tanker, which is not a credible
7076 scenario within Burrard Inlet or English Bay. DNV¹²⁸⁵ found that the likelihood of a spill of this
7077 size (i.e., 16,000 m³) occurring in the Burrard Inlet is very low due to the strong set of risk reducing
7078 measures in place as well as the slow speed of tankers and other vessels in this area.¹²⁸⁶

7079 The major conclusions that emerged from the HHRAs were:

- 7080 (a) Based on the weight-of-evidence, there was no obvious indication that people's health
7081 would be seriously adversely affected by acute inhalation exposure to the chemical vapours
7082 released during the early stages of a spill under any of the simulated oil spill scenarios
7083 examined.
- 7084 (b) The evidence indicated that the health effects that could be experienced by people in the
7085 area would likely be confined to mild, transient sensory and/or non-sensory effects,
7086 attributable largely to the irritant and central nervous system depressant properties of the

¹²⁸² Health Canada – Letter of Comment (August 11, 2015) ([A4S0Z6](#)).

¹²⁸³ Exhibit B106-1 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 1 (June 16, 2014) ([A3Y1E9](#));
Exhibit B106-2 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 2 (May 27, 2015) ([A3Y1F0](#));
Exhibit B106-3 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 3 (May 27, 2015) ([A3Y1F1](#));
Exhibit B106-4 – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC HHRA Facility Spill Scenarios Part 4 (May 27, 2015) ([A3Y1F2](#)).

¹²⁸⁴ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-56.

¹²⁸⁵ Exhibit B93-1- Trans Mountain Response to PMV IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X6V4](#)).

¹²⁸⁶ Exhibit B21-2 - V8C TR 8C 12 02 of 03 TERMPOL 3.15 RISK ANAL (December 17, 2013) ([A3S5F6](#)).

7087 chemicals. Odours also might be noticed, which could contribute to added discomfort and
7088 irritability.

7089 (c) The evidence indicated that these mild, transient health effects could be experienced under
7090 all of the simulated oil spill scenarios examined; however, the intensity of the effects would
7091 be greatest for the larger spill sizes because of the higher concentrations of the chemical
7092 vapours that could be encountered and the longer durations of exposure.

7093 (d) Although mild and transient, the effects would still be annoying and discomforting,
7094 indicating the need for and importance of the spill prevention programs described in
7095 Volumes 7 and 8A of the Application. Planning and preparedness around emergency and
7096 spill response also are critical to ensure timely and adequate response to any spill events in
7097 order to limit opportunities for chemical exposures such that public health is not threatened
7098 or compromised, again highlighting the need for and importance of the emergency and spill
7099 response programs described in Volumes 7 and 8A.

7100 (e) The absence of any serious adverse health effects from exposure to the chemical vapours
7101 released from the surface of the oil surface during the early stages of the spill scenarios
7102 applies to people in general, including the general public as well as first responders arriving
7103 on scene. However, because the first responders could remain on scene for some time while
7104 working to isolate, contain, and recover the spilled oil, and could face the prospect of direct
7105 physical contact with the oil and/or more prolonged exposure to the vapours, it is important
7106 that they be trained in emergency and spill response procedures, be equipped with personal
7107 protective equipment and be alert to potential exposure opportunities so as to minimize any
7108 exposures they might receive.¹²⁸⁷

¹²⁸⁷ Exhibit B417-3 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 45 – Human Health Risk Assessment (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F0](#)), 45-48.

7109 A number of considerations were offered by Health Canada in its Letter of Comment in relation
7110 to the development of mitigation measures and spill management plans aimed at minimizing
7111 potential exposure opportunities and any associated health effects that people could experience in
7112 the event of an oil spill, including the importance of: (i) monitoring of environmental media, with
7113 allowance for lag times for the possible appearance of contaminants in drinking water sources
7114 and/or foodstuffs, including country foods; (ii) identification of people and communities
7115 potentially at risk, including Aboriginal communities; and (iii) consultation with health authorities
7116 and potentially-affected communities in the development of communication plans and health
7117 advisories.¹²⁸⁸ Trans Mountain welcomes these considerations and has embraced them as part of
7118 its emergency and spill response programs, as evidenced, in part, by the emergency and spill
7119 response plans described in Volumes 7 and 8A of the Application, on-going dialogue and a
7120 continued commitment to engage and inform the local health authorities and local communities of
7121 emergency and spill response programs.

7122 **8.4 Social Conclusion**

7123 Trans Mountain has taken social considerations and effects related to the Project seriously. Trans
7124 Mountain's comprehensive data collection program and its interactions with stakeholders and the
7125 public have allowed it to carefully assess the potential effects the Project may have on the social
7126 or human environment including Aboriginal groups, communities, service providers, resource
7127 users and other potentially affected groups. Trans Mountain has committed to a comprehensive
7128 suite of mitigation measures which will minimize effects on the social or human environment.
7129 Trans Mountain has also committed to developing a program to monitor adverse socio-economic

¹²⁸⁸ Health Canada – Letter of Comment (August 11, 2015) ([A4S0Z6](#)).

7130 effects during the construction phase of the Project, as per Draft Condition No. 17.¹²⁸⁹ No
7131 significant adverse residual social effects are anticipated in relation to the Project. Given the
7132 dynamic nature of socio-economic conditions and the influence of factors beyond the Project,
7133 Trans Mountain submits that the mitigation measures it proposes are effective and that the issues
7134 that have arisen during the regulatory process will be adequately addressed.

¹²⁸⁹ Exhibit A199 - National Energy Board - Procedural Direction No. 17 – Draft conditions for comment (August 12, 2015) ([A71776](#)), 17; Exhibit B417-5 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence - Appendix 1A - Analysis of Draft Conditions (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F2](#)).

7135 **9. ECONOMIC**

7136 **9.1 Economic Overview**

7137 Trans Mountain's evidence demonstrates the significant economic benefits of the Project to
7138 Canada and its regions, including oil producers in Western Canada and all Canadians. Western
7139 Canadian oil producers are expected to see an increase in netbacks of approximately \$73.5 billion
7140 over the first 20 years of the Project's operations.¹²⁹⁰ The overall economic benefits associated
7141 with the Project include a boost to Canada's GDP by approximately \$22 billion and 123,000 person
7142 years of employment.¹²⁹¹ The fiscal benefits to federal and provincial governments from the
7143 development, operations and higher netbacks to producers are estimated to be approximately \$28
7144 billion over the same time period.¹²⁹²

7145 The main benefits of the Project result from alleviating the current shortage of pipeline capacity,
7146 diversifying market access (e.g., to growing markets in the Pacific basin) and providing option
7147 value to producers.¹²⁹³ The Project will enable Western Canadian producers to realize higher prices
7148 throughout the life of the Project.¹²⁹⁴

¹²⁹⁰ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 14 [amount in 2012 Canadian dollars].

¹²⁹¹ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 46.

¹²⁹² Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-41-2-42; Exhibit B418-1 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Report 1.02 - Reply to Economic Costs and Benefits of TMX for B.C. and Metro Vancouver (Goodman and Rowan Report) (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7J9](#)); Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 45; Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 5; Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 14.

¹²⁹³ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 14-15, 19.

¹²⁹⁴ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-43; Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 56.

7149 The higher Western Canadian crude oil prices attributable to the Project prior to approximately
7150 2024 are due to two primary factors. First, the Project largely eliminates the need for rail transport
7151 of Canadian crude oil. Second, the Project reduces the volume of Canadian crude oil that would
7152 otherwise be forced into the finite North American crude oil market, provides access to the sizable
7153 Asia-Pacific market and gives Canadian crude oil producers a significant alternative to their
7154 historical markets within North America.¹²⁹⁵ Accordingly, the Project can be expected to have a
7155 significant effect on the distribution patterns and pricing dynamics for Western Canadian crude
7156 oil.¹²⁹⁶

7157 The markets in the Pacific basin are attractive to Western Canadian producers because Pacific
7158 basin crude oil prices must be structurally higher than crude oil prices in the Atlantic basin. The
7159 reason for this is that the Pacific basin is projected to become increasingly net short crude oil and,
7160 as a result, will require an increasing volume of crude oil deliveries from the Atlantic basin.¹²⁹⁷
7161 This will remedy the current situation in which access to Pacific basin markets is almost non-
7162 existent, thus providing desirable diversification and optionality benefits to Canadian crude oil
7163 producers.¹²⁹⁸ It will also lessen the amount of Western Canadian crude oil forced into the North
7164 American crude oil market, thereby generating a price lift for all producers. In the initial years of
7165 the Project's operation, the need for more expensive rail transportation is largely eliminated and

¹²⁹⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 5.

¹²⁹⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 10.

¹²⁹⁷ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 50-51.

¹²⁹⁸ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 14.

7166 the transportation savings flow back to Canadian crude oil producers in the form of higher
7167 prices.¹²⁹⁹

7168 **9.2 Purpose and Need for Project**

7169 The demand for transportation services exceeds the current TMPL system capacity and has
7170 resulted in the ongoing need to apportion the available capacity.¹³⁰⁰ Additional pipeline capacity
7171 is required to meet the needs of Trans Mountain's long-term contractual shippers and the general
7172 growth in demand for transportation service by all shippers. The Project will provide additional
7173 transportation capacity for crude oil from Alberta to markets in the Pacific basin including B.C.,
7174 Washington State, California, Hawaii and Asia.¹³⁰¹ Enhancing access to growing Pacific basin
7175 markets provides a critical alternative market to Canadian crude oil producers.

7176 The need for the Project has also been strongly demonstrated by the long-term financial
7177 commitments shippers have made through entering into firm contracts for 80 per cent of the
7178 nominal capacity on the expanded system.¹³⁰² The tolling methodology, including all aspects of
7179 the transportation service agreements, was approved by the Board in its Reasons for Decision RH-
7180 001-2012.¹³⁰³ Shippers would not have freely entered into these long-term contracts if they were

¹²⁹⁹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 15.

¹³⁰⁰ Exhibit B1-1 – V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-21; Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 9.

¹³⁰¹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 4.

¹³⁰² This represents the full amount of the Project's nominal capacity that was made available for firm service, with the remaining 20 per cent of nominal capacity reserved for common carriage service.

¹³⁰³ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2015).

7181 not convinced of the need for the Project. The shippers who signed firm transportation contracts
7182 confirmed their commitment to the Project despite the recent fall in crude oil prices.¹³⁰⁴

7183 Beyond the contracting shippers, there is a need for the Project to meet the transportation
7184 requirements of spot shippers. The TMEP will reserve 20 per cent of the total nominal capacity on
7185 a spot basis for those shippers.¹³⁰⁵

7186 More generally, the Project is required to provide needed market diversification and optionality
7187 for producers in Western Canada. Oil markets are continually subject to changing market
7188 conditions. For Western Canadian producers to obtain access to the highest value markets on an
7189 ongoing basis sufficient pipeline capacity to alternative markets is required.¹³⁰⁶

7190 From a broader public interest perspective, the Project is required to ensure that producers and
7191 governments obtain the highest value for their petroleum resources. Canadians are the ultimate
7192 owners of petroleum resources as represented through their provincial governments. The Canadian
7193 public is deprived of receiving the full market value, increased employment and associated tax
7194 revenues for these resources when it is not possible to access the highest value end markets.¹³⁰⁷

7195 During this process, intervenors raised various challenges related to the purpose and need for the
7196 Project. For example, some intervenors took the position that there is no demonstrated need for

¹³⁰⁴ Exhibit C37-3-2 - Response of BP Canada Energy Group ULC to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7K8](#)); Exhibit C344-1 - Tesoro Canada Supply & Distribution Ltd. - Response to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A71459](#)); Exhibit C50-2 - Canadian Oil Sands, Cenovus, Devon, Husky Oil, Imperial Oil, Statoil, Suncor and Total - Response to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A71461](#)); Exhibit C37-6 - BP Canada Energy Group ULC - Amended Response to NEB Information Request No. 1 ([A74389](#)).

¹³⁰⁵ Exhibit B1-1 – V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-22.

¹³⁰⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 14.

¹³⁰⁷ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-21, 1-22.

7197 the Project because: (i) supply is unlikely to grow as fast as Trans Mountain has predicted and if
7198 the TMEP is approved, excess pipeline capacity will be created; (ii) there are numerous other
7199 options to transport oil (e.g., other pipelines and rail); and (iii) the benefits of the Project are
7200 negative.¹³⁰⁸ As discussed below, these claims are unfounded and without merit.

7201 **9.3 Harrison Report**

7202 In the report entitled “Review of “Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis of the Trans Mountain
7203 Expansion Project for Trans Mountain Pipeline (ULC)”” (“Harrison Report”), Dr. Kathryn
7204 Harrison submits the Muse Report is flawed because it: (i) relies on CAPP projections that likely
7205 overstate production in both the Muse Base Case and the TMEP Case; (ii) fails to consider the
7206 implications of transportation costs for different tanker classes; and (iii) fails to consider the
7207 competitive response by alternative crude oil suppliers to Asian markets.¹³⁰⁹ According to Dr.
7208 Harrison, these flaws result in an overstatement of the economic benefits of the Project. This
7209 submission is incorrect for several reasons.

7210 Regarding the first alleged flaw, some Canadian crude oil producers that responded to the 2015
7211 CAPP survey would have known that various pipelines were being proposed by proponents such
7212 as Trans Mountain, TransCanada Corporation and Enbridge Inc. While Dr. Harrison’s assumption
7213 that this would increase the production forecast of the producers is reasonable, she provides no
7214 evidence regarding the extent to which this assumption influenced the CAPP crude oil supply
7215 forecast. Producers that assumed more export pipelines would be built would likely have higher

¹³⁰⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹³⁰⁹ Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 3.

7216 crude oil production forecasts than those that assumed fewer pipelines would be built, all else
7217 equal.

7218 The Muse Report assumed that Canadian crude oil supply will not be affected by the Project
7219 regardless of whether or not it proceeds.¹³¹⁰ Dr. Harrison argues that the Muse analysis is invalid
7220 because it fails to consider how the CAPP supply forecast may have been influenced by pipeline
7221 assumptions. If the Muse Report's assumption of no change in Canadian crude oil supply is not
7222 acceptable, then the logical analytical alternative would be to estimate the amount by which the
7223 Project increases Canadian crude oil supply and capture both the benefits of increasing the
7224 Edmonton price of crude oil and the net benefit of higher Canadian crude oil production. If this
7225 alternative is accepted, Project benefits will be greater than that estimated in the Muse Report to
7226 the extent that some of the producers who participated in the CAPP survey assumed no new
7227 pipelines would be built. Accordingly, Dr. Harrison's assertion that Project benefits have been
7228 overstated due to pipeline related assumptions in the CAPP forecast is not only inaccurate but
7229 demonstrates that Project benefits have been understated.

7230 Second, Dr. Harrison alleges that the Muse Report failed to consider the implications of
7231 transportation costs for different tanker classes, specifically, "the ability of Arabian Gulf exporters
7232 to use larger Suezmax or VLCC tankers, in comparison to the smaller and more expensive Aframax
7233 tankers to which the Westridge Terminal is limited."¹³¹¹ In fact, the Muse Report accounted for

¹³¹⁰ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 31.

¹³¹¹ Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 2.

7234 the cost of different tanker classes as evidenced by its reliance on a VLCC-class vessel to assess
7235 the delivered cost of competing Middle East crude oil grades in Northeast Asia.¹³¹²

7236 Dr. Harrison’s third alleged flaw in the Muse Report, which concerns the competitive response by
7237 alternative crude oil suppliers to Asian markets, is addressed in section 9.7.3 – Atlantic and Pacific
7238 Basin Crude Oil Price Comparison of this final argument.

7239 Dr. Harrison mischaracterized the International Energy Agency (“IEA”) World Energy Outlook
7240 2015 (“WEO 2015”) report, released in November 2015, as recognition by the IEA that lower oil
7241 prices may represent a “new normal.”¹³¹³ The Harrison Report includes the following excerpt from
7242 the WEO 2015:

7243 WEO 2015 (p. 153) notes that “Views will differ on the feasibility
7244 of the individual [public policy and market] assumptions”
7245 underlying the Low Oil Price scenario, but “in our judgement, each
7246 of them is reasonable and plausible.”¹³¹⁴

7247 The entire context for the above WEO 2015 statement does not suggest that the IEA is of the view
7248 that lower oil prices is a “new normal”. Trans Mountain, through the IR process, requested that
7249 the City of Vancouver file the above referenced IEA report to confirm this context.¹³¹⁵ The City
7250 of Vancouver declined to file the report due to publication restrictions.¹³¹⁶ Trans Mountain submits

¹³¹² Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 45.

¹³¹³ Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 5.

¹³¹⁴ Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 5.

¹³¹⁵ Exhibit B439-2 – Trans Mountain Supplemental Evidence IR to City of Vancouver (December 7, 2015) ([A4W2X7](#)).

¹³¹⁶ Exhibit C77-57-1 – Response to Trans Mountain Information Request (December 11, 2015) ([A4W4L6](#)).

7251 that, given the lack of context on the record, the Harrison Report’s characterization of the IEA’s
7252 conclusion that lower oil prices represent a “new normal” should be given no weight.

7253 **9.4 The Value of Excess Pipeline Capacity**

7254 The Gunton Report takes the position that the pipeline capacity added by the Project will result in
7255 considerable net costs through the creation of excess capacity.¹³¹⁷ According to the Gunton Report,
7256 the oil transportation market is characterized by major imperfections that prevent the market from
7257 achieving public interest outcomes and the regulatory process was created to address these market
7258 imperfections.¹³¹⁸ These assertions are unfounded for the reasons below.

7259 As an initial matter, the Muse Report indicates that the commissioning of the Project will result in
7260 a reduction in the use of rail capacity, not pipeline capacity, and does not create excess pipeline
7261 capacity.¹³¹⁹ This is to be expected—the oil industry has long preferred to transport crude by
7262 pipeline rather than rail.¹³²⁰ In contrast, the Gunton Report assumes that 550 kb/d of rail capacity
7263 will continue to be used, even in the circumstance where both the TMEP and the TransCanada
7264 Energy East Pipeline Project (“Energy East”) are commissioned.¹³²¹ This assumption is
7265 unreasonable and serves to increase the amount of excess capacity that the Gunton Report
7266 attributes to the Project.

¹³¹⁷ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹³¹⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 75.

¹³¹⁹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 12.

¹³²⁰ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 12.

¹³²¹ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 39.

7267 The evidence of John Reed indicates that the Project provides a feasible and efficient means of
7268 addressing the asymmetrical risk of too much or too little capacity.¹³²² Some excess capacity in
7269 the pipeline system provides shippers with options to react to shifts in market demand to maximize
7270 netbacks on an ongoing basis. Having transportation infrastructure that accommodates shifts in
7271 market preferences creates value by providing the option and ability to redirect flows as markets
7272 change, thereby promoting economically efficient outcomes.¹³²³ Moreover, inadequate pipeline
7273 capacity has resulted in extraordinary discounts in crude oil prices. For much of 2012 and 2013
7274 severe market disequilibrium was experienced in the Canadian heavy crude oil market, primarily
7275 due to the lack of market diversification available to Canadian oil producers.¹³²⁴

7276 The Gunton Report is essentially asking the Board to protect the industry from itself. This
7277 regulatory approach is the antithesis of the Board's view that the market should decide which
7278 projects are built.¹³²⁵ The Board does not have a practice of picking winners and losers.¹³²⁶

7279 In its Reasons for Decision for the Keystone XL Project, the NEB recognized the value of some
7280 excess capacity in the pipeline system when building for market growth:

7281 The Board is of the view, however, that prudent design must
7282 consider both the current and future requirements for transportation
7283 service over the life of a Project to achieve the objective of
7284 efficiency. The Board is satisfied that the Keystone XL Pipeline, as
7285 proposed, reflects a reasonable balance of both the current and

¹³²² Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 2.

¹³²³ Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 2.

¹³²⁴ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 57-58.

¹³²⁵ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009 (March 2010), 32; NEB – Reasons for Decision – MacKenzie Gas Project – GH-1-2004 (December 2010), Volume 2, Chapter 7.

¹³²⁶ Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 10.

7286 anticipated requirements of shippers over the longer term, given the
7287 supply potential of the WCSB and the size of the USGC market.¹³²⁷

7288 Excess transportation capacity is required for competitive markets to efficiently close arbitrage
7289 opportunities.¹³²⁸ Closing arbitrage opportunities means reducing the basis differential to the
7290 transportation cost between trading points, which requires the availability of excess transportation
7291 capacity to achieve this efficient market outcome. The NEB can approve pipeline projects that
7292 have demonstrated market support, subject to conditions to ensure that the projects will be built
7293 and operated in a manner that protects the environment and considers other public interests. The
7294 market will then determine which projects should proceed and on what timeline.¹³²⁹

7295 The Gunton Report asserts that the Project creates the possibility of major commercial impacts on
7296 other oil transportation capacity by creating excess capacity.¹³³⁰ If this was a substantive concern
7297 to industry, one could reasonably expect to see some industry objections to the Project due to these
7298 potential “major commercial impacts”. No other pipeline company or shipper has intervened to
7299 object to the Project on the grounds that it will create excess capacity. Pipeline companies are not
7300 averse to intervening in NEB proceedings when they perceive a substantive commercial threat.¹³³¹

7301 In response to an IR from the NEB, the Project’s firm shippers stated that they were not concerned
7302 about the potential for excess capacity on the pipeline system:

¹³²⁷ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009 (March 2010), 18.

¹³²⁸ Exhibit B418-11 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Attachment 1.12 – Reply to Tsawout First Nation, Upper Nicola Band and Living Oceans Society “Public Interest Evaluation of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project” (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7K9](#)), 3; Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 19.

¹³²⁹ Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 11.

¹³³⁰ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹³³¹ See for example, NEB – Report – NOVA Transmissions Ltd. GH-001-2014 (April 2015); NEB – Reasons for Decision – NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. – GH-001-2012 (January 2013).

7303 If other pipelines were to experience some degree of under-
7304 utilization for a period of time, shippers on those systems could
7305 potentially experience higher tolls. However, all western Canadian
7306 producers are likely to benefit from the Project over the longer term,
7307 through broader market access, greater customer choice and
7308 efficiencies gained through competition among pipelines.¹³³²

7309 Clearly, the Canadian oil industry is not concerned about the potential for excess transportation
7310 capacity. Rather, industry recognizes the benefits that some additional capacity will bring to all
7311 Western Canadian oil producers. This view is explicitly expressed by CAPP which is the leading
7312 trade organization for the Canadian oil industry. In its 2015 report, CAPP states:

7313 Market diversity and corresponding expanded transportation
7314 capacity remain key issues associated with this latest outlook.
7315 Canadian production requires additional tidewater access in order to
7316 reach global markets and even some prospective North American
7317 markets, including California.

7318 [...]

7319 Pipeline projects to the East, West and South are being developed
7320 and all are needed to provide sufficient market diversification to
7321 western Canadian producers.¹³³³

7322 The evidence indicates that industry needs additional pipeline capacity as soon as possible, and
7323 that the benefits of any potential excess capacity can be expected to far outweigh the costs. Trans
7324 Mountain submits that the NEB can approve the Project, confident that it will be used and useful
7325 and that it will provide benefits that extend to all crude oil producers in Western Canada, not just
7326 the long-term contractual shippers on the Project.

¹³³² Exhibit C50-2 - Canadian Oil Sands, Cenovus, Devon, Husky Oil, Imperial Oil, Statoil, Suncor and Total - Response to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A71461](#)).

¹³³³ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), iii-v.

7327 **9.5 Market-Based Determinations**

7328 Trans Mountain submits that the Board may want to consider the approach it has taken when
7329 assessing applications for long-term liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) export licence applications.
7330 The NEB has approved several of these applications that, in aggregate, amount to a very large
7331 quantity of natural gas being licensed for export. The Board made the following statement in its
7332 most recent letter decision:

7333 The Board acknowledges that, in aggregate, the LNG export licence
7334 applications submitted to the Board to date represent a significant
7335 volume of LNG exports from Canada. However, all of these LNG
7336 ventures are competing for a limited global market and face
7337 numerous development and construction challenges. Consistent
7338 with the evidence submitted in WPMV’s Application, the Board
7339 believes that not all LNG export licences issued by the Board will
7340 be used or used to the full allowance. The Board also evaluates each
7341 application based on the merit of its own evidence.¹³³⁴

7342 In other words the Board is approving all of the export licence applications that meet the Board’s
7343 requirements under Part VI of the NEB Act and is letting the market determine which projects will
7344 actually proceed. In a similar manner, the Board may wish to consider approving those applications
7345 for new pipeline facilities which meet the requirements of section 52 of the NEB Act, and let the
7346 market determine which projects actually proceed to construction and operation rather than
7347 attempting to determine the amount of pipeline capacity that the industry requires. Trans Mountain
7348 submits that such an approach would be consistent with the Board’s responsibilities to protect the
7349 public interest while at the same time respecting the choices of market participants to make the
7350 best decisions in their interests.

¹³³⁴ NEB – Letter of Decision of WestPac Midstream – OF-EI-Gas-W159-2014-01 01 (May 7, 2015).

7351 **9.6 Trans Mountain Analytical Approach**

7352 To quantify the impact of the Project on Canadian crude oil prices, a highly detailed mathematical
7353 model of the North American crude oil market has been utilized. The model, referred to as the
7354 Muse Crude Oil Market Optimization Model (“Optimization Model”), predicts the crude oil
7355 distribution patterns throughout North America and the resultant crude oil prices. The key model
7356 input variables include the supply volume of all North American crude oils, North American and
7357 overseas refinery capacity and transportation capacities and costs. The Optimization Model is well
7358 suited for assessing the market implications of the Project, which represents a major change in
7359 Canadian crude oil logistical infrastructure.¹³³⁵

7360 To assess the impact of the Project on Canadian crude oil prices, the Optimization Model evaluates
7361 the following scenarios:

- 7362 (a) the Base Scenario, which incorporates all of the pipeline, rail routes and capacities that are
7363 reasonably expected to be available in 2018; and
7364 (b) the TMEP Scenario, which adds only the Project to the transportation modes available in
7365 the Base Scenario.¹³³⁶

7366 The only Optimization Model input variable that differs between the two scenarios is the inclusion
7367 of the Project in the TMEP Scenario. All other model input variables are exactly the same.
7368 Consequently, the differences in the predicted Canadian crude oil prices, pipeline flows and rail
7369 volumes are attributed to the Project.¹³³⁷ Trans Mountain submits that consistent with the Board’s

¹³³⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 4.

¹³³⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 5.

¹³³⁷ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 5.

7370 approach to LNG exports, the Optimization Model provides an assessment of the merits of the
7371 TMEP on its own and that the consideration of other potential pipeline projects is unnecessary.

7372 **9.7 Methodological Flaws in the Gunton Report**

7373 The Gunton Report contains several significant methodological flaws. These flaws include: (i)
7374 inclusion of other potential pipeline projects in the Gunton Report’s benefit-cost analysis (“BCA”)
7375 Base Case; (ii) overstatement of prospects for rail transportation in circumstances where there is
7376 available pipeline capacity; (iii) failure to acknowledge that the Project will influence Western
7377 Canadian crude oil prices; and (iv) improper attribution of “costs” to the Project.

7378 The Gunton Report methodology compares two options—building the Project and not building the
7379 Project—using a BCA model.¹³³⁸ Both options assume that Energy East is operational beginning
7380 in January 2020 with 800 kb/d of effective crude oil transportation capacity.¹³³⁹ As a result, “excess
7381 capacity” is predicted to spike in 2020.¹³⁴⁰ According to the BCA, the asserted cost of “Unused
7382 Oil Transportation Capacity” is 59 per cent of the total “Base Case Net Cost” and all the asserted
7383 costs of the “unused oil transportation capacity” are assigned to the Project.¹³⁴¹ This approach fails
7384 to acknowledge that the unused pipeline capacity is attributable to both the Project and Energy
7385 East, neither of which have been approved. The Gunton Report does not explain why all asserted
7386 costs of unused oil transportation capacity are assigned to the Project.

¹³³⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 38.

¹³³⁹ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 39.

¹³⁴⁰ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 31.

¹³⁴¹ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 39.

7387 The Gunton Report assumes that 550 kb/d of Western Canadian crude oil will be transported by
7388 rail and is unavailable for pipeline transport.¹³⁴² While this assumption increases the quantum of
7389 “excess capacity” resulting from the Project, it is illogical to assume that 550 kb/d of rail
7390 transportation will be required when there is excess pipeline capacity. The oil industry has
7391 consistently elected, when possible, to use pipelines instead of rail to transport crude oil. Canadian
7392 crude oil shipments by rail are a recent development and are a reflection of inadequate pipeline
7393 capacity.¹³⁴³ The assumption that 550 kb/d of crude oil will be transported by rail, notwithstanding
7394 excess pipeline capacity, results in a significant overstatement of the asserted amount and costs of
7395 any unused oil transportation capacity.

7396 In its Base Case BCA analysis, the Gunton Report assumes there is a zero “Option Value/Oil Price
7397 Netback Increase.”¹³⁴⁴ This assumption reflects a misunderstanding of the Muse analysis. It also
7398 reflects a misunderstanding of option values. Options are valuable because they might be used, not
7399 because they will be used. While option values are not quantified in the Muse Report, the
7400 optionality and diversification benefits that can be expected from the Project are nonetheless
7401 valuable to the industry and reduce the likelihood of a recurrence of the price discounting of
7402 Canadian crude oil experienced in recent years.¹³⁴⁵

¹³⁴² Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 39.

¹³⁴³ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 42; Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), 32.

¹³⁴⁴ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 69.

¹³⁴⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 57-58; Exhibit B427-6 – 4a Direct Evidence of John J. Reed Updated September 25, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F2](#)), 4.

7403 In discussing the implications of changing the supply volume of Western Canadian crude oil, the
7404 Gunton Report submits that the Muse Report "...assumes that supply in the North American
7405 market is reduced by 500 kb/d, which is inconsistent with [Muse's] other statements that North
7406 American oil consumption, oil supply, and oil prices are the same with and without the TMEP."¹³⁴⁶
7407 This is not the case. The Muse Report is discussing the market implications of lowering Western
7408 Canadian crude oil supply, not the crude oil supply to all of North America.¹³⁴⁷

7409 The Gunton Report further attempts to defend its zero "Option Value/Oil Price Netback Increase"
7410 assumption with assertions that the "...marginal barrel of Canadian oil is shipped by rail to the
7411 same destination USGC [U.S. Gulf Coast] with and without the TMEP and therefore the marginal
7412 price should be the same..." and that "[m]ost Canadian oil shipped to other destinations on other
7413 transportation systems would receive the same price with and without the TMEP."¹³⁴⁸ For the
7414 reasons below, these market theories are unsupported and without merit.

7415 It is entirely unreasonable to argue, as does the Gunton Report, that changing the crude oil supply
7416 volume at Edmonton by 500 kb/d will not influence the price of Canadian crude oil at Edmonton.
7417 This is a significant change in the crude oil supply volume in Edmonton. The Gunton Report's
7418 general comments about pricing dynamics in the global crude oil market do not provide any
7419 information regarding specific pricing dynamics in the Edmonton crude oil market in support of
7420 this position. The Gunton Report does not cite any oil industry analyst or other source in support
7421 of the assertion that the volume of crude oil supplied at Edmonton does not influence the price of

¹³⁴⁶ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 15.

¹³⁴⁷ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 11.

¹³⁴⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 16.

7422 crude oil at Edmonton. If CAPP was not concerned about the pricing implications of rising Western

7423 Canadian crude oil production, it would not have made the following statements:

7424 The timely development of infrastructure to obtain market access is
7425 a continuing concern.¹³⁴⁹

7426 [...]

7427 Access to tidewater is needed in order for Canadian producers to
7428 serve global markets that lie beyond North America, such as Asia
7429 and Europe.¹³⁵⁰

7430 The assertion that the marginal barrel is shipped by rail to the Gulf Coast and “therefore the price
7431 should be the same” irrespective of the crude oil volume shipped to the Gulf Coast has no analytical
7432 or economic basis. The Muse Report and Trans Mountain’s IR responses to the NEB clearly
7433 demonstrate that both the Gulf Coast and Northeast Asia are acting as the incremental market for
7434 Canadian heavy crude oil.¹³⁵¹

7435 The Gunton Report’s theory that the value of Canadian crude oil on the Gulf Coast or in Northeast
7436 Asia is the same irrespective of the volume supplied is similarly unfounded. The Muse Report
7437 identifies 32 refineries on or near the Gulf Coast that are represented in its Optimization Model.
7438 The Muse Report specifically identifies 49 refineries in Northeast Asia.¹³⁵² To develop the
7439 Canadian crude oil refining values in Northeast Asia employed in the Optimization Model, the
7440 Singapore crude oil and product prices are translated to other Asian locations by applying the

¹³⁴⁹ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), i.

¹³⁵⁰ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), 11.

¹³⁵¹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 73-77; Exhibit B430-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X2](#)), 15-16.

¹³⁵² Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 63-65.

7441 applicable freight and quality differentials.¹³⁵³ The value of Canadian crude oil is a function of the
7442 volume processed in the refinery.¹³⁵⁴ There is no uniform price for Canadian crude oil on the Gulf
7443 Coast or in Northeast Asia irrespective of the volume of Canadian crude oil supplied to these
7444 regions. The Gunton Report's theory that there is only one price for Canadian crude oil on the Gulf
7445 Coast or anywhere else is not defensible.

7446 With respect to market dynamics, the Gunton Report states that “[m]ost Canadian oil shipped to
7447 other destinations on other transportation systems would receive the same price with and without
7448 the TMEP.”¹³⁵⁵ No evidence is provided to support the proposition that Canadian crude oil
7449 producers are able to price discriminate among their buyers based on where crude oil is delivered.
7450 In reality, a Canadian crude oil producer may not know where crude oil is shipped as it is generally
7451 not the party that arranges for delivery.¹³⁵⁶ This assertion is simply a restatement of the view that
7452 the crude oil volume supplied at Edmonton does not influence the crude oil price at Edmonton,
7453 which is refuted by the results of the Muse analysis. Moreover, the Muse Report models
7454 differences in prices related to the ability to send oil to different locations.¹³⁵⁷

¹³⁵³ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 52.

¹³⁵⁴ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 47.

¹³⁵⁵ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 16.

¹³⁵⁶ Exhibit B430-3 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Burnaby Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X3](#)), 104-105.

¹³⁵⁷ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 47-48.

7455 **9.7.1 Other Issues with the Gunton Report**

7456 The Gunton Report criticizes the Muse Report for using the CAPP 2015 Growth Forecast while
7457 failing to consider the CAPP Operating and In Construction Forecast (referred to in the Gunton
7458 Report as the “low growth forecast”) and states that “CAPP does not provide any assessment of
7459 the likelihood of the two forecasts.”¹³⁵⁸ The implication that CAPP assigns each forecast an equal
7460 probability is a mischaracterization of the CAPP 2015 report. The CAPP Growth Forecast is
7461 clearly CAPP’s expected, or most likely, case. This is supported by the following CAPP
7462 statements:

7463 Pipeline projects to the East, West and South are being developed
7464 and all are needed to provide sufficient market diversification to
7465 western Canadian producers.¹³⁵⁹

7466 [...]

7467 Even with this lower growth forecast, an expansion of the existing
7468 transportation infrastructure is needed to connect growing crude oil
7469 supply from Western Canada to new markets.¹³⁶⁰

7470 The Gunton Report argues that higher tolls on the TMEP will reduce the netback received by
7471 shippers and reduce the alleged benefits.¹³⁶¹ This argument is flawed. The TMEP toll does not
7472 influence the Edmonton crude oil price until the TMEP toll rises to the point where the pipeline is
7473 no longer operating at capacity. At this point, the Project begins to act as the price setting

¹³⁵⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 10-11.

¹³⁵⁹ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), v.

¹³⁶⁰ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)), v.

¹³⁶¹ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 12.

7474 mechanism and influences the Edmonton price. If the Project is operating at capacity, higher tolls
7475 affect the shippers' economics and not the Edmonton crude oil price.¹³⁶²

7476 The Gunton Report asserts that the Muse Report assumed that rail costs were almost always higher
7477 than pipeline costs and that this evidence is questionable due to inconsistency with other rail cost
7478 evidence filed by Trans Mountain.¹³⁶³ The Muse Report makes no such assumption. Rather, the
7479 rail costs are based on industry experience and research.¹³⁶⁴ The implicit argument in the Gunton
7480 Report that the oil industry should not be supporting the construction of new pipelines because rail
7481 is less costly is without merit. There is no evidence that the Canadian oil industry prefers rail over
7482 pipelines for cost reasons. The Board can be confident that the highly sophisticated parties that
7483 signed the transportation service agreements for the Project are fully capable of correctly
7484 calculating rail versus pipeline costs.

7485 The Gunton Report also claims that the Muse Report used a dated price forecast for its analysis
7486 because it relied on the 2014 IEA forecast that estimates oil prices will remain above \$100 per
7487 barrel throughout the forecast period. There is no basis for this claim. The Muse Report does not
7488 utilize an IEA crude price forecast and this can be determined by a cursory examination of the
7489 crude oil price forecast.¹³⁶⁵

¹³⁶² Exhibit B430-3 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Burnaby Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X3](#)), 39-30; Exhibit B430-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X2](#)), 37.

¹³⁶³ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 12.

¹³⁶⁴ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 44.

¹³⁶⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 67.

7490 For the analysis, Muse used its standard crude oil and refined petroleum product price forecast as
7491 of September 2015. The Muse analysis employs a proprietary methodology for the development
7492 of price forecasts that is fundamentally based on five key market variables: (i) dated North Sea
7493 which establishes the absolute price level for all crude oils and products; (ii) natural gas price at
7494 the Houston Ship Channel which influences refinery operating costs and the liquid petroleum gases
7495 to light product (e.g., gasoline, diesel) pricing relationships; (iii) contribution margin for a Gulf
7496 Coast cracking refinery which influences the light product to crude oil differential; (iv) the
7497 contribution margin for a Gulf Coast coker which influences the light-heavy product differential;
7498 and (v) the ultra-low sulfur diesel to unleaded regular differential.¹³⁶⁶ None of these rely on an
7499 IEA crude oil price forecast.

7500 Moreover, crude oil price relationships and transportation costs have a greater influence on the
7501 benefit estimates for the Project than absolute crude oil price. The crude oil pricing relationships
7502 are fundamentally based upon refining economics which are more stable than absolute oil
7503 prices.¹³⁶⁷

7504 **9.7.2 Atlantic and Pacific Basin Crude Oil Price Comparison**

7505 The Gunton Report and the Harrison Report speculate that crude oil prices in the Pacific basin will
7506 not trade above those in the Atlantic basin over the long-term.¹³⁶⁸ In contrast, the Muse Report
7507 argues that crude oil must structurally flow from the Atlantic basin to the Pacific basin and

¹³⁶⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 48.

¹³⁶⁷ Exhibit B430-4 – Trans Mountain Response to City of Vancouver Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X4](#)), 15.

¹³⁶⁸ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 45-16; Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 3.

7508 therefore, the price in the Pacific basin must be higher to justify the transportation costs.¹³⁶⁹
7509 Dr. Harrison speculates that it is “plausible that crude exporters currently serving Asian markets
7510 would respond to competition from the TMEP by lowering their prices in order to maintain their
7511 market share.”¹³⁷⁰ This view is unwarranted. Crude oil exports through the Project to Asia will
7512 represent approximately four per cent of the total current crude oil imports to the region and the
7513 Project’s market share will fall as regional crude oil imports continue to rise.¹³⁷¹

7514 Latin American crude oil exports to China have risen significantly in the last several years
7515 demonstrating that it is possible for new crude exporters to enter Asian markets.¹³⁷² The Project is
7516 not changing the global supply of crude oil. If 500 kb/d of crude oil is transported from North
7517 America into the Pacific basin markets, then there is 500 kb/d of crude oil demand in the Atlantic
7518 basin that must be satisfied by some other crude oil exporter. There is no evidence that other crude
7519 exporters will respond by lowering their prices such that Canadian crude oil exporters will not be
7520 able to compete in the Pacific basin markets.

7521 The fact that Asian markets will require growing crude oil imports from Latin America indicates
7522 that there are very good market prospects for Canadian crude oil producers shipping from the
7523 Westridge Terminal which is much closer to Asia than any Latin American country.¹³⁷³

¹³⁶⁹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 51, 53-54.

¹³⁷⁰ Exhibit C77-53-3 – Appendix S-1 – Report of Professor Kathryn Harrison November 2015 (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0J5](#)), 19-21.

¹³⁷¹ Exhibit B430-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB Replacement Evidence IR (October 26, 2015) ([A4U6X2](#)), 33.

¹³⁷² Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 25.

¹³⁷³ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 22, 50.

7524 Accordingly, the Gunton Report’s general concern that Pacific basin prices will not be higher, and
7525 Dr. Harrison’s specific concern that Canadian crude oil exports cannot carve out a four per cent
7526 market share, are baseless.

7527 **9.8 The Project will Result in Increased Netbacks for Producers**

7528 **9.8.1 Netbacks and Price-Setting Mechanisms**

7529 The Gunton Report contains two major critiques of Trans Mountain’s estimate of benefits to
7530 producers from the Project. First, Trans Mountain did not adequately consider the less costly
7531 option of shipping undiluted bitumen by rail. As discussed above, producers are well aware of the
7532 potential options for shipping bitumen by rail and yet they are opting to commit to long-term firm
7533 contracts shipping bitumen crude by pipeline. Second, the Gunton Report states that Trans
7534 Mountain’s analysis assumes that the oil market is perfectly competitive and that TMEP
7535 shipments are the marginal deliveries establishing (and in this case increasing) the netbacks for all
7536 Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (“WCSB”) sales.¹³⁷⁴ This assumption is not valid.

7537 Contrary to the views expressed in the Gunton Report, the TMEP can reasonably be expected to
7538 provide higher netbacks to producers.¹³⁷⁵ The approach taken by Trans Mountain to estimate these
7539 benefits is consistent with sound economic theory and the real world nature of competitive
7540 markets. The oil market is an international one in which arbitrage opportunities are exploited and,
7541 as noted in the Direct Testimony of Mr. John Reed, where “the law of one price” prevails, whereby

¹³⁷⁴ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹³⁷⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 56.

7542 differences between prices are eliminated by market participants taking advantage of arbitrage
7543 opportunities until prices converge across markets.¹³⁷⁶

7544 The Gunton Report also incorrectly states that Trans Mountain assumed that TMEP shipments are
7545 the marginal deliveries establishing (and in this case increasing) the netbacks for all WCSB sales.
7546 Trans Mountain does not assume that TMEP shipments provide the marginal deliveries of heavy
7547 crude from the WCSB. The Project is not acting as the price setting mechanism for the price of
7548 crude oil at Edmonton, either under the Base Scenario or the TMEP Scenario.¹³⁷⁷ The existing
7549 TMPL has been under apportionment for a number of years and currently is not the marginal
7550 transportation mode. Trans Mountain is not accessing the incremental market that establishes the
7551 crude oil price at Edmonton. Accordingly, just as is the case today, under either the Base or the
7552 TMEP Scenario, the TMPL will not be acting as the price setting mechanism for Western Canadian
7553 crude oil prices because it is not transporting the marginal or incremental barrel of Western
7554 Canadian crude oil supplied to the market.¹³⁷⁸

7555 Trans Mountain submits that the estimates of netback benefits provided in its Replacement
7556 Evidence are valid and provide a reasonable basis for estimating the benefits of the TMEP.

¹³⁷⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 9.

¹³⁷⁷ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 41.

¹³⁷⁸ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 9-10.

7557 **9.9 Benefit-Cost Analysis**

7558 As indicated in Trans Mountain's response to Allan R IR No. 1.01x,¹³⁷⁹ Trans Mountain does not
7559 believe that a quantification of the environmental impacts is needed to evaluate whether the Project
7560 is in the public interest, nor is a BCA required.

7561 In economic terms, if the Project adequately addresses the potential negative environmental and
7562 safety concerns (externalities), the costs of addressing environmental and safety issues are
7563 internalized to the Project. Therefore, there is no need to conduct an exercise that attempts to
7564 quantify these impacts because the costs associated with these externalities are already internalized
7565 to the Project costs and borne by Trans Mountain. If the Project remains economically feasible
7566 after these concerns are addressed, it will be in the public interest.¹³⁸⁰

7567 The NEB Filing Manual does not mention BCA and the Board does not require applicants to
7568 quantify all potential benefits and costs associated with a project. In a number of previous
7569 proceedings, the Board has approved projects that did not submit a comprehensive BCA. In March
7570 1990, the Board issued its Reasons for Decision G-4-89, Review of Certain Aspects of the Market-
7571 Based Procedure, concerning gas export applications and the use of BCAs and noted the general
7572 limitations of a BCA:

7573 [A]s applied to the calculation of the value of total incremental
7574 production costs, benefit-cost results tend to fluctuate widely,
7575 depending on the assumptions and forecasts used.

7576 [...]

7577 In view of the foregoing, the Board has decided not to use benefit-
7578 cost analysis in its gas export licensing procedures and will
7579 henceforth not require applicants for licences pursuant to Part VI of
7580 the Act to provide evidence on the net social benefits of their

¹³⁷⁹ Exhibit B40-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Allan R IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X5V9](#)), 14.

¹³⁸⁰ Exhibit B40-1 – Trans Mountain Response to Allan R IR No. 1 (June 4, 2014) ([A3X5V9](#)), 5, 14.

7581 projects. The Board notes that this decision is confined to the use of
7582 benefit-cost analysis in Part VI proceedings. Furthermore, the Board
7583 is satisfied that it can fulfill its mandate under Section 118 of the Act
7584 and can find proposed exports to be in the public interest without
7585 using benefit-cost analysis to assess export applications.¹³⁸¹

7586 With the exception of Northern Gateway,¹³⁸² a BCA has typically not been filed for NEB or JRP
7587 facilities applications. TransCanada's Keystone XL as well as Enbridge's Alberta Clipper and Line
7588 9 projects did not file a BCA with their applications.¹³⁸³

7589 There are a number of reasons why a BCA is neither appropriate nor helpful to the Board in making
7590 its public interest determination. First, while many of the benefits and burdens associated with
7591 pipeline projects can be quantified, many other impacts are less tangible. Relying on these less
7592 tangible impacts to arrive a monetary value renders the information useless. In the Northern
7593 Gateway proceeding the Board acknowledged this dilemma by stating that, when it comes to
7594 making a public interest determination, “[s]ome effects can be measured in dollars and cents...
7595 [m]any effects cannot.” Second, a wide range of input assumptions can be applied to a BCA which
7596 has the potential to lead to an equally wide range of results. Finally, the wide range of input
7597 assumptions and the sensitivity of BCA results allows for unreliable findings that are subject to a

¹³⁸¹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Review of Certain Aspects of the Market-Based Procedure – GHW-4-89 (March 1990), 27-28.

¹³⁸² In the Northern Gateway proceeding, the BCA of Wright Mansell Research Ltd. was submitted in reply to a BCA filed by an intervenor. The Wright-Mansell Research study of July 2012 entitled “*Public Interest Benefit Evaluation of the Enbridge Northern Gateway Pipeline Project: Update and Reply Evidence*” Prepared by Eglinton P, Mansell R, Ruitenbeek J, and Schlenker R, which includes various references to spill costs and probabilities relevant to NGP in the context of a benefit cost analysis, and includes an Appendix B entitled “*Valuation of Environmental Externalities*”. Coincidentally, the WMR Report was filed in its entirety in the current Proceedings as evidence by Catherine Douglas (See Exhibit C112-2-4 - Wright Mansell Research Report for NEB B83-4 Attachment 2 Public Interest Benefit Evaluation Update and Reply Evidence) (May 27, 2015) ([A2V1R8](#) – [A4Q0A9](#)).

¹³⁸³ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009 (March 2010); NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines Inc. – OH-4-2007 (February 22, 2008) ([A17787](#)); NEB – Reasons for Decision – Enbridge Pipelines Inc. – OH-002-2013 (March 6, 2014).

7598 wide range of expert conclusions which do not assist the Board in addressing key issues. This is
7599 precisely what occurred in Northern Gateway.

7600 Similar to the regulatory proceeding for the TMEP, Enbridge did not file a BCA with its
7601 application for the Northern Gateway Project. The Coastal First Nations filed intervenor evidence
7602 that included a BCA that focused on costs and benefits to the Canadian oil industry and claimed
7603 that the Northern Gateway Project would result in roughly \$1.5 billion in net costs.¹³⁸⁴ Enbridge
7604 responded by filing a BCA conducted by Wright Mansell Research Ltd. (“Wright Mansell BCA”)
7605 despite the fact that the NEB did not require it to do so. According to Dr. Mansell, “it was an
7606 exercise to put in more detail than was provided in the Coastal First Nations and, actually better
7607 information; we had better information on a lot of the items.”¹³⁸⁵

7608 The Wright Mansell BCA concluded that the Northern Gateway Project would result in a net
7609 benefit to Canada of \$23.5 billion.¹³⁸⁶ In other words, two parties were each able to utilize a BCA
7610 to reach different conclusions regarding the net benefits of the project, with the differential
7611 between the two analyses being \$25 billion. In its Reasons for Decision for the Northern Gateway
7612 Project, the JRP made no reference to the BCAs.¹³⁸⁷

7613 When determining whether a project is in the public interest, the Board assesses the benefits and
7614 the burdens of a project and takes into consideration economic, environmental and social interests.
7615 The Board expects applicants to identify burdens associated with the project and to implement

¹³⁸⁴ Exhibit C214-18-7 – Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans – Public Interest Assessment – Dr. Gunton et.al. (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9S2](#)).

¹³⁸⁵ NEB – Hearing Order – Northern Gateway Pipeline Inc., hearing Examination by Ms. Hales (September 22, 2012), transcript line 27374.

¹³⁸⁶ Exhibit C112-2-1- Wright Mansell Research Report for NEB B83-4 Attachment 2 Public Interest Benefit Evaluation Update and Reply Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A2V1R8](#) – [A4Q0A9](#)).

¹³⁸⁷ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2.

7616 measures aimed at reducing the risk and impact of the burdens. In many cases, the Board will make
7617 the approval of a project conditional on the implementation of measures designed to further
7618 mitigate the burdens of the project.

7619 Projects should be built in a way that protects the public interest. In the Application, Trans
7620 Mountain provides extensive information on the potential benefits and burdens of the Project.
7621 Trans Mountain has also provided information regarding proposed mitigation measures and the
7622 commitments it has made to reduce burdens placed on local and regional communities. The Gunton
7623 Report BCA serves as a prime example of why the Board is well advised to continue its practice
7624 that cost-benefit analyses are not required or expected in public interest determinations for
7625 facilities applications.

7626 **9.10 Economic Cost of a Spill**

7627 A number of intervenors and commenters have addressed issues associated with the liability for
7628 and compensation related to the costs of a potential oil spill arising from Project operations of the
7629 pipeline, from activities at a facility or from operations of Project-related tankers calling at the
7630 Westridge Marine Terminal.¹³⁸⁸ Trans Mountain notes that in some cases the evidence filed does
7631 not specify whether the costs are associated with pipeline, facility or tanker spills. Similarly, the
7632 evidence at times does not specify whether the spills originate in the terrestrial or marine

¹³⁸⁸ Exhibit C73-5-1 - Affidavit of Dorit Mason (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6L4](#)); Exhibit C74-11-3 - Evidence of Paul Rockwood Port Moody (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7Q6](#)); Exhibit C358-13-15 - Vol 5 Tab 4A Appendix 1 Assessment of Spill Risk Report (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A6](#)); Exhibit C106-8-22 - Affidavit of Dorit Mason (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0H6](#)); Exhibit C107-10-1 - Affidavit of Dorit Mason (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6L4](#)); Exhibit C112-2-5 - FU Goodman Report (2014) Economic Costs and Benefits of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMX) for B.C. and Metro Vancouver 20141110 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0C1](#)); Exhibit C219-6-2 - Written Evidence of Lyackson First Nation (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0H9](#)); Exhibit C223-3-1 – Makah KM-TM writ-evid 5-27-15 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2A4](#)); Exhibit C350-3-1 - TLBCC Intervenor evidence May 27th submission (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q2G1](#)); Exhibit C376-8-1 – WSDOE Written Evidence With Cover Letter (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q1X6](#)); Exhibit C411-1-1 - Written Evidence of the Maa-nulth Nations (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6D5](#)).

7633 environment. Trans Mountain notes that it is not the Responsible Party in the event of a tanker-
7634 based spill. Nevertheless, Trans Mountain is interested in addressing concerns about the safety of
7635 tankers, prevention of oil spills, and ensuring that adequate and efficient response means are
7636 available, should a low likelihood event such as an oil spill take place.¹³⁸⁹

7637 Some intervenors are concerned because their evidence shows spill costs in the range of billions
7638 of dollars while existing compensation schemes will fall short of this amount. The City of
7639 Vancouver,¹³⁹⁰ among others, have expressed such concerns in their evidence. Tsawout First
7640 Nation, in their Response to Government of Canada IRs relating to a draft issues tracking table
7641 indicated that “there will be damages from potential oil spills of between \$2.3 and \$18.6 billion
7642 that will only be partially mitigated by existing spill compensation mechanisms.”¹³⁹¹

7643 Intervenors have relied on evidence such as the Goodman Report,¹³⁹² the Sumaila Report,¹³⁹³
7644 observations by Mr. Jeremy Stone¹³⁹⁴ and submissions by Brand Finance.¹³⁹⁵ The evidence in
7645 these reports typically does not pay attention to risk profiles, especially the likelihood of such an
7646 occurrence in the region, and the reports thus implicitly ignore the credibility of the scenario, the
7647 outflows, or the costs associated with outflows. Moreover, the evidence typically relies on
7648 selective, high-cost incidents that are not applicable to this Application. The scenarios routinely

¹³⁸⁹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 61 – Marine Spill Liability Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)), 61-3.

¹³⁹⁰ Exhibit C77-27-1 - Written Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7V8](#)).

¹³⁹¹ Exhibit C355-18-2 - Tsawout First Nation Response to Information Request from Government of Canada (July 14, 2015) ([A4R4G4](#)), 15-16.

¹³⁹² Exhibit C112-2-2 - Douglas NEB Hearing Evidence May 2015 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0A6](#)).

¹³⁹³ Exhibit C77-31-8 - Appendix 83 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L9G4](#)).

¹³⁹⁴ Exhibit C77-30-6 - Appendix 81 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8E9](#)).

¹³⁹⁵ Exhibit C77-30-7 - Appendix 82 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8F0](#)).

7649 refer to incidents such as the Exxon Valdez single-hull tanker oil spill, the Deepwater Horizon
7650 well blowout or the Kalamazoo oil spill in Michigan among others. These cases are not analogs
7651 for a spill associated with the TMEP. Costs are exaggerated, outflows are over-stated and the
7652 incident likelihood or credibility is not addressed, rendering these reports not particularly
7653 credible.¹³⁹⁶

7654 The Gunton & Broadbent Report makes the most aggressive case for stating that compensation
7655 systems are inadequate. When the authors include items such as passive use values, their
7656 speculative spill costs “could increase up to \$25.5 billion.”¹³⁹⁷

7657 The Gunton & Broadbent estimates of costs and resulting conclusions regarding the adequacy of
7658 the compensation regimes are a flight of fantasy. The authors consistently select the highest
7659 multipliers or spill values in the literature, and ignore any assessment of whether it is reasonable
7660 or correct to transfer values from the “selected case studies” (Kalamazoo in this instance) or
7661 literature values for damage multipliers. Interestingly, the authors accepted five key spill cost
7662 parameters from Etkin yet ignore her primary finding—that unit costs decline with volume
7663 spilled—that would have reduced estimated costs. It is inappropriate to manipulate the costs in
7664 such a manner. To compute tanker spill costs, they also incorrectly transfer values from the Wright
7665 Mansell Research Report (“WMR Report”).¹³⁹⁸ They ignore the facts that the WMR Report used
7666 such values for a different purpose (Cost Benefit Analysis sensitivity analyses), in a different
7667 context (greenfield circumstances), and for a different project (Northern Gateway). In drawing

¹³⁹⁶ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 61 – Marine Spill Liability Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([AS47F1](#)), 61-4.

¹³⁹⁷ Exhibit C358-13-15 - Vol 5 Tab 4A Appendix 1 Assessment of Spill Risk Report (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6A6](#)), 13.

¹³⁹⁸ Exhibit C112-2-4 - Wright Mansell Research Report for NEB B83-4 Attachment 2 Public Interest Benefit Evaluation Update and Reply Evidence (May 27, 2015) ([A2V1R8](#) – [A4Q0A9](#)).

7668 faulty inferences from the WMR Report, they ignored the one piece of peer-reviewed evidence
7669 that might have generated defensible costs as it provided regression estimates of spill costs based
7670 on International Oil Pollution Compensation Fund data. Had the authors considered the Kontovas
7671 regressions, their spill costs estimates would have been an order of magnitude lower and fallen
7672 well within currently available compensation limits under the compensation regime applied in
7673 Canada. Calculations in Trans Mountain’s reply to the Gunton & Broadbent Report demonstrate
7674 that, based on the Kontovas regressions, spill costs would be no more than \$455 million for the
7675 very scenario Gunton & Broadbent described. For that same scenario, Gunton & Broadbent
7676 inferred a cost of \$4.4 billion. As a consequence, their conclusions are neither realistic nor
7677 conservative and cannot be relied upon.¹³⁹⁹

7678 In contrast to the assumptions and methods used in some intervenor evidence, the assumptions and
7679 approaches on which Trans Mountain has relied for assessing spill costs are conservative and
7680 reasonable. They suit the purpose (estimating potential liability), the location (as defined by the
7681 Application), and the circumstances (that the Application is an expansion of existing operations
7682 that have been ongoing for 60 years). Significant evidence has already been placed on the record
7683 through Trans Mountain’s Application and supplemental filings, through Trans Mountain’s
7684 responses to IRs and through independently prepared material (e.g., Transport Canada’s report
7685 entitled “TERMPOL Review Process Report on the Trans Mountain Expansion Project”).¹⁴⁰⁰ This
7686 evidence illustrates that adequate financial resources are available to meet claims in the event of a
7687 spill.

¹³⁹⁹ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 61 – Marine Spill Liability Compensation (August 20, 2015) ([AS47F1](#)), 61-4.

¹⁴⁰⁰ Exhibit C353-4-3 - TMEP TERMPOL Report December 11 2014 (December 11, 2014) ([A4F8Z4](#)).

7688 The Application provides Trans Mountain's evidence relating to oil spills for which it is the
7689 Responsible Party. The assessment indicates that a credible worst-case spill would have a cost of
7690 the order of \$100 million to \$300 million. Additional sensitivity analyses are reflected in Trans
7691 Mountain's Response to NEB IR No. 1.10b.¹⁴⁰¹ The response indicates that a large spill (4,000
7692 m³) affecting a high consequence area would have a cost of the order of \$340 million. A full
7693 description of the model with all assumptions and equations was provided as part of Trans
7694 Mountain's IR responses.¹⁴⁰²

7695 Trans Mountain has also documented the resources available to address such costs. Trans
7696 Mountain has access to \$750 million in insurance for a land-based spill. Compensation frameworks
7697 and insurance covering a land-based spill are described in responses to NEB IR No. 1.08b to
7698 1.08h.¹⁴⁰³ In the event that a liability occurs that is in excess of its insurance, Trans Mountain
7699 expects that any losses and claims would be paid out of cash reserves and cash flow from
7700 operations, which are illustrated in the response to NEB IR No. 1.09a and 1.09b.¹⁴⁰⁴

7701 **9.11 Upgrading and Refining in Canada**

7702 Certain intervenors expressed concerns that the Project would adversely impact domestic
7703 upgrading and refining.¹⁴⁰⁵ While its evidence is largely outside the scope of this proceeding as
7704 specified in the Board's List of Issues, Unifor argues that by shipping mainly diluted bitumen

¹⁴⁰¹ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 32.

¹⁴⁰² Exhibit B280-5 – Trans Mountain Follow-Up Response to NEB Ruling 33 Allan R F-IR No. 1.18c Attachment1 (October 17, 2014) ([A4D3G4](#)).

¹⁴⁰³ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (stricken in part) (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 24-28.

¹⁴⁰⁴ Exhibit B32-2 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 1 1 of 2 (stricken in part) (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H8](#)), 29-30.

¹⁴⁰⁵ Exhibit C362-4-2 - Evidence of Unifor (Revised) (May 27, 2015) ([A4L8F0](#)), 1.

7705 destined for foreign markets, the Project is supporting upgrading and refining activity in other
7706 countries thereby undermining such value-added production in Canada. If approved, the Project
7707 will in no way inhibit or prevent further investment in domestic upgrading and refining operations.
7708 Rather, the Project will offer significant benefits to Chevron's existing Burnaby refinery in B.C.
7709 by increasing the amount of spot market transportation capacity available to deliver oil to that
7710 facility.¹⁴⁰⁶

7711 Canada is a significant net exporter of petroleum products. It should be recognized that whether
7712 products are transported to market as heavy oil, diluted blend, synthetic crude oil or refined
7713 products, there is still a requirement for additional pipeline capacity to facilitate diversified market
7714 access. Otherwise, the lost export opportunities will result in foregone production and the
7715 associated loss of employment, income and fiscal benefits.

7716 In its evidence, Unifor takes the position that the Project is not in the public interest because it fails
7717 to capture the full value of its petroleum through upgrading and refining. The implication of this
7718 position is that the Board should only approve oil pipeline projects that, regardless of market
7719 sentiment and economic realities, support domestic upgrading and refining. It is Trans Mountain's
7720 firm belief that neither the Board nor any other government entity should be engaged in
7721 protectionist policy-making designed to subsidize or give preference to domestic upgrading and
7722 refining. Whether a particular project supports greater upgrading and refining activity in Canada
7723 is a decision that is best left to the market. The Board believes that well-functioning, competitive

¹⁴⁰⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 1.

7724 markets efficiently balance supply and demand and lead to innovative and robust energy
7725 systems.¹⁴⁰⁷

7726 Under section 52 of the NEB Act, the Board has broad discretion to decide what factors are relevant
7727 to a public interest determination. In previous hearings the Board has considered the impact of
7728 regulatory decisions on value-added production. Specifically, the Board has addressed the issue of
7729 how an oil pipeline project designed to ship diluted bitumen—as opposed to refined petroleum
7730 products—might impact domestic upgraders and refiners. In Keystone XL, the Board stated:

7731 [T]he Board has not been convinced that development of pipeline
7732 infrastructure deters investment in upgraders and refineries in
7733 Canada. The Board also believes that given the fact the Keystone
7734 XL would have the ability to transport both heavy and light crude
7735 oil and potentially with modifications, refined petroleum products
7736 that the market would properly decide what type of commodity is
7737 transported on the pipeline.¹⁴⁰⁸

7738 The Board came to a similar conclusion in Northern Gateway, where it stated:

7739 The Panel is of the view that properly functioning petroleum
7740 markets require adequate transportation capacity to be in place and,
7741 further, that the type of commodity to be transported on a pipeline
7742 is a decision properly made by the market. The Panel is of the view
7743 that well-functioning markets tend to produce outcomes that are in
7744 the public interest.

7745 [...]

7746 The Panel finds that no evidence was presented that lead it to
7747 conclude that the development of new infrastructure to significantly
7748 increase access to growing crude oil markets will hinder the
7749 functioning of the Canadian refining and upgrading sector. The
7750 Panel agrees with the view of the Government of Alberta that,
7751 should additional domestic refining and upgrading capacity

¹⁴⁰⁷ National Energy Board, “Canadian Energy Dynamics: Review of 2014 - Energy Market Assessment” (February 2014) online: <<https://www.neb-one.gc.ca/nrg/ntgrtd/mrkt/dnmc/2014/index-eng.html#ftn1back>>; National Energy Board, “Canadian Pipeline Transportation System - Energy Market Assessment” (April 2014) online: <<https://www.neb-one.gc.ca/nrg/ntgrtd/trnsprtt/2014/index-eng.html>>.

¹⁴⁰⁸ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. – OH-1-2009 (March 2010), 34.

7752 materialize, pipelines can be reconfigured to transport a range of
7753 hydrocarbons, including refined petroleum products.¹⁴⁰⁹

7754 **9.12 Employment and Economy**

7755 The selected indicators for employment and economy included national and provincial economies;
7756 regional employment; municipal economies; contracting and procurement; training and capacity
7757 development; business and livelihood disruption.¹⁴¹⁰

7758 The ESA concluded that there were potential residual socio-economic effects on employment and
7759 economy indicators associated with the construction and operations of the Project.¹⁴¹¹ However, it
7760 is important to note the significant socio-economic benefits the Project will provide regarding
7761 employment and the economy.

7762 First and foremost, Project capital expenditures were estimated at approximately \$5.4 billion in
7763 nominal dollars¹⁴¹² (or \$4.9 billion in 2012 Canadian dollars) at the time that the Application was
7764 filed.¹⁴¹³

7765 Secondly, Trans Mountain commissioned an independent study by the Conference Board of
7766 Canada to estimate the economic and fiscal benefits of the Project. The Conference Board of

¹⁴⁰⁹ Enbridge Northern Gateway Joint Review Panel Report (December 2013), Volume 2, 335.

¹⁴¹⁰ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-158.

¹⁴¹¹ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-165.

¹⁴¹² Exhibit B1-1 – V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)); Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 6.

¹⁴¹³ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC (December 16, 2013) ([A3S1S7](#)), 7-167.

7767 Canada found that the Project would result in substantial economic benefits at the national and
7768 provincial levels as defined in section 2 – Legal Framework of this final argument.¹⁴¹⁴

7769 Third, Trans Mountain submits that the Project will yield benefits to communities and regions
7770 along the right-of-way through employment and procurement/contracting opportunities and
7771 through the generation of additional municipal taxes for the operating life of the Project. Trans
7772 Mountain estimated that the additional municipal property taxes generated by the Project will be
7773 about \$22.1 million (a 103 per cent increase) annually in B.C. and \$3.2 million (a 119 per cent
7774 increase) annually in Alberta.¹⁴¹⁵

7775 Fourth, the Project will provide benefits to Aboriginal groups. Trans Mountain has invested
7776 significant resources in Aboriginal contracting and funding for Aboriginal participation,
7777 TLRU/TMRU studies, capacity funding for engagement, third-party technical reviews, socio-
7778 economic studies, work plans and Mutual Benefits Agreements.¹⁴¹⁶ Trans Mountain is committed
7779 to maximizing Project-related Aboriginal business opportunities and is committed to the
7780 completion of opportunity monitoring reports as suggested through Draft Condition No. 94. Trans
7781 Mountain is also committed to maximizing Project-related Aboriginal employment opportunities
7782 and is committed to the completion of opportunity monitoring reports, as suggested through Draft
7783 Condition No. 94. Further, Trans Mountain has developed a Training and Education Program to
7784 support training opportunities for Aboriginal peoples related to pipeline construction and
7785 transferrable skills. This will result in long-term, meaningful benefits to the Aboriginal population

¹⁴¹⁴ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 27.

¹⁴¹⁵ Exhibit B1-4 - V2 3of4 PROJ OVERVIEW (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-42.

¹⁴¹⁶ Exhibits B417-21 to B417-22 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Appendix 7A: Consultation Update No. 4 – Aboriginal Engagement (August 20, 2015) ([AS47G8](#), [AS47G9](#)).

7786 in communities whose reserves and asserted traditional territories may be directly affected by the
7787 Project as detailed in section 6.2 – Aboriginal Procurement, Employment and Training of this final
7788 argument.

7789 Regarding procurement, Trans Mountain has committed to developing a Project-specific policy
7790 six months prior to construction,¹⁴¹⁷ which will be based on the KMC Procurement Policy,
7791 Procedures and Transaction Guidelines. All major construction contracts will include contract
7792 language to pass on Trans Mountain’s commitment to maximizing Project-related Aboriginal,
7793 local and regional business and employment opportunities to construction contractors. These
7794 contracts will also include requirements for contractor monitoring and reporting on Project-related
7795 Aboriginal, local and regional procurement (business) opportunities as well as employment and
7796 training opportunities.

7797 As detailed in the ESA, there are no situations for employment and economy indicators that would
7798 result in a significant adverse residual socio-economic effect. Therefore, the adverse residual
7799 socio-economic effects of Project construction and operation on employment and economy
7800 indicators will be not significant. It is important to note that there will be significant positive
7801 residual socio-economic effects related to provincial and national economic benefits, as well as
7802 the increase in municipal taxes.¹⁴¹⁸

7803 **9.13 Tolls and Tariffs**

7804 In respect of tolls, the NEB’s mandate is found in Part IV of the Act. Sections 62 and 67 specify
7805 the “fundamental standards of toll-making” and state:

7806 Tolls to be just and reasonable

¹⁴¹⁷ Exhibit B32-1 – Trans Mountain Letter NEB IR No. 1 May 1 2014 (May 14, 2014) ([A3W9H7](#)), 159.

¹⁴¹⁸ Exhibit B5-38 - V5B ESA 13of16 SOCIOEC ([A3S1S7](#)) (December 16, 2013), 7-198.

7807 62. All tolls shall be just and reasonable, and shall always, under
7808 substantially similar circumstances and conditions with respect to
7809 all traffic of the same description carried over the same route, be
7810 charged equally to all persons at the same rate.

7811 No unjust discrimination

7812 67. A company shall not make any unjust discrimination in tolls,
7813 service or facilities against any person or locality.¹⁴¹⁹ [emphasis
7814 added]

7815 The primary principle that the NEB considers in determining whether tolls are just and reasonable
7816 is the cost causation or cost-based/user pay principle, which is that tolls should be, to the greatest
7817 extent possible, based on the cost of the pipeline facilities and the users of a pipeline system should
7818 bear the financial responsibility for the costs caused by the transportation of their product through
7819 the pipeline.

7820 Unjust discrimination, fairness and economic efficiency are also principles that the Board
7821 considers in determining whether a proposed tolling methodology is appropriate. The Board may
7822 also consider the following factors in determining whether the Board's broad tolling principles are
7823 met for pipeline system expansions: (i) the degree of integration between the expansion and the
7824 remainder of the system; (ii) the nature of the service provided by the expansion; (iii) benefits to
7825 existing toll payers; and (iv) practicality, toll stability and administrative simplicity.

7826 In May 2013, pursuant to NEB Reasons for Decision RH-001-2012, the Project received approval
7827 pursuant to Part IV of the NEB Act for the toll methodology, terms and conditions that would
7828 apply to the Project. The applied-for toll methodology resulted from an Open Season and is based
7829 on negotiated tolls rather than cost of service. While the toll methodology involved negotiations
7830 between Trans Mountain and its shippers, those negotiations included confidential discussions

¹⁴¹⁹ NEB – Reasons for Decision – TransCanada PipeLines Limited – RH-1-2007 (July 2007), 21.

7831 between Trans Mountain and each shipper separately and consequently, it was not presented as a
7832 negotiated settlement as set out in the Board's guidelines.

7833 According to the Board, the Open Season and negotiation process conducted by Trans Mountain
7834 was fair and transparent. After considering the entirety of the record the Board concluded, on
7835 balance, that the toll methodology as proposed by Trans Mountain will produce tolls that will be
7836 just, reasonable and not unjustly discriminatory. Further, the Board noted Trans Mountain's
7837 commitment to continue to maintain the integrity of the pipeline and its safe operation if the
7838 proposed toll methodology was approved.¹⁴²⁰

7839 In its written evidence, Unifor asserts that a recent amendment to Trans Mountain's tariff, which
7840 was approved by the Board, puts a Canadian refinery at a competitive disadvantage to U.S.
7841 refiners.¹⁴²¹ The tariff amendment referred to by Unifor was proposed in response to the NEB's
7842 MH-002-2012 Reasons for Decision where the Board found that the current nomination and
7843 capacity allocation procedures are likely contributing to ongoing apportionment of the TMPL. In
7844 its Decision, the Board directed Trans Mountain to submit its proposed procedures, or an
7845 explanation of why the procedures in place were adequate. In response to this request, Trans
7846 Mountain filed a Tariff Amendment Application regarding Verification Procedures. The
7847 application was assessed by the Board in the RHW-001-2013 proceeding. In the RHW-001-2013
7848 Reasons for Decision, the Board provided direction for Trans Mountain to implement certain Tariff
7849 amendments regarding verification procedures. These Tariff amendments were necessary to deal
7850 with a current Trans Mountain operational issue and were not precipitated by the Application. In
7851 Trans Mountain's view, the outcome of the RHW-001-2013 proceeding is not relevant to the List

¹⁴²⁰ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013), 1.

¹⁴²¹ Exhibit C362-4-2 - Unifor Evidence TMX (May 26, 2015) ([A4L6C6](#)), 4-5.

7852 of Issues.¹⁴²² The Board made the following statement in the RHW-001-2013 Reasons for
7853 Decision:

7854 If unintended impacts arise or if market circumstances materially
7855 change, the Board expects Trans Mountain and its shippers to
7856 negotiate solutions between themselves. Should the parties fail to
7857 reach an agreement, they may bring any concerns forward to the
7858 Board for resolution.¹⁴²³

7859 **9.14 Need for the Project**

7860 The NEB must find that the applied for facilities are required in the public convenience and
7861 necessity. Trans Mountain submits that the evidence overwhelmingly demonstrates that there is a
7862 need for the Project, that the Project is in the public interest, and that the Project should be
7863 approved.

7864 The strongest evidence of the need for the Project is the long-term contractual and related financial
7865 commitments made by shippers. Firm contracts account for 80 per cent of the nominal capacity on
7866 the expanded system. In May 2013 the Project received approval pursuant to Part IV of the NEB
7867 Act for the toll methodology, terms and conditions that would apply to the Project.¹⁴²⁴ Shippers

¹⁴²² Exhibit B417-2 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 5 – Tariffs (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7E9](#)), 5-1.

¹⁴²³ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC on behalf of Trans Mountain Pipeline L.P. – RHW-001-2013 (January 2015), 39.

¹⁴²⁴ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013); Exhibit C2-2 - BP Canada Energy Trading Company - Written Evidence of BP Canada Energy Trading Company (December 13, 2012) ([A49778](#)); Exhibit C2-9 - BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Argument of BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. (February 20, 2013) ([A50539](#)); Exhibit C11-2 - Nexen Marketing - Written Evidence of Nexen Marketing (December 13, 2012) ([A49780](#)); Exhibit C14-2 - Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Evidence of Statoil Canada Ltd. (December 13, 2012) ([A49781](#)); Exhibit C15-4 - Suncor Energy Marketing Inc. and Suncor Energy Products Partnership - Written Evidence (December 13, 2012) ([A49786](#)); Exhibit C16-6 - Total E&P Canada Ltd. - Written Direct Evidence of Total E-P Canada Ltd. (December 13, 2012) ([A50376](#)).

7868 would not have freely entered into these contracts if they were not convinced of the need for the
7869 Project and that they would utilize the capacity.¹⁴²⁵

7870 Pursuant to section 52 of the NEB Act, the NEB must determine whether the applied-for Project
7871 is economically feasible. The evidence submitted by Trans Mountain regarding market
7872 opportunities in the Pacific basin, including Washington State, China and other Asian countries,
7873 demonstrates that there are adequate markets for the Project.¹⁴²⁶ The long-term transportation
7874 contracts demonstrate that shippers have adequate supply to support the Project; shippers would
7875 not make these commitments if this was not the case. Lastly, the evidence submitted by Trans
7876 Mountain on projected oil production from Western Canada clearly demonstrates that there will
7877 be sufficient and growing production to ensure the Project will be used at a high utilization rate.
7878 This evidence is demonstrative of the Project's economic feasibility.

7879 Trans Mountain notes that Dr. Harrison and Dr. Jaccard questioned the outlook for oil demand and
7880 the need for the Project in their evidence.¹⁴²⁷ In Replacement Evidence, Trans Mountain
7881 demonstrated that both Dr. Harrison and Dr. Jaccard were relying on hypothetical "what if"

¹⁴²⁵ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013); Exhibit C2-2 - BP Canada Energy Trading Company - Written Evidence of BP Canada Energy Trading Company (December 13, 2012) ([A49778](#)); Exhibit C2-9 - BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Argument of BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. (February 20, 2013) ([A50539](#)); Exhibit C11-2 - Nexen Marketing - Written Evidence of Nexen Marketing (December 13, 2012) ([A49780](#)); Exhibit C14-2 - Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Evidence of Statoil Canada Ltd. (December 13, 2012) ([A49781](#)); Exhibit C15-4 - Suncor Energy Marketing Inc. and Suncor Energy Products Partnership - Written Evidence (December 13, 2012) ([A49786](#)); Exhibit C16-6 - Total E&P Canada Ltd. - Written Direct Evidence of Total E-P Canada Ltd. (February 6, 2013) ([A50376](#)).

¹⁴²⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 45-46.

¹⁴²⁷ Exhibit C77-27-11 - Appendix 10 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7W8](#)); Exhibit C77-27-14 - Appendix 13 (May 27, 2015) ([A4L7X1](#)).

7882 scenarios that do not represent the most likely view of the future demand for petroleum.¹⁴²⁸ Based
7883 on these findings, Trans Mountain is of the view that the scenarios relied on by Drs. Harrison and
7884 Jaccard are extremely improbable.

7885 According to the Gunton Report¹⁴²⁹ there is no need for the Project because:

- 7886 (a) Trans Mountain has underestimated the amount of pipeline capacity there will be in place
7887 and the Project will only create excess capacity;
- 7888 (b) Trans Mountain has overestimated the likely growth in crude oil production; and
- 7889 (c) Trans Mountain demonstrated upward bias in its oil price forecasts.

7890 These claims are clearly refuted in Trans Mountain's Replacement Evidence.¹⁴³⁰ With respect to
7891 the first point, the Gunton Report alleged that Trans Mountain's evidence showed that there would
7892 be excess pipeline capacity if all the proposed pipeline projects went ahead. The fact is that, with
7893 growing oil production and market changes, new pipeline capacity is required. As discussed above,
7894 the Project has received support from shippers in the form of long-term financial commitments.¹⁴³¹

7895 The Gunton Report also claimed that Trans Mountain underestimated available capacity because
7896 it excluded rail capacity. Trans Mountain's Replacement Evidence demonstrates that the Gunton
7897 Report was based on a serious misunderstanding of the industry. The reality is that pipeline
7898 transportation is far more efficient, and less costly, than transport by rail. Shippers will use pipeline

¹⁴²⁸ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 16-20.

¹⁴²⁹ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹⁴³⁰ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 41.

¹⁴³¹ Exhibit C50-2-2 - Response of Canadian Oil Sands, Cenovus, Devon, Husky Oil, Imperial Oil, Statoil, Suncor and Total to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7K5](#)); Exhibit C37-3-2 - Response of BP Canada Energy Group ULC to NEB Information Request No. 1 (July 27, 2015) ([A4R7K8](#)).

7899 capacity when it is available because rail is generally not a cost-effective option, except in unique
7900 situations. While the Gunton Report suggests that new pipeline capacity is not required because
7901 rail is available, Trans Mountain correctly concludes that crude oil shippers prefer to use the less
7902 costly means of pipeline transportation.¹⁴³²

7903 With respect to the likely growth in crude oil production, Trans Mountain believes that its revised
7904 forecast is both reasonable and credible. The June 2015 CAPP supply forecast is the fundamental
7905 basis for the Western Canadian crude oil supply outlook used in the Muse analysis.¹⁴³³ It is the
7906 most current of the available forecasts and is the only forecast that specifically provides a crude
7907 oil supply outlook for Western Canada. In Western Canada, the volume of crude oil production
7908 differs from the volume of crude oil grades supplied to the market because of diluent addition and
7909 volumetric losses across upgraders. CAPP describes its 2015 crude oil supply forecast as being
7910 reflective of the current crude oil price environment.¹⁴³⁴

7911 **9.14.1 Economic Benefits of the Project**

7912 Canadian production currently lacks sufficient pipeline capacity to the Asia/Pacific region as
7913 evidenced by the ongoing oversubscription of the TMEP and the firm contracts for 707,500 barrels
7914 per day of capacity from the TMEP.¹⁴³⁵ If the Project is approved, it will be a major addition to
7915 the crude oil distribution infrastructure in North America, particularly because it provides access
7916 to the sizeable Asia-Pacific market and gives Canadian crude oil producers a significant alternative

¹⁴³² Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 41.

¹⁴³³ Exhibit B427-3 – 2b CAPP 2015 Forecast (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6E9](#)).

¹⁴³⁴ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 41.

¹⁴³⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 12; NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013), 7.

7917 to their historical markets within North America. Accordingly, it can be expected to have a
7918 significant effect on distribution patterns and pricing dynamics for Western Canadian crude oil.¹⁴³⁶

7919 The Gunton Report dismisses the idea that pipeline transportation will result in cost savings to
7920 shippers and concludes that the Project will not result in netback benefits to shippers or Canadian
7921 oil producers.¹⁴³⁷ This conclusion is unjustified and is analogous to suggesting that the shippers
7922 who entered into firm contracts and expressed their support for the Project do not understand the
7923 nature of their business and the Project's value to their business. As demonstrated in Trans
7924 Mountain's direct evidence, transport by pipeline is considerably more cost efficient than transport
7925 by rail.¹⁴³⁸

7926 The Gunton Report also suggests that Trans Mountain's market analysis did not take into account
7927 the potential benefits of shipping undiluted bitumen by rail. However, due to significant market,
7928 logistical and commercial impediments, rail shipment of undiluted bitumen is much more
7929 complicated and costly than indicated in the Gunton Report.¹⁴³⁹ Shippers are aware of the option
7930 for shipping both diluted and undiluted bitumen by rail and they are choosing to support the Project
7931 through firm transportation contracts.

7932 The Project will increase pipeline capacity out of Western Canada and will provide a price lift for
7933 all heavy oil producers. The Project will provide producers with much-needed market

¹⁴³⁶ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 9.

¹⁴³⁷ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)).

¹⁴³⁸ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 41.

¹⁴³⁹ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 44.

7934 diversification and access to some of the world's fastest growing petroleum markets, and will
7935 enable producers to obtain the highest available prices for their production on an ongoing basis,
7936 ensuring that both industry and Canadians benefit from efficient energy infrastructure and markets.
7937 This will translate into real, long lasting economic benefits in the Canadian public interest.¹⁴⁴⁰

7938 The evidence submitted by the Conference Board of Canada demonstrates that Canada will derive
7939 very large economic benefits from the Project.¹⁴⁴¹ Oil producer revenues are estimated to rise by
7940 approximately \$73.5 billion over the first 20 years of the Project's operations. The revenue
7941 associated with higher netbacks is expected to generate total federal and provincial fiscal benefits
7942 of \$23.7 billion.¹⁴⁴²

7943 The Conference Board of Canada's report indicates that the Project will result in significant
7944 economic benefits. During the development phase and over the first 20 years of operations, these
7945 benefits include a forecasted boost to Canadian GDP of about \$22.1 billion; a total of 123,000
7946 person-years of employment generated across Canada; additional federal and provincial
7947 government revenues of \$28.2 billion; and benefits to communities along the right-of-way through
7948 employment and economic activity.¹⁴⁴³

7949 The Gunton Report criticized the Conference Board of Canada's report on the basis that the
7950 economic benefits and job impacts were overestimated by stating:

¹⁴⁴⁰ Exhibit B001 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Trans Mountain Expansion Project Volume 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 3C (December 16, 2013) ([A55987](#)).

¹⁴⁴¹ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)).

¹⁴⁴² Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)).

¹⁴⁴³ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)).

7951 In a well-developed economy such as Canada's most if not all the
7952 labour and capital employed on the TMEP will be employed
7953 elsewhere in the economy if the TMEP does not proceed, and the
7954 net gain in economic activity generated by the TMEP will be much
7955 less potentially minimal, as compared to the gross impacts estimated
7956 by the Conference Board.¹⁴⁴⁴

7957 The Conference Board of Canada's reply evidence clearly demonstrates that the criticisms
7958 contained in the Gunton Report are unfounded. First, the capital for the Project will be provided
7959 by Trans Mountain's U.S. parent and thus would be a net capital injection into the Canadian
7960 economy. If the Project were to not proceed, there is no reason to assume there would be a
7961 comparable substitute investment. Simply put, the benefits of the investment will not be realized
7962 if the Project does not proceed.

7963 With respect to employment benefits, the Conference Board of Canada provided clear evidence
7964 that the B.C. labour market cannot be considered fully employed, and it is not reasonable to assume
7965 that the Project will not create new incremental jobs.¹⁴⁴⁵ Although the Conference Board of Canada
7966 recognized that some of the jobs may be taken by Canadians moving to B.C., it correctly stated
7967 that these are still incremental jobs in the B.C. economy.

7968 With respect to fiscal benefits, the Gunton Report does not recognize the price lift that the Project
7969 will provide to producers.¹⁴⁴⁶ Therefore, it assumes away the fiscal benefits. As discussed above,
7970 producers will realize significant increases in their netbacks due to the transportation cost savings

¹⁴⁴⁴ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 24.

¹⁴⁴⁵ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 12.

¹⁴⁴⁶ Exhibit C214-30-2 – Replacement for Attachment F to written evidence of Living Oceans - Public Interest Evaluation - Dr Gunton et al (December 1, 2015) ([A4W0R4](#)), 24.

7971 that will result from the Project. This will generate many of the fiscal benefits identified by the
7972 Conference Board of Canada.

7973 A report published by Simon Fraser University's Centre for Public Policy Research entitled "The
7974 Economic Costs and Benefits of the Trans Mountain Project (TMX) for B.C. and Metro
7975 Vancouver" (the "Goodman Rowan Report") was appended to the evidence of Dr. Catherine
7976 Douglas and the Pro Information Pro Environment United People Network.¹⁴⁴⁷ The Goodman
7977 Rowan Report concluded that the potential economic benefits of the Project, in terms of jobs and
7978 tax revenues, were significantly overestimated by the Conference Board of Canada.

7979 According to the Goodman Rowan Report, the multipliers estimated for job impacts from both
7980 construction and operations of the Project were too high. The Goodman Rowan Report suggested
7981 that multipliers estimated for the construction phase for the Northern Gateway would be more
7982 appropriate for TMEP and that multipliers estimated for the operations phase for the Energy East
7983 project might be more appropriate for TMEP.

7984 In its reply evidence, the Conference Board of Canada explained why the multipliers used by
7985 Goodman Rowan were completely inappropriate and had obviously been selected to produce the
7986 lowest results. A prime example is the fact that only selected multipliers were used from Northern
7987 Gateway's evidence before the NEB. If all of the multipliers estimated by Northern Gateway had
7988 been applied to the Project the estimated employment and other economic impacts would have
7989 been higher by orders of magnitude than those conservatively estimated by the Conference Board
7990 of Canada. The Conference Board of Canada also explained how the use of multipliers for Energy
7991 East—a project that is planned for another region of the country and involving conversion of an

¹⁴⁴⁷ Exhibit C112-2-2 - Douglas NEB Hearing Evidence May 2015 (May 27, 2015) ([A4Q0A6](#)).

7992 existing pipeline system to oil—is completely inappropriate for estimating the employment and
7993 other economic impacts that can be expected from the TMEP.

7994 Another criticism in the Goodman Rowan Report was that many Project-related jobs may be taken
7995 by non-local workers. Based on this criticism, the Goodman Rowan Report arbitrarily reduced the
7996 estimated jobs that would be created by the Project. This reduction is not justifiable because many
7997 non-local workers will likely come from elsewhere in the province and some people who move to
7998 B.C. to take jobs will become B.C. residents. Further, the Goodman Rowan Report did not account
7999 for the fact that some of the jobs estimated for Alberta and other provinces may be filled by B.C.
8000 residents. Regardless of the outcome, jobs created in B.C. are jobs in B.C. and should be treated
8001 as such, and the criticisms offered by Goodman Rowan are unfounded.

8002 The Goodman Rowan Report also claimed that the fiscal impacts estimated by the Conference
8003 Board of Canada during both the construction and operations phases of the Project were too high.
8004 The Conference Board of Canada’s reply evidence demonstrated that there was no clear basis for
8005 the figures produced in the Goodman Rowan Report and that most of their figures seemed to be
8006 arbitrary estimates. In contrast, the estimates provided by the Conference Board of Canada are
8007 based on well-established methods and models, including Statistics Canada’s Input/Output model
8008 and the Conference Board of Canada’s highly respected in-house fiscal models, which are relied
8009 on by the private sector and both the federal and provincial governments.

8010 In its supplemental written evidence Metro Vancouver expressed concerns about the use of
8011 Statistics Canada’s Input/Output model to conduct the economic impact analysis.¹⁴⁴⁸ In Trans

¹⁴⁴⁸ Exhibit C234-21-2 – Supplemental Written Evidence in Relation to the Subject Matter of the Replacement Evidence (November 30, 2015) ([A4V9Q8](#)), 51.

8012 Mountain's view this new evidence is unrelated to the subject matter of the Replacement Evidence,
8013 is contrary to Procedural Direction No. 18, and should be given no weight.

8014 In conclusion, Trans Mountain submits that the criticisms of the Conference Board of Canada's
8015 estimates of the benefits that will flow from the Project are without merit. The original written
8016 evidence and reply evidence submitted by the Conference Board of Canada clearly demonstrates
8017 that the Project can reasonably be expected to provide large economic benefits to Canada, and
8018 particularly to B.C. and Alberta.¹⁴⁴⁹

8019 **9.14.2 Local Benefits**

8020 The public record demonstrates that Trans Mountain has taken a collaborative approach to
8021 infrastructure development in the Canadian public interest. Significant efforts have been made to
8022 engage with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups that may be impacted by construction or operation
8023 of the Project. Economic benefits were, and continue to be, an important part of Trans Mountain's
8024 ongoing engagement with these parties.¹⁴⁵⁰

8025 Through Community Benefit Agreements, Trans Mountain has provided tangible benefits to local
8026 communities with input from local governments and other local stakeholders. The benefits may be
8027 environmental or socio-economic in nature and include investments in community programs and

¹⁴⁴⁹ Exhibit B1-4 – Trans Mountain Expansion Project Application, Volume 2, Project Overview 3 of 4 (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0R0](#)), 2-42.

¹⁴⁵⁰ Exhibit B407 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to The WaterWealth Project Notice of Motion dated June 4, 2015 (June 15, 2014) ([A70682](#)).

8028 infrastructure improvements, environmental stewardship and education and training.¹⁴⁵¹ To date,
8029 over \$5.5 million has been made available to these communities.¹⁴⁵²

8030 Employment is a key component of community economic development managed in combination
8031 with procurement, education, and training for interested communities.¹⁴⁵³ Trans Mountain's goal
8032 is to maximize employment opportunities for local, regional and Aboriginal groups along the
8033 proposed pipeline corridor. To foster the creation and development of economic development
8034 opportunities for Aboriginal groups, a funding program has been established to contribute to
8035 education and training initiatives that focus on pipeline construction and related transferable
8036 skills.¹⁴⁵⁴ In the present case, the market has provided strong support for the TMEP. If approved,
8037 the Project will result in immense economic benefits for Canadians for years to come.

8038 **9.15 Conclusion**

8039 The evidentiary record is clear. There is a demonstrated need for the Project and the Project is
8040 economically feasible. Canadian production currently lacks sufficient pipeline capacity to the
8041 Asia/Pacific region. If the Project is approved, Canadian production will have the opportunity to
8042 garner higher prices through production priced in the Asia/Pacific region rather than the U.S. Gulf
8043 Coast region.¹⁴⁵⁵ Canada and its regions will receive significant economic benefits as oil producer
8044 revenues are forecasted to rise by approximately \$73.5 billion over the first 20 years of the

¹⁴⁵¹ Exhibit B306-27 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to NEB IR No. 3 – Part 1 of 2 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1X7](#)).

¹⁴⁵² Exhibit B306-12 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a-Attachment 1-Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)).

¹⁴⁵³ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 20.

¹⁴⁵⁴ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)).

¹⁴⁵⁵ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 50-51.

8045 Project's operations. The revenue associated with higher netbacks is expected to generate total
8046 federal and provincial fiscal benefits of approximately \$23.7 billion.¹⁴⁵⁶

8047 Further evidence of Project need is the long-term financial commitments made by shippers. Firm
8048 contracts account for 80 per cent of the nominal capacity on the expanded system. In May 2013
8049 the Project received approval pursuant to Part IV of the NEB Act for the toll methodology, terms
8050 and conditions that would apply to the Project.¹⁴⁵⁷ Shippers would not have freely entered into
8051 these contracts if they were not convinced of the need for the Project and that they would utilize
8052 the capacity.

8053 According to the Conference Board of Canada, the Project will result in significant economic
8054 benefits including: a forecasted boost to Canadian GDP by approximately \$22.1 billion; a total of
8055 123,000 person-years of employment generated across Canada during development and
8056 operations; \$4.5 billion in additional revenue to federal and provincial governments during
8057 construction and the first 20 years of operation in addition to the fiscal impact associated with
8058 higher producer netbacks; and benefits to communities along the right-of-way through
8059 employment and economic activity.¹⁴⁵⁸

¹⁴⁵⁶ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)).

¹⁴⁵⁷ NEB – Reasons for Decision – Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC – RH-001-2012 (May 2013); Exhibit C2-2 - BP Canada Energy Trading Company - Written Evidence of BP Canada Energy Trading Company (December 13, 2012) ([A49778](#)); Exhibit C2-9 - BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Argument of BP Canada Energy Group ULC, Canadian Oil Sands Partnership #1, Nexen Marketing and Statoil Canada Ltd. (February 20, 2013) ([A50539](#)); Exhibit C11-2 - Nexen Marketing - Written Evidence of Nexen Marketing (December 13, 2012) ([A49780](#)); Exhibit C14-2 - Statoil Canada Ltd. - Written Evidence of Statoil Canada Ltd. (December 13, 2012) ([A49781](#)); Exhibit C15-4 - Suncor Energy Marketing Inc. and Suncor Energy Products Partnership - Written Evidence (December 13, 2012) ([A49786](#)); Exhibit C16-6 - Total E&P Canada Ltd. - Written Direct Evidence of Total E-P Canada Ltd. (February 6, 2013) ([A50376](#)).

¹⁴⁵⁸ Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)) [amounts in 2012 Canadian dollars].

8060 The Project involves a \$5.4 billion capital cost expenditure, which was estimated at the time that
8061 the Application was filed.¹⁴⁵⁹ This large investment in Canadian infrastructure will help to realign
8062 Canada's pipeline system with changing supply/demand fundamentals. Trans Mountain's expert
8063 evidence clearly demonstrates the benefits of the Project to Canadian energy production. This
8064 includes the benefits associated with increasing market access for Canadian heavy crudes to help
8065 ensure that extraordinary price discounts are avoided in future.¹⁴⁶⁰

8066 The public record demonstrates that Trans Mountain has taken a collaborative approach to
8067 infrastructure development in the Canadian public interest. Significant effort have been made to
8068 engage with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups that may be impacted by construction or operation
8069 of the Project. Economic benefits were, and continue to be, an important part of Trans Mountain's
8070 ongoing engagement with these parties.¹⁴⁶¹ Through Community Benefit Agreements, Trans
8071 Mountain has provided tangible benefits to local communities with input from local governments
8072 and other local stakeholders.¹⁴⁶²

8073 Employment is a key component to community economic development managed in combination
8074 with procurement, education, and training for interested communities.¹⁴⁶³ Trans Mountain's goal
8075 is to maximize employment opportunities for local, regional and Aboriginal groups along the

¹⁴⁵⁹ Exhibit B1-1 - V1 SUMM (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)); Exhibit B427-4 – 3a Conference Board of Canada, TMEP Understanding the Economic Benefits for Canada and its Regions, September 21, 2015 Clean (September 25, 2015) ([A4T6F0](#)), 6.

¹⁴⁶⁰ Exhibit B431-2 – Muse Market Prospects and Benefits Analysis for TMEP Final Errata Clean (October 28, 2015) ([A4U8F8](#)), 5.

¹⁴⁶¹ Exhibit B407 - Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC - Response to The WaterWealth Project Notice of Motion dated June 4, 2015 (June 15, 2014) ([A70682](#)).

¹⁴⁶² Exhibit B306-12 – Trans Mountain Response to NEB IR No. 3.005a-Attachment 1-Part 1 (February 3, 2015) ([A4H1W2](#)).

¹⁴⁶³ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)), 20.

8076 proposed pipeline corridor. To foster the creation and development of economic development
8077 opportunities for Aboriginal groups, a \$1.5 million funding program has been established to
8078 contribute to education and training initiatives that focus on pipeline construction and related
8079 transferable skills.¹⁴⁶⁴

8080 In the present case, the market has provided strong support for the TMEP. If approved, the Project
8081 will result in immense economic benefits for Canadians for years to come. Importantly, Trans
8082 Mountain has endeavored to use economic benefits as a means to fulfill environmental and socio-
8083 economic objectives. These efforts will continue throughout the life of the Project.

¹⁴⁶⁴ Exhibit B1-39 - V3B 1.0 TO 3.0 ABOR ENGAG (December 16, 2013) ([A3S0U5](#)).

8084 **10. CONCLUSION**

8085 The NEB's task is to balance the burdens and benefits of the Project in arriving at its public interest
8086 determination. That means critically looking at the evidence on environmental, social and
8087 economic issues and demining what is credible and what is not.

8088 Trans Mountain submits that by building on its existing system, paralleling the existing right-of-
8089 way and implementing well known and proven mitigation there are no environmental, or social
8090 impacts that cannot be mitigated. That conclusion must be balanced with the material and certain
8091 economic benefits that will flow from increased market access, world prices for our resources and
8092 the jobs and opportunities that accompany the development of this Project. In balancing those
8093 benefits and burdens, Trans Mountain respectfully submits that the Board can arrive at only one
8094 conclusion—the Project is in the public interest.

8095 Further, in looking at the evidence, the Board must distinguish between what is likely to happen
8096 and what is not likely to happen and make its decision accordingly. Real and important benefits
8097 for all Canadians should not be cast aside, based on improbable risks.

8098 This Project is critical to the country and all Canadians. In Trans Mountain's view, Canadians
8099 should not accept that our resources will be forever sold at a discount due to inadequate pipeline
8100 infrastructure. The Project is the response to the need for market opportunity for Canadian heavy
8101 crudes which will help stem losses to the Canadian economy from the extraordinary price
8102 discounts to Canadian production. Trans Mountain submits that the TMEP is the safest, most
8103 viable and most appropriate option to meet the needs of Canadian oil production while minimizing
8104 environmental and social impacts, which serves the public interest.

8105 Trans Mountain requests that the Board:

- 8106 (a) recommend the issuance of a CPCN, pursuant to section 52 of the NEB Act,
8107 authorizing the construction and operation of the Project;
- 8108 (b) issue an order, pursuant to section 58 of the NEB Act, exempting Trans Mountain
8109 from the requirements of sections 31(c), 31(d) and 33 of the NEB Act (PPBoR
8110 filings) in relation to temporary lands or infrastructure required for construction of
8111 the Project. These early works activities include: the development of camp
8112 locations, stockpile sites, contractor staging areas (i.e., co-located with camps or
8113 stockpile sites), access roads for the first 10 km of each pipeline spread (i.e.,
8114 including temporary, clear-span bridges associated with these access roads), and
8115 clearing activities associated with the first 10 km of each pipeline spread, to be
8116 undertaken outside of the migratory bird restricted activity period;¹⁴⁶⁵
- 8117 (c) grant leave, pursuant to section 45(1) of the OPR, to reactivate the NPS 24 pipeline
8118 segment from Hinton, Alberta to Hargreaves, B.C. and the NPS 24 pipeline
8119 segment from Darfield, B.C. to Black Pines, B.C.; and
- 8120 (d) grant such further and other relief as the Board may consider appropriate.¹⁴⁶⁶
- 8121 All of which is respectfully submitted.

¹⁴⁶⁵ Exhibit B417-4 - Trans Mountain Reply Evidence, Section 64 – Early Works (August 20, 2015) ([A4S7F1](#)).

¹⁴⁶⁶ Exhibit B1-1 – V1 SUMM (December 13, 2013) ([A3S0Q7](#)), 1-10.