## Appendix 1 National Energy Board (Board) decisions and reasons on motions to compel full and adequate responses to the first round of intervenor information requests (IRs)

**NOTE:** The Board made decisions on each individual IR or sub-question referred to in the motions to compel. Where sequential IR numbers or sub-questions had identical decisions, these were consolidated into one row indicating the range of IRs or sub-questions that the decision applied to, for ease of reference only. This does not indicate that these requests were not considered individually. The reasons identified are identical for each IR or sub-question within that range.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
	Adams Lake Indian Band
1.2.1(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.2.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.3(a.1)-(a.2)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.3(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.4	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.7	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.3.01(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.02	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.03(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.03(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.04	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.05(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.06(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.07(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.08	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.3.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.09(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.10	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.11(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.3.11(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.12(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.14(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.15	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.3.16(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.16(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.3.17	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.19	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.20	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.21	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.22	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.23	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.26(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.3.27	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.28(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.29(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.31(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.31(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.3.33(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.33(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.3.34	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.35(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.3.36	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3.37(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.38	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.3.39	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.4.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.4.4	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.5(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.4.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.5(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.4.5(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.2(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.5.2(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.5.2(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.5.3(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.5.5	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.5.6(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.56(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.5.8	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.6.01(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.01(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.02(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.03	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.6.04	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.6.05(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.09(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.6.10(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Andrew Weaver, MLA
1.01-1.02	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.04.2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.07.1-1.07.2	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08.1(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.4(a)-(q)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.5(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.09(f)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.09(g)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.09(h)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.10.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.10.1(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.2	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.3(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.3(g)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.3(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.3(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.4(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.4(h)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(e.1)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(i.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(j.1)-(j.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.10.5(k.1)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(r)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(w)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(aa)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(cc.3.i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(dd)-(ee)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(ff.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10.5(gg)-(hh)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(a.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(a.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.11(a.4)-(a.5)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(a.7)-(a.8)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(b.1)-(b.6)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(c.3)-(c.5)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.11(c.6)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.11(c.7)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.11(c.8)-(c.9)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(c.10)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.11(c.11)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(c.17)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(c.20)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(d.1)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(d.5)-(d.6)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(d.8)-(d.11)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(e.1.v)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.13.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
1.13.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(g.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(g.4)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(h.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.13.1(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(0)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.1(q)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.2(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.2(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13.2(k)-(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.13.2(s.1)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
	BC Nature and Nature Canada
1.01(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.01(c.1)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(c.2)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.01(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.01(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(f)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.01(g)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.01(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(i)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.02(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.02(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked,</b> considering the intervenor's submission regarding Barrow's goldeneye's diet. Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.03(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.03(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.03(m)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.04	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(a.1)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.05(a.2)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(a.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(b.1)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(b.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(b.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(b.4)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(c.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(d.1)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(d.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(d.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05 (d.4)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(e.1)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(g.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.05(g.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding the transfer of oil to chicks and the potential outcome of oiling chicks.
1.05(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(j)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.06(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.06(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07(a.1)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding whether "recovery" is restricted to breeding populations.
1.07(a.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.07(a.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.07(b.1)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.07(b.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.07(b.3)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.07(c.2)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(c.1)-(c.2)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.09(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.09(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.10(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.13(a.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.13(c.1)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.13(c.2)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.14(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14(d.2)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.16(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.16(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding the following: for each affected bunchgrass ecotype, describe their relative abundance in protected areas in British Columbia.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.16(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(h)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
	Burnaby Residents Opposing Kinder Morgan Expansion
1(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
2(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
<b>5(d)</b>	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
7(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	City of Abbotsford
1.03(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(b)(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.09(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.12(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.14(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.15(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.15(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.26(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	City of Burnaby
1.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.3(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.4(b)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(b.a)-b.l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.6(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.8(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding Trans Mountain's capability (capacity and ability), as per its Emergency Management Program, to respond to a failure of its facilty during a large seismic event.
1.8(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.8(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.9(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.9(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.10(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10(g)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.13(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.1(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.1(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.1(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
2.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
2.4(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2(d)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2(j)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
3.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.6(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.7(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.7(g)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.8(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
3.8(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.1(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
4.5(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
4.6(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.7(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.10(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.12(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.12(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.13(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.14(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
4.14(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.14(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.14(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
4.14(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.14(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
4.14(h)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.14(l)-(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.15(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4.16(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.1(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
5.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
5.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.5(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.6(b)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.6(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.6(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.1(b)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

ID N.	D
IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
6.2(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
6.2(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.6(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.6(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
6.8(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.8(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.9(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
6.9(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
6.9(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.9(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
6.10(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.10(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.11(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.11(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.12(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.2(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.3(b)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.5(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.6(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
7.7(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.7(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.7(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.7(d)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.7(f)-(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.8(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.8(d)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.9(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.9(e)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.9(g)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.11(c)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
7.12(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
7.13(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.13(c.b)-(c.f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.13(c.g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.13(c.i)-(c.j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.13(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
7.13(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.13(0)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
7.14(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.14(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.14(r)-(s)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.14(cc)-(dd)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.15(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.16(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
7.17(a.a)-(a.b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.17(a.d)-(a.e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.17(a.g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.17(c.a)-(c.b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.17(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
7.20(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.23(d)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.24(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.26(a)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
7.27(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.28(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(m)-(q)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(s)-(t)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.29(w)-(x)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.30(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.30(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.30(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.30(j)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.30(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
8.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.3(c)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.4(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
8.5(f)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.5(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.5(i)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.5(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
8.5(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.5(o)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.5(r)-(s)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.5(u)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.6(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.8(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.9(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.9(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.9(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
8.10(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.12(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
8.13 (all)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.13(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.13(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.13(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.14(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.16(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.1(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
9.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.4(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
10.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.3(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.4(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
11.1(a)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.1(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
12.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.5(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.5(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.6(a)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.6(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.7(b.i)-(b.iii)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.7(d)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
12.8(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.8(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.8(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.8(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.8(q)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.9(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
12.9(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.9(f.a)-(f.d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
12.10(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
12.10(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.11(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.11(f.a)-(f.d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.13(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
12.13(k)-(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.13(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
12.14(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
13.1(e)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.2(a)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
13.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(c.a)-(c.b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(c.d)-(c.f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(n)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(z)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(bb)-(ii)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.5(rr)-(xx)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
13.5(aaa)-(bbb)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
13.6(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
14.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.5(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
16.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
17.3(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
17.3(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
17.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.1(a)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.2(b)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
18.3(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.3(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
18.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
18.4(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
18.4(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
18.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
18.6(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.6(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.6(g)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.7(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.7(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
18.7(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.8(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.8(e)-(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.9(d)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.9(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.9(i)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
18.9(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.9(l)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
18.10(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
18.10(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
18.11(a)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.11(l)-(n)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.11(r)-(u)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.12(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
18.12(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.12(d)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.13(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.13(c)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.14 (all)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.15(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
18.15(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
18.15(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.15(f)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.17(a)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
18.18(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.19(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18.20(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
19.1(a)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.2(a)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
19.4(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
19.5(a)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.6(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
19.6(h)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.7(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.8(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.9(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.9(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.9(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.10(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19.10(f)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
20.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
20.1(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
20.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
20.2(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
20.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
20.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
20.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
21.1(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
22.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
22.1(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
22.1(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.1(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.1(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.1(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
22.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.3(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.4(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
22.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
22.5(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
23.1(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24.1(a)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24.1(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24.1(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
24.1(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24.1(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
24.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24.2(f)-(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
25.1(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.1(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.1(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
25.2(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.2(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.2(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
25.2(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.2 j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
25.3(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.4(b)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.5(b)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.7(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
25.8(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
25.9(a)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
26.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
26.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
26.4(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.4(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.4(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.6(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.7(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.
26.7(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.
26.10(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
26.10(e)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
26.10(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
26.11(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
27.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
27.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
27.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
27.7(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
27.9(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
27.10(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
27.11(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
27.11(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
27.12(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
27.12(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
28.1(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
28.1(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
28.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
28.1(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
28.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
28.1(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
28.1(h)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
28.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
28.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
29.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
29.1(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
29.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
29.3(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
29.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
30.1(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
30.1(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
31.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
31.1(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
32.1(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
32.2(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
32.3(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
32.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
32.4(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
32.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
32.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
32.5(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.1(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.2(a)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
33.3(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
33.3(d)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.3(i)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.4(d)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.4(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.5(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
33.5(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.6(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.6(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.6(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
33.7(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.8(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.8(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.8(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.9(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.9(f)-(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.10(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.11(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.11(e)-i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.11(k)-(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.13(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.14(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.14(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.15(e)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.16(d)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
33.16(g)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.16(n)-(s)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.16(u)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.17(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.18(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.19(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.19(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.20(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.20(d)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
33.21(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.21(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.21(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
33.22(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.23(a)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.24(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.25(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.25(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.27(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.27(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.28(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.29(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.29(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.29(i)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.30(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.31(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.32(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.34(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
33.35(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.35(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
33.35(g)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.36(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.37(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.38(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.39(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.39(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
33.39(g)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.40(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.40(d)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
33.41(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
33.42(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
34.1(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
34.3(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
34.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
34.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
34.4(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
34.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
34.7(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.2(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
35.3(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
35.4(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.5(d)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.6(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
35.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
36.1(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
36.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
36.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
36.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
36.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.3(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.4(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.4(e)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.5(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.6(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.6(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
37.6(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
38.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
38.1(d)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
38.1(g)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
38.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
38.2(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
38.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
38.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
38.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
38.6(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
38.7(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
38.8(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
39.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
39.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
39.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
40.1(b)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.1(f)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
40.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.4(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.6(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.6(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
40.7(a.i)-(a.v)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
40.8(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
41.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
41.1(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.1(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.2(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.2(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.2(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.3(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.4(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.5(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
41.6(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.7(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.7(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.8(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.8(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.10(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.11(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.11(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.12(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
41.12(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.13(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.13(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
41.14(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
41.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
41.16(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
42.1(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
42.1(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
42.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.1(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.1(f)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.2(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
43.2(g)-(r)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.2(t)-(v)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.3(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.3(g)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.4(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.5(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
43.6(a)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.7(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
43.7(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
43.7(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
44.1(c)-(r)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.2(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.2(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
44.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
44.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
44.5(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
45.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
45.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
45.2(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
45.3(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.1(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.2(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
46.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
46.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
46.4(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(j)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(0)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(s)-(t)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(v)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
46.4(y)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
<b>46.4(aa)</b>	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(bb)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(dd)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
46.4(ff)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.4(gg)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
46.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	City of Port Moody
1.1.2(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.18(a)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	City of Surrey
1.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(b)(i)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.1(b)(ii)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.1(b)(iii)-(iv)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(c)(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1(c)(ii)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.3(h)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.3(1)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(n)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.3(o)-(p)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.3(q)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.3(r)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
1.3(u)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.7(all)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	City of Vancouver
1.1(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.2(g)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.3(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , only to the extent that the question relates to the Project.
1.3(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , only to the extent that the question relates to the Project.
1.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
2.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
2.2(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.2(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.5(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.13(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.18(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.4(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.6(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.6(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.7(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.9(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
5.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5.2(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
6.1(e)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
6.1(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
6.2(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.4(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
6.4(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.7(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
6.7(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.1(aa)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
7.1(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
7.1(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.1(z)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.2(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.3(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
7.3(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
8.1(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
8.1(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.1(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
8.2(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.2(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
8.3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.3(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
8.4(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
8.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.5(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8.7(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
8.7(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.4(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.5(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
9.6(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9.6(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
9.6(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.2(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.2(g)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> now that Trans Mountain has the intervenor's definition of "emergent volunteer".
10.2(h)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
10.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
10.3(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.3(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.3(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
10.3(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.5(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.5(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.5(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.6(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.6(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
10.6(f)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.7(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
10.7(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
10.7(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.7(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.7(i)-(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.7(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
10.8(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
10.8(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.9(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.10(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.10(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.10(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.10(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.10(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.10(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.11(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.11(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.12(b)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.12(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
10.12(i)-(l)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.13(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.15(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.16(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.16(h)-(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.17(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.17(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
10.17(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
10.17(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.17(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.18(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
11.1(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
12.3(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
12.6(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Cowichan Tribes
1.04(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(e)(ii)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(i)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(l)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.08(p)(iii)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
1.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons	
1.13(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.13(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.16(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.16(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.16(l)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.17(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
	David Farmer	
1.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.1(h)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.2(b4)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.	

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.2(b5)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(b7)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(b9)-(b12)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(c1)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(c3)-(c6)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	District of West Vancouver
1.01	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12-1.13	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15-1.18	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons	
1.21	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.28	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.30	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.34-1.35	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.43	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.47	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.48	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.52	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.53	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.	
1.56-1.57	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.	
1.63	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
	Dr. Brahm Miller	
1.1(b)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Edward Farquhar
1.01(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.01(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.01(e)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.01(g)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.03(a)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.04(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.04(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(h)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.04(j)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(e1)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.06(e2)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.06(e3)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.06(e4)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.06(e5)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.07(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.07(b)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.10(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11(h)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.12(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.13(e)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.16(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.16(f)-(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
	Environment Canada
1.057-1.058	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.060-1.061	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.068	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.076	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.080	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	Fraser Valley Regional District
1.02(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.02(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , in light of the fact that the intervenor subsequently provided the correct link to the Regional Ground Level Ozone Strategy.
1.02(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , in light of the fact that the intervenor subsequently provided the correct link to the Regional Ground Level Ozone Strategy.
1.03(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.04(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.33(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.34(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.35(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.36(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.37(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.38(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.38(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Friends of Ecological Reserves
1	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding the description of the Ecological Reserves.
2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
4	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , specifically as it relates to the effects in the High Risk Oil Zone.
6	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , specifically as it relates to oil spill impacts.
7	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
8	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
9	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
11-14	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
17	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
18	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
19	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
20	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
21	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
22	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
23	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
24	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
25	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
26	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
Katzie First Nation	
1.01(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.06(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1(a) (City of Surrey)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
1.4(a)-(b) (City of Surrey)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
1.03 (Edward Farquhar)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
	Kwantlen First Nation
1.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.7	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it. Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.5	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
6.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.02-10.03	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
10.9	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
11.1	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding land-based effects.
11.2	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
12.1	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
14.1	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
15.03	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.05-15.06	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
15.11	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
23.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
26.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Kyung-Mee Moon
1.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
	Living Oceans Society
1.01	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.02	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.04(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.05(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.10(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.
1.17(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.32(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.36(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.37	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.39(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.39(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.40(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.40(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.42(e)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.44(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.44(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.45(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.45(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.45(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.45(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.46(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.47(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.47(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Lotus Sports Club
All	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Marc Eliesen
1.02(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.02(d)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to reconcile the heavy crude oil forecast provided in 1.03(c) with the referenced Table A-2.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(qq)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(fff)-(hhh)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(jjj)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.03(kkk)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.04(g)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(h)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(m)-(s)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(x)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(aa)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.06(d)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(q)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(s)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(cc)-(dd)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(vv)-(ww)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(yy)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(zz)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(aaa)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(000)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.10(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.10(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.10(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(l)-(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(o)-(q)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Matsqui First Nation
1.04(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(j)(1)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(j)(2)-(3)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(j)(4)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(j)(5)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(j)(6)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.05(j)(7)-(9)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05(j)(10)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07(d)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
	Metro Vancouver
1.2.01-1.2.02	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.03(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.01	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.02(a)(i)-(iv)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.02(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.02(b)(iv)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.03(a)(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.5.01(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.02(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.02(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.02(d)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.02(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.03(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.04(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.5.04(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.07(1)(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.08(a)(iii)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.5.09(a)(i)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b> Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.5.09(a)(ii)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.10(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.11(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.11(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.6.03(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.03(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.6.03(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.03(i)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.6.04(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.06(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.07(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.15(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.18(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.20(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.21(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.21(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.6.22-1.6.23	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.25	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.26(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.6.42	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.43(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it. Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.43(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6.44(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.44(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6.45(c)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6.46(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6.48(e)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6.52(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Michel First Nation
1.3	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
	New Democratic Party (Peter Julian MP)
1.1.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.1.1(g)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.1.1(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1.3(b)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.1.3(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
	Nooaitch Indian Band
1.1.1.01-1.1.1.03	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.1.05	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.1.07-1.1.1.08	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.1.11-1.1.1.12	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.1.1-1.2.1.2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	North Shore No Pipeline Expansion
1.11(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.22	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	O'Chiese First Nation
1.02	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(1)-(2)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(3)-8)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
	Pacheedaht First Nation
1.01.02-1.01.03	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01.06	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.01.10	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01.11	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.01.12	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01.13	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.01.14	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01.22	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.01.23	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.01.26	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.01.28	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03.05	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.04.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05.02	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05.06	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it. Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.05.07	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.06-1.08.07	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.20	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.22	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08.25-1.08.26	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.27	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.08.30	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.31	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08.32	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08.34	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.09.01	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.02	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.08	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.10	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.14-1.09.16	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.18-1.09.19	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09.22	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.10.6-1.10.7	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11.03	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11.04	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11.03(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12.3	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.13.05	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.13.06-1.13.07	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13.13-1.13.14	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13.15	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14.05	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14.11-1.14.12	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Pro Information Pro Environment United People Network
1biv	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1bx	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1bxii	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
11bii-11biii	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
11bv	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
11bvi	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
11bvii	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
111bii	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
IK No.	
111biv	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In
	some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
111bix	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
111bxi	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
Vbii-Vbiii	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
Vbv	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
V1biv	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
V11bvii	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered
	the IR.
	Province of BC  Deny Motion cought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at
1.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at
1.2(b)	a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered
	the IR.
1.4(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to
1.4(b)	the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
200(0)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to
1.4(c)	the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.4(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered
	the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to
1.6(a)	the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In
	some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.9(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at
1.7(a)*(b)	a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.10(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(h)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.12(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.12(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.12(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.12(l)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.16(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.22(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.23(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.23(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.25(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.31(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.36(i)-(iii)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.38(a)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.38(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.40(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.40(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.41(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.42	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.48(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.50(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.52	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.55	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.63(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.63(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.64(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.67(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.67(e)-(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.69(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.69(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.69(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.72(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.72(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.73(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.73(o)-(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.73(t)(v)-(vii)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.74(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.74(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.75(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.76	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.77(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.78(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
	Raincoast Conservation Foundation
1.04(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.10(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.12(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.12(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.13(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13(g)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15(g)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(a)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.29(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.30(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.30(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.31(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.32(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.32(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.33	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.34(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.34(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.35(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Regional District of Fraser Fort George and Village of Valemount
1.01(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.03(c)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b> Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.06(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(g)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.09(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13(f)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Robyn Allan
1.01(q)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.02(g)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.02(q)-(s)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.02(t)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.02(u)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.02(v)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.02(w)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.03(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(c)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.03(g)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(II)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.04(f)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.04(h)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.04(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(i)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.05(u)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.05(nn)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.06(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(e)-(l)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.06(n)-(o)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.06(p)-(u)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.06(z)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.06(ii)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it. Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
1.07(d)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(g)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(x)-(z)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(aa)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(ee)-(ff)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(tt)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.07(eee)-(fff)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.08(e)-(h)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.08(i)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.08(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.
1.08(l)-(n)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.09(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(q)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.09(s)-(t)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.10(f)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.10(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.10(p)-(q)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.14(c)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.15(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15(0)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.15(r)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> for the purpose of allowing participants to evaluate the assumptions made and to recreate Tables B.1 and B.2.
1.18(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons	
1.18(o)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.18(q)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.19(a)-(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.19(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.19(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.19(o)-(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.19(q)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.19(r)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.19(s)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.19(t)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.	
1.19(u)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.21(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.23	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.	
1.25(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.	
	Samson Cree Nation, Whitefish (Goodfish) Lake First Nation #128, Ermineskin Cree Nation, Sucker Creek First Nation, Kelly Lake Cree Nation	
1.1.4(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.	
1.1.6(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b> The Board notes the possibility that Trans Mountain may have intended to refer to its response to 1.1.1(a), as opposed to 1.3.1(a).	

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.1.6(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b> The Board notes the possibility that Trans Mountain may have intended to refer to its response to 1.1.1(a), as opposed to 1.3.1(a).
1.1.6(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b> The Board notes the possibility that Trans Mountain may have intended to refer to its response to 1.1.1(a), as opposed to 1.3.1(a).
1.1.7(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1.7(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1.7(k)-(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.8(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.9(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.4(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.4(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.8(b)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.2.8(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.2.8(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.8(e)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.2.8(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.2.9(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.3.1(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.1(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.31.(g)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.1(j)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.2(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.2(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.3(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.3.6(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.3.6(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.4.1(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
	Squamish First Nation
1.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(f)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.1(g)-(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.2(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(g)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.4(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.6(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6(g)-(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.6(p)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6(q)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.7(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.7(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(f)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.8(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.
1.8(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.8(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
	State of Washington Department of Ecology
1.03	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.05	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.12	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15-1.16	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
	Stk'emlupsemc Te Secwepemc
1.01	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.02-1.03	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.04-1.05	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07-1.09	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.11	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
	Stó:lō Collective
1(a)-(c) (all rows)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
2(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2(d) (all rows)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Strata Council NW655
2	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Stz'uminus First Nation
1.3(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4(d)-(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.5(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.6(g)-(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6(p)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6(q)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.7(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.7(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(f)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.7(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.8(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.8(c)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a general discussion of the effects that Trans Mountain anticipates would be considered in the Net Environmental Benefit Analysis.
1.8(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Township of Langley
1.01(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.02(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.03(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.03(b)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.03(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.04(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.06(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.18(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20 (first and second)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Tsartlip First Nation
1.1.01	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.1.02-1.1.04	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.06	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1.07	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.1.08-1.1.12	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.1.14	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.1.15-1.1.16	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1.2.2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.2.3	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.2.4(1)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
1.2.5-1.2.6	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.2.7	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.9	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
	Tsawout First Nation
1.01(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.01(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.03(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.03(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.06(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.07(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.07(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.07(h)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.07(j)-(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.08(c)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.08(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.09(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.09(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.14(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.17(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.20(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20(d)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.21(a)-(f)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.22(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.23(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.24(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.24(m)-(r)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28(b)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.28(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28(h)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28(k)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28(m)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.29(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(g)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(m)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.30(o)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(q)-(r)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(y)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(cc)-(ee)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(рр)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.32(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(f)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(h)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32(k)-(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.34(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.35(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(o)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(q)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(s)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(t)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(v)-(w)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.36(z)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(ee)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked.</b>
1.37(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.39(a)-(u)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.40(a)-(d)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.41(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Tsawwassen First Nation
1.06	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.08	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.09	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.10	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.11-1.13	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.14	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.15-1.16	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.19	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.20	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to Parts ii), iii), and iv) of the IR.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.
1,21	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.23	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.25-1.26	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.27	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response to the <b>original question asked</b> , specifically in the context of the preamable provided.
1.31	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.32-1.37	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.40	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.41	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
	Tsleil-Waututh Nation
1.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.1(b)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.1.1 (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.2(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
2.1.5.1(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
2.1.5.1(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
2.1.5.2(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.2(c) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
2.1.5.6(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.7(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.7(d) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.8(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.9(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.10(a)-(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.5.11(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.6.1(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.6.2(a)-(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.1.6.4(a)-(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.1(a)-(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.1(d) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.3(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.4(a) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.5(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.2.2.6(b) (City of Vancouver)	Deny – Motion refers to an IR written by another intervenor or the Board. The Board will not compel a further answer to another intervenor's question.
2.3.1(a)-(r)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
2.3.3(a)-(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
2.3.3(j)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
3.1(a)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.2(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it. Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.3(c)-(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.4(a)-(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
3.5(a)-(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
	Unifor
1(a)-(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
3-4	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
5-8	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
9	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
10	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
11	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
	Upper Nicola Band
1.01(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.01(e)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.01(g)-(h)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.01(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.01(l)-(m)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.02(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.03(i)-(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.04(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.05(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.06(a)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to clarify whether the Trans Mountain threat assessment omitted a consideration of there being more severe failure consequences in older pipelines.
1.06(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1 .06(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.06(e)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.07(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.07(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.09(a)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.10(a)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.13(b)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.15(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(c)-(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(f)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.16(j)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.17(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(a)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.18(j)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(l)-(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(r)-(s)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.18(t)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.27(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(e)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(i)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.27(n)-(r)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.28(h)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.28(i)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.28(k)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.28(n)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.28(p)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.29(a)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(i)-(k)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(n)-(o)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.30(u)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.32(l)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons
1.32(m)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.34(b)-(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that appears to be available to the intervenor. Consider filing this information as evidence so that it can be considered by the Board. Alternatively, consider referring to the information in the next IR round and asking specific questions of Trans Mountain on it.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(b)	Deny – Motion sought information that Trans Mountain is not responsible for, but is the responsibility of another body (e.g., regulator, tanker operators, oil sands companies).
1.36(c)	Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.36(d)-(g)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.  Deny – Motion sought information that may touch upon the List of Issues, but would not contribute to the record in any substantive way and, therefore, would not be material to the Board's assessment. In some instances, the request was unreasonable or overly broad in scope.
1.37(x)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.
1.37(y)	Deny – Motion sought information not required during the assessment stage. Information may be covered through existing regulations, or is more appropriately addressed post-decision (e.g., compliance oversight).
1.37(ff)	Deny – Motion sought information on matters not related to the List of Issues in the proceeding, or that is beyond the Board's mandate.
	Vancouver Fraser Port Authority
1.1.1(a)-(f)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.2.1(a)-(b)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.3.1-1.3.2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.4.1-1.4.3	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.5.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.
1.6.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.

IR No.	Board decisions and reasons		
1.7.1-1.7.2	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.8.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.9.1	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
	Village of Belcarra		
1.7	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.		
Wembley Estates Strata Council			
1.2(c)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.2(d)	Deny – Motion sought information that is not available at this time and that Trans Mountain will file at a later date or has filed since the motion was filed.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.3(a)-(c)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.3(d)	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.3(e)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding how baseline property values will be established and the process for filing a claim.		
1.3(g)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding the written procedure for filing a claim.		
1.6(a) Parts I and II	Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.6(b)	Deny – Motion sought new or different information than was asked for in the original IR. Consider asking a question in the next IR round to receive this information.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		
1.6(d)	Grant – Motion sought information that met the Board's test for compelling a further and better response. The Board is compelling Trans Mountain to provide a full and adequate response regarding targeted response times.  Deny – Between Trans Mountain's IR response and its reply to the motion, it has sufficiently answered the IR.		